SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102939/99

Roger Prince

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/12

Matthew T. McIntyre

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.03275

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4776949v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD A. WALIGOVSKA and LYDIA WALIGOVSKA

Index No. 103278/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD A. WALIGOVSKA and LYDIA WALIGOVSKA

Index No. 103278/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HELMUT P. SIEMER and MARION SIEMER

Index No. 105615/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HELMUT P. SIEMER and MARION SIEMER

Index No. 105615/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BETTY AND BRADLEY MARMON

Index No. 109077/099

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110034/99

Herbert Goldberg

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/10/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.05068

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1 8 2012

4775098v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE COSTELLO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES G. COSTELLO

Index No. 110088/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec. 5, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniel M. Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110088/99

James G. Costello

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.04802

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4775021v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE COSTELLO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES G. COSTELLO

Index No. 110088/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec 5, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **112902/99**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS MULLEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1-5-2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Danny R Kraft JR
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD JANISZEWSKI and VIRGINIA JANISZEWSKI

Index No. 114235/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDITH NADRAUS, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ANDREW NADRAUS

Index No. 115047/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: November 17, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Locks Law Firm, PLLC (Asb)
747 3rd Avenue - 37th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- X

This Document Relates To:

Patrick H. McCabe

Index No: 121132/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/11/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.07987

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4776863v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAUREEN P. MCCABE, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK H. MCCABE andMAUREEN P. MCCABE, Individually

Index No. 121132/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bucyrus International, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bucyrus International, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bucyrus International, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Bucyrus International
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAUREEN P. MCCABE, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK H. MCCABE andMAUREEN P. MCCABE, Individually

Index No. 121132/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James Fitzpatrick	Index No: 121986/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE DENAGEL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100577/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88460

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100577/00

Eugene Denagel

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.08583

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2012

4775032v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE R. DENAGEL and NANCY DENAGEL

Index No. 100577/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bucyrus International, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bucyrus International, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bucyrus International, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Bucyrus International
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE R. DENAGEL and NANCY DENAGEL

Index No. 100577/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEMARY BRADLEY, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD H. BRADLEY,

Index No. 103895/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEMARY BRADLEY, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD H. BRADLEY,

Index No. 103895/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VICTORIA INDICK, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of HERMAN INDICK

Index No. 104848/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/6/7, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VICTORIA INDICK, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of HERMAN INDICK

Index No. 104848/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLAYTON A. CASSELMAN and DOROTHY CASSELMAN

Index No. 105102/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLAYTON A. CASSELMAN and DOROTHY CASSELMAN

Index No. 105102/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 105455/00

This Document Relates to:
Maria King, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Joseph King,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/17, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Maria King, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Joseph King
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
~~Our File No.:~~ 11084-3013

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIA KING, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH KING SR., and MARIA KING, Individually

Index No. 105455/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-17, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heller

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIA KING, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH KING SR., and MARIA KING, Individually

Index No. 105455/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-17, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ISABEL FITZPATRICK, as Administratrix for the Estate of JAMES FITZPATRICK, and ISABEL FITZPATRICK, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 105756-00
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 3, 2012
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Knies, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106981/00

Thomas Williams

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11681

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4777012v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANNE MARIE WILLIAMS, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of THOMAS EDWARD WILLIAMS.

Index No. 106981/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANNE MARIE WILLIAMS, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of THOMAS EDWARD WILLIAMS.

Index No. 106981/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH MARIE WARREN as Executrix for the Estate of CYLDE WARREN, and DEBORAH MARIE WARREN Individually,

Index No. 107120/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH MARIE WARREN as Executrix for the Estate of CYLDE WARREN, and DEBORAH MARIE WARREN Individually,

Index No. 107120/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HELENE MAUCERI, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH MAUCERI, and HELENE MAUCERI, Individually,

Index No. 107627/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Nov 29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003
A. BRANDIS, ESQ

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DOE, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of GEORGE MARTIN and CARLEEN M. FUENTES, as Proposed Representative for the Estate of ALICE MARTIN

Index No. 108669/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DOE, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of GEORGE MARTIN and CARLEEN M. FUENTES, as Proposed Representative for the Estate of ALICE MARTIN

Index No. 108669/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KAREN THOMPSON, as Executrix for the Estate of RAYMOND A. THOMPSON and KAREN THOMPSON, Individually

Index No. 108723/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KAREN THOMPSON, as Executrix for the Estate of RAYMOND A. THOMPSON and KAREN THOMPSON, Individually

Index No. 108723/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SALVATORE D. LO VERDE and JEAN LO VERDE

Index No. 109117/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SALVATORE D. LO VERDE and JEAN LO VERDE

Index No. 109117/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 00-115767
REGINALD J. LAMBRUSCHI	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Reginald J. Lambruschi was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 22, 2011

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173
Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

By: _____
Mark Bibro, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue – 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE PANETTA, as Administratrix for the Estate of CARMEN LEWIS PANETTA, and CAROLINE PANETTA, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of SANDRA PANETTA,

Index No. 109276/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE PANETTA, as Administratrix for the Estate of CARMEN LEWIS PANETTA, and CAROLINE PANETTA, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of SANDRA PANETTA,

Index No. 109276/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LILLIAN MARIE O'DONNELL, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN THOMAS O'DONNELL and LILLIAN MARIE O'DONNELL, Individually,

Index No. 119278/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LILLIAN MARIE O'DONNELL, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN THOMAS O'DONNELL and LILLIAN MARIE O'DONNELL, Individually,

Index No. 119278/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Peter G. Angelos
100 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bucyrus International, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bucyrus International, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bucyrus International, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Peter G. Angelos
100 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Bucyrus International
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Peter G. Angelos
100 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Peter G. Angelos
100 North Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1-9, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway – 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bucyrus International, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bucyrus International, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bucyrus International, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1-9, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway – 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Bucyrus International
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1-9, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway – 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH

Index No. 113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1-9, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway – 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 19 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT L. BARKER

Index No. 117416/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT L. BARKER

Index No. 117416/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119826/00

Fred G. Cordes

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.14627

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4775009v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD MURRAY and MELANIE L. MURRAY

Index No. 120523/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD MURRAY and MELANIE L. MURRAY

Index No. 120523/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123481/00

George J. Sabo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.14992

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2012

4776961v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123481/00

George J. Sabo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/22/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00895

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4777030v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE J. SABO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123481/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
December 31, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE J. SABO JR., as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE J. SABO and EMMA SABO, Individually

Index No. 123481/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE J. SABO JR., as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE J. SABO and EMMA SABO, Individually

Index No. 123481/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

LEWIS G. DECKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124325/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88127

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124325/00

Lewis G. Decker

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.15485

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

18 2012

4775027v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARJORIE I. DECKER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of LEWIS G. DECKER

Index No. 124325/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARJORIE I. DECKER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of LEWIS G. DECKER

Index No. 124325/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 125333/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

MICHAEL ZOGBY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1-5-2012,
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN J. BUNDY

Index No. 125335/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN J. BUNDY

Index No. 125335/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY A. BURTON JR. and BEVERLY J. BURTON

Index No. 100021/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY A. BURTON JR. and BEVERLY J. BURTON

Index No. 100021/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FINNEGAN, PETER (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100052/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES MINCH AND DEBBIE MINCH

Index No. 102703/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES MINCH AND DEBBIE MINCH

Index No. 102703/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JULIA TAFOYA, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of BENNIE G. TAFOYA

Index No. 102941/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JULIA TAFOYA, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of BENNIE G. TAFOYA

Index No. 102941/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: **105745/01**

MYRON SCHREIBER

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1-5-2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LESLIE CHARLES PAYNE

Index No. 106181/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LESLIE CHARLES PAYNE

Index No. 106181/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELLEN GREENBLATT, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JACK POLLOCK

Index No. 106440/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELLEN GREENBLATT, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JACK POLLOCK

Index No. 106440/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 19 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH ZEBRACKI, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN S. ZEBRACKI and KENNETH ZEBRACKI as Proposed Executor for the Estate of BERNICE ZEBRACKI

Index No. 106645/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH ZEBRACKI, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN S. ZEBRACKI and KENNETH ZEBRACKI as Proposed Executor for the Estate of BERNICE ZEBRACKI

Index No. 106645/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SERRAVALLO and VIVIAN SERRAVALLO

Index No. 107088/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK SERRAVALLO and VIVIAN SERRAVALLO

Index No. 107088/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shefry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108708/01
118869/98

Gary Glick

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/23/11

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.02232

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4775085v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

VINCENT AVESTO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 108709/01 & 116916/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88111

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM WHARRY AND CATHERINE WHARRY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 111228/01 121547/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan 10, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcutt
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Wharry and Catherine Wharry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23532AV

{N0069473-1}

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111232/01
119756/01

Harry J. Dockweiler

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/10/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.19093

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4775053v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA J. LOTOSKI as the Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of VINCENT S. NOGAS and JANE V. NOGAS, Individually and DONNA J. LOTOSKI, as the Executrix for the Estate of JANE V. NOGAS

Index No. 111864/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA J. LOTOSKI as the Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of VINCENT S. NOGAS and JANE V. NOGAS, Individually and DONNA J. LOTOSKI, as the Executrix for the Estate of JANE V. NOGAS

Index No. 111864/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY JOY RAPIN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN RAPIN

Index No. 112595/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY JOY RAPIN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN RAPIN

Index No. 112595/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116261/01
111221/01

James Dunford

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/9/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.19496

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4775062v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES DUNFORD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116261/01 &
111221/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88124

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LENAHAN, RONALD P. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120388/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106292/02

Ronald P. Lenahan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21678

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823184v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GREEN, HAROLD L.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120391/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/6 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120391/01
104298/02

Harold L. Green

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21370

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4823004v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120391/01
104304/02

Michael O. Flynn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/14/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21364

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4822068v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD L. BOSSEY

Index No. 120399/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: _____, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

-012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD L. BOSSEY

Index No. 120399/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: _____, 2011

11/17 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11188

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101104/02

Robert Hines

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.20814

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823036v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR MEDNICK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121423/01 &
111228/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88137

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO and MARY INTRABARTOLO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) Index No: 122139-01 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 29, 2011
New York, New York

Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KENNETH H. HELLER and MARY HELLER,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No. 122139-01
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/27/11
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID M. FAGGIONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122307/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86819

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
STEVEN M. SHERLOCK and ADAM D. SHERLOCK, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of MILLARD D. SHERLOCK,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No. 122391-01
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01.04.12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROXANN H. INTRILIGATOR, as Administratrix for the Estate of EUGENE F. HOFF,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No. 122701-01
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01.04.12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH C. RIZZO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122789/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86869

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LOUIS ARIENO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No: 123041-01
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CHRISTINE WISSING, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ALFRED FREDERICK WISSING,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 123130-01
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RICHARD DIETZ and CATHERINE DIETZ,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 123275-01
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

FLOYD C. RICHARDSON,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 123299-01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01.04.12
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

~~Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.~~ Kirsten Kneis
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FLOYD C. RICHARDSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123299/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 1052
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86868

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LOTTIE BRANDT, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ADOLF W. BRANDT,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 123699-01
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 3, 2012
New York, New York

Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100052/02

Peter Finnegan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/29/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21974

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2012

4822063v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MARY BRUNO Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN V. BRUNO,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 100054/02
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PAVON, LOUIS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100302/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100302/02
120430/01

Louis Pavon

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/4/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.20891

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823386v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES F. HENDRICKSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100822/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86826

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

VINCENT J. GUIDO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101057/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86824

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN ESPOSITO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101058/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86818

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101105/02
120432/01

Alberto Hidalgo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/29/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.20813

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823028v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LINDA MARIE NICHOLAS, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN NICHOLAS,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 101247-02
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01·04·12
New York, New York

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

~~Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.~~ Kirsten Kneis
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALEX T. MILLER and GLADYS M. MILLER

Index No. 101286/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-3, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Ben DARCHE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Alex T. Miller and Gladys M. Miller
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

NOLL, ANDREW J. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101477/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101477/02

Andrew J. Noll

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/4/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.22

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823233v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EMMA, EDMUND R. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101479/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101479/02

Edmund R. Emma

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/27/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.22060

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4822033v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
EDNA WREN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE WREN,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 101480-02
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN D. GRAHAM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101679/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86822

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RUTH E. WHIDDEN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ROBERT M. WHIDDEN, and RUTH E. WHIDDEN, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 102003-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
JOHN M. ROSH, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 102168-02 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9.04.12
New York, New York

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LOURIC, PETAR

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
102171/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102171/02

Peter Louric

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2179

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823199v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROSELIA OJEDA and MICHELLE CAPO, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of FELIX OJEDA, and ROSELIA OJEDA, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No. 102508-02
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01·04·12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102837/02

John J. O'Brien

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/22/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822200v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102837/02

John J. O'Brien

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Michael Favale
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.22198

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823236v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John J. O'Brien	Index No: 102837/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
\\ \\ , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John J. O'Brien	Index No: 102837/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
\\ \\ , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JAMES J. CARR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102946/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86806

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEWIS GILPATRICK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103057/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86821

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HENRIETTA BARRY, as Executrix for the Estate of HOWARD J. BARRY, and HENRIETTA BARRY, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 103060-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S. INC, et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 3, 2012
New York, New York

Danny Kraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103375/02
120396/01

Mark D. Ashton

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21593

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4821931v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RALPH VINCENT and LINDA VINCENT,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No. 103443-02
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01·04·12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103447/02

Frank T. Weber

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/5/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4823490v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Weber, Frank T. (Dec.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103447/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICK MYERS and MARYANN MYERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 02/103452

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
January 3, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103452/02

Patrick Myers

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 3, 2012

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 18 2012 FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4823231v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103452/02

Patrick Myers

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AT&T CORP., incorrectly s/h/a "AT&T",** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AT&T CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AT&T CORP.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AT&T CORP.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05175.00001

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823240v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICK MYERS and MARYANN MYERS

Index No. 103452/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 3, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICK MYERS and MARYANN MYERS

Index No. 103452/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 3, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 178

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICK MYERS and MARYANN MYERS

Index No. 103452/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 3, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WASHINGTON, ASBURY CLARENCE (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103454/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103454/02

Asbury Clarence Washington

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/5/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823484v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROSCOE ROWELL, JR.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 103751-02
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01.04.12
New York, New York

Daniel Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

~~Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.~~ Kirsten Knels
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROSCOE E. ROWELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103751/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86871

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GLINOS, ALEX (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103750/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103750/02

Alex Glinos

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/29/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.222[illegible]

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823002v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

PHILLIP A. RETAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 103869/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01·04·12
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

~~Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.~~ Kirsten Kneis
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

PHILIP A. RETAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103869/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86865

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103870/2002

DONALD M. TAYLOR

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 01.17.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier - Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD J. TAYLOR and ADELAIDE M. TAYLOR

Index No. 103871/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD J. TAYLOR and ADELAIDE M. TAYLOR

Index No. 103871/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LEO ROOSEVELT WILSON, SR.,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No: 104030-02
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104038/02

Philip Friedrich

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.22330

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1 8 2012

4822199v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MARTHA COVIER, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of WALLACE G. COVIER,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 104040-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/5/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CALDERONE, FRANK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No

104103/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5/12, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104103/02
120392/01

Frank Calderone

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21549

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822001v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104165/02

John A. Hynes

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/16/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0340

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104521/02
120399/01

Richard L. Bossey

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/14/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21243

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4821997v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES P. CALKA and SHEILA CALKA

Index No. 105167/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES P. CALKA and SHEILA CALKA

Index No. 105167/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES P. CALKA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105167/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88122

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN A. REINARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105173/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86863

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER and CAROL PULVERMACHER, Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No: 105177-02
A.C. AND S., INC., et al., Defendants.	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01.04.12
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANCESCO GRILLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105283/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86823

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERICH SZILLUS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105562

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
12-28, 2011

By: _____
Dana M Northcott, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

13688781.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERICH SZILLUS and MARTHA SZILLUS

Index No. 105562/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: Jan 10, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERICH SZILLUS and MARTHA SZILLUS

Index No. 105562/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: Jan 10, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HOGAN, ELIZABETH (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105564/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105564/02

Elizabeth Hogan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.22309

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4823039v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105713/02
112587/02

Howard H. Haberer

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26914

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HABERER, HOWARD

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105713/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRENCH, CHARLES E.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105716/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105716/02
111684/02

Charles E. French

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/29/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26818

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822088v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LEONARD COSTELLO, as Executor for the Estate of FRANK J. COSTELLO,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 105784-02
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/12/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BLACK, HENRY CALEB

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105871/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105871/02
109007/02

Henry Caleb Black

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.24924

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4821969v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. GALINSKY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106056/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86820

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WALKER SR., GEORGE A.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106457/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106457/02
109483/02

George A. Walker, Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/11

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.24867

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823478v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:

ROLAND E. LA DIEU, SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Index No.: 106508/02

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/11/12
New York, New York

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By:
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Roland E. La Dieu, Sr and Jeanne La Dieu

By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

{01078467.DOCX }
655112v

8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES, DAVE (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106508/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

TINE, SALVATORE (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106508/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106508/02
110790/02

Roland E. La Dieu Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1\6\12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00920

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822173v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
EDWARD SAMSON,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 106510-02
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/17/12
New York, New York

Peter Tambini, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106578/02
112736/02

Carl Cucco, Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/15/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2[illegible]862

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4822021v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106578/02
112701/02

Francis Rokitka

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/15/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26888

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4823400v.1

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106580/02
113244/02

Dave James

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26626

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823105v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
AMY L. TRIMM, as Executrix for the Estate of HARRY W. TRIMM, and LINDA TRIMM, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 106580-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/6/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106581/02
113932/02

Guy Marks

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/16/11

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28771

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823222v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GUYS MARKS

Index No. 106581/02
113932/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-17, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GUY MARKS

Index No. 106581/02
113932/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11.17, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
1/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 113793/02 and 106690/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PEGGY LOFTON	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/12/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3591

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6615-02

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106694/02

Morris Behar

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26755

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4821965v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PEGGY LOFTON

Index No. 106690/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec 8, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PEGGY LOFTON

Index No. 106690/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec 8, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BEHAR, MORRIS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106694/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN GENTILE

~~Index No.~~ 11877/02
106695/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN GENTILE

Index No. 11877/02
106695/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERIC E. DREIMILLER

Index No. 106695/02
118980/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106695/02
118970/02

Albert Luisa

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/4/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25286

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2012

4823203v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERIC E. DREIMILLER

Index No. 106695/02;
118980/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106706/02
114876/02

Sam R. Mogavero

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/4/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.07080

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4823227v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106706/02
114885/02

Salvatore Figliolia

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27074

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4822053v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHELE PIZZUTO, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN W. MAHNKEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 106706/02, 114870/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17, 2012

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John W. Mahnken
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler JAN 18 2012

2571-653

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106706/02
114870/02

John W. Mahnken

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27783

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MOGAVERO, SAM R.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106706/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106708/02
116074/02

Ralph A. Conte

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/14/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27117

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822014v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106708-02
116074-02

Ralph Conte

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fornell
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LAZORE, EDWARD

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106808/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106808/02
110586/02

Edward Lazore

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05[illegible].00[illegible]

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. ~~Sherry~~ K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823149v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

VALONE, THOMAS H.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106808/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FILED
2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE E. MEIROSE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 106914/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George E. Meirose
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 106915/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lawrence W. Luttrell
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

JAN 18 2012

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106964/02
112094/02

John G. Christon

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25067

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822009v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTON, JOHN G. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106964/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER W. WILSNACK

Index No. 107001/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: January 3, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER W. WILSNACK

Index No. 107001/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: January 3, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JACOB, FREDERICK W.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107003/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARLENE PANCZAK as Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD P. SITAREK,

Index No. 107004/02
115173/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: Dec. 20, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARLENE PANCZAK as Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD P. SITAREK,

Index No. 107004/02
115173/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: Dec 20, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILTON JACOBS

Index No. 107005-02
113567-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec. 8, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILTON JACOBS

Index No. 107005-02
113567-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec. 8, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HUDSON, JOHN J.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107006/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 19 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN J. HUDSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107006/02, 117991/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17, 2012

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John J. Hudson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

2571-562

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William F. Law	Index No: 107006/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11\12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107006/02
117991/02

John J. Hudson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2[illegible]98

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823041v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107006/02
117983/02

James A. Fretto

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/15/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27490

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822193v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107007/02
115354/02

Harvey Fiala

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/15/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25182

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822047v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107007/02
115360/02

Michael A. Corvino

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/27/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25176

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822017v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107007/02
115353/02

Conrad Fromm

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/29/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25183

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822994v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107007/02
115349/02

Kenneth R. Halleran

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10
Our File No. 05335.25187

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823020v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM P. O'MEARA

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 107098/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William P. O'Meara
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WILLIAM T. GOOLEY and KATHLEEN GOOLEY,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 107102-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Dec 25, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Dane M. Northcutt, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL BISOGNA

Index No. 107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL BISOGNO

Index No. 107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 107221/02
MERLE G. BURGIN AND MARYLOU BURGIN against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012 New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Merle G. Burgin and Marylou Burgin
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

TMc:CC(jpk)
1/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107403/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: EDWARD J. STUFANO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/12/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-8029-02

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLENN R. CARLISLE

Index No. 107403/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLENN R. CARLISLE

Index No. 107403/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 107761/02

This Document Relates to:
Harold J. Dean, as Executor for the Estate of Rose Priscilla Dean,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/10, 2011

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harold J. Dean, as Executor for the Estate of Rose Priscilla Dean
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-2026

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Harold J. Dean, as Executor for the Estate of Rose Priscilla Dean,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 107761/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/20, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harold J. Dean, as Executor for the Estate of Rose Priscilla Dean
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-7381

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM M. KOPCHO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 108105/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William M. Kopcho
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
	NYCAL
KENNETH H. HELLER and MARY HELLER,	I.A.S. Part 30
	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
	Index No. 108157-02
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/27/11
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212)~~ 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ALFRED J. KOPERA

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 108872/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alfred J. Kopera
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 108872/02
This Document Relates to: Alfred J. Kopera	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1\12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Alfred J. Kopera	Index No: 108872/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
\\\2 , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
DONALD MCEVOY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 108877/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald McEvoy
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3010

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Donald McEvoy and Marilyn McEvoy,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 108877/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
January 3, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald McEvoy and Marilyn McEvoy
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-679

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

VANSTON, JOHN (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
108980/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108980/02
105871/02

John Vanston

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/5/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.24950

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

1 8 2012

4823462v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LEONARD COSTELLO, as Executor for the Estate of FRANK J. COSTELLO,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 109943-02
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/12/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
SIDNEY S. LOEB

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 109064/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Sidney S. Loeb
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110054/02
105875/02

Ronald S. Tanner

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/8/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.24911

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

TANNER, RONALD S.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110054/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
MAHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 19 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL M. MARQUARDT and CAROL MARQUARDT

Index No. 110269/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1 17, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINO BORG

Index No. 110269/02
126810/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Nov. 14, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JA 2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINO BORG

Index No. 110269/02;
126810/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/14, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JAMES O. HUGHES and EVANGELINE HUGHES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 110296-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 3, 2012
New York, New York

Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110524/02
106808/02

Thomas H. Valone

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/5/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823455v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110714/02
107003/02

Frederick W. Jacob

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2726

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823093v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110717/02

This Document Relates to:
Stanley J. Collesano, as Administrator for the Estate of Joseph S. Collesano,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
January 3, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stanley J. Collesano, as Administrator for the Estate of Joseph S. Collesano
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3040

So Ordered: JAN 18 2012

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110775/02
106508/02

Salvatore Tine

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/5/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25460

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4823438v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

This Document Relates To: ROLAND E. LA DIEU, SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU, et al., Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al., Defendant(s).	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY** Index No.: 110790/02

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/11/12
New York, New York

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By:
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Roland E. La Dieu, Sr and Jeanne La Dieu

By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

{01078467.DOCX }
655112v

JAN 18 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
1/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106508/02 and 110790/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROBERT LA DIEU, SR.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/12/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Our File No
S-5907-02

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROLAND E. LA DIEU SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU

Index No. 110790/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12-12, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROLAND E. LA DIEU SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU

Index No. 110790/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services
Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner
Corporation Marshall, Dennehey,
Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROLAND E. LA DIEU SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU

Index No. 110790/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12-12, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JAMES A. ROBINETT

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110830/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Robinett
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110843/02
106508/02

Herbert L. Frietsch

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/30/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25434

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822206v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 111308/02

This Document Relates to:
Joan M. Bishop, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of George Henry Bishop,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

12/30/2011

Samuel M. Meitowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joan M. Bishop, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of George Henry Bishop
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3490

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111326/02
106999/02
118344/03

Raymond Joseph Jablonski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/3/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30631

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823085v.1

536.12405/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: KARL E. NEUBERT, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 111823/02 100679/07 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RYDAK, STANLEY (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
112561/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB 1 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112561/02
105713/02

Stanley Ryndak

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/4/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26936

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

1 8 2012

4823416v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILSON, MICHAEL H.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
112697/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112697/02
106578/02

Michael H. Wilson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/5/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26902

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4823524v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112800/02

This Document Relates to:
Richard K. Geary (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/10, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard K. Geary (Deceased)
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3174

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
RAYMOND F. WEBER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112954/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Raymond F. Weber
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MERLE G. BURGIN AND MARYLOU BURGIN against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 113144/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012
New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Merle G. Burgin and Marylou Burgin
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES J. MAHFOUD

Index No. 1132279/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES J. MAHFOUD

Index No. 1132279/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-29, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-113280
JAMES J. SEBASTIANI AND JUDY SEBASTIANI against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012
New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
James J. Sebastiani and Judy Sebastiani
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM A. MATICE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 113480/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William A. Matice
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
STEPHEN A. MANDELL

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 113483/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stephen A. Mandell
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2793

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Stephen A. Mandell,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 113483/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/17, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stephen A. Mandell
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2793

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEPHANIE I. VONDRAK, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF RALPH COLON, AND ISAU COLON, INDIVIDUALLY against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 02-113566 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012
New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Stephanie I. Vondrak, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of Ralph Colon,
and Isau Colon, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOSEPH J. LAUER, JR., as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 113567-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/17/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

536.13305/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: SIDNEY L. BROWN, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 113731/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 1 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SIDNEY L. BROWN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113731/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87492

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD BROWN as Personal Representative for the Estate of SIDNEY L. BROWN and JUDITH BROWN Individually

Index No. 113731/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD BROWN as Personal Representative for the Estate of SIDNEY L. BROWN and JUDITH BROWN Individually

Index No. 113731/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113731/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

SIDNEY L. BROWN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
DONALD BROWN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of SIDNEY L. BROWN and JUDITH BROWN, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 113731-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/6/12
New York, New York

1/6/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

536.13311/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH E. CARR, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 114016/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

JAN 18 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114016/02

Joseph E. Carr

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/8/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4725975v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Alton A. Hakes,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 114072/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/4, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alton A. Hakes
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHARON BAIOCCO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. BAIOCCO SR.

Index No. 114562/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/3/12, ~~2011~~

Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHARON BAIOCCO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. BAIOCCO SR.

Index No. 114562/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/3/12, ~~2011~~

Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Sarrell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

536.13349/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN J. MONAGHAN, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 114568/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, Individually and PAUL A. MONAGHAN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOHN J. MONAGHAN, and VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN

Index No. 114568/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, Individually and PAUL A. MONAGHAN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOHN J. MONAGHAN, and VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN

Index No. 114568/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN J. MONAGHAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114568/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87522

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 114568/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN J. MONAGHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Carcathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BO, ED K. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114898/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114898/02
106706/02

Ed K. Bo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/27/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27059

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4821973v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HALLERAN, KENNETH R. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115349/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115157/02

Robert J. Lydecker

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/15/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25387

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823208v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115510/02
106709/02

Warren White

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27206

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4823522v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AGNES CESTARE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116052/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87496

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH CESTARE as Proposed Executor for the Estate of AGNES CESTARE

Index No. 116052/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH CESTARE as Proposed Executor for the Estate of AGNES CESTARE

Index No. 116052/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 116052/02

This Document Relates to:
Ralph Cestare, as Executor for the Estate of Agnes Cestare,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
January 3, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ralph Cestare, as Executor for the Estate of Agnes Cestare
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-624

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

RALPH CESTARE, as Executor for the Estate of AGNES CESTARE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 116052-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 6, 2012
New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BECKSTED, KARL H. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
116082/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
15, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116082/02
106708/02

Karl H. Becksted

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27109

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4821941v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FARNHAM, GARY L.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
117982/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117982/02
107006/02

Gary L. Farmham

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/28/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27489

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4822039v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT H. LA GOE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 117995/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert H. La Goe
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084.2728

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM F. LAW

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 117996/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William F. Law
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 1108[illegible]-1

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 118004/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lawrence D. Rookey
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11034-1

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DIANE J. WILSON, as Administrarix for the Estate of CHARLES J. WILSON and DIANE J. WILSON, Individually

Index No. 118277/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DIANE J. WILSON, as Administrarix for the Estate of CHARLES J. WILSON and DIANE J. WILSON, Individually

Index No. 118277/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES J. WILSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118277/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87538

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 118277/02

This Document Relates to:
Diane J. Wilson, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Charles J. Wilson,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
January 3, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Diane J. Wilson, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Charles J. Wilson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-2385

So Ordered: _____ JAN 18 2012
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
DIANE J. WILSON, Individually and as the Administratrix for the Estate of CHARLES J. WILSON,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 118277-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 9th, 2012
New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN A. GENTILE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 118978/2002
106695/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 01.17.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier - Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

JAN 18 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118978/02
106695/02

Federico Focella

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/11

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.05218

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4822073v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DREIMILLER, ERIC E.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
118980/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107102/02; 119086/02

DANIEL BISOGNO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

1688489

JAN 18 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
1/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107102/02 and 119086/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DANIEL BISOGNO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/12/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Our File No
S-7381-02

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WILLIAM T. GOOLEY and KATHLEEN GOOLEY,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 119088-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Dec 13 2011
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Dana M. Northcutt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
LAWRENCE STERN

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119111/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lawrence Stern
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3122

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Diane Stern, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Lawrence Stern,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 119111/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/10, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Diane Stern, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Lawrence Stern
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, and CAROLE BALDUCCI, Individually,

Index No. 119783/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, and CAROLE BALDUCCI, Individually,

Index No. 119783/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
William J. Berton and Gloria Berton,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 119810/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/4, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
William J. Berton and Gloria Berton
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6544

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____ JAN 18 2012
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JAMES J. SEBASTIANI

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 120081/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James J. Sebastiani
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
James Sebastiani,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.:120081/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James Sebastiani
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754 11423

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JAMES J. SEBASTIANI AND JUDY SEBASTIANI against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 120081/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012
New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
James J. Sebastiani and Judy Sebastiani
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JA[illegible] 2

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

536.14581/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: CHARLES JOHNSON, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 121477/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121775/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES A. BUCHHOLZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS BUCHHOLZ as Administrator for the Estate of CHARLES BUCHHOLZ and KAREN SVOBODA as Administratrix for the Estate of EVA BUCHHOLZ, Individually,

Index No. 121775/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Nassau Suffolk Lumber & Supply Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Nassau Suffolk Lumber & Supply Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Nassau Suffolk Lumber & Supply Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12\16, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Nassau Suffolk Lumber & Supply Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 121910/02
Andrew Kornacki	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/12, 2012

1/12/2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 121910/02
This Document Relates to: Andrew Kornacki	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/12, 2012

1/12/2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Heirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW KORNACKI and LUANA KORNACKI

Index No. 121910/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-9 ~~2011~~ 2012

12/30/2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg Samuel Meirowitz
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW KORNACKI and LUANA KORNACKI

Index No. 121910/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-9 ~~, 2011~~ 2012

12/30/2011
Samuel Meirowitz

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.14483/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: GEORGE E. ALLEN, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 122052/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 19 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM S. ALLEN as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE E. ALLEN

Index No. 122052/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE E. ALLEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122052/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood , Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87488

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM S. ALLEN as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE E. ALLEN

Index No. 122052/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 122052/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE E. ALLEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

536.14805/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH J. LUNGA, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 123165/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 123970/02

This Document Relates to:
John Quattrocchi, as Administrator for the Estate of Damiano Quattrocchi,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/27, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Quattrocchi, as Administrator for the Estate of Damiano Quattrocchi
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-504

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

536.14850/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 123970/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 13 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 02/124133
JACK DEMPSEY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Jack Dempsey was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 22, 2011

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173
Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

By: _____
Mark Bibro, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue – 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15225/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 124444/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 19 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDNA SHARGEL, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of SOL SHARGEL

Index No. 124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDNA SHARGEL, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of SOL SHARGEL

Index No. 124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 124444/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 124444/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

SOL SHARGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin B. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES R. COSBEY, as Executor of the Estate of JOAN M. COSBEY and CHARLES R. COSBEY, Individually

Index No. 124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES R. COSBEY, as Executor of the Estate of JOAN M. COSBEY and CHARLES R. COSBEY, Individually

Index No. 124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 117[illegible]

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO

Index No. 124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 124444/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOAN M. COSBEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SOL SHARGEL,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 124444-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/17/12
New York, New York

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH L. NIXON and FREDA NIXON

Index No. 124487/02
100455/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH L. NIXON and FREDA NIXON

Index No. 124487/02
100455/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

536.15112/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN MURRAY, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 125213/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN MURRAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 125213/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87523

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 125907/02

This Document Relates to:
Arlene Rush, as Executrix for the Estate of William J. Swanson,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/27, 2011

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Arlene Rush, as Executrix for the Estate of William J. Swanson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3394

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM J. SWANSON

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 125907/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William J. Swanson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2758

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEPHANIE I. VONDRAK, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF RALPH COLON, AND ISAU COLON, INDIVIDUALLY against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 02-126186 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012
New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Stephanie I. Vondrak, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of Ralph Colon,
and Isau Colon, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFIC
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
MONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENNIS LADUE and PATSY LADUE

Index No. 126291/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-17, 2012

1/13/2012
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENNIS LADUE and PATSY LADUE

Index No. 126291/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-17, 2012

1/13/2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD M. LADUE and PATSY LADUE

Index No. 126291/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Donald M. Ladue, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

1/13/2012

Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED DIFRANCO

Index No. 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-17, 2012

1/12/2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED DIFRANCO

Index No. 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-17, 2012

1/12/2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK A. PORTA

Index No. 126686/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/10/11, 2011

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND M. NODELL

Index No. 126686/02
104026/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-17, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND M. NODELL

Index No. 126686/02
104026/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-17, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK A. PORTA

Index No. 126686/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/10, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
CLINTON A. MCCLOUD

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 126733/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Clinton A. McCloud
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2752

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 126733/02

This Document Relates to:
Cheryl Nejman, as Administratrix for the Estate of Clinton A. McCloud Jr.,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/17, 2011

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Cheryl Nejman, as Administratrix for the Estate of Clinton A. McCloud Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-2433

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
PAUL W. KRUG

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 126791/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Paul W. Krug
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. BLASO

Index No. 126937/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/16, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. BLASO

Index No. 126937/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/16, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 127317/02

This Document Relates to:
Joseph J. Lauer, as Administrator for the Estate of Joseph J. Lauer Sr.,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/12, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph J. Lauer, as Administrator for the Estate of Joseph J. Lauer Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

536.13294/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR., Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 127317/02 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOSEPH J. LAUER, JR., as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 127317-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/17/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 127317/02 &
113567/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87514

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ JAN 18 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. LAUER, JR. as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.

Index No. 127317/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. LAUER, JR. as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.

Index No. 127317/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
DONALD W. RIDDELL

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 127345/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald W. Riddell
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2796

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LORETO PAPALIA,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

ERICSSON, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/127449

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ERICSSON, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ERICSSON, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ERICSSON, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/6/12

Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Ericsson, Inc.
Wilson Elser Moskowitz
Edelman & Dicker, LLP.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
(212) 490-3000

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. HASSLER

Index No. 127886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/10, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. HASSLER

Index No. 127886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/10, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. RYAN, JR. and CAROLYN RYAN

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/19, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. RYAN, JR. and CAROLYN RYAN

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/19, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUSAN MCSPEDON

Index No. 100234/03
116288/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: _____, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUSAN MCSPEDON

Index No. 100234/03
116288/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: _____, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALICE ELIA, as Personal Representative for the Estate of DOMINIC ELIA and ALICE ELIA, Individually

Index No. 100331/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALICE ELIA, as Personal Representative for the Estate of DOMINIC ELIA and ALICE ELIA, Individually

Index No. 100331/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

536.15581/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DOMINIC ELIA, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100331/03 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ALICE ELIA, as Personal Representative for the Estate of DOMINIC ELIA and ALICE ELIA, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 100331-03
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/12/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 100331/03

This Document Relates to:
Alice Elia, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Dominic Elia,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/12 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alice Elia, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Dominic Elia
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1362

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINIC ELIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100331/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87502

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100331/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

DOMINIC ELIA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/5, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 105144/03; 100731/03
DOMINICK SODANO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/27, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

1691283

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK CANALE AND ANTOINETTE CANALE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Parks, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Canale, Frank
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

324-7232A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK CANALE AND ANTOINETTE CANALE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CANALE, FRANK
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

2571-1664

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK CANALE AND ANTOINETTE CANALE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Canale, Frank
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

1235-10196

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE

Index No. 100741/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/9/12, ~~2011~~

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 100741-03
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/12/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE

Index No. 100741/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/9/12, ~~2011~~

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK CANALE AND ANTOINETTE CANALE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
01/17, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CANALE, FRANK
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

2383-26208N

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK CANALE AND ANTOINETTE CANALE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CANALE, FRANK
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

1122-10734

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100753/03
100021/01

Harry A. Burton Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/11/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.16264

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4774957v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100755/03
100281/01

Robert J. Des Chenes

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.15965

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4775046v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100769/03

Richard Janiszewski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/10/2

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.07368

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

4775146v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100770/03
107190/01

James E. Wilson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.15585

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4777014v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100782/03
106440/01
119385/00

Jack Pollock

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/12

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.15352

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4776940v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100782/03
106181/01
119373/00

Leslie Charles Payne

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/11/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.05530

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4776937v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER and CAROL PULVERMACHER, Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No: 100780-03
A.C. AND S., INC., et al., Defendants.	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 01.04.12
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

536.15226/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOAN M. COSBEY, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 101129/03 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 101129/03

This Document Relates to:
Charles R. Cosbey, Individually and as Executor for the Estate of Joan M. Cosbey,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/7, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles R. Cosbey, Individually and as Executor for the Estate of Joan M. Cosbey
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3026

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOAN M. COSBEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 101129/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87499

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCES MIGNONE, Individually and ANTHONY J. MIGNONE JR., as Executor for the Estate of ANTHONY J. MIGNONE SR.

Index No. 101955/03
124339/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCES MIGNONE, Individually and ANTHONY J. MIGNONE JR., as Executor for the Estate of ANTHONY J. MIGNONE SR.

Index No. 101955/03
124339/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 1788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY J. MIGNONE, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101955/03 & 124339/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.81745

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

536.15226/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JACK NIELSEN, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 101958/03 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 3 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JULIAN L. ROBERTS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101965/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87530

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

536.15225/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHANNES DeVALK, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 103181/03 (September 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1/9/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SOL SHARGEL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. ~~103185/03 &~~
124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87532

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

TERRENCE WALSH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103227/03 &
124441/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87537

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ANN WALSH, as Administratrix for the Estate of TERRENCE WALSH, and ANN WALSH, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 103227-03
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/17/12
New York, New York

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANNE WALSH, as Administratrix for the Estate of TERRENCE WALSH, and ANNE WALSH, Individually

Index No. 103227/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANNE WALSH, as Administratrix for the Estate of TERRENCE WALSH, and ANNE WALSH, Individually

Index No. 103227/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103509/03
126681/02

Samuel Osborne

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan 9, 2012

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29131

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAN 18 2012

4865517v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK SODANO and JUDITH SODANO

Index No. 105144/03
100731/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/18, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK SODANO and JUDITH SODANO

Index No. 105144/03
100731/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/18, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DOMINICK SODANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: ~~105144/03~~ & 100731/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 1052
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90002

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP A. BENEDETTO and LOIS A. BENEDETTO

Index No. 111911/03
100864/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

JAN 18 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP A. BENEDETTO and LOIS A. BENEDETTO

Index No. 111911/03
100864/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/27, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT M. KENNEY and CHRISTINE KENNEY,

Index No. 112033/03
111590/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/28, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JABLONSKI, RAYMOND JOSEPH (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
118344/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1/5, 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No. 102222/04**
EDWARD BYRNES, SR.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 17, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward Byrnes, Sr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	:	Index No.: 04-109644
JOSEPH LENZ	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Joseph Lenz was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 22, 2011

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173
Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

By: _____
Mark Bibro, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue – 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
RONALD G. PEPPERDAY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 111254/04

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald G. Pepperday
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-174

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Ronald G. Pepperday,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 111254/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

12/30/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald G. Pepperday
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4345

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ALFRED E. SMITH

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 113616/04

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alfred E. Smith
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-231

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 116958-05
MERLE G. BURGIN AND MARYLOU BURGIN against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012
New York, New York

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Merle G. Burgin and Marylou Burgin
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 116958/05

This Document Relates to:
Gerald W. Burgin, as Executor for the Estate of Merle G. Burgin,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/27, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gerald W. Burgin, as Executor for the Estate of Merle G. Burgin
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5137

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WHITE and EILEEN WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 06/107014
91/25658
88-CV-6678

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
Dec. 22, 2011

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:

MICHAEL FLAHERTY AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF EDWIN H. FLAHERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

Index No.: 107845/06

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

1/11 ~~2011~~ 2012

By: Mark Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS LLC
360 Lexington Ave.
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

RECEIVED
2012 JAN 11 A 10:29
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

18 2012

{01068673.DOC }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VICTOR TORINO and MARY ANN TORINO

Index No. 107848/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/23, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VICTOR TORINO and MARY ANN TORINO

Index No. 107848/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/23, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ERIK JACOBS, ESQ
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107845/06

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

EDWIN FLAHERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/16, 2012
New York, New York

Mark Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIRGINIA M. NEUBERT, as Administratix for the Estate of KARL E. NUEBERT, and VIRGINIA M. NEUBERT, Individually

Index No. 100679/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 18 2012 FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIRGINIA M. NEUBERT, as Administratix for the Estate of KARL E. NUEBERT, and VIRGINIA M. NEUBERT, Individually

Index No. 100679/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 100679/07

This Document Relates to:
Virginia M. Neubert, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Karl E. Neubert,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

12/30/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Virginia M. Neubert, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Karl E. Neubert
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5424

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102114/07
107817/99

James D. Lanigan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/11/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05135.06553

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4776828v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILTON BURCKHALTER

Index No. 108386/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-3, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ BEN DARCHE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Milton Burckhalter
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ANDREW S. NEER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 116798/07

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Andrew S. Neer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1412

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190100/08

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN WILLIAMS HIS WIFE

Plaintiff(s)

vs

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al

Defendant(s)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER FOR WOOLSULATE CORPORATION ONLY

WHEREFORE, defendant, WOOLSULATE CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, WOOLSULATE CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against, WOOLSULATE CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 5, 2012

Stephen S. Davie, Esq.
MACKENZIE HUGHES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
101 South Salina Street
Syracuse, NY 13202

Kush Shukla, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
110 William Street 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JAN 18 2012

{M0161333.1 }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA POWELL and RONALD E. POWELL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCT CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190198/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Fay, Spofford & Thorndike of New York, Inc., f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fay, Spofford & Thorndike of New York, Inc., f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fay, Spofford & Thorndike of New York, Inc., f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17/2012

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Fay, Spofford & Thorndike of New York, Inc.,
f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patricia Powell and Ronald E. Powell
FANNING & McCRORIE, P.C.
One Penn Plaza, Suite 5315
New York, New York 10119
(212) 957-0700

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
530-0076

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190267/10

Cordell J. Bellach

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION s/h/a TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to TODD COMBUSTION EQUIPMENT, INC. (herein after, "TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/20/11

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
Early & Strauss, L.L.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Carrier Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 04693.00384

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4751328v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
ISRAEL SASONI and JANET SASONI,

Plaintiff,

v.

AIR AND LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al,

Defendants.
----------X

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190327/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT FISHER SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL INC.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Fisher Scientific International Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant Fisher Scientific International Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Defendant Fisher Scientific International Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 30, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
1-3-12

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500

MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Fisher Scientific International Inc.
245 Park Avenue 27th Floor
New York, NY 10167
212-609-6812

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER

JAN 18 2012

MEI 12614906v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190351/10

ANTHONY CORINO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/9/2012

Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, PC 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JAN 18 2012

1695030

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190393/10

Edwin Pacheco and Ramona Pacheco

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/20/11

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00015

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4573169.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190008/11

Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/20/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 10557.00925

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4710820v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190028/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGY, INC. f/k/a WATTS INDUSTRIES, INC. ("WATTS") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant WATTS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, WATTS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
1-5, 2012

Chris Romanelli Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER GIANGASPRO AND ELIZABETH GIANGASPRO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190052/11, 120394/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-9, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Giangaspro, Peter
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

2571-1139

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD SZAMATULSKI

Index No. 190079/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 12/16, 2011

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Andrew Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190114/11

Walter D. Guthrie and Lona Guthrie

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/21/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 10557.00996

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4710819v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 1900115/11

RICHARD G. SADLOWSKI and MARY JOAN SADLOWSKI ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
1-16-12

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Arlene Gharabeigie, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

SETENAY ITEZ

Index No.: 190139/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan 6, 2012

Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Helen A McGowan

Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

1695635

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190140/11

George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/21/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 10557.00983

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4710823v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Jahangir Dehkordi

Index No: 190147/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/22/11

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brian Early Esq.
Early, Lucarelli, Sweeney & Strauss
Attorney for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.17945

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

J.S.C.

4669178v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190151/11

JOHN ALIPRANTIS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 9, 2012

Adam Cooper, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JAN 18 2012

1695030

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY ADLER JR. and RITA ADLER,

Index No. 190181/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc - NY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc - NY, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc - NY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 12/5, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc - NY
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY ADLER JR. and RITA ADLER,

Index No. 190181/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/5, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190223/11

Robert N. Wexler and Betsy Wexler

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/23/11

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00056

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4785370v.1

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 190258-11

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Doreen Morrison v. Air and Liquid
Systems Corporation et al.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. "BUFFALO PUMPS" by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/11/12
New York, New York

John Howarth, Esquire
Keith Babula, Esquire
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 1005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air and Liquid Systems Corp., as successor
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc

Joseph Williams, Esquire
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190261/11

Maxwell Deer and Carolyn Deer

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9/2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No: 07415.00015

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4778098v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190269/11

Harley N. Mason and Berta Mason

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **UNION PUMP COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **UNION PUMP COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **UNION PUMP COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/9/12

Adam Cooper, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
UNION PUMP COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 07723.00056

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4863355v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HARLEY N. MASON and BERTA MASON,

Plaintiffs,

- against –

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190269-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter **"ELECTROLUX"**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/17, 2012

~~Adam Cooper, Esq.~~ DANIEL WASSERBERG
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060
Our File No.: 089-636 GSH

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA and MARIE TRANFAGLIA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 190270/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 30, 2011

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

13520404.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA and
MARIE TRANFAGLIA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190270/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/30, 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **CATERPILLAR, INC.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.92170

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.

ATTY: JMS/KML

FILE #: 1028.92170

DATE REC'D: 1-9-12

POST MARK: 1-6-12

DOCKET ☐ DIARY ☐

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190293/11

Charles L. Chidester

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/22/11

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, L.L.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 11586.00008

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No: 190299/11

----------x

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE W. SMITH AND EDNA SMITH, v.
AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CEMEX, INC. (Improperly Named as Successor to Southdown Inc. and Moore-McCormack Lines, Inc.)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CEMEX, INC. (Improperly Named as Successor to Southdown, Inc. and Moore-McCormack Lines, Inc.)** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CEMEX, INC. (Improperly Named as Successor to Southdown Inc. and Moore-McCormack Lines, Inc.)** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2011

David Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Post Office Address
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

James B. Daniels, Esq.
BUDD LARNER, P.C.
Attorney for Defendant
150 John F. Kennedy Parkway
Short Hills, NJ
(973) 379-4800

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

868647

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NATALIE SUSINO

Index No. 190303/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: Jan 6, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003
a. BRANDIS

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- x
NATALE SUSINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendants
- -x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.:
190303-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Weyerhaeuser Company, sued herein as "Weyerhaeuser Co.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: December 19, 2011
Signed by Plaintiff: Dec 20, 2011

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 681-1575

Christopher Browne, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of Americas, 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NATALE SUSINO,

Index No.: 190303/11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
JAN 6 2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Tomachukwu N. Acholonu, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190303/11

Natale Susino

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a "CONWED CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Wood Conversion Co." (hereinafter "CONWED CORPORATION")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jan 6, 2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Conwed Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07415.00001

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4815650v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK

Index No. 190319/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1-9 ~~2011~~ 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK

Index No. 190319/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 1-9, ~~2011~~ 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK, Plaintiffs, -v- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190319-11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant Linde LLC, formerly known as The BOC Group, Inc. and/or Airco, Inc. (collectively, "BOC") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BOC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BOC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 31, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: _____
Morae Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK, Plaintiffs, -v- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190319-11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant Genlyte Thomas Group, LLC, successor by merger to Lightolier, Inc., hereinafter ("Lightolier") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lightolier with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lightolier be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 31, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: _____
Morae Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK, Plaintiffs, -v- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190319-11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. ("Lennox") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lennox with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 21, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: _____
Morae Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

WAFIK TAWFIK

Index No.: 190327/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-3-12

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Helen A. McGowan
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JAN 18 2012

1695635

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 190327/2011**
WAFIK TAWFIK and MONA TAWFIK	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1-9, 2012

Ben Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS NEUER

Index No. 190335/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: November 15, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

FEB - 2 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

SAM ANGELO

Index No.: 190338/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/17/2012

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Helen A. McGowan
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

1695635

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SAM ANGELO and NORMA ANGELO,

Index No. 190338/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant , BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12-12, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner")Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190370/11

This Document Relates to:
Murray Blonder and Benita Blonder,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
Dec. 19, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Murray Blonder and Benita Blonder
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6567

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190376/11

Robert Marchesona and Rita Marchesona

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/23/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4801732v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190393/11

John J. Cotter and Marge Cotter

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/19/11

Benjamine Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00046

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4835701v.1

JAN 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190393/11

John J. Cotter and Marge Cotter

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS (hereinafter CARRIER CORPORATION)**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/20/11

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, L.L.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.01094

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4835725v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190408/11

Kenneth Eggenberger and Lila Eggenberger

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/21/11

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.01100

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

4840756v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Francis Goes and Senta Goes

Index No: 190413/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17/11

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00103

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4835732v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
SCOTT DEWITT,

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.:190429/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1-3, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Scott Dewitt
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:11084-3155

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

1 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190438/11

MYRIAM GALEANO, Individually and as Proposed Executrix of the Estate of MANUEL GALEANO, Deceased.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/23/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
FEB - 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 18 2012

v.1