

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
PHILIP THIBODEAU,

Index No. 12-190436

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing  
Co., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, 3M Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, 3M Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, 3M Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1116 2014  


~~Brendan Tully, Esq.~~ Patrick Timmins  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022



~~Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.~~  
**LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE &  
DISIPIO**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
3M Company  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 319-6898

SO ORDERED:   
Honorable Steven Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

New York Asbestos  
Litigation (NYCAL)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

Index No.:  
190235/2013

-----X  
BRYAN HOCKLER,

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION  
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/16/2014, 2014

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE  
& DiSIPIO

LEVY PHILLIPS &  
KONIGSBERG, LLP

By:   
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.  
TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC.  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 319-6898

By:   
Holly Peterson, Esq.  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Our File No.: 08517-1301894  
Attorneys for Defendant

SO-ORDERED: 

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106915/99

EDWARD J. DEGEORGIS,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~August~~ September 17, 2013, 2013

  
Stephanie Spangler, Esq.  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street – 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(646) 589-8703

  
Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward J. Degeorgis  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190135/2013

ROBERT FLAHIVE,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

**FILED**

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et  
al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendants.


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 17, 2014

  
Stephanie Spangler, Esq.  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street – 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(646) 589-8703

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Robert Flahive  
546 Fifth Ave., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LEONARD AHART,

Plaintiff,

Index No.: 100517/1996  
101305/2002  
111055/1998  
115286/2005

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 14, 2014



Joanna Drozd  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655



Suzanne Ratcliffe  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Leonard Ahart  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No. 190304/2013

**This Document Relates To**


**LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND JR.**

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant LIQUID COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY, LLC, incorrectly sued herein as LCT, LLC ("LCT") hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant LCT with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant LCT be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 27, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Patti L. Burshtyn  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant LCT  
John C. Canoni  
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM DELGADO and MARGARET DELGADO,

Plaintiffs,

Index No: 12-190401

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

v.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. L. EASTMOND & SONS Individually and as Successor  
to Easco Boiler Corp., et al

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Jan. 13<sup>th</sup>, 2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LONDON FISCHER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc.

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
Tel No.: (212) 681-1575

Richard L. Mengelsohn, Esq.  
59 Maiden Lane, 41<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
Tel No.: (212) 972-1000

So Ordered:

J.S.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT BRUCE BURAWA and DEBORAH ANN  
BURAWA,,

**FILED**

Plaintiff,

-against-

FEB 04 2014

ACKER DRILL COMPANY, et al.,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Defendants.  
-----X

New York City Asbestos  
Litigation  
NYCAL


Index No. 190272/2012

**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY**  
**JUDGMENT MOTION**  
**AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, AMDURA CORPORATION i/s/h/a Amdura Corporation f/k/a Amdura Corporation Individually and as Successor to American Hoist & Derrick Company and Clyde Iron Works, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Amdura Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Amdura Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036



Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.  
**LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DISIPIO**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Amdura Corporation  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 319-6898

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 23

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JEAN CLAUDE EDOUARD and KITTY ANN  
EDOUARD,

Index No.: 190237-13

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

-against-

**FILED**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

FEB 04 2014

Defendants.  
-----X


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*January 13, 2014*




\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Norman J. Golub  
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation  
45 Broadway  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 619-4444

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SO ORDERED:



\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION: NYCAL

I.A.S. PART 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(Heitler, J.) NEW YORK

This Document relates to:

BARBARA J. LADWIG as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of DONALD G.  
LADWIG and BARBARA J. LADWIG, as  
Spouse,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 121107-2000

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREAS, defendant Ametek, Inc. hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Ametek, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Ametek, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 9, 2014

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

By: 

By: 

Brian Early, Esq.  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212.704.6000

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Barbara J. Ladwig  
As Personal Representative for the Estate of  
Donald G. Ladwig, and Barbara J. Ladwig

Attorneys for Defendant  
Ametek, Inc.

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION:

-----X

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

I.A.S. PART 30

This Document relates to:

: (Heitler, J.)

JOSEPH LENZ and HELENE M. LENZ,

:

Plaintiffs,

:

INDEX NO. 109644-2004

-against-

:

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

AMETEK, INC., *et al.*,

:

Defendants.

:

-----X

WHEREAS, defendant Ametek, Inc. hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Ametek, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Ametek, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
January 9, 2014

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Joseph Lenz and  
Helene M. Lenz

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212.704.6000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Ametek, Inc.

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION: NYCAL

FEB 04 2014

-----X

I.A.S. PART 30

This Document relates to:

(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

KLARA TATKOVIC as Executrix for the  
Estate of RENATO MEZIC,

Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 108439-2004

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

AMETEK, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREAS, defendant Ametek, Inc. hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Ametek, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Ametek, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
January 9, 2014

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

By:   
Brian Early, Esq.  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Klara Tatkovic as  
Executrix for the Estate of Renato Mezio

By:   
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212.704.6000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Ametek, Inc.

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

DUPLICATE ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM J. BAYNES, JR. and  
JULIE BAYNES,

**No Opposition Motion for  
Summary Judgment**

Plaintiffs,  
**FILED**

-against-

FEB 04 2014

140 BW LLC, et al.


**Index No.: 190163/13**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Defendants  
NEW YORK


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant THE STATE-WHITEHALL COMPANY i/s/h/a THE STATE  
WHITHALL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against  
defendant THE STATE-WHITEHALL COMPANY i/s/h/a THE STATE WHITHALL  
CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant THE STATE-WHITEHALL COMPANY i/s/h/a THE STATE WHITHALL  
CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
July 3, 2013



Laurence V. Nassif, Esq.  
Moshe Horn, Esq.  
Dennis M. Geier, Esq.  
SEEGER WEISS LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
77 Water Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 584-0700



FAUST GOETZ SCHENKER & BLEE  
By: Nicholas J. Marino  
Attorneys for Defendant  
THE STATE-WHITEHALL COMPANY  
i/s/h/a THE STATE WHITHALL CORP  
Two Rector Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10006  
(212) 363-6900  
Our File No.: 12385-CRT



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Dated: July 22, 2014

so ordered:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105717-02  
111478-02  
190245-09

Joseph M. Huntz

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00468

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105713-02  
112591-02

William N. Johnson

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/4/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00505

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 23 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125782-99  
104271-00

Tony Cipolla

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK ----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00463

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110397-00  
100207-01  
100772-03

William Cunningham

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00641

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 105921-01  
119398-00  
100754-03

Willard Conklin

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00464

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119388-00  
125797-00  
100773-03

Russel Boiko

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00511

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119038/01

Michael V. Bruno

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00462

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108101-02

Robert S. Cope

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00465

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 105876-02  
109691-02

Emerson D. Chandler

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00504

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190199/11

David P. Garrity

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00467

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190291/11

Rosemarie J. Modica, as Executrix for the Estate of John  
Saladino, and Rosaria Saladino, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS (hereinafter **CARRIER CORPORATION**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


12/23/13 **FILED**  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No: 10557.01073

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 102565/01

Floyd Bivens

FEB 04 2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/16/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 14501.00185

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherris Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120768-01  
111223-01

Vincent O'Connell

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/2/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00478

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122204-99  
109956-00

Gilbert C. Pfleger

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00481

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190349/10

Joseph Pisarro

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00482

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112971-00

Michael Rodack

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
----- X  
~~NEW YORK~~

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00510

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190073/11

James Barkley

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


1/20/14  




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00497

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 190422/13

Sue Paluh

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/28/13

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
American Biltrite Inc.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 13139.00404

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119908-98  
108708-01

Chester Kendziora

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


----- X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00469

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103465-01  
119381-00  
100758-03

John Robert McAllister

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/2/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00472

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Henry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 110270-02  
126710-02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/10/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00470

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107220-02  
113448-02

**FILED**

Richard C. Lawida

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00640

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler



RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190366-09

Gerald J. McCormick

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00473

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
1501

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 190071-09

Jerome H. Meyers

FEB 04 2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14  




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00475

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
Barbara L. Birdall, Esq. As Administrator for the Estate of  
Chung Soon O'dwyer,

Index No:190371/10

Plaintiffs,

-against-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
----- X  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "CHEVRON CORPORATION." (hereinafter "CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

12/23/13

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Strauss, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 01042.00291

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**FILED**  
FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 111353-02  
106999-02

James G. Stephenson

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00489

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

RT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121763-97  
105235-98  
111065-98  
125791-99

Roman Szczitka

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501-00495

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190070-09

Allen R. Thorogood

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK ----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00637

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101958/01  
101840/96  
111027/98

James Tracey

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK ----- X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


1/6/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00528

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
ART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED** - X

Index No: 190011/10

Gladys Miller

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/26/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00476

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121111-97  
105221-98  
111037-98  
110522-00

Thomas Gaetano Neri

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00639

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113937-02  
106581-02

George F. Novak

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
----- X  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


1/21/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00503

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RUSSELL L. ROOD, JR. as Personal Representative  
of the Estate of ROMEO HENRY POIRIER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 104841-05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heltler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**KARIN E. O'BRIEN, Individually and as  
Executrix of the Estate of JOHN J. O'BRIEN,  
deceased,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190510/2012**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**FILED**

**FEB 04 2014**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 33, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

PENRYN A. NAUMOFF, Individually and as  
Executrix of the Estate of HAROLD NAUMOFF,  
Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----x

Index No.: 190204-09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14

New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NANCY ROURKE, as Executrix of the Estate of  
Hugh McMunn and DOROTHY MCMUNN,  
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

Index No.: 100406-08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

PHYLLIS "SUE" MELANCON, Individually and as  
Executrix of the Estate of NEAL LOUIS  
MELANCON, deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----x

Index No.: 190212-09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14

New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

THEODORE MAJKA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----x

Index No.: 190054-09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

COURT 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 109747-00  
122191-99

Gerald R. Root

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00483

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 105870-02  
108773-02

Ross F. Russo Jr.

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/16/14  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00484

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120391-01  
104257-02  
190173-09

Ralph Salzano

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00638

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler



RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

ART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 190188/11

Lewis Shaw

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00486

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190155/09

Delphine M. Stachowiak

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/20/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00488

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

Index No.: 674607-11

ROGER FARTHING,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
FILED**

FEB 04 2014


---

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190140-10

WILLIAM FIELDS,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 23 2014

PT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190279-10

ROBERT P. FLYNN,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION ORDERED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 107680-00

ARTHUR M. KEY,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 23 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 102118-07

RAYMOND R. ROWLING,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 28 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190146-10

PAUL SCULLY,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 23 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190010-08

MATTEO J. GUILIANO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190246-10

LARRY V. GUMAER,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff,

- against -

**FILED**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13, 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500



Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE**

**SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

*Attorneys for Defendant*


H.B. FULLER COMPANY

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 110269-02

THOMAS M. RAISH,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff,

- against -

**FILED**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

FEB 04 2014


H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MADISON JENNINGS and SYLVIA JENNINGS,

Index No.: 13/190089

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**FILED**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a FEB 04 2014  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/16, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

JAN 23

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND T. PRESTON and JEAN PRESTON,

Index No.: 12/190400  
00/108594  
99/122205

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**FILED**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a **FEB 04 2014**  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/16, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAUL NIEDERT and EDITH NIEDERT,

Index No.: 12/190378  
99/101002  
1:12-cv-08515-KBF

Plaintiff(s)

**FILED**

-against-

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendants. NEW YORK

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

BEN DARCHE

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD A. HIDDIE and SHIRLEY HIDDIE,

Index No.: 98/119850

Plaintiff(s), **FILED**  
-against-

FEB 04 2014

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Defendants NEW YORK

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 16, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**FILED**

Index No: 190159-12

HELEN NAGY

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 31, 2013  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Howarth, Esq.  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant, Mannington Mills, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**FILED**

Index No: 190135-13

ROBERT FLAHIVE

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 31, 2013

New York, New York

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
John S. Howarth, Esq.  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant, Mannington Mills, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121803-97  
105232-98  
104164-02

Robert P. Funkhouser

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110339-98  
111031-98  
110521-00

Joseph Corneyea

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00518

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 28 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118537/98

Anselmo Emanuelli

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00516

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 119394-00  
100607-01

Lawrence J. Farrell

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00466

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 126687-02

FRANCES R. DEFILLIPIS,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED** Plaintiff,

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


H.B. FULLER COMPANY, County Clerk's Office  
NEW YORK  
Defendants.

---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DAUB and JEANETTE DAUB,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A. L. EASTMOND & SONS, ET AL.,  
Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 190426-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JANEED KHAN,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
3M COMPANY, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190512-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordon Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
1/17/14  
FEB 04 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ERNEST G. SMITH and CLAUDIA SMITH,

Index No.: 190299-13

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *November 11, 2013*  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Roberts, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190030-13

LOUIS D'ESPOSITO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BIRD INCORPORATED, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER **FILED**


FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/4/13  
New York, New York

  
Brian Early, Esq. ~~Dezell Wilson, Esq.~~  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA  
LINDENBAUM & TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

VINCENT J. CALIMANO,

Index No.: 190238-13

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**FILED**

Defendant(s).  
-----X


FEB 04 2014


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/31/13  
New York, New York

  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
ART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

WILLIAM DELGADO and MARGARET  
DELGADO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, individually, and as  
successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190401-12

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a  
AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/11/13  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD, INC.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190050-09

RONALD P. MCDONOUGH,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
FILED**

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1-11-13, 2013

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 28 2014  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190234-11

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
GLORIA F. CASSANO, Individually and  
Executrix of the Estate of RICHARD M. CASSANO,  
Deceased,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 31, 2013  
New York, New York

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
John S. Howarth, Esq.  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant, Mannington Mills, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 13-190121

-----  
VINCENT SANTINO and FRANCIS  
SANTINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/9/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA  
LINDENBAUM & TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Hewler

RECEIVED

JAN 29 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**SELWYN HACKSHAW,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
ABB, INC., ET AL.,  
Defendants.**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190022-13**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/13



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**FREDERICK ABRAMS,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 108667-07**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/18/13

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FEB 04 2014

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30** COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

**WILLIAM DELGADO and  
MARGARET DELGADO,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A. L. EASTMOND & SONS, ET AL.,  
Defendants.**


**INDEX NO.: 190401-12**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*1/14/14*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordon Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014

**FILED**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FEB 04 2014

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ROBERT D. BENCE and SHARON BENCE  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS., ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


**INDEX NO.: 190502-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*1/14/14*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordon Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH CUNDARI and ELLEN CUNDARI,

**FILED**

Index No.: 13/190098  
01/110638

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*12/16/13  
Saverio Meirowitz*

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS J. MURRAY and PATRICIA MURRAY **FILED** Index No.: 01/119472

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/16, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE  
AGAINST DEFENDANT  
INGERSOLL RAND  
COMPANY

-----X  
**FILED**

This document Applies To:

FEB 04 2014

Morton Frieder

Index No. 190212/12

-----X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judge Sherry K. Heitler


**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and between counsel for the plaintiff in the above-captioned action and defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY that, whereas no party herein is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is, discontinued with prejudice and without costs to either party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2013


New York, New York

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

PASCARELLA DiVITA  
LINDENBAUM & TOMASZEWSKI,  
PLLC

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard Geller, Esq.  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
Attorneys for Defendant

  
Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
ANTHONY CUSUMANO and JOAN  
CUSUMANO,  
  
Plaintiff(s),  
  
vs.  
  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,  
  
Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO. 190348/13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

*Dec. 18, 2013*  
Dated: New York, New York

  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**CYNTHIA SCHONBERGER,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190138-13**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


*1/14/14*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOK, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575


**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES LAWRENCE SHEEHAN and MARGARET SHEEHAN,

Index No.: 190425-13

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendant(s).  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/10/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David A. Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
COURT 10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190040-13

**JAMES AUGUSTUS PROCTOR and JOY C.  
PROCTOR,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

v.

**ALCOA, INC., et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/9/13

New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

ART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190040-13

JAMES AUGUSTUS PROCTOR and JOY C.  
PROCTOR,

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

ALCOA, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/9/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190166-13

MICHAEL VIGGIANO and JOAN VIGGIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ABCO REFRIGERATION SUPPLY CORP., et  
al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/9/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
COURT 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190166-13

-----  
MICHAEL VIGGIANO and JOAN VIGGIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ABCO REFRIGERATION SUPPLY CORP., et  
al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/9/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
JST 30

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

MICHAEL VIGGIANO and JOAN VIGGIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ABCO REFRIGERATION SUPPLY CORP., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 190166-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, Defendant, TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely for Murray Turbine ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant TUTHILL CORPORATION solely for Murray Turbine with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

12/9/13

New York, New York

  
Brian Early, Esq.

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.

Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely  
for Murray Turbine  
2137 Route 35, Suite 200  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190150-13

\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD C. THOMAS and CAROL  
THOMAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated:

12/9/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190150-13

RICHARD C. THOMAS and CAROL  
THOMAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/9/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Lynn Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CYNTHIA SCHONBERGER,

Index No.: 190138/2013

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER **FILED**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

FEB 04 2014

Defendant(s).  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/30/13  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

COURT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROBERT FLAHIVE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 190135-13

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER **FILED**


FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/30/13  
New York, New York

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2137 ROUTE 35, Suite 190  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

SAUL SHEIMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et  
al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 190382/2013

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER **FILED**


FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/30/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM and JOHANNA  
PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et  
al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190129-13

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/30/13  
New York, New York

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 ROUTE 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DONALD IZBICKI and MADELINE IZBICKI,

Index No.: 190140-13

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION

-against-

SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

**FILED**

ADVANCE AUTO SUPPLY, et al.,


FEB 04 2014

Defendants.


-----X  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/30/13  
New York, New York  


Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 ROUTE 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

ROBERT TEAGUE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 190131/13

NO OPPOSITION **FILED**  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated:

12/30/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**DANIEL E. VALENSI, Individually and as  
Executor of the Estate of FRANCES S.  
VALENSI, deceased,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et  
al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190340-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**FILED**

**FEB 04 2014**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely for Murray Turbine ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant TUTHILL CORPORATION solely for Murray Turbine with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

12/30/13

New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely  
for Murray Turbine  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 22 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

PAUL SALMERI and JUNE SALMERI,

Index No.: 190404/13

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/23/13  
New York, New York

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**GIOUSEPPE LIOTTA,**

**Index No.: 190373/2013**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et  
al.,**

**Defendant(s).**  
-----X

**FILED**

**FEB 04 2014**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17/13  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.  
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
(212) 668-1122

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY  
2137 ROUTE 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

**JAN 22 2014**

**ART 20**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**GIOUSEPPE LIOTTA,**

**Index No.: 190373/2013**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et  
al.,**

**Defendant(s).**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**FILED**


**FEB 04 2014**


**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.  
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
(212) 668-1122

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC.  
2137 ROUTE 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
**JAN 22 2014**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190334-11**

**VIRGIL LAFFEY,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.**

**Defendants.**  
-----X

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/20/13


New York, New York



Erik Karst, Esq.  
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420  
Houston, TX 77070  
(281) 970-9988



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Ingersoll -Rand Company  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, NJ 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry A. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190334-11

**VIRGIL LAFFEY,**

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-against-

**A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.**

Defendants.  
-----X

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/20/13  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Erik Karst, Esq.  
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420  
Houston, TX 77070  
(281) 970-9988

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US, Inc.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, NJ 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

ART 30

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FRANCIS X. MCCARTHY and ANNE  
MCCARTHY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
a/k/a BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

CIVIL ACTION NO.  
1:12-cv-06556-RA

STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE WITH  
PREJUDICE

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for the parties to this stipulation, that whereas no party hereto is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action against Defendant, Trane US, Inc. f/k/a American Standard, Inc., be, and the same hereby is, discontinued with prejudice, and without costs to any party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. The parties herein preserve the venue of this matter in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.


Dated: December 5, 2013  
New York, New York



Christopher Gladd, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnick  
& Associates, LLP  
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff  
350 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10118.



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Trane US, Inc.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, NJ 07733



RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT J. SHANSTROM,

Index No.: 02/118531  
02/106695

Plaintiff(s)  
-against- **FILED**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendant(s) COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/27, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HERMAN BRESSEL and FRANCOISE BRESSEL **FILED** Index No.: 13/190046  
10/190112

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

FEB 04 2014 NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

NEW YORK

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/24, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

*Michael F. Weitz*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ Michael F. Weitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry A. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEAN M. DONNAY,

**FILED**

Index No.: 13/190118

-against-

Plaintiff(s),  
FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1230, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SANDRA CARROCCIA and CHERYL ANN PIECZONKA  
as Co-Executrices for the Estate of  
JOSEPH K. PIECZONKA,

**FILED**

Index No.: 02/118155  
98/111039  
97/113281  
97/101498

Plaintiff(s), FEB 04 2014

-against-

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

STEPHEN D. HORTON,

Index No.: 190300-13

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., n/k/a RHONE  
POULENC AG COMPANY, n/k/a BAYER  
CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendant.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: NOV. 5, 2013  
New York, New York

  
Adam Cooper, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

DANIEL WASSERBERG

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
Jean M. Donnay,

Index No.: 190118/13

Plaintiff, FEB 04 2014

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jean M. Donnay  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-12885

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Edward J. Degeorgis and Mary Ann  
Degeorgis,

**FILED**

Index No.: 106915/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
~~12/13~~ 2/13 2013

Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Edward J. Degeorgis and Mary  
Ann Degeorgis  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-6806

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
Dennis Absher, as Executor for the  
Estate of Cecil W. Absher,

Index No.: 190252/10

**FILED**

Plaintiff,

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co. et al.  
Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Dennis Absher, as Executor for  
the Estate of Cecil W. Absher  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-6343

So Ordered: Sherry K. Heitler  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
Norman L. Newkirk and Kathleen Newkirk,

Index No.: 109070/00

**FILED**

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Defendants NEW YORK

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Norman L. Newkirk and Kathleen  
Newkirk  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

So Ordered: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30  
Our File No.: 10924-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Richard Babravich and Bonnie Babravich,

Index No.: 104028/00

**FILED**

Plaintiffs,

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Defendants

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 10, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Richard Babravich and Bonnie  
Babravich  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-4702

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)


This Document Relates to: **FILED** Index No.: 190010/08  
John A. Guiliano, as Executor for the  
Estate of Matteo J. Guiliano, FEB 04 2014

- against - Plaintiff,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK **NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,  
Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 10, 2013

  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John A. Guiliano, as Executor  
for the Estate of Matteo J.  
Guiliano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullien and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-5789

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
Peggy Baum, as Executrix for the Estate  
of Joseph Baum,

Index No.: 190345/09

**FILED**

Plaintiff,

- against -

FEB 04 2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. Smith Water Products Co. et al  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Peggy Baum, as Executrix for  
the Estate of Joseph Baum  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

File No.: 1092446086  
JAN 28 2014

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD H. DIMLER and CAROL DIMLER,

Index No.: 98/118498

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 19, 2013

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Adam Raffo*  
Adam Raffo, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 23 2014  
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----x

**MARCIA KRIVICIC, Individually and as Executrix  
of the Estate of CARLO KRIVICIC, deceased,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190095-08**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**FILED**

**FEB 04 2014**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

BARRY KASVEN, Executor of the Estate of IRENE  
KASVEN and NATHAN KASVEN, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 110879/2008

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
\_\_\_\_\_X

ADELINE CHOBOT-SPITZER, Executrix of  
the Estate of ARMAND DEL CIOPPO and  
CARMELA DEL CIOPPO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 111767-08

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

\_\_\_\_\_X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

MIKHAIL ABKIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----x

Index No.: 190105-08

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heltzer

JAN 22 2014

RECEIVED  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

AS FOR THE ESTATE OF CHUNG SOON  
O'DWYER AND AS SPOUSE,

Index No.: 10-190371

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

**FILED**

A.J. FRIEDMAN SUPPLY CO., INC., et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendants.  
-----X


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
PASCARELLA DIVITA LINDENBAUM  
& TOMASZEWSKI, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY  
2137 ROUTE 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 29 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**WILFREDO CRUZ,**

**Index No.: 190394-13**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**FILED**

**AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,**

**FEB 04 2014**

**Defendant(s).**  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/21/14  
New York, New York

  
James M. Kramer, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Hettler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

BRYAN HOCKLER,

Index No.: 190235/2013

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining &  
Manufacturing Co., et al.,

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


Defendant(s).

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York




Holly Petersen, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US, Inc.  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to: **FILED** Index No.: 117266/05  
Joseph L. Peteley,

Plaintiff <sup>FEB 04 2014</sup>

- Against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al. <sup>COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK</sup> NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/27, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Joseph L. Peteley  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
*frank o-hi*

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9559

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
Anthony J. Simini,

FEB 04 2014

Index No.: 117706/05

Plaintiffs  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Anthony J. Simini  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9557

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)  
**FILED**  
-----X

This Document Relates to: John C. Bowen,  
FEB 04 2014 Index No.: 116854/06

Plaintiffs, CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

- Against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


Defendants,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
John C. Bowen  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Raghunath Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9918

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler JAN 22 2014

RECEIVED  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:  
Irving Feuerman,

Index No.: 108170/06

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiffs,

- Against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


Defendants,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Irving Feuerman  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*frank - tie*

  
Ragnu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9761

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

THOMAS LEAHY and EVELYN LEAHY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 190162-09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

1/2/14  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Lynn Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

CURT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
Genevieve H. Kockler,

Index No.: 105592/05

FEB 04 2014

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE **NO OPPOSITION**  
NEW YORK **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


**MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants,  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/12, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Genevieve H. Kockler  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
*Frank online*

  
Ragnu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9342

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Frank E. Koone, Sr.,

**FILED**

Index No.: 104954/06

Plaintiffs, FEB 04 2014

- Against -

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/22, 2013

  
Frank E. Koone, Sr.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank E. Koone, Sr.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9717

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

COURT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
Morton Meckler,

Index No.: 102561/06

FEB 04 2014

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


Defendants,  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Morton Meckler  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9646

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)  
**FILED**  
-----X

This Document Relates to: Thomas J. Metz,  
Index No.: 102288/06  
FEB 04 2014


Plaintiffs  
- Against -  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants,  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/22, 2013

  
Frank O'Neil  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Thomas J. Metz  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9599

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

11 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
Elmer F. Muller,

Index No.: 115712/05

FEB 04 2014

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

*Franko-hi*  
  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Elmer F. Muller  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9550

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

115712/05

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
Edwin A. Murray,

Index No.: 102879/05

FEB 04 2014

- Against -

Plaintiffs,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Edwin A. Murray  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
*Frank o-ha*

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
our File No.: 6754-9288

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

NY 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
Nelson E. Newcomb,

**FILED**

Index No.: 102562/06

Plaintiffs, FEB 04 2014

- Against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants,  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013


  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Nelson E. Newcomb  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Frank O'Hara*

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9642

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:  
James W. Hiler and Joette Hiler,

Index No.: 190013/10

FEB 04 2014

Plaintiffs,

- against -

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
1/21/23, 2013

  
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
James W. Hiler and Joette Hiler  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-6145

JAN 22 2014

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
Luis R. Quintero and Kathy Quintero

Index No.: 102739/02

**FILED**

Plaintiffs,

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

  
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Luis R. Quintero and Kathy  
Quintero  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6786

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry V. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
John D. Sorensen, as Executor for the  
Estate of John A. Sorensen,

Index No.: 115634/01

**FILED**

Plaintiff,

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Defendant NEW YORK  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

  
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John D. Sorensen, as Executor  
for the Estate of John A.  
Sorensen  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to: **FILED** Index No.: 100307/06  
Sandra J. Wilcox, as Administratrix  
for the Estate of William M. Wilcox,  
Sr., FEB 04 2014  
Plaintiff,


- against - COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK  
A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al., NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sep 20, 2013

  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Sandra J. Wilcox, as  
Administratrix for the Estate  
of William M. Wilcox, Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
307 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DONALD GREENOUGH, ESQ., as Special  
Administrator for the Estate of ALLEN DEWITT  
HUTCHINS and MARTHA HUTCHINS,  
Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 190066-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York  
1/19/14.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NANCY COOPER, as Executrix of the Estate of  
HERMAN ZEH, deceased and FRANCES ZEH,  
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190025-08

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

FILED  
FEB 04 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**ROBERT TEAGUE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**3M COMPANY, et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**


**Index No.: 190131/13**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Judge Sherry Klein Hettler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FEB 04 2014

NORMA SAEVA as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOSEPH SAEVA,

Index No.: 109983-08

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/2/14  
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella DiVita Lindenbaum  
& Tomaszewski, PLLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
RHEEM MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733  
(732) 837-9019

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Wladimir Kiselovski,

**FILED**

Index No.: 115520/05

Plaintiff, **FEB 04 2014**

- Against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., NEW YORK

Defendants,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2013

**FEB 04 2014**

*Frank-Hi*  
Weitz & Luxe  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Wladimir Kiselovski  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Raghu Bandlamudi*  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9525

So Ordered:

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JAN 22 2014**

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to: FEB 04 2014  
Thomas R. Nolan Sr.,

Index No.: 108180/06

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Plaintiff

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,


WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Thomas R. Nolan Sr.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

Frank Ortiz

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9752

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FEB 04 2014

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Donald B. Prentice,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 101281/07

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013


  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Donald B. Prentice  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

frank - hie

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701 4164

Our File No.: 6754-9963

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Ronald P. Rowe,

FEB 04 2014

Index No.: 109028/06

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Plaintiffs

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Ronald P. Rowe  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

frank weitz

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

ur File No.: 6754-9768

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)  
-----X

**FILED**

This Document Relates to: Anna Venturini, as Executrix for the Estate of John Venturini, Index No.: 190287/09  
FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Anna Venturini, as Executrix  
for the Estate of John  
Venturini  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin N. Tafe  
Justin N. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-6060

So Ordered: Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FEB 04 2014

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Lana Rae Hall, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Gary J. Nankervis,

Index No.: 190169/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Lana Rae Hall, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Gary J. Nankervis  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Callen and Dokman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-5958

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

FEB 20 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
Ronald Oelschlager and Sara Oelschlager

Index No.: 101090/02

**FILED**

Plaintiffs,  
- against - FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al., COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Ronald Oelschlager and Sara  
Oelschlager  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 109246780

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

FEB-04-2014

This Document Relates to:  
Charles R. Graves,

Index No.: 113490/06

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/27, 2013

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Charles R. Graves  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Frank Schi*

*Raghu Bandlamudi*  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9870

So Ordered:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)

**FILED**

-----X  
This Document Relates to: FEB 04 2014 Index No.: 101333/07  
Walter A. Jensen,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Plaintiffs NEW YORK

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Walter A. Jensen  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Frank ...*

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9975

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

ART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

~~FEB 04 2014~~

This Document Relates to:  
Herbert B. Klindt,

Index No.: 105764/06

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Herbert B. Klindt  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

frank o-hiz

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No.: 6754-9690

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

**FILED**

NYCAL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:  
James Monarchio,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 113486/06

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,


WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
<sup>11/26</sup> \_\_\_\_\_, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
James Monarchio  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


*Frank Ortiz*

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.

44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9845

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION FEB 04 2014

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Mary L. Montante,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 101344/07

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
<sup>11/22</sup> \_\_\_\_\_, 2013


  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Mary L. Montante  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Frank - hie*

  
Raghunath Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9938

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

<p>IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION</p> <p>JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA, for the Estate of JAMES E. McCABE, and SHIRLEY McCABE, individually,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-against-</p> <p>A.C. &amp; S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING and SUPPLY), et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>
--

Index Now.: 113073/2002  
106579/2002

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT  
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/26, 2013

  
 Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
 Attorney for Defendant  
 Peerless Industries, Inc.  
 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
 77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
 New York, New York 10005  
 212.232.1300  
 File No. 1863.16602

  
 John E. Richmond, Esq.  
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 Jamie Elaine Felicia, for the Estate of  
 James E. McCabe and Shirley McCabe  
 Individually  
 WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
 700 Broadway  
 New York, New York 10003  
 212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,   
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS

DATE: 12/14/07 ZUT BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

DEC 14 2007

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document relates to:

Index Nos. 190495/1 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

BARBARA WALKER AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
MURRAY N. WALKER, SR. AND BARBARA  
WALKER AS SPOUSE

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ABB, INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/14/14




Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Graybar Electric Company, Inc..  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 33249-8044



Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Barbara Walker as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of Murray Walker, Sr. and  
Barbara Walker as Spouse  
EARLY LUDWICK SWEENEY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS  
SIGGARD & SMITH LLP  
DEC 23 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CAROLYN O. BELLE and WILLIAM  
BELLE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190282-13

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT  
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/14  
St T. Corbin  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.29178

Benjamin Darche  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**CAROLYN O. BELLE and WILLIAM BELLE**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C..  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Clem Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

POST 30

RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS  
BIGGAARD & SMITH LLP  
JAN 13 2014

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 14 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190140/13

DONALD IZBICKI and MADELINE IZBICKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

**FILED**

ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC., et al.,

FEB 04 2014

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/8/14



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street; 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.29042



Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Donald Izbicki and Madeline Izbicki  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
212.681.1575

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 14 2014

RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS  
BISGARD & SMITH LLP  
DEC 27 2013

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No.: 190135/2013

ROBERT FLAHIVE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

**FILED**

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor  
to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing, et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York




Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1836.29041



Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Robert Flahive  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
212.681.1575

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT**

**JAN 14 2014**

**RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS  
DISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
JAN 08**

**RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS  
DISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
JAN 06 2014**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 107217/01  
119376/00

Philip J. Walsh

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00491

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 190113-08

Theodore A. Wolkiewicz

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/4/14




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00492

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 013282/89

William Zachman

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 14501.00496

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 108101/02

Robert S. Cope

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No: 06507.01017

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 110270/02  
126710/02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/6/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No: 06507.01126

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

**FILED**

Index No: 122204/09  
109956/00

Gilbert C. Pflieger

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/22/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 13139.00187

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **FILED**  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to: FEB 04 2014  
Edward T. Gottlieb,

Index No.: 114637/06

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


Defendants,  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Edward T. Gottlieb  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-9864

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANTHANASIOS KOSTARIDIS and ASIMENI  
KOSTARIDIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190361/2013

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT  
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

 1/20, 2013

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. ~~2013-1097~~ 1863.29242

  
Ben Darche, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**ANTHANASIOS KOSTARIDIS and  
ASIMENI KOSTARIDIS**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 20

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

FEB 04 2014

Index No. 106972/08

THOMAS FRANZONE,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**INSYNC**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~10/24/13~~ November 11, 2013 

  
Stephanie Coleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.24125

  
~~Niket Jain, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Thomas Franzone  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD SMITH, JR., as Executor of the  
Estate of JOHN WARD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 115062-96; 117156-96  
113281-97; 11028-98  
118998-02; 111360-99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT  
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*December 18*, 2013  


Stephen Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.27244




Matthew McIntyre, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**RICHARD SMITH, JR. as Executor for the  
Estate of JOHN WARD**  
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MELVYN B. HALPERN and MARCY HALPERN  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
84 LUMBER COMPANY, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


**INDEX NO.: 103068-06**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
EARLY, LUCARELLI  
SWEENEY & STRAUSS  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

**FILED**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FEB 04 2014

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**PATRICK CARMODY,**  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
**AmCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., ET AL.**  
Defendants.

**NYCAL** COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**I.A.S. Part 30** NEW YORK  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

**INDEX NO.: 190060-13**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/13



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878



SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 100057/99

LISA M. SCHRADER, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of BART M. MICELI, and JOAN MICELI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

**FILED**

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING  
& SUPPLY), et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, ~~NEW YORK~~ requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11-15-2013  


Stephen Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 29510.957



Dana Northcraft, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Lisa M. Schrader, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of Bart M. Miceli, and Joan Miceli, Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

MILDRED MURRAY, Individually and as : Index No. 102879/05  
Administratrix for the Estate of EDWIN :  
MURRAY, :

Plaintiff(s),

: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Pa 30

Defendants.

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear  
Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber  
Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition  
thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims  
against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the  
same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/2/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber  
Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**SAMUEL DeMARCO and  
JOSEPHINE DeMARCO  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190331-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: New York, New York


1/14/14

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDDIE HOWARD BAILEN and  
RENA NORENE ASH-BAILEN**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**vs.**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL**

**I.A.S. Part 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190318-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*1/14/14*  


Jordon Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**THEODORE LEVY and MERLE LEVY,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190326-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


*1/14/14*  


Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue 4th Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**IVAN SWEBERG,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
ABB, INC., ET AL.  
Defendants.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 190017-13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/13



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JEROME ROSEN,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) NEW YORK**

**INDEX NO.: 190147-13**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/13




Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regna, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWARD DUL,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET  
AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

**INDEX NO.: 190571-12**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/13



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RICHARD FALLON,  
Plaintiff(s),  
vs.  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET  
AL.  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**INDEX NO.: 190156-13**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/19/13




Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.  
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL  
I. A. S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

-----X  
This document relates to: :

Index No.: 190436/12

**PHILIP THIBODEAU**

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER


-----X  
**WHEREAS**, Defendant ROSS OPERATING VALVE COMPANY, d/b/a Ross Controls (MI Corp.) ("ROSS") requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Ross, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Ross, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 14, 2014



Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*



Steven R. Goldstein, Esq.  
SHAUB, AHMUTY, CITRIN & SPRATT  
1983 Marcus Avenue  
Lake Success, NY 11042  
*Counsel for Ross Operating Valve Company, d/b/a Ross Controls (MI Corp.)*

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30





11/10

RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBON  
BRISGARD & SMITH LLP  
DEC 04 2013

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JOHN P. CARROLL and MARY CARROLL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I..A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 190262/12

STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE WITH  
PREJUDICE

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the undersigned attorneys of record for plaintiffs, John P. Carroll and Mary Carroll, and defendant PFIZER INC. in the above entitled action, that whereas none of these parties is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, and the same hereby is discontinued with prejudice as to PFIZER INC. without costs to either party as against each the other.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant third-party plaintiffs, and third-party defendant against PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

  
-----  
~~Adam Brooksler~~ John Richmond  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
John P. Carroll and Mary Carroll

11/22/13

  
-----  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL  
:

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
GRACE CHAMIDES, Individually and as :  
Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CHAMIDES, :

Index No. 105596/05  
:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

FEB 04 2014

GARY D. KING, LINDA BALLARD, and : Index No. 107012/05  
TIMOTHY KING as Co Administrators for the :  
Estate of MATTHEW KING, and DOTTIE KING, :  
Individually, :

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

**FILED**

FRANK L. KOCKLER, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of  
GENEVIEVE KOCKLER,

: Index No. 105592/05

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

FEB 04 2014

PATRICK J. MCCLOSKEY, as Administrator for : Index No. 115842/05  
the Estate of JOHN MCCLOSKEY, :  
:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

FEB 04 2014

SUSAN A. METZ, Individually and as Executrix : Index No. 102288/06 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
for the Estate of THOMAS J. METZ, : NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**


: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **FILED**  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
This Document Relates to: FEB 04 2014

Index No.: 107012/05

Matthew King,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Plaintiffs,

- Against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,  
Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11/22, 2013

*Frank Rubin*  
*Frank Rubin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Matthew King  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Raghu Bandlamudi*  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164  
Our File No. 16754-9385

So Ordered:  
*Sherry N. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Index No.: 190348/13  
Anthony Cusumano and Joan Cusumano NEW YORK

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Dec. 3, 2013

  
Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Anthony Cusumano and Joan  
Cusumano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-8830

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:  
Anthony Cusumano and Joan Cusumano, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190348/13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
DEC. 3, 2013

  
Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Anthony Cusumano and Joan  
Cusumano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Our File No.: 6754-13022

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler  
Index No.: 190055/13

This Document Relates to:  
WILLIAM WHELAN,

Plaintiff,

**FILED**

NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

FEB 04 2014

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et.  
al,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


Defendants.


----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant, GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/23, 2013

By:   
DANIEL WASSERBERG  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 6th Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

By:   
Ian Williamson  
CULLEN AND DYKMAN LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
44 Wall Street, 15th Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
Tel No.: (212) 732-2000

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOHN ADLER,

**FILED**

Index No.: 190392/12

Plaintiff,  
FEB 04 2014

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


- against -


3M COMPANY, et al., COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013

  
~~Lori A. Benayides, Esq.~~  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Adler  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-12704

SO ORDERED:  
  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to: **FILED**  
SUSAN ANDERSON, as personal  
representative for the estate of **FEB 04 2014**  
LEONARD ANDERSON,

INDEX NO.: 114935/07

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

- Against -


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,  
  
Defendants,

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
1214, 2013

  
Michael Faneli  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Leonard Anderson  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Kristen Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

Our File No.: 6754-10184

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JOHN F. STOREY and CANDACE STOREY,

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Plaintiffs,

FEB 04 2014

Index Nos.: 190283-13

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, et al  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE WITH  
PREJUDICE

Defendants.  
-----X

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the undersigned attorneys of record for plaintiffs, JOHN F. STOREY and CANDACE STOREY, and defendant PFIZER INC. in the above entitled action, that whereas none of these parties is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, and the same hereby is discontinued with prejudice as to PFIZER INC. without costs to either party as against each the other.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant third-party plaintiffs, and third-party defendant against PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the

Clerk of the Court

  
Daniel Wasserberg  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
John F. Storey and Candace Storey

  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MICHAEL CIRON and HAI-YEN CIRON

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA) et  
al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 190387-13  
106325-99


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE WITH  
PREJUDICE


It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the undersigned attorneys of record for plaintiffs, MICHAEL CIRON and HAI-YEN CIRON, and defendant PFIZER INC. in the above entitled action, that whereas none of these parties is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, and the same hereby is discontinued with prejudice as to PFIZER INC. without costs to either party as against each the other.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant third-party plaintiffs, and third-party defendant against PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the

Clerk of the Court.

  
David Chandler  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Michael and Hai-Yen Ciron

11/13/13

  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CHARLES DE MARTINO and LORA ANN DE  
MARTINO,

NYCAL  
L.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

Plaintiffs,

FEB 04 2014

Index Nos.: 190328-13

-against-

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al NEW YORK

Defendants.  
-----X


STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE WITH  
PREJUDICE

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the undersigned attorneys of record for plaintiffs, CHARLES DE MARTINO and LORA ANN DE MARTINO, and defendant PFIZER INC. in the above entitled action, that whereas none of these parties is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, and the same hereby is discontinued with prejudice as to PFIZER INC. without costs to either party as against each the other.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant third-party plaintiffs, and third-party defendant against PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the

Clerk of the Court.

11/19/2013

  
Ambre Brandis  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Charles and Lora Ann De Martino

  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY CUSUMANO and JOAN  
CUSUMANO,

**FILED**

INDEX NO. 190348/13

Plaintiff(s),  
FEB 04 2014

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)**

Defendants. x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Gould Electronics Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Gould Electronics Inc.** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Dec. 13th, 2013


DATED:

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

O'TOOLE BERNANDEZ WEINER  
VAN LIEU LLC



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Steven A. Weiner, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Gould Electronics Inc.  
60 Pompton Avenue  
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

  
HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

DEC 19 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE W. ADAMS  
AND  
PHILOMENA L. ADAMS

Index No.: 126682/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

FEB 04 2014

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
George W. Adams and Philomena L. Adams  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

324-7253A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND BAKER  
AND  
DORIS BAKER

Index No.: 111414/98,  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/7/14, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Raymond Baker and Doris Baker  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Kissin Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN CHAMPEN  
AND  
JUANITA CAMPEN


Index No.: 190326/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013




Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
John Champen and Juanita Campen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JAMES H. CONTO

Index No.: 106965/02, 111303/02  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
James H. Conto  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101521/05,


KARIN R. DEAN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013



Frank O'Neil  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Karin R. Dean  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampai, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

324-8327

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. LOUGHMAN  
AND  
PATRICIA LOUGHMAN


Index No.: 121840/01, 111236/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
Frank A. Heitler  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Robert J. Loughman and Patricia Loughman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

324-6215AB



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

DEBRA BORGERDING, as Executrix for the : Index No. 190003/11  
Estate of MICHAEL T. MASTANDREA, :  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
Plaintiff(s), : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**  
:   
-against- :  
: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
AURORA PUMP, et al. : IAS Part 30  
:   
Defendants. :  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/22/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----X	:	NYCAL	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:		
-----X	:		COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
	:		NEW YORK
SAMUEL J. HOPPER and MARLENE HOPPER,	:	Index No. 107933/99	
	:		
Plaintiff(s),	:		
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>	
-against-	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>	
	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>	
A.C. & S. INC., et al.	:		
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,	
	:	IAS Part 30	
Defendants.	:		
-----X	:		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS J. MURRAY

INDEX NO.  
119472/01


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
CAROLE A. BORSTEIN, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.) COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
100804/97

EUGENE SOWA


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/14



Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(Heitler, J.) NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190193/12

KATHLEEN LANCELOT, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of STEVEN LANCELOT, and  
KATHLEEN LANCELOT, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant KARNAK CORPORATION ("KARNAK") by its attorneys,  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint  
against defendant KARNAK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant KARNAK CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: 1-15-2014  
[Signature]  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Roberts, Esquire  
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

[Signature]  
John S. Howarth, Esquire  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Karnak Corporation

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190284/2012

**ROLLAND M. ENGLE**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant TRW Automotive, Individually and as Successor to Massey Ferguson, by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TRW Automotive, Individually and as Successor to Massey Ferguson with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRW Automotive, Individually and as Successor to Massey Ferguson, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2013

New York, New York

*1-15-2014*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

*J S Howarth*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Howarth, Esq.  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant, TRW Automotive,  
Individually and as Successor to Massey  
Ferguson

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CYNTHIA SCHONBERGER

**FILED**  
FEB 04 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No: 190138/13  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1-15-2014  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esquire  
BELLUCK & FOX L.L.P  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

John S. Howarth  
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire  
John S. Howarth, Esquire  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Air & Liquid Systems Corp, as successor  
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

LORA ANN DE MARTINO, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of CHARLES DE MARTINO, and LORA ANN DE  
MARTINO, Individually,

Index No. 190328/13

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, including,  
SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC.,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant Superior Boiler Works, Inc. (hereinafter "Superior Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Superior Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Superior Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Charles De Martino, et al.  
(212) 558-5500

  
Grace De Mario, Esq.  
MAEABY & BRADLEY, I.L.C.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Superior Boiler Works, Inc.  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

LORA ANN DE MARTINO, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of CHARLES DE MARTINO, and LORA ANN DE  
MARTINO, Individually,

Index No. 190328/13

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, including,  
CROWN BOILER CO.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC.

("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Crown  
Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Estate of Charles De Martino, et al*  
(212) 558-5500

Grace De Mario, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Crown Boiler Co.*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE T. FAMILIO SR.  
AND  
ANNE FAMILIO


Index No.: 118547/98,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
George T. Familio Sr. and Anne Familio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

ANTONIO FERNANDEZ  
AND  
JOSEPHINE FERNANDEZ

Index No.: 190435/10,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

Mark - hi  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Antonio Fernandez and Josephine Fernandez  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

This Document Relates to:

JOHN GALLETTA  
AND  
JEANNE GALLETTA

Index No.: 190283/10,

FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
John Galletta and Jeanne Galletta  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES R. GODFREY  
AND  
KATHRYN GODFREY

Index No.: 121978/99, 106763/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/2/14, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Charles R. Godfrey and Kathryn Godfrey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

HENRY D. HOLKA  
AND  
BARBARA A. HOLKA

Index No.: 107404/02,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013



Frank O'Neil  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Henry D. Holka and Barbara A. Holka  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Robin Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

324-6717AG

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

MADISON JENNINGS  
AND  
SYLVIA JENNINGS

Index No.: 190089/13,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9, 2014

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Madison Jennings and Sylvia Jennings  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Lee Schneider, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

963-1555

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

CLIFFORD T. KNUDSEN AND TARYN  
KNUDSEN

Index No.: 190180/11, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/6/14, 2013




Michael Spivack  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Clifford T. Knudsen and Taryn Knudsen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014 504-762  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190180/11 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


CLIFFORD T. KNUDSEN AND TARYN  
KNUDSEN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/6, 2014

  
Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Clifford T. Knudsen and Taryn Knudsen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTIAN MERRILL  
AND  
JUNE MERRILL

Index No.: 113102/98,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Christian Merrill and June Merrill  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM NORTON  
AND  
IRENE NORTON


Index No.: 190134/11, 190225/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013




Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
William Norton and Irene Norton  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH P. OLEXA  
AND  
FRANCES OLEXA


Index No.: 106209/01, 119373/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013




franko-his  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Joseph P. Olexa and Frances Olexa  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

324-5792H

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

FRANKLIN POTTER  
AND  
BONNIE POTTER


Index No.: 121088/97, 105221/98,  
111040/98, 102788/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Franklin Potter and Bonnie Potter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

SEYMOUR SAMUELS  
AND  
ALICE M. SAMUELS

Index No.: 190024/08,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
frank o-hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Seymour Samuels and Alice M. Samuels  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampan, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RAYMOND WACHOWICZ  
AND  
RUTH WACHOWICZ


Index No.: 125770/99, 107135/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Raymond Wachowicz and Ruth Wachowicz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014 324-5105AH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

FRANK DUFFY  
AND  
MARGARET F. DUFFY

Index No.: 107994/99,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Frank Duffy and Margaret F. Duffy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

324-9533

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH M. HUNTZ  
AND  
GERALDINE HUNTZ

Index No.: 190245/09, 105717/02

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph M. Huntz and Geraldine Huntz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alys Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RONALD Z. KOSIBA  
AND  
MARGARET J. KOSIBA

Index No.: 126710/02, 110270/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald Z. Kosiba and Margaret J. Kosiba  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

RONALD Z. KOSIBA  
AND  
MARGARET J. KOSIBA


Index No.: 126710/02, 110270/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald Z. Kosiba and Margaret J. Kosiba  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOHN B. MANNION

Index No.: 106037/02, 121004/01


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank A. Heitler  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
John B. Mannion  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30  
324-6317P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

KLAUS MAURER  
AND  
INGRID MAURER


Index No.: 103265/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013




Amkoshi  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Klaus Maurer and Ingrid Maurer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30 324-7313

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH RICHARD MAYBURY  
AND  
BENAY MAYBURY

Index No.: 100609/99,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013

Runko-Hi  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Joseph Richard Maybury and Benay Maybury  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Shery Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOHN D. MCELLIGOTT  
AND  
PATRICIA M. MCELLIGOTT

Index No.: 110712/02, 107003/02


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/2/14, 2013

  
Frank Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
John D. McElligott and Patricia M. McElligott  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

324-6737F

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL VINCENT BRUNO  
AND  
JOAN BRUNO


Index No.: 119038/01, 134989/94

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



Frank O-172  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Vincent Bruno and Joan Bruno  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(Heitler, J.) NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL VINCENT BRUNO  
AND  
JOAN BRUNO

Index No.: 119038/01, 134989/94

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Osh  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Vincent Bruno and Joan Bruno  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30 1235-8847

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT S. COPE  
AND  
MARGARET G. DONOHUE-COPE


Index No.: 108101/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013




Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert S. Cope and Margaret G. Donohue-Cope  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT S. COPE  
AND  
MARGARET G. DONOHUE-COPE

Index No.: 108101/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Frank O'Leary  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert S. Cope and Margaret G. Donohue-Cope  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

1122-21379

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ROBERT S. COPE  
AND  
MARGARET G. DONOHUE-COPE


Index No.: 108101/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/19, 2013



Frank O. H. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert S. Cope and Margaret G. Donohue-Cope  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

ART 30

1235-20879

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ROBERT S. COPE  
AND  
MARGARET G. DONOHUE-COPE

Index No.: 108101/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/19, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert S. Cope and Margaret G. Donohue-Cope  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30 2383-25572

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH M. HUNTZ  
AND  
GERALDINE HUNTZ


Index No.: 190245/09, 105717/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph M. Huntz and Geraldine Huntz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

1235-22911

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RONALD Z. KOSIBA  
AND  
MARGARET J. KOSIBA


Index No.: 126710/02, 110270/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald Z. Kosiba and Margaret J. Kosiba  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD LAWIDA  
AND  
ESTHER LAWIDA

Index No.: 107220/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



Franko-Ki  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard Lawida and Esther Lawida  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

1122-6888

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD LAWIDA  
AND  
ESTHER LAWIDA

Index No.: 107220/02,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Osh  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard Lawida and Esther Lawida  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RICHARD LAWIDA  
AND  
ESTHER LAWIDA


Index No.: 107220/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013




frank o'neil  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard Lawida and Esther Lawida  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30  
2383-25552T

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

JOHN ROBERT MCALLISTER  
AND  
CAROLYN MCALLISTER


Index No.: 119381/00, 103465/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Robert McAllister and Carolyn McAllister  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kristin Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119381/00, 103465/01


JOHN ROBERT MCALLISTER  
AND  
CAROLYN MCALLISTER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Robert McAllister and Carolyn McAllister  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Eschin Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

1235-14133

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

JOHN ROBERT MCALLISTER  
AND  
CAROLYN MCALLISTER


Index No.: 119381/00, 103465/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Robert McAllister and Carolyn McAllister  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014

2383-22454AR

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120768/01, 111223/01


VINCENT O'CONNELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



frank o'connell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Vincent O'Connell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE C. YOUNG

Index No.: 102458/01,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/9/14, 2013



Franko-Hi  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Willie C. Young  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

324-5998

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120768/01, 111223/01


VINCENT O'CONNELL


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
frank o'connell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Vincent O'Connell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klaus Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 120768/01, 111223/01


VINCENT O'CONNELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
frank o'connell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Vincent O'Connell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JAMES O'LEARY

Index No.: 132969/93,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/19, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James O'Leary  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

1235-17901

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH PISARRO  
AND  
ELZA PISSARO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190349/10,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Pisarro and Elza Pissaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

1235-23469

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH PISARRO  
AND  
ELZA PISSARO

Index No.: 190349/10,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Pisarro and Elza Pissaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

GERALD R. ROOT  
AND  
SHEILA A. ROOT

Index No.: 109747/00, 122191/99


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald R. Root and Sheila A. Root  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

GERALD R. ROOT  
AND  
SHEILA A. ROOT


Index No.: 109747/00, 122191/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald R. Root and Sheila A. Root  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Keryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherie Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30 11223624

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109747/00, 122191/99

GERALD R. ROOT  
AND  
SHEILA A. ROOT

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/19, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald R. Root and Sheila A. Root  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED** 1235-3149  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROSS F. RUSSO, JR.  
AND  
MARYJANE RUSSO

Index No.: 108773/02, 105870/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ross F. Russo, Jr. and Maryjane Russo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOHN SALADINO  
AND  
ROSARIA SALADINO

Index No.: 190291/11,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013

  
Frank O'Neil  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Saladino and Rosaria Saladino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Sklar Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

JOHN SALADINO  
AND  
ROSARIA SALADINO

Index No.: 190291/11,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Saladino and Rosaria Saladino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Ellen Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

2383-29775

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL S. STACK  
AND  
JOAN STACK

Index No.: 125354/00,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael S. Stack and Joan Stack  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JAMES G. STEPHENSON


Index No.: 111353/02, 106999/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013



Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James G. Stephenson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111353/02, 106999/02

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JAMES G. STEPHENSON

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James G. Stephenson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014 1235-5184  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120768/01, 111223/01


VINCENT O'CONNELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Vincent O'Connell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190371/10,

CHUNG SOON O'DWYER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Chung Soon O'Dwyer  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 986-2233



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Duro Dyne Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

CHUNG SOON O'DWYER


Index No.: 190371/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, John W. Wallace & Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, John W. Wallace & Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, John W. Wallace & Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Chung Soon O'Dwyer  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 986-2233



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
John W. Wallace & Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klens Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

CHUNG SOON O'DWYER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190371/10,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Chung Soon O'Dwyer  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10002  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190371/10,

CHUNG SOON O'DWYER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Chung Soon O'Dwyer  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 986-2233



Keyvann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

GERALD R. ROOT  
AND  
SHEILA A. ROOT

Index No.: 109747/00, 122191/99


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald R. Root and Sheila A. Root  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 2383-26036G

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

ROSS F. RUSSO, JR.  
AND  
MARYJANE RUSSO


Index No.: 108773/02, 105870/02 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ross F. Russo, Jr. and Maryjane Russo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

CAPTIONED

1122-7624

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROSS F. RUSSO, JR.  
AND  
MARYJANE RUSSO


Index No.: 108773/02, 105870/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ross F. Russo, Jr. and Maryjane Russo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30  
1233-7128

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

THEODORE A. WOLKIEWICZ  
AND  
IRENE WOLKIEWICZ

Index No.: 190113/08,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/19, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Theodore A. Wolkiewicz and Irene Wolkiewicz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30  
1122-23124

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

THEODORE A. WOLKIEWICZ  
AND  
IRENE WOLKIEWICZ

Index No.: 190113/08,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
Frank Oshiz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Theodore A. Wolkiewicz and Irene Wolkiewicz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

1235-22735

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN ~~RE~~: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THEODORE A. WOLKIEWICZ  
AND  
IRENE WOLKIEWICZ


Index No.: 190113/08,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Oshatz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Theodore A. Wolkiewicz and Irene Wolkiewicz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

2383-27882

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

WILLARD CONKLIN  
AND  
ELIZABETH CONKLIN


Index No.: 105921/01, 119398/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Willard Conklin and Elizabeth Conklin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
1122-22589  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

WILLARD CONKLIN  
AND  
ELIZABETH CONKLIN

Index No.: 105921/01, 119398/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Willard Conklin and Elizabeth Conklin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE J. FARRELL  
AND  
KATHLEEN FARRELL

Index No.: 100607/01, 119394/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lawrence J. Farrell and Kathleen Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30 1122-24315

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE J. FARRELL  
AND  
KATHLEEN FARRELL

Index No.: 100607/01, 119394/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

*Matthew M. Sotgiu*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lawrence J. Farrell and Kathleen Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*Caitlin E. Bell*

Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014

1235-24130

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111353/02, 106999/02

JAMES G. STEPHENSON

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James G. Stephenson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ALLEN R. THOROGOOD  
AND  
MARGARET C. THOROGOOD


Index No.: 190070/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hi  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Allen R. Thorogood and Margaret C. Thorogood  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ALLEN R. THOROGOOD  
AND  
MARGARET C. THOROGOOD


Index No.: 190070/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
12/18, 2013



Aron Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Allen R. Thorogood and Margaret C. Thorogood  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

1235-22790

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ALLEN R. THOROGOOD  
AND  
MARGARET C. THOROGOOD


Index No.: 190070/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Allen R. Thorogood and Margaret C. Thorogood  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kasin Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

2383-27915

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

JAMES TRACEY  
AND  
MAUREEN TRACEY


Index No.: 101958/01,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Tracey and Maureen Tracey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
1235-24131  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JAMES TRACEY  
AND  
MAUREEN TRACEY


Index No.: 101958/01,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/10, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Tracey and Maureen Tracey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL TUFANO  
AND  
JOSEPHINE TUFANO

Index No.: 109384/01,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Tufano and Josephine Tufano  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL TUFANO  
AND  
JOSEPHINE TUFANO

Index No.: 109384/01,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1230, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Tufano and Josephine Tufano  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP J. WALSH  
AND  
ROSE ANN WALSH

Index No.: 107217/01, 119376/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013



John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip J. Walsh Rose Ann Walsh  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP J. WALSH  
AND  
ROSE ANN WALSH

Index No.: 107217/01, 119376/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


FEB 04 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip J. Walsh Rose Ann Walsh  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Lynn Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

CT 30

1235-17198

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP J. WALSH  
AND  
ROSE ANN WALSH


Index No.: 107217/01, 119376/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip J. Walsh Rose Ann Walsh  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30  
2383-22457L

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM  
AND  
JOHANNA PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM

Index No.: 190129/13,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13/14, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Laurence Cunningham and Johanna Prince-  
Cunningham  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Nash Engineering Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

505-3353

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM  
AND  
JOHANNA PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM

Index No.: 190129/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Laurence Cunningham and Johanna Prince-Cunningham  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Algoma Hardwoods, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

DONALD IZBICKI  
AND  
MADELINE IZBICKI

Index No.: 190140/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Izbicki and Madeline Izbicki  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Ann Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

504-1132

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM  
AND  
JOHANNA PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM

Index No.: 190129/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Higbee Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee, Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. Inc. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products, Inc. herein as Higbee, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Higbee, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Higbee, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Laurence Cunningham and Johanna Prince-Cunningham  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Higbee Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM  
AND  
JOHANNA PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM


Index No.: 190129/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Pecora Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Pecora Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Pecora Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Laurence Cunningham and Johanna Prince-  
Cunningham  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Pecora Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ZBIGNIEW THOMAS JALOWSKI  
AND  
IVONA JALOWSKI

Index No.: 190474/12,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DAP, Inc. Improperly plead as Dap Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DAP, Inc. Improperly plead as Dap Products, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAP, Inc. Improperly plead as Dap Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Zbigniew Thomas Jalowski and Ivona Jalowski  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
DAP, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ZBIGNIEW THOMAS JALOWSKI  
and  
IVONA JALOWSKI

Index No.: 190474/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13/14, 2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
JALOWSKI, ZBIGNIEW THOMAS  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Lee Schneider, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

TEODOSIO V. PATINO-BERNAL  
AND  
ROSA PATINO

Index No.: 190099/13,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Seco/Warwick Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Seco/Warwick Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Seco/Warwick Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13/2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Teodosio V. Patino-Bernal and Rosa Patino  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Seco/Warwick Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014 1943-7  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
ROBERT FERRONE, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF DOMINICK FERRONE,  Plaintiff(s)
against
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,  Defendants.

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


Index No: 105174/04


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodall Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Goodall Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goodall Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

  
 Brian Early, Esq.  
**EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC**  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 New York, NY 10017  
 (212) 986-2233

  
 Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
 Attorneys for Goodall Rubber Company  
 116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 New York, NY 10016  
 (212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,  
  
 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
 JAN 22 2014  
 PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190371/10,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

CHUNG SOON O'DWYER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, SRI International, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, SRI International, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, SRI International, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Chung Soon O'Dwyer  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 986-2233



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
SRI International  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
3162-2

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

RALPH SALZANO  
AND  
MARGARET SALZANO

Index No.: 190173/09, 120391/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

  
John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ralph Salzano and Margaret Salzano  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
2383-46  
I 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LEWIS SHAW  
AND  
A. DAWN CHAPMAN


Index No.: 190188/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013



John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lewis Shaw and A. Dawn Chapman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

LEWIS SHAW  
AND  
A. DAWN CHAPMAN


Index No.: 190188/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/30, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lewis Shaw and A. Dawn Chapman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30  
963-308

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ZBIGNIEW THOMAS JALOWSKI  
AND  
IVONA JALOWSKI

Index No.: 190474/12,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Zbigniew Thomas Jalowski and Ivona Jalowski  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190138/13

CYNTHIA SCHONBERGER,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Atwood & Morrill Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


1/13/2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Cynthia Schonberger  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-15750



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

963-1554

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT DAVID SCHRADER  
AND  
GLADYS SCHRADER

Index No.: 190404/12,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert David Schrader and Gladys Schrader  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**FILED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

FRANCES S. VALENSI  
AND  
DANIEL E. VALENSI


Index No.: 190340/12, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Daniel E. Valensi and Frances S. Valensi  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Nash Engineering Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

505-3088

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

DONALD IZBICKI  
AND  
MADELINE IZBICKI

Index No.: 190140/13,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC incorrectly sued as Zurn Industries, Inc. a/k/a and successor-in-interest to Erie City Iron Works, hereinafter referred to as Zurn Industries, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13/14, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Izbicki and Madeline Izbicki  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Alys Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Zurn Industries, LLC  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

ZBIGNIEW THOMAS JALOWSKI  
AND  
IVONA JALOWSKI

Index No.: 190474/12,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13/14, 2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Zbigniew Thomas Jalowski and Ivona Jalowski  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Zurn Industries, LLC  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190138/13,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


CYNTHIA SCHONBERGER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC incorrectly sued as Zurn Industries, Inc. a/k/a and successor-in-interest to Erie City Iron Works, hereinafter referred to as Zurn Industries, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Cynthia Schonberger  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Alysa Koloms, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Zurn Industries, LLC  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

FRANCES S. VALENSI  
AND  
DANIEL E. VALENSI

Index No.: 190340/12,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

1/13/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Daniel E. Valensi and Frances S. Valensi  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates to:

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM  
AND  
JOHANNA PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM

Index No.: 190129/13,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Laurence Cunningham and Johanna Prince-  
Cunningham  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Sid Harvey Industries, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

X:/ATT28777/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
JEREMIAH and CATHERINE MCCARTHY,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 121029/96

H&A CONSTRUCTION, et al.;

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



NICHOLAS NOWACK, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

1/13/14



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for **ATLAS TURNER, INC.**,  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

CLB56192/legal/nosjm June 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
HARRY SILVERBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 108537/02 &  
121099/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

1/13/14



Brain Feld, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

CLB58050/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JAMES E. MCCABE and JAMIE  
FELICIA and STEPHANIE TOBIN, AS Co  
Executrices for the Estate of SHIRLEY A. MCCABE,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 106579/02 &  
113073/02

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 1/21/13

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Cromer, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

X:/DOM58795/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
GIUSEPPE LIOTTA,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

- against -

Index No.: 190373/13


AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.;

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Joseph H. Williams, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
The Williams Firm, P.C.  
245 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10167

1/13/14



Thomas Marafioti, Esq.  
Attorneys for DOMCO PRODUCTS  
TEXAS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heffer

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190436/12



**PHILIP THIBODEAU**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

 Brendan J. Tully, Esq. Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10022	 Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
--	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115739/06


**CLAIRE JOCKERS, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of DONALD  
JOCKERS**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas Novak, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(Heitler, S.) NEW YORK

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190186/09

**KAREN MAHONES and JAMES MAHONES,  
as Co-Administrators of the Estate of JOHN  
MAHONES LaSALLE**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler Hammer, n/k/a Eaton Electrical, Inc.) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

1/13, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation, as  
successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and  
Cutler-Hammer, Inc.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----  
SUSAN CARLSEN, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of RICHARD B. CARLSEN, and SUSAN  
CARLSEN, Individually,

Index No.: 190083/13

**Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

- against -

**A. O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,**


-----  
**Defendants**  
-----

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*January 13, 2014*




\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabriel G. Forstendig, Esq.  
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-  
interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111679-08


**JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JAMES V. TEMPIO, and  
JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, Individually**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as  
Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant  
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant  
Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/31, 2013

  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Lipe Automation Corporation  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190110/2013

**RAYMOND T. DONOVAN and MARGARET  
DONOVAN**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Co., Edward Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Co.) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/31, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Laurence V. Nassif, Esq.  
Seegar Weiss, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
77 Water Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt  
Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

REC  
DEC 06 2013  
BY: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190278/2013


**JACK P. SCAINETTI and MARGARET  
SCAINETTI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
1/21/14

David Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom  
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

RECEIVED  
JAN 07 1974  
BY \_\_\_\_\_

4181/6/1  
C. C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111778-04

**ELIZABETH S. McDONALD, as Executrix for  
the Estate of ROBERT McDONALD, and  
ELIZABETH McDONALD, Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as  
Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant  
to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant  
Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
12/12, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Lipe Automation Corporation  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30



**FILED**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(Heitler, J.) NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 01-100607

KATHLEEN FARRELL, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of LAWRENCE J.  
FARRELL,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

ACANDS, INC.,

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ



JASON S. RIEMER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10005

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Kathleen Farrell, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of Lawrence J. Farrell  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO,  
MORAN, DUNST  
& DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street  
Suite 1100  
New York, NY

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190131/2013


**ROBERT TEAGUE,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
01/02, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom  
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190135/2013


**ROBERT FLAHIVE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
01/02, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as  
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward  
Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt  
Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Shirley Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

X:/ATT27638/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PAUL and BETTY BLAUDOIN,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**FILED**

Plaintiff(s),

FEB 04 2014

- against -

Index No.: 123436/95

GARLOCK, INC., et al.;

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~NICHOLAS FOGACK~~ ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for **ATLAS TURNER, INC.**,  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

1/13/14

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ROBERT M. ELKINS AND BARBARA A. ELKINS,

Index No: 190249/10

Plaintiff(s)

against

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodall Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Goodall Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goodall Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/10, 2014



Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP  
Attorneys for Goodall Rubber Company  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

THOMAS HOUSTON AND ELLEN  
HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

BEAZER EAST, INC. (Individually and as  
successor-in-interest to THIEM CORP and  
UNIVERSAL REFRACTORIES), *et al.*,

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No. 190119/2012


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Glencord Building Corp. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Glencord Building Corp. without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto; it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Glencord Building Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 19, 2013

By:   
Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.   
Darron Bergust, Esq.

The Lanier Law Firm  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
126 East 56<sup>th</sup> Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
Tel: (212) 584-0700

By:   
Joshua Sivin, Esq.

Johnson Gallagher Magliery, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Glencord Building Corp.  
99 Wall Street - 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
Tel: (212) 248-2220

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

STATE OF NEW YORK  
SUPREME COURT : COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

VINCENT SANTINO AND FRANCES SANTINO,

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC.; et al.,


Index No.: 13-190121

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant BOSTIK, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BOSTIK, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BOSTIK, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Buffalo, New York  
January 14, 2014



Mark Bibro, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233



Christopher Bridge, Esq.  
GIBSON, McASKILL & CROSBY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Bostik, Inc.  
69 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900  
Buffalo, New York 14202  
(716) 856-4200

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190436/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

PHILIP THIBODEAU,

Plaintiff(s)

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED** NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION


FEB 04 2014

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17, 2014  
New York, New York

  
~~Brendan Tully, Esq.~~ ~~NICHOLAS NOWACK, Esq.~~  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS &  
KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 605-6200

  
Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Aurora Pump Company  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 20

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 111054-98  
114235-99  
190405-13

EUGENE SOWA,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

  
Erik Jacoby Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190212/13

-----x  
FRANK D. ESPOSITO,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.  
-----x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

1/20/2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

\_\_\_\_\_  
John M. Guerriero, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JOSEPH SOLAZZO,

Index No.: 190351/13

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,  
INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.


-----x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

1/16/2014



Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Theodore Eder, Esq  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

ROBERT BRUCE BURAWA and DEBORAH  
ANN BURAWA,

Index No.: 190272/12  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-against-  
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

CERTAIN -TEED CORPORATION, et al.,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.  
-----x


WHEREFORE, Defendant Westerbeke Corp., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Westerbeke Corp., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Westerbeke Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

1/9/14  


Jordan Fox, Esq. *Seth Diamond*  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, New York 10036



Andre E. Harlfinger, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant Westerbeke Corp.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190129/13

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM and JOHANNA  
PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


3M COMPANY, individually and as successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
~~December 27~~  
December 27, 2013

  
Stephanie Spangler, Esq.  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street – 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(646) 589-8703

  
Jessica Russell, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Laurence Cunningham and Johanna Prince-  
Cunningham  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190392/2012

JOHN W. ADLER and ELAINE ADLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining &  
Manufacturing Co., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Milwaukee Valve Company, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Milwaukee Valve Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Milwaukee Valve Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
~~November~~ <sup>December</sup> 4, 2013



Joanna Drozd  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Milwaukee Valve  
Company, Inc.  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655




Carmen St. George, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190392/2012

JOHN W. ADLER and ELAINE ADLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining &  
Manufacturing Co., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
~~November~~ <sup>December</sup> 4, 2013



Joanna Drozd  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655



Carmen St. George, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAURA SCHNEIDER, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of PHILIP T. SCHNEIDER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No. 122787/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.



James M. Skelly, Esq.



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94634

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NUNZIA M. SERENO and ARTHUR SERENO, as Co-Executors for the Estate of SAL SERENO, and SILVIA SERENO Individually

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NYCAL NEW YORK  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 126706/02 &  
110270/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.



James M. Skelly, Esq.



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94641

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

MICHAEL J. STEPHENSON, as Executor for the Estate  
of JAMES G. STEPHENSON

Index No. 111353/02  
106999/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94636

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILLIP TAMBASCO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 114014/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94645

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP WALSH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 107217/01 &  
119376/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.




James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94663

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sheri Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARGARET C. THOROGOOD, individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of ALLEN R. THOROGOOD


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No. 190070/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.



James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.83820

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN Q. ZERO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 115276/00 &  
108383/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,**

**DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.94625

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----x  
ROBERT BRENNAN and BARBARA BRENNAN,

Index No. 190295/2013

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, Baltimore Aircoil Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Baltimore Aircoil Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Baltimore Aircoil Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 18, 2013

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID L.  
FERSTENDIG, LLC


By: 

By: 

Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

David L. Ferstendig, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Baltimore Aircoil Company, Inc.  
280 Madison Avenue, Suite 300  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 213-1233

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Heider, JSC

RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN A. METROKA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190108/09


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 1/10, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.84070

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NANCIE J. ROHMANN as Executrix for the Estate of  
GLADYS MILLER


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No. 190011/10

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94651

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANGELA RYAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
ANDREW M. MORMILE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No. 190218/09


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.



**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94652

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sheryl Klein Heitler



**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD NASH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

**Index No.** 106708/02 &  
116095/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94598

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

THERESA LOMBARDI, as Executrix for the Estate of  
VINCENT O'CONNELL

Index No. 120768/01 &  
111223/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94660

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

SHEILA A. ROOT, as Executrix for the Estate of  
GERALD R. ROOT, and SHEILA A. ROOT,  
Individually

**Index No.** 109747/00  
122191/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94661

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARYJANE RUSSO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
ROSS F. RUSSO JR., and MARYJANE RUSSO,  
Individually


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
**Index No.** 105870/02 &  
108773/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94635

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RALPH SALZANO and MARGARET SALZANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

**Index No.** 190173/09 &  
120391/01 & 104275/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.84434

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190360/12

MICHAEL ANTLE and VASHTEE ANTLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

January 16, 2014




Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.28682



Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Antle and Vashtee Antle  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
212.681.1575

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190028/2010

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

This Document relates to:

CAROL FREDIANI, as Proposed Administratrix for the  
Estate of MARSHALL TYSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/13/14




Jesse Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.26815



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
CAROL FREDIANI, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of MARSHALL TYSON  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sheri Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

JAN 21 2014

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THEODORE A. WOLKIEWICZ and  
IRENE WOLKIEWICZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


**Index No.** 190113/08

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Grace, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.83419

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER JOHANNESSEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


**Index No.** 126686/02 &  
104016/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014

  
Frank Orth, Esq.

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94611

SO ORDERED,

  
Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT G. AMITRANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 113375/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94657

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
\_\_\_\_\_

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

PHILIP THIBODEAU,

Index No. 190436/12

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing  
Co., et al., including MAGNATROL VALVE CORP.,

Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

WHEREFORE, defendant MAGNATROL VALVE CORPORATION (hereinafter "Magnatrol") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Magnatrol with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Magnatrol be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2014



Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Philip Thibodeau*  
(212) 605-6200



David P. Schaffer, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Magnatrol Valve Corporation*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111054/1998  
114235/1999  
190405/2013

EUGENE SOWA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER/DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/13, 2014  
Harrison, New York

  
Eric Jacobs, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(914) 412-7300

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190439/2012

RUTH VANTOSH and HAROLD VANTOSH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

INDUSTRIAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION,  
f/k/a Carborundum Corporation.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
INDUSTRIAL  
HOLDINGS  
CORPORATION  
f/k/a Carborundum  
Corporation**

Defendant.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant INDUSTRIAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION, f/k/a Carborundum Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant INDUSTRIAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION, f/k/a Carborundum Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant INDUSTRIAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION, f/k/a Carborundum Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York

01/14, 2014  
  
Sharon Zinns, Esq. *Sharon Zinns*  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
1800 Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309  
(404) 748-1600

  
Diane H. Miller, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendants*  
INDUSTRIAL HOLDINGS CORPORATION,  
f/k/a Carborundum Corporation  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

JOHN FREEMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:  
:  
: Index No(s): 126682-02

:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/31/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates To:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JOHN FREEMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

-against-

: Index No(s): 103891-03

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/3/14  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JAMES V. TEMPIO, and  
JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 111679-08

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/3/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

FEB 04 2014

This Document Relates To:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SONDRA SUTKIN, as Personal Representative :  
for the Estate of STANLEY SUTKIN, and :  
SONDRA SUTKIN, Individually, :

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s),

Index No(s): 190073-09

-against-

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :


Defendants.  
-----x


**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/3/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No.: 190451-13  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

ELEANOR VICTORIA HARRIS, Individually and  
as Executrix of the Estate of KENNETH CHARLES  
HARRIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a  
Viacom Inc, Successor by Merger to CBS Corporation, a  
Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric  
Corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO., improperly sued as "CRANE CO., Individually and as  
Successor to Cochrane" (hereinafter "CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint  
against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: JANUARY 14 2014  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Kirsten Alford Kneis*  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&I GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ALLAN SYLVIA JR. and MARION SYLVIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS  
INC., et al,

Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 190176-13

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO., on behalf of named defendants Crane Co., Stockham Valves & Fittings Inc., an unincorporated product line and Jenkins Valves, Inc. (hereinafter "CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/23/13  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6003  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 104830/02**

JOHN J. CURLEY


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_x


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Jan. 16, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
John J. Curley  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
Morse Diesel, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 190135/13**

ROBERT FLAHIVE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*1/13*, 2014




\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Robert Flahive*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherrill Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

LOUIS D. STILES and CORNELIA STILES,

Index No. 190326/2013

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.*,  
including BLACKMER,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Blackmer hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Blackmer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Blackmer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
JANUARY 6, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

*Peter Tambini, Esq.*  
David Chandler, Esq.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Louis D. Stiles, et al.*  
(212) 558-5500

Grace De Mario, Esq.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

JAN 22 2014

RECEIVED  
PART 30



**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107014/08


Robert Shernowitz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1113/14 2013  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FEB 04 2014

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190157/13


**BARBARA DEMAIO, as Executrix for the Estate  
of ANTHONY DEMAIO, and BARBARA  
DEMAIO, Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer Materials & Services, Inc. and sued as Koppers Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer Materials & Services, Inc. and sued as Koppers Industries, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 21, 2014



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Brian T. Murnane, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Beazer East, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212)452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190047/13

**JACK APPELBAUM and VALERIE APPELBAUM**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/15, 2014



Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190554/12

**JOHN MURRAY and MARRY MURRAY**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21, 2014




Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

JAN 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190167/13

**JANNIE SWINTON AND KELTON LAWRENCE**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/16, 2014



Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
**DARGER-ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
LISA M. SCHRADER, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
BART M. MICELI, and JOAN MICELLI, Individually,

Index No. 100057/99

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-


A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING &  
SUPPLY), et al, including, ABB, INC.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant ABB, Inc., (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE  
Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against  
defendant ABB, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
ABB, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
*Dec 4*, 2013



Dana Northcraft, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Bart M. Miceli, et al.  
(212) 558-5500



Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ABB, Inc.  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler

Dated: JAN 22 2014

RECEIVED  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:  
PHILIP THIBODEAU


Index No: 190436-12


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant by its attorneys, AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. "BUFFALO PUMPS" by its attorneys WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: January 17, 2014  
New York, New York

  
Brendan Tully, Esq.  
Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
John S. Howarth, Esq.  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Air and Liquid Systems Corp., as successor  
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
SUSAN CARLSEN, as Administratrix for the Estate  
Of RICHARD B. CARLSEN and SUSAN CARLSEN,  
Individually,

Index No.: 190083-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan and  
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX** with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
1/22, 2014

*Patti Burshtyn*

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*Cynthia K. Messemer*

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Ind. and as successor to Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 200  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: *[Signature]*

J.S.C. RECEIVED

JAN 22 2014

PART 30

RECEIVED  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES LAWRENCE SHEEHAN and MARGARET  
SHEENAN,

Index No.: 190425/13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as  
Successor to Sarco Company, et al.

Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as  
**successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SARCO")** hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SARCO**,  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
1/8, 2013 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Ind. and as  
successor to Sarco Company  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 22 2014  
**PART 30**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190436/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

PHILIP THIBODEAU,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17, 2014  
New York, New York

  
~~Brendan Tully, Esq.~~ **NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.**  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG,  
LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 605-6200

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
**PART 30**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Index No.: 190284/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROLLAND M. ENGLE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17, 2014  
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

  
Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
**PART 30**

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190401/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM DELGADO,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17, 2014  
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190355/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ABRAHAM FREUND,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014


Dated: 1/17, 2014  
New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

  
Dinesh U. Dadlani, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190087/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

STANLEY LARSEN,

**FILED**

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

FEB 04 2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17/2014  
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
New York  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS R. HELLWIG,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190509/12

**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17, 2014  
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
**PART 30**



**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP THIBODEAU,

*Plaintiff*

New York Asbestos  
Litigation (NYCAL)

Index No. 190436-12

- against -

3M COMPANY, f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING &  
MANUFACTURING CO, et. al.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT AND ORDER**


*Defendants*

WHEREFORE, defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.) ("Navistar") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Navistar, along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice, there being no opposition hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: 1/14/14

Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP

By: 

Brendan J. Tully, Esquire  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Philip Thibodeau  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC

By: 

Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
International Truck and Engine  
Corporation, (now by operation of name  
change only known as Navistar, Inc.)  
9 S. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Two Liberty Place  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

SO ORDERED:



J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

RECEIVED  
DEC 17 2013  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190324/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

HENRY M. MANNING,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**  
**FILED**

FEB 04 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: January 21<sup>st</sup>, 2014  
New York, New York



Peter Tambini, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Aurora Pump Company  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1000  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 103309/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BARTLEY MOONEY,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -

**FILED**

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

FEB 04 2014


Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/13/14, ~~2013~~ <sup>2014</sup>  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talehe N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Steven Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190140/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM H. FIELDS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION  
FILED**

FEB 0 4 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

FEB 0 4 2014

Dated: 1/16, 2014  
New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster  
Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Aurora Pump Company  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190287/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANGELO IPPOLITO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**FILED**


FEB 04 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1/17, 2013  
New York, New York

  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30

FEB 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

This Document relates to:

Index No. 117231-2007

CARL VULTAGGIO and CATHERINE VULTAGGIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/13/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jesse Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.8451

CARL VULTAGGIO and CATHERINE  
VULTAGGIO,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
JAN 21 2014  
PART 30