SCANNED ON 1/9/2013

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES DURHAM, Plaintiffs, -against- ABEX CORPORATION ET. AL, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 105615/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Durham, James
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-8587

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW KORNACKI AND LUANA KORNACKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121910/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

02/24/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kornacki, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

1122-1316

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW KORNACKI and LUANA KORNACKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121910/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

02/24/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kornacki, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-830

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHELE TUDISCO AND OLGA TUDISCO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110269/02, 126818/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tudisco, Michele
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. ~~Sherry Klein~~ Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25692

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERONICA SHEDLOW, Individually and Personal Representative for the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107086/01, 119379/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shedlow, Stephen M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-22455

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ARLENE PANCZAK, as Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD P. SITAREK, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107004/02, 115173/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sitarek, Leonard P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25599

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW J. SUSALKA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, 120076/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Susalka, Andrew J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-7210

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW J. SUSALKA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, 120076/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Susalka, Andrew J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-6714

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW J. SUSALKA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, 120076/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Susalka, Andrew J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25726

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH SYRACUSE AND JOSELLE SYRACUSE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124444/02, 127679/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Syracuse, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-24047

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH SYRACUSE AND JOSELLE SYRACUSE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124444/02, 127679/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Syracuse, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-23704

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HARRY TARNOWSKI AND BETTY TARNOWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113278/02, 119468/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tarnowski, Harry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25731

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES P. MASTIN AND LENORA E. MASTIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107401/02, 113481/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mastin, James P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-5417

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LAWRENCE G. MANNING, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107103/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Manning, Lawrence G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-19047

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GILBERT EUGENE CARMAN AND MARY ELLEN CARMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113566/02, 126045/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carman, Gilbert Eugene
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-8830

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GILBERT EUGENE CARMAN AND MARY ELLEN CARMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113566/02, 126045/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carman, Gilbert Eugene
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-8333

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NEIL WALKER AND IRENE WALKER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 110009/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/17/ ,2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Neil Walker and Irene Walker
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 10 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7431

APR 02 2012

SKH - Move & Dtd

{N0135052-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERTA MCCLEOD, Executrix for the Estate of JOHN MCCLEOD and ROBERTA MCCLEOD, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126039/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

4/9/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McLeod, John
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 10 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1122-21415

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW KORNACKI AND LUANA KORNACKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121910/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kornacki, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25807

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS C. LATZER AND THELMA LATZER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125211/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Latzer, Nicholas C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25894

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RALPH F. LUISI AND THERESA LUISI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124445/02, 103178/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Luisi, Ralph F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-23930

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RALPH F. LUISI AND THERESA LUISI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124445/02, 103178/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Luisi, Ralph F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-23703

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RALPH F. LUISI AND THERESA LUISI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124445/02, 103178/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Luisi, Ralph F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25880

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. IRWIN AND MARY IRWIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109649/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Irwin, Thomas A.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-21953

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. IRWIN AND MARY IRWIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109649/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Irwin, Thomas A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-21465

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. IRWIN AND MARY IRWIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109649/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Irwin, Thomas A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25654

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROL JASENSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD J. JASENSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118276/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jasenski, Richard J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-1896

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROL JASENSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD J. JASENSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118276/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jasenski, Richard J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-1413

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROL JASENSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD J. JASENSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118276/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jasenski, Richard J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25760

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PAUL KARTIS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113567/02, 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kartis, Paul
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25730

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DALE L. LYONS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110270/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lyons, Dale L.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-9186

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LINDA PURT and JUDY KING as Co-Executrices for the Estate of FREDERICK E. KNOPP, SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107400/02, 114065/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knopp, Frederick E., Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25554

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS HYNES AND CLAIRE HYNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124339/02, 101994/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hynes, Thomas
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-23928

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANNA DOMINICK, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES V. DOMINICK and ANNA DOMINICK, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113276/02, 118787/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick, James V.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-23927

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANNA DOMINICK, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES V. DOMINICK and ANNA DOMINICK, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113276/02, 118787/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick, James V.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-23582

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM R. DOWDLE, JR. AND MARGARET DOWDLE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107403/02, 114121/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dowdle, William R. Jr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-4872

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM R. DOWDLE, JR. AND MARGARET DOWDLE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107403/02, 114121/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dowdle, William R. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-4395

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM R. DOWDLE, JR. AND MARGARET DOWDLE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107403/02, 114121/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dowdle, William R. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25555

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER E. FLEMING AND CYNTHIA FLEMING, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107222/02, 113637/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fleming, Peter E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-5205

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER E. FLEMING AND CYNTHIA FLEMING, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107222/02, 113637/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fleming, Peter E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-4728

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS HYNES AND CLAIRE HYNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124339/02, 101994/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hynes, Thomas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-23583

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS HYNES AND CLAIRE HYNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124339/02, 101994/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hynes, Thomas
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25901

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN DINON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109059/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dinon, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-18206

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN DINON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109059/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dinon, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25620

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANTHONY F. CATALDO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121082/02, 127128/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cataldo, Anthony F.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-8945

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANTHONY F. CATALDO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121082/02, 127128/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cataldo, Anthony F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
MAR 02 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-8448

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANTHONY F. CATALDO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121082/02, 127128/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cataldo, Anthony F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25821

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD D. CHENEY AND GAYLE B. CHENEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, 120109/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cheney, Donald D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-7230

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD D. CHENEY AND GAYLE B. CHENEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, 120109/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cheney, Donald D.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10001
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-6734

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD D. CHENEY AND GAYLE B. CHENEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, 120109/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cheney, Donald D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25726

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSE N. CINTRON AND MARIA CINTRON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107099/02, 117573/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cintron, Jose N.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-9844

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN T. BYRNES, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN P. BYRNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113278/02, 119504/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 15, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Byrnes, John P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2571-583

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LINDA PURT and JUDY KING as Co-Executrices for the Estate of FREDERICK E. KNOPP, SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107400/02, 114065/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knopp, Frederick E., Sr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-8050

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LINDA PURT and JUDY KING as Co-Executrices for the Estate of FREDERICK E. KNOPP, SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107400/02, 114065/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knopp, Frederick E., Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-7553

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BRADLEY FRITTON, as Administrator for the Estate of JAMES R. FRITTON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114716/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fritton, James R.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-1781

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BRADLEY FRITTON, as Administrator for the Estate of JAMES R. FRITTON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114716/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fritton, James R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-1297

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BRADLEY FRITTON, as Administrator for the Estate oF JAMES R. FRITTON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114716/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fritton, James R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25706

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JANET A. HART AND RAYMOND E. HART, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107403/02, 114112/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hart, Janet A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-23924

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JANET A. HART AND RAYMOND E. HART, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107403/02, 114112/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hart, Janet A.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-23579

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JANET A. HART AND RAYMOND E. HART, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107403/02, 114112/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hart, Janet A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25555

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHARON BAIOCCO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. BAIOCCO, SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114562/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Baiocco, Robert A. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-21240

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER E. FLEMING AND CYNTHIA FLEMING, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107222/02, 113637/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fleming, Peter E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25548

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSE N. CINTRON AND MARIA CINTRON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107099/02, 117573/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cintron, Jose N.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-9330

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSE N. CINTRON AND MARIA CINTRON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107099/02, 117573/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cintron, Jose N.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25663

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: STEVEN M. DEPIETRO AND DEBORAH DEPIETRO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124339/02, 101984/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Depietro, Steven M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-2378

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: STEVEN M. DEPIETRO AND DEBORAH DEPIETRO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124339/02, 101984/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Depietro, Steven M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-1895

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: STEVEN M. DEPIETRO AND DEBORAH DEPIETRO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124339/02, 101984/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Depietro, Steven M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25901

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN DINON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109059/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dinon, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18745

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HENRY J. ALLEN AND ANNETTE ALLEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119386/00, 124999/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Allen, Henry J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-3581

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HENRY J. ALLEN AND ANNETTE ALLEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119386/00, 124999/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Allen, Henry J.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-22453

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. ANDERSEN and CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representatives for the Estate of DOROTHY ANDERSEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124445/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andersen, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-23929

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. ANDERSEN and CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representatives for the Estate of DOROTHY ANDERSEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124445/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andersen, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

1235-23584

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. ANDERSEN and CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representatives for the Estate of DOROTHY ANDERSEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124445/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andersen, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25880

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHARON BAIOCCO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. BAIOCCO, SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114562/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Baiocco, Robert A. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-21736

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GILBERT EUGENE CARMAN AND MARY ELLEN CARMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113566/02, 126045/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carman, Gilbert Eugene
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25728

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY BETH BEIDL AND PAUL ANTHONY TERRY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110269/02, 126806/02, 111006/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beidl, Mary Beth
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 0 2 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-20049

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY BETH BEIDL and PAUL ANTHONY TERRY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110269/02, 126806/02, 111006/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beidl, Mary Beth
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-19526

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY BETH BEIDL AND PAUL ANTHONY TERRY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110269/02, 126806/02, 111006/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beidl, Mary Beth
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-29670

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DANIEL BISOGNO AND JUDITH BISOGNO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107102/02, 119086/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bisogno, Daniel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25595

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EVA BUCHHOLZ, INDIVIDUALLY AND ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES A. BUCHHOLZ, Plaintiff(s), -against- 84 LUMBER CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 121775/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Carol Tempesta

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Karnak Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Suzanne Ratcliffe
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Charles A. Buchholz
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

781-003

MAR 02 2012

{N0126988-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WALTER BUNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110267/02, 126379/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bunes, Walter
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-8754

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WALTER BUNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110267/02, 126379/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bunes, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-8257

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WALTER BUNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110267/02, 126379/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bunes, Walter
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-29671

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN T. BYRNES, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN P. BYRNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113278/02, 119504/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb 15, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Byrnes, John P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-11212

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HENRY J. ALLEN AND ANNETTE ALLEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119386/00, 124999/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Allen, Henry J.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-4053

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VIVIAN HARRIETT, Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM HARRIETT, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113966/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Harriett, William
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2082-8975

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BERNARD HOCHBERG, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115185/05, 115185/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
HOCHBERG, BERNARD
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2082-8980

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERARD MCCARTHY, Plaintiffs, -against- , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 106230/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
McCARTHY, GERARD
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

2082-6231

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LORETO PAPALIA, Plaintiffs, -against- , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 127449/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
PAPALIA, LORETO
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2082-6229

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LORRAINE PIETROWSKI, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of CHESTER PIETROWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., ET AL., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121390/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Karnak Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
PIETROWSKI, CHESTER
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

781-16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LORRAINE PIETROWSKI, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of CHESTER PIETROWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121390/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pietrowski, Chester
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 082-6234

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM HENRY RICH AND LOIS RICH, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 128019/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 3, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William Henry Rich and Lois Rich
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7256AK

MAR 02 2012

{N0122553-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARK ROCK AND SONDRA ROCK, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190319/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/28/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rock, Mark
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 1000
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

542-1470

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA AND MARIE TRANFAGLIA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190270/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/28/, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tranfaglia, Thomas A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

504-791

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON ROCKMAKER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110157/05, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ROCKMAKER, GORDON
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-212

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON ROCKMAKER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., ET., AL., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110157/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rockmaker, Gordon
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2082-8979

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BENNY ROMANO, Plaintiffs, -against- , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 106962/05, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ROMANO, BENNY
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-8977

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH ROSENBERG, Plaintiffs, -against- , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109000/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rosenberg, Joseph
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2082-6232

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EVA BUCHHOLZ, INDIVIDUALLY AND ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES A. BUCHHOLZ, Plaintiff(s), -against- 84 LUMBER CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 121775/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Miron Lumber Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Miron Lumber Co., Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Miron Lumber Co., Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/28/, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Miron Lumber Co., Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SUZANNE RATCLIFFE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Charles A. Buchholz
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

928-0002

MAR 02 2012

{N0126985-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHARON BAIOCCO, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. BAIOCCO, SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114562/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Baiocco, Robert A. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25718

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWIN BARR AND DOROTHY BARR, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190430/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/23, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

David A. Chandler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Barr, Edwin
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-3743

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BRUCE B. BEAMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110268/02, 125561/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beamer, Bruce B.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-6370

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELIZABETH TRIFARI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 120622/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Elizabeth Trifari
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELIZABETH TRIFARI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 120622/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

2/22/2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Elizabeth Trifari
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 1235-2428

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANCESCO RENNA, Plaintiffs, -against- , *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115942/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
RENNA, FRANCESCO
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2082-6233

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON ROCKMAKER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110157/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rockmaker, Gordon
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

505-117

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON ROCKMAKER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110157/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rockmaker, Gordon
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

530-25

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON ROCKMAKER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTER CO., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110157/05, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation, improperly pled as Flowserve Management Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, improperly pled as Flowserve Management Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, improperly pled as Flowserve Management Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ROCKMAKER, GORDON
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

951-560

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON ROCKMAKER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110157/05, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rockmaker, Gordon
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-852

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES A. WILLIAMS AND BERNICE WILLIAMS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107102/02, 119118/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Williams, James A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 0 2 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

1235-5086

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES A. WILLIAMS AND BERNICE WILLIAMS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107102/02, 119118/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Williams, James A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-29669

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERA ZABLOSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ALEXANDER ZABLOSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119673/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Zabloski, Alexander
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-22144

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE AND WINIFRED E. CALABRESE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113279/02, 120896/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Calabrese, Nicholas R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-7696

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE AND WINIFRED E. CALABRESE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113279/02, 120896/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Calabrese, Nicholas R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-7200

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE AND WINIFRED E. CALABRESE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113279/02, 120896/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Calabrese, Nicholas R.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25729

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES A. WILLIAMS AND BERNICE WILLIAMS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107102/02, 119118/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Williams, James A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-5563

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHELE TUDISCO AND OLGA TUDISCO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110269/02, 126818/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tudisco, Michele
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 1122-8671

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHELE TUDISCO AND OLGA TUDISCO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110269/02, 126818/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tudisco, Michele
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-8174

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LAWRENCE G. MANNING, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107103/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Manning, Lawrence G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-19574

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HARRY TARNOWSKI AND BETTY TARNOWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113278/02, 119468/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tarnowski, Harry
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 1122-5807

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HARRY TARNOWSKI AND BETTY TARNOWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113278/02, 119468/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tarnowski, Harry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-5330

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERONICA SHEDLOW, Individually and Personal Representative for the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107086/01, 119379/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shedlow, Stephen M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 1235-9253

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KIRIAKOS PAPPAS AND DIMITRA PAPPAS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113567/02, 127329/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pappas, Kiriakos
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-7083

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES P. MASTIN AND LENORA E. MASTIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107401/02, 113481/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mastin, James P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-4940

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES P. MASTIN AND LENORA E. MASTIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107401/02, 113481/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mastin, James P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25556

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: TERRENCE J. MULLINS AND MARY MULLINS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107101/02, 110257/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mullins, Terrence J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-4368

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: TERRENCE J. MULLINS AND MARY MULLINS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107101/02, 110257/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mullins, Terrence J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-3891

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: TERRENCE J. MULLINS AND MARY MULLINS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107101/02, 110257/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mullins, Terrence J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25563

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DALE L. LYONS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110270/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lyons, Dale L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-8688

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DALE L. LYONS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110270/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lyons, Dale L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25691

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KIRIAKOS PAPPAS AND DIMITRA PAPPAS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113567/02, 127329/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pappas, Kiriakos
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25730

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN R. SALTZER, SR. AND BONNIE SALTZER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107100/02, 124268/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Saltzer, John R., Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18988

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN R. SALTZER, SR. AND BONNIE SALTZER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107100/02, 124268/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Saltzer, John R., Sr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-18452

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN R. SALTZER, SR. AND BONNIE SALTZER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107100/02, 124268/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Saltzer, John R., Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25661

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERONICA P. SCHWILK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD R. SCHWILK, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 117541/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schwilk, Harold R.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 1122-22149

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERONICA P. SCHWILK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD R. SCHWILK, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 117541/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schwilk, Harold R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-21664

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERONICA P. SCHWILK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD R. SCHWILK, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 117541/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schwilk, Harold R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25754

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERONICA SHEDLOW, Individually and Personal Representative for the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107086/01, 119379/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shedlow, Stephen M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1122-5932

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122790-91

ROBERT J. TUSSI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH SYRACUSE AND JOSELLE SYRACUSE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124444/02, 127679/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Syracuse, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-25876

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123132-01

PATRICIA WATERS, as Administratrix for the Estate of STERLING H. WATERS and PATRICIA WATERS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101480-02

GEORGE WREN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122789-01

BARBARA RIZZO, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. RIZZO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 103751/02

ROSCOE ROWELL JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERA ZABLOSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ALEXANDER ZABLOSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119673/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Zabloski, Alexander
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-21659

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VERA ZABLOSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ALEXANDER ZABLOSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119673/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Zabloski, Alexander
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

2383-4277

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SAM ANGELO AND NORMA ANGELO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190338/11, 104018/00; 125795/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/14, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ANGELO, SAM
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
2012
OFFICE
YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 1003-3724

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD C. POULSEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104382/03 &
126934/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89253

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KIRIAKOS PAPPAS AND DIMITRA PAPPAS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113567/02, 127329/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pappas, Kiriakos
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-6587

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN P. BYRNES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119504/02 &
113278/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88929

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS H. VALONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110524/02 &
106808/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88299

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. LYDECKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115157/02 &
107004/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88282

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GARY L. FARNHAM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117982/02 &
107006/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88220

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HAROLD L. GREEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120391/01 &
104298/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88233

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to: **WILLIAM RAFFAELE**	Index No. 190168/10 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant Burnham Holdings, Inc., as successor to Burnham Corp. ("Burnham"), requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Burnham, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Burnham, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/23, 2012

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN AND DYKMAN
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Counsel for Defendant

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to: **JAMES GUCK**	Index No. 190368/10 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant Burnham Holdings, Inc., as successor to Burnham Corp. ("Burnham"), requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Burnham, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Burnham, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 23, 2012

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN AND DYKMAN
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Counsel for Defendant

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND A. THOMPSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108723/00 & 122198/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88153

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANK SERRAVALLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100779/03 & 107088/01 & 119379/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88150

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH QUINN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122205/99 & 108603/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88145

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH NIXON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124487/02 &
100455/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90966

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT DUELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111642/03 &
110260/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90920

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
CO... CLERK'S OFFICE
... YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRITZ BUHRER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123935/02 &
104189/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89118

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOWELL THOMPSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107004/02 &
115179/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88983

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH RUGGIERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107403/02 &
114095/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88968

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS C. LATZER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125211/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88959

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL KARTIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113567/02 &
127312/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88955

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID WEISSMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105582/03 &
126937/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89265

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: **MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,** Plaintiff(s), v. **3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.,** Defendant(s).	**Index No: 190297-11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JORDAN FOX, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

LISA M. PASCARELLA, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **This Document Relates to:** **MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,** **Plaintiff,** **-against-** **3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.** **Defendant(s).**	**Index No.: 190297-11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC., i/s/h/a PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. f/k/a Automation Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC., i/s/h/a PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. f/k/a Automation Industries, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC., i/s/h/a PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. f/k/a Automation Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 618-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
PCC TECHNICHAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
i/s/h/a PCC TECHNICHAL INDUSTRIES,
INC., f/k/a Automation Industries, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **This Document Relates to:** **MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,** **Plaintiff,** **-against-** **3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.** **Defendant(s).**	**Index No.: 190297-11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, GENERAL CABLE CORORATION i/s/h/a GK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. f/k/a General Cable Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, GENERAL CABLE CORORATION i/s/h/a GK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. f/k/a General Cable Corp., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, GENERAL CABLE CORORATION i/s/h/a GK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. f/k/a General Cable Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 618-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
GENERAL CABLE CORORATION
i/s/h/a GK TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
f/k/a General Cable Corp.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **This Document Relates to:** **MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,** **Plaintiff,** **-against-** **3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.** **Defendant(s).**	**Index No: 190297-11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NIBCO, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, NIBCO, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, NIBCO, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
NIBCO, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **PATRICIA LAMBO**	Index No.: 109588-06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2\13\12

Frank Ortiz, Esq
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT JOSEPH SCHMIDT

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 104150/00
125771/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Joseph Schmidt
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 1108[illegible]

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
HELMUT P. SIEMER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 125136/00
105615/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Helmut P. Siemer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1945

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
MICHAEL J. SUSINO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110180/00
117863/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

FILED
MAR 1 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael J. Susino
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2911

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JACK TOLOMEO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 100587/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jack Tolomeo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3088

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOSEPH QUINN

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 108603/00
122205/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph Quinn
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE J. SABO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 123481/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George J. Sabo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
THADDEUS SARAMA

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 111124/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thaddeus Sarama
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH SRAMEK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105282/2000
100752/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
02.24, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendants
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ERNEST J. HORNEY, Jr. and ROSEMARY HORNEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 96-115829
97-113280
98-111074
99-104561

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
02.24, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendants
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EDWARD J. BRODERICK and ELIZABETH BRODERICK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108355/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
02.24, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendants
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190262/2010
MICHAEL MORENO	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant BURNHAM, LLC, requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against BURNHAM, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against BURNHAM, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 24, 2012

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

Joseph Angiolillo, Esq.
CULLEN & DYKMAN, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Defendant

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANKLIN DONALD HULL (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 114961/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES FUNICELLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103523/03 &
126681/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89163

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SILVIO CESTRA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104416/03 &
126934/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89121

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122138-01
102742-02

JOSEPH OREFICE and MADELINE OREFICE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 102002-02

VALERIAN PAWLICZAK and THERESA PAWLICZAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heller

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 112010-05
101248-02

TERI L. PORTER, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES PORTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN S. ZEBRACKI

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 106645/01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John S. Zebracki
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM HENRY RICH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105931/03 &
128019/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/17 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89882

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND HODGE, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102372/03 &
127888/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89794

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. HASSLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102478/03 &
127886/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89793

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD A. WALIGOVSKA

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 103278/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard A. Waligovska
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
THOMAS WILLIAMS

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 106981/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/16 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Williams
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

MAR 02 2012

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JAMES E. WILSON

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119376/00
107190/01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 1 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James E. Wilson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HARRY CHARLES RIKER, Plaintiff	**Index No.: 06-113533**
vs.	**BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION'S NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al, Defendants	

WHEREFORE, defendant Bradford White Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Bradford White Corporation with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bradford White Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2-12-12, 2011

Erica Cesaro, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200
Attorney for Plaintiff, Harry Charles Riker

Kathy A. O'Neill, Esquire
WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP
One Penn Plaza, Suite 1801
New York, New York 10119
(212) 244-9500
Attorney for Defendant, Bradford White Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

8405525v.1

MAR 02 2012

536.10563/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: MICHAEL O. FLYNN, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 120391/01 104304/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler MAR 02 2012

536.12408/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: HARVEY FIALA, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 107007/02 115354/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12441/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: GUY MARKS, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106581/02 112932/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT J. MC GRAW (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 122682/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH J. LUNGA (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123165/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.03, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WALTER LOWE (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127960/2002
124444/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN S. HAWKINS Jr. (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107514/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM E. HARDY (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126685/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS L. GERACE (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126187/2002
113566/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EUGENE GELSO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123324/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOAN M. COSBEY (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 101129/2003
124444/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FLORENCE COPPOLA (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124444/2002
103180/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02-09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BERNARD M. CHAMBERLAND,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127176/2002
113567/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

AGNES CESTARE (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116052/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM C. BOYLE (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127186/2002
113567/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

VITO A. ALONGI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120615/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124444/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS MCCAFFERTY (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115817/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM MILETI

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119798/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LLOYD PATTERSON

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119587/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.10564/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: MARK D. ASHTON, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 103375/01 120396/01 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12414/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ERIC E. DREIMILLER, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 118980/02 106695/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.10958/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DENIS A. DONAHUE, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102421/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12433/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: CARL CUCCO, JR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106572/02 112736/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12676/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: RALPH A. CONTE, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106708/02 116074/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.10453/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
RICHARD L. BOSSEY, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 104521/02 120399/01 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12686/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ED K. BO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 114898/02 106706/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GARFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12674/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: FREDERICK W. JACOB, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 110714/02 107003/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 35
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.11538/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN A. HYNES, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 104165/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.10563/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: HAROLD L. GREEN, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 120391/01 104298/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12414/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN A. GENTILE, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 118977/02 106695/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12672/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JAMES A. FRETTO, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 107006/02 117983/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES BARRY (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111218/2001
115593/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 651-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

HENRY CHU

Plaintiffs,

-against-

MUNACO PACKING & RUBBER CO., INC. et al

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 116498/00

NYCAL

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Munaco Packing & Rubber Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Munaco Packing & Rubber Co., Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Munaco Packing & Rubber Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Mineola, New York
February 14, 2012

Darron E. Berquist, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, PA
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3901

Steven L. Keats, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant,
Munaco Packing & Rubber Co, Inc.
131 Mineola Boulevard
Mineola, NY 11501

SO ORDERED,

Hon.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

MAR 02 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190081/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES

WHEREFORE, defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York 02.17.12

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212)681-1575

Jeffrey S. Glassman, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GINO CORBELLINI

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120392/2001
104100/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 500
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM CAHILL (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120388/2001
106315/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ED ACERRA

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120433/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(21[illegible]) 66[illegible]15

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROCCO F. AMATO

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120398/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(21[illegible]) 6[illegible]1-1[illegible]

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111231/2001

LOUIS J. ANGELILLO (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EMIL J. COPPOLA (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120429/2001
100623/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106981/2000
119376/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09.2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JACK TOLOMEO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100587/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CALABRESE SR., NICHOLAS R. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113279/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CARMAN, GILBERT EUGENE (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126045/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FEDERICO FOCELLA and JOSEPHINE FOCELLA,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 118978-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FEDERICO FOCELLA and JOSEPHINE FOCELLA,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106695-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: MARIA FINNEGAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of PETER FINNEGAN, and MARIA FINNEGAN, Individually,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 100052-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SALVATORE FIGLIOLIA,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106706-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CONTE, RALPH A.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106708/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/3, 2012

Michael Farrell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

JAE

FEB 0 4 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FIALA, HARVEY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115354/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/3, 2012

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 1 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 0 2 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CLIFTON ENOCH ROBINSON (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 101939/2002
111041/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 66[illegible]15

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ANTHONY M. IACONO (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111866/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALPHONSO JACKSON

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111218/2001
115614/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GERALD KAMMERMAN (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120430/2001
100316/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111228/2001
121431/2001

RONALD M. KIRK

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BESSIE F. LA BARBERA

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120389/2001
100011/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT

Plaintiffs

- against –

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.

Defendants

New York Asbestos
Litigation (NYCAL)

Index No. 190286-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.) ("Navistar") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Navistar, along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice, there being no opposition hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 2/17/12

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: _____
Chris Romanelli, Esquire
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC

By: _____
Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire
Attorneys for Defendant,
International Truck and Engine
Corporation, (now by operation of name
change only known as Navistar, Inc.)
50 S. 16th Street, 22nd Floor
Two Liberty Place
Philadelphia, PA 19102

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Date: _____

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DOMINIC A. IULA (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111244/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EZRA CLARK

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111224/2001
120924/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK M. COLABELLA

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111221/2001
115845/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- x
This Document Relates To:
GEORGE L. SANTIAGO and RUTH SANTIAGO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendant.
- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190445-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, sued herein as "WEYERHAEUSER CO.", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 1, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: February 10, 2012

~~Joseph Williams, Esq.~~ Charles Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher Browne, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

SARAH WEAVER as Executrix for the Estate of DAVID WEAVER and SARAH WEAVER, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108982/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2\15\12

Frank Ortiz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
David Weaver

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X
ROBERT JOSEPH BLASO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126937/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Joe A. Vazquez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Joseph Blaso

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

PAUL M. MARQUARDT,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110269/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Joe A. Vazquez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Paul M. Marquardt

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANK CALDERONE and BERNADETTE CALDERONE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 120392-01
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ED K. BO and JOAN BO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106706-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOAN BO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ED K. BO, and JOAN BO, Individually,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 114898-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR HERLIHY and GAIL HERLIHY, his wife,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
190149/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION s/h/a TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 13, 2012

Darron E. Bergquist, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street
26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION s/h/a TISHMAN
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DOWDLE JR., WILLIAM R.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107403/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DOMINICK, JAMES V. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
118787/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DINON, JOHN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
109059/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DEPIETRO, STEVEN M. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101984/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CINTRON, JOSE N. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
117573/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHENEY, DONALD D.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113280/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McM[illegible]
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CATALDO, ANTHONY F.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127128/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

VIVIAN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of ALFRED E. SMITH, and JACQUELINE SMITH, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 113616/2004
112123/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Vivian Smith and Jacqueline Smith

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

JOAN M. RYBACKI,, as Personal Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F. RYBACKI, and JOAN A. RYBACKI, Individually,	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiffs,	Index Nos.: 116797/2007 114857/2002 106706/2002
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Joan A. Rybacki

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually,	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiffs,	Index No.: 111316/2002
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Shirley Cirbus

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of CHARLES SNECKENBURG, and CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 112709/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/22/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Catherine Sneckenburg

Scott C. Allan
Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

GIOVANNI ROTOLO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of LUISA ROTOLO, and GIOVANNI ROTOLO, as Proposed Personal Representative for the Estate of SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 103872/2003
126682/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Giovanni Rotolo

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

MARY LOU LA GOE, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. LA GOE, and MARY LOU LA GOE, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 117995/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

02/23/2012

Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mary Lou La Goe

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, as Executrix for the Estate of LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY, and PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 118004/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Patricia A. Rookey

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

MARION L. SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM J. SMITH, and MARION L. SMITH and ESTHER A. MCKOWN, as Co-Executrices for the Estate of LUELLA I. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124120/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Marion L. Smith and Esther A. McKown

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ROBERT E. KERNS JR., as Executor for the Estate of ROBERT E. KERNS, and THELMA KERNS, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105711/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Benjamin Darche
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert E. Kerns Jr. and Thelma Kerns

Scott C. Allan

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

PATRICIA I. SINGLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES J. CARR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102946/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Patricia I. Singley

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- x
This Document Relates To:
JOSEPH MUNNELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendant.
- -x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190415-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, sued herein as "WEYERHAEUSER CO.", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: December 14, 2011
Signed by Plaintiff: 1/18, 2012

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Loree Shelko, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK SERRAVALLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107088/2001; 119379/2000
100779/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-115

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THADDEUS SARAMA (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120739/1999; 122193/1999
111124/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GEORGE J. SABO (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123481/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09.2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1161

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108856/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09.2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-[illegible]51

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GARY E. LAUTENSCHEUTZ (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120088/2002
113208/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MELVIN A. KAHN (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103184/2003
124444/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GERALD GORDON JARVIS (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 109462/2002; 106457/2002
101140/2007

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CHARLES P. CALKA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105167/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BOGDAN BUZAN (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110928/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD H. BRADLEY (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 125797/1999
103895/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN A. BARRETT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103372/1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALLEN, HENRY J. (DEC)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119386/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KORNACKI, ANDREW (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
121910/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

02/24/2012

Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LYDECKER, ROBERT J. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107004/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

FILE

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/3, 2012

Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FLYNN, MICHAEL O.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120391/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/3, 2012

Michael Flynn

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROY G. HAMMARSTROM (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110181/2000
117058/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 08 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES DUNFORD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111221/2001
116261/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EUGENE DE NAGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100577/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

MAR 02 2012

J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES COSTELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110088/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.03, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LESLIE CHARLES PAYNE (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106181/01; 100782/03; 119373/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

VINCENT S. NOGAS (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111864/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES MINCH and DEBBIE MINCH

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119380/00; 102703/01; 100751/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 6[illegible]-11[illegible]1

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ARTHUR W. MEDNICK (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121423/2001
111228/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 601-1451

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PATRICK H. MCCABE (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121132/1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 13 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BETTY MARMON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 109077/1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD JANISZEWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 118316/1996; 113280/1997
111069/1998; 114235/1999
100769/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09.2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BENNIE G. TAFOYA (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102941/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 66[illegible]

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

HAROLD S. WALLACE, SR.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103226/2003
124441/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ELIZABETH TRIFARI

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120622/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT C. SIEVERS (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123325/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

SOL V. SHARGEL (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103185/2003
124444/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DONALD J. RYDER (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124339/2002
101968/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.08, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM G. PEREZ (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123670/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DOMINICK A. NOONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124338/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02 09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JACK NIELSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 101958/2003
124339/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GARRY NEWMAN (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103217/2003
124441/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

KARL E. NEUBERT (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105714/2002; 111823/2002
100679/2007

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
02.03.2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

HERMAN INDICK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104848/2000
122907/1997
122907/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM W. CAHLSTADT (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120389/2001
124446/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT L. BARKER (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110183/2000
117416/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

TERRENCE WALSH (Dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103227/2003
124441/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
02.09 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
BARBARA HALLERAN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of KENNETH R. HALLERAN, and BARBARA HALLERAN, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 115349-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HOWARD H. I. HABERER,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 112587-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
PEGGY LOFTON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106690-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
PEGGY LOFTON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 113793-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANCES KEOUGH , Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN J. KEOUGH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 115009-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN J. KEOUGH and FRANCES KEOUGH,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106693-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JAMES E. KEAHON and JULIA KEAHON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 110788-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN VANSTON and JOAN VANSTON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 105871-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
GEORGE SANTIAGO and RUTH SANTIAGO,	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 190445-11
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/24/12
New York, New York

~~Joe Williams, Esq.~~ Carlos Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Crane Co.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
DONNA J. LOTOSKI, as the Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of VINCENT S. NOGAS and JANE V. NOGAS, Individually and DONNA LOTOSKI as the Executrix for the Estate of JANE V. NOGAS,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 111864-01
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jan. 30, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CLAYTON A. CASSELMAN and DOROTHY CASSELMAN,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
	Index No: 105102-00
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jan. 30, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CONSTANCE ALBANESE, as Administratrix for the Estate of PHILIP FRIEDRICH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 104038-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WARREN WHITE and CATHERINE MYERS,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106709-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WARREN WHITE and CATHERINE MYERS,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 115510-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ERICH SZILLUS and MARTHA SZILLUS,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 105562-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CHARLES E. FRENCH and BESSIE FRENCH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 105716-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HERBERT L. FRIETSCH and JOAN FRIETSCH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 110843-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HERBERT L. FRIETSCH and JOAN FRIETSCH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106508-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KAREN THOMPSON, as Executrix for the Estate of RAYMOND A. THOMPSON, and KAREN THOMPSON, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 108723-00
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb. 3, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RAYMOND J. JABLONSKI,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106999-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RAYMOND J. JABLONSKI,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 111326-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN J. HUDSON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 117991-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN J. HUDSON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 107006-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ELIZABETH HOGAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ELIZABETH HOGAN,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 105564-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/22/2012
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROBERT HINES,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 101104-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROBERT HINES,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 120432-01
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ALBERTO HIDALGO and JUANITA HIDALGO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 120432-01
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ALBERTO HIDALGO and JUANITA HIDALGO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 101105-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212) 536-3900~~

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KENNETH R. HALLERAN, and BARBARA J. HALLERAN,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 107007-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MARK D. ASHTON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 103375-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ZABLOZKI, ALEXANDER (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119673/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAMS, JAMES A.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
MAHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KNOPP, FREDERICK E. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114065/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JASIENSKI, RICHARD J. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
118276/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

IRWIN, THOMAS A.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
109649/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
MAHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HYNES, THOMAS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
124339/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HART, JANET A. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107403/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRITTON, JAMES R. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114716/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FLEMING, PETER E. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113637/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SALVATORE FIGLIOLIA,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 114885-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HOWARD H. I. HABERER,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 105713-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HAROLD L. GREEN and EVELYN B. GREEN,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 104298-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
HAROLD L. GREEN and EVELYN B. GREEN, Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 120391-01
A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
EKATERINI GLINOS, as Administratrix for the Estate of ALEX GLINOS,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 103750-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CONRAD FROM and MARY FROMM,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 115353-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CONRAD FROM and MARY FROMM,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 107007-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

TUDISCO, MICHELE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126818/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RAYMOND J. JABLONSKI,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 118344-03
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JAMES E. KEAHON and JULIA KEAHON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106508-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MILTON JACOBS,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 127310-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MILTON E. JACOBS,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 116225-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MILTON E. JACOBS,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 107005-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN A. GENTILE and JUDITH A. GENTILE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106695-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CHARLES E. FRENCH and BESSIE FRENCH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 111684-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANK CALDERONE and BERNADETTE CALDERONE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 104103-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

TARNOWSKI, HARRY (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119468/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SYRACUSE, JOSEPH (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
124444/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SUSALKA, ANDREW J.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113280/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SHEDLOW, STEPHEN M. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107086/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SCHWILK, HAROLD R. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
117541/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SALTZER SR., JOHN R. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107100/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PAPPAS, KIRIAKOS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127329/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MASTIN, JAMES P. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113481/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MANNING, LAWRENCE G.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107103/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: HENRY CALEB BLACK and MARLENE J. HACKETT BLACK, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) Index No(s).: 109007-02 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: HENRY CALEB BLACK and MARLENE J. HACKETT BLACK, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) Index No(s).: 105871-02 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MORRIS BEHAR and PAULINE BEHAR,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106694-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KARL H. BECKSTED,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106708-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KARL H. BECKSTED,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 116082-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MARK D. ASHTON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 120396-01
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 116798/2007
114296/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Andrew S. Neer

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

STEPHANIE I. VONDRAK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of RALPH COLON, and ISAURA COLON, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126186/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Stephanie I. Vondrak and Isaura Colon

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-070
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

LUCY WALDRON, as Executrix for the Estate of WESLIE M. WALDRON, and LUCY WALDRON, Individually,	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiffs,	Index Nos.: 110319/2002 105715/2002
-against-	
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lucy Waldron

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

RONALD SZAMATULSKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190079/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/21/12

Mark Strauss
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 986-2233
Facsimile: (212) 986-2255
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald Szamatulski

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-12[illegible]
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporati[illegible]

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
GARY L. FARNHAM and GLORIA FARNHAM,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 107006-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
GARY L. FARNHAM and GLORIA FARNHAM,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 117982-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
BARBARA EMMA, Individually and as Proposed Executrix of EDMUND R. EMMA,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 101479-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:
MICHAEL A. CORVINO,	: NYCAL
	: I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	: Index No(s).: 107007-02
-against-	:
	: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
	: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	:
Defendants.	:

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/2
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MICHAEL A. CORVINO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 115360-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO: with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212) 536~~-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN G. CHRSTON and MARION CHRISTON,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106964-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: MARION CHRISTON, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN G. CHRSTON, and MARION CHRISTON, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) Index No(s).: 112094-02 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANDERSEN, ROBERT G. (DEC.)

Index No
124445/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JACK TOLOMEO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100587/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK H. McCABE (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
121132/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK SMITH (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113937/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE J. VASTA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113938/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS WILLIAMS (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106981/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BUNES, WALTER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110267/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BEIDL, MARY BETH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110269/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BEAMER, BRUCE B.

Index No
110268/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BAIOCCO SR., ROBERT A. (DEC.)

Index No
114562/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
108856/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

RECEIVED JAN 2 7 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SZILLUS, ERICH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105562/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
1-12, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAR 1 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CHARLES W. DOUGLAS (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116323/2003
118505/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 117419/2004

NEIL J. DOLAN (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA SR. (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102387/2002
111060/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANCIS GEORGE CALLAHAN (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 117768/1998
125131/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GEORGE H. CLIFF (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103611/1997
104858/2005
109676/1999
111061/1998
113279/1997

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

KENNETH A. FINING (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 118502/1998
118279/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 50
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119788/2001

ALFONSO D. RAINES

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LAWRENCE W. RYAN (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120432/2001
101080/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRED G. SHERRON

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119783/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PAT IACOBELLI

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120431/2001
123576/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CHARLES P. HADFIELD (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120390/2001
123924/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK HERO JR. (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120452/1999
124081/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WARD EVART HARRIS (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102010/2002
111068/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM H. GILLIAM (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103758/1997
111066/1998
113280/1997
120715/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRED ZEROLNICK

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120390/2001
123945/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH VISCONTI (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120389/2001
100018/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOAN VANSTON, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOHN VANSTON, and JOAN VANSTON, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 108980-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
GEORGE A. WALKER, SR. and PHYLLIS L. WALKER,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106457-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: RICHARD C. WASHINGTON, as Administrator for the Estate of ASBURY C. WASHINGTON, and RICHARD C. WASHINGTON, as the Executor for the Estate of LUELLA WASHINGTON,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 103454-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
PHYLLIS M. WALKER, as Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE A. WALKER, SR. and PHYLLIS C. WALKER, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 109483-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MICHAEL H. WILSON and MARL L. WILSON,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 112697-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
THOMAS F. WEBER, as Administrator for the Estate of FRANK T. WEBER, and CATHERINE COLE-WEBER, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
	Index No(s).: 103447-02
-against-	
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CARL JR. CUCCU and GAETANA CUCCO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 112736-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CARL JR. CUCCU and GAETANA CUCCO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106578-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
MICHAEL H. WILSON and MARL L. WILSON,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106578-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ERIC E. DREIMILLER and KAY DREIMILLER,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106695-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ERIC E. DREIMILLER and KAY DREIMILLER,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 118980-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
THOMAS J. LUISA, as Executor for the Estate of ALBERT A. LUISA,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 118970-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN A. GENTILE and JUDITH A. GENTILE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 118977-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212) 536-3900~~

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RONALD S. TANNER and PHYLLIS TANNER,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 105875-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RONALD S. TANNER and PHYLLIS TANNER,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 110054-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANCES RYNDAK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of STANLEY RYNDAK,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 112561-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
STANLEY RYNDAK and FRANCES M. RYNDAK,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 105713-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212) 536-3900~~

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LOUIS PAVON and MARGARET PAVON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 120430-01
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LOUIS PAVON and MARGARET PAVON,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 100302-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROBERT NOLL as Executor for the Estate of ANDREW J. NOLL,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 101477-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SAM R. MOGAVERO and BETTY MOGAVERO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 114876-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SAM R. MOGAVERO and BETTY MOGAVERO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106706-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN W. MAHNKEN,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 114870-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN W. MAHNKEN,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106706-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
THOMAS H. VALONE and LOUISE M. VALONE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 110524-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SALVATORE TINE and FRANCES TINE,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106508-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SALVATORE TINE and FRANCES TINE,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 110775-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN J. MAHAR (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120296/1999
116800/2007

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL LAING (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116324/2003
121905/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
THOMAS H. VALONE and LOUISE M. VALONE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106808-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
EDWARD LAZORE,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 110586-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
EDWARD LAZORE,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106808-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FREDERICK W. JACOB and DOROTHY JACOB,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 107003-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FREDERICK W. JACOB and DOROTHY JACOB,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 110714-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN J. O'BRIEN,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 102837-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES E. SPEARMAN

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119217/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120430/2001
100157/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DOMINIC MICKEY LATTUCA

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120652/1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 02.10.2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

MAR 02 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PETER V. MATTHEWS JR.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111224/2001
121126/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.10.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ALBERT LUISA and ANNA LUISA,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 106695-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/07/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
PETAR LOURIC and JANJA LOURIC,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 102171-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:
RONALD P. LENAHAN and BARBARA LENAHAN,	: NYCAL
	: I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	: Index No(s).: 106292-02
-against-	:
	: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	:
Defendants.	:

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
RONALD P. LENAHAN and BARBARA LENAHAN,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 120388-01
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/27/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101247-02

LINDA MARIE NICHOLAS, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN NICHOLAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAR 15 2012

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VINCENT LEONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104018/03 &
126686/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89233

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: VERONICA SHEDLOW, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 00-119379 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ 2/28/12

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW PARK, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Veronica Shedlow, Individually and as
Personal Representative for the Estate of
Stephen M. Shedlow
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

BY-JURY-25

SF

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: VERONICA SHEDLOW, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 107086-01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ 2/28/12

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW PARK, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Veronica Shedlow, Individually and as
Personal Representative for the Estate of
Stephen M. Shedlow
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

BY-JURY-24

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123299-01

FLOYD C. RICHARDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 102008-02

ROCCO MATERO and ELSIE MATERO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 103443-02

RALPH VINCENT and LINDA VINCENT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Keith M. O'Connor

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100870-02

JOHN H. RANSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122698-01

DOLORES WALLACE, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN F. WALLACE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 105173-02
I-1992-3662

JOHN A. REINARD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 103869-02

PHILLIP A. RETAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICK MYERS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 106452/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/22, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Caterpillar, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.93049

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. O'BRIEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102837/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CATERPILLAR, INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CATERPILLAR, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CATERPILLAR, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/22, 2012

Michael Fanelli, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **CATERPILLAR, INC.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.92814

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK PORTA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104029/03 &
126686/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89252

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. CALLINAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108898/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90131

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT ROCCABRUNA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100610/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89934

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 124120-01

WILLIAM J. SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Keith M. O'Connor

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123225-01

BRUCE SNEED,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100581-02

BENJAMIN F. KURTZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Keith M. O'Connor

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EDWARD P. FRANK (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111220/2001
119487/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT J. FITZGERALD (DEC.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120389/2001
124454/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 02.01.2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL

Index No: 1901402/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dean Pump Division hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dean Pump Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dean Pump Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Buffalo, New York
January 27, 2012

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

James W. Whitcomb, Esq.
PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Dean Pump Division
One HSBC Center, Suite 3400
Buffalo, New York 142[illegible]

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Doc # 01-2548789.1

MAR 02 2012

536.12661/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 107005/02 116225/02 127310/02 113567/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Applies to:

OCTOBER 2011 EXTREMIS
TRIAL CLUSTER CASE:

RONALD SZAMATULSKI

----------X

NYCAL

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

INDEX NO.: 11-190079

WHEREFORE, defendant WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC., sued incorrectly herein as "WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC. (Individually and as Successor to Robert A. Keasbey Company)," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

IT IS SO ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC. are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2, 20, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

Attorneys for Plaintiff
RONALD SZAMATULSKI

Marshall S. Turner, Esq.
John Maggio, Esq.
Condon & Forsyth LLP
7 Times Square – 18th floor
Ne(212) 490-9100w York, New York 10036

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorneys for Defendant
WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 126937/02 and 105626/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROBERT J. BLASO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 27, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4349-03

MAR 02 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/15/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 126291/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DENNIS LADUE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

02/23/2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4012-03

TMc:CC(jpk)
8/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 109642/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MAURICE HOURIHAN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 22, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

Our File No
S-6958-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/7/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 111911/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PHILIP BENEDETTO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 21, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3593

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 02 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5442-03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 106578/02; 112732/02
CARL CUCCO JR.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 106581/02; 113932/02
GUY MARKS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 1005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114898/02; 106706/02

ED K. BO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1715610

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 118980/02; 106695/02
ERIC E. DREIMILLER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 118977/02; 115009/02
JOHN A. GENTILE	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106693/02; 115009/02

JOHN J. KEOUGH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 113793/02; 106690/02
PEGGY LOFTON	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SAM R. MOGAVERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 106706/02; 114876/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 106693/02; 115024/02
ROBERT W. SCHAETZER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WARREN WHITE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 115510/02; 106709/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1715610

MAR 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS H. VALONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110524/02; 106808/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK T. WEBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 103447/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

DONALD CONROY AND BARBARA CONROY, Plaintiffs, -against- **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et. al.** Defendants.	:	ASBESTOS MATTER INDEX NO.: 190138-10 **NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION D/B/A WILSON SNYDER PUMPS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION D/B/A WILSON SNYDER PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION D/B/A WILSON SNYDER PUMPS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29/12

Mark Bibro, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Bridget M. Polloway, Esq.
SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
Attorneys for Flowserve Corporation d/b/a
Wilson Snyder Pumps
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
~~Newark, New Jersey 07~~102

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NJ/473723v1

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James D. Lanigan	Index No: 107817/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Patrick H. McCabe	Index No: 121132/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 124525/00
This Document Relates to: Rosario Morreale	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Vincent S. Nogas	Index No: 11864/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Thomas O'Donnell	Index No: 119278/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12438/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ROBERT J. LYDECKER, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 115157/02 107004/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12421/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: PEGGY LOFTON, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 113792/02 106690/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12407/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ROLAND E. LaDIEU, SR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106508/02 110790/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 1
(Schulman, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117566/03

ELIZABETH ADAMS, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of RICHARD ADAMS, deceased,

Plaintiffs

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, **THE BOEING COMPANY (Individually and as Successor to McDONNELL DOUGLAS)**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, and Section XVI of the Court's Amended Case Management Order dated September 20, 1996 and amended February 19, 2003 dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint and all amendments thereto with prejudice based upon Plaintiff's lack of identification of exposure to any asbestos containing product for which Defendant, **THE BOEING COMPANY (Individually and as Successor to McDONNELL DOUGLAS)**, bears legal responsibility, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, **THE BOEING COMPANY (Individually and as Successor to McDONNELL DOUGLAS)**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/19/11

Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Joseph A. D'Avanzo, Esq.
WILSON ELSER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
THE BOEING COMPANY
3 Gannett Drive
White Plains, NY 10604
914-323-7000
File No. 07040.00005

ENTER:

MAR 02 2012

J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JAN 26 2011
TRIAL SUPPORT OFFICE
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

2980284.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MAXIMILLIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG, Plaintiffs, vs. 3M COMPANY, et al, Defendants.	New York City Asbestos Litigation (NYCAL) Index No. 190297/2011 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant RIELLO CORPORATION OF AMERICA, INC. (improperly pled as Riello Burners North America) ("RIELLO") hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against RIELLO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross-claims against Defendant RIELLO, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 5, 2012

Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Jessica Russell, Esq.

GIBBONS PC.
One Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5310
Attorneys for Defendant
Riello Corporation of America, Inc.

By: _____
Richard A. Reinartz, Esq.

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

WAYNE SEAMAN and ETHEL SEAMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 100087-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED MAR 15 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
February 22, 2012

02/17/2012

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

MAR 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

MICHAEL FLAHERTY as Executor for the Estate of EDWIN H. FLAHERTY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*, Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 06-107845

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2012

Mark Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD BURTON (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 117571/2002
107099/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 02.09.12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119385/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JACK POLLOCK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

----------X

F. WALTER WIEBE,

Index No. 190407/11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

ADIENCE, INC., f/k/a BMI, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor to YORK SHIPLEY, INC. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
2/15, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually and as successor to York-Shipley
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
----------X
JAHANGIR DEHKORDI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABB, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 11-190147

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **Metropolitan Life Insurance Company** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Metropolitan Life Insurance Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **Metropolitan Life Insurance Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 1, 2012

Mark Strauss
Brian Early
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
360 Lexington Avenue
20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Phone: (212)986-2233

Shehzad Hasan
Justin B. Perri
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
750 Seventh Avenue
Suite 1900
New York, NY 10019
Phone: (212)506-3900

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

----------X

RONALD SZAMATULSKI,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190079/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION, (hereinafter "DURAMETALLIC") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant DURAMETALLIC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, DURAMETALLIC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
2/7/12, 2012

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

M. Axelrod

Madina Axelrod, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Durametallic
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

MAR 02 2012

536.12418/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN S. KOSTOROSKI, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106964/02 112087/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12662/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN J. KEOUGH, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106693/02 115009/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12407/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JAMES E. KEAHON, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106508/02 110788/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

536.12672/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: GARY L. FARNHAM, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 117982/02 107006/02 (December 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 2/13/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120430/01; 100302/02

LOUIS PAVON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102421/02

DENIS A. DONAHUE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. O'BRIEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 102837/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 103870/02
DONALD M. TAYLOR	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 104165/02
JOHN A. HYNES	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105562/02

ERICH SZILLUS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1715610

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112561/02; 105713/02

STANLEY RYNDAK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106508/02; 110788/02

JAMES E. KEAHON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106508/02; 110790/02

ROLAND E. LADIEU SR.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1715610

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 110775/02; 106508/02
SALVATORE TINE	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 106457/02; 109483/02
GEORGE A. WALKER SR.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1715610

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110714/02; 107003/02

FREDERICK W. JACOB

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

MAR 02 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS J. ROKITKA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 106578/02; 112711/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARK D. ASHTON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 103375/02; 120396/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/17, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106964/02; 112087/02

JOHN S. KOSTOROSKI

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 117982/02; 107006/02
GARY L. FARNHAM	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 107007/02; 115354/02
HARVEY FIALA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen A. McGowan Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 107006/02; 117983/02
JAMES A. FRETTO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

TMc:CC(jpk)
8/8/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 115342/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HASRVEY KESSLER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fanell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7553-02

MAR 02 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/15/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 114562/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROBERT A. BAIOCCO, SR.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/21/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-8637-02

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104521/02; 120399/01

RICHARD L. BOSSEY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 120391/01; 104304/02
MICHAEL O. FLYNN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 120391/01; 104298/02
HAROLD L. GREEN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1715610

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,	**Index No.: 190297/11**
Plaintiffs,	
- against -	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.	
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant SCM Metal Products, Inc. (sued individually and as successor to National Radiator) ("SCM Metal") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SCM Metal with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SCM Metal, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 15, 2012 DPK

BY: _____
Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

BY: _____
Diane Nardi, Esq.
Brown Rudnick, LLP
Seven Times Square
New York, NY 10036
212-209-4852

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100788-02

MARGARET HICKEY, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of HERBERT P. HICKEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

LINDA BOLTON, as Executrix for the Estate of THEODORE R. WOJTAS, and LINDA BOLTON, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of JOAN WOJTAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 105392-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

HARRY W. GUY and EVA NIECZAJ-GUY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors, et al,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190496-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heller

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

HARRY W. GUY and EVA NIECZAJ-GUY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors, et al,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190496-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100820-02

SEYMOUR STRAUCH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100822-02

MARGARET SAMARTANO, as Executrix for the Estate of CHARLES F. HENDRICKSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101057-02

EVELYN GUIDO, as Executrix for the Estate of VINCENT J. GUIDO, and EVELYN GUIDO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 105166-02

GRACE LEWANDOSKI, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT F. LEWANDOSKI, and GRACE LEWANDOSKI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122701-01

ROXANN H. INTRILIGATOR, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of EUGENE F. HOFF,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100821/02

JAMES KLEIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 110260-04** **111642-03**
VEDA DUELL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT SUMMONS DUELL and VEDA DUELL, Individually.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/17, 2012

John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
VEDA DUELL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT SUMMONS DUELL and VEDA DUELL, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 15 2012
MAR 02 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(Heitler, J.)**

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No. 190162/10**
ROBERT HOMBERGER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/23/12, 2012

~~Ben Darche, Esq.~~ ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Homberger
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAR 02 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123130-01

CHRISTINE WISSING, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of ALFRED FEDERICK WISSING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 118723/03
LOUIS ENDICK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 113567-02
127312-02

PAUL KARTIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2\15\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123007-01

DENNIS MILEY, as Executor for the Estate of ARTHUR J. MILEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2\23\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 106292-00
125782-99

DONALD A. CRIPPS JR., and FRANCES CRIPPS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 2\23\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Shelley K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 102947-02

MARY ANN BALLARD NYBACK, Administratrix for the Estate of EDWARD W. NYBACK, and MARY ANN BALLARD NYBACK, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 15 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 102508-02

ROSELIA OJEDA, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of FELIX OJEDA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 121518/03
MONROE H. EVANS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Sanell.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____ Dated: MAR 02 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to: **Index No. 109851/03**

RAYMOND J. MOORE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fowel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 118014/03
HERMAN R. RICHMAN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/17, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 100455/05 124487/02
KENNETH L. NIXON	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/17, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BRUCE B. BEAMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110268/02, 125561/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beamer, Bruce B.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1235-5875

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BRUCE B. BEAMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110268/02, 125561/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beamer, Bruce B.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012 2383-25694

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 103870/02
This Document Relates to: Donald M. Taylor	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Salvatore Tine	Index No: 106508/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James E. Keahon	Index No: 106508/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John J. Keough	Index No: 106693/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Peggy Lofton	Index No: 106690/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 115157/02
This Document Relates to: Robert Lydecker	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert Lydecker	Index No: 107004/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Guy Marks	Index No: 106581/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas H. Valone	Index No: 106808/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: George A.Walker, Sr.	Index No: 106457/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank T. Weber	Index No: 103447/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Terrence Walsh	Index No: 103227/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles J. Wilson	Index No: 118277/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Ed K. Bo	Index No: 106706/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joan M. Cosbey	Index No: 101129/03
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 52-30

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joan M. Cosbey	Index No: 124444/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Johannes DeValk	Index No: 124444/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Dominic Elia	Index No: 100331/03
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph J. Lunga	Index No: 123165/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Anthony J. Mignone, Sr.	Index No: 124339/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Anthony J. Mignone, Sr.	Index No: 101955/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Monaghan	Index No: 114568/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Murray	Index No: 125213/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John S. Zebracki	Index No. 106645/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Gertrude Agrifoglio	Index No: 12444/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Elmer Alberico	Index No: 114013/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: George E. Allen	Index No: 122052/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sidney L. Brown	Index No: 113731/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles A. Buchholz	Index No: 121775/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph E. Carr	Index No: 114016/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Agnes Cestare	Index No: 116052/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael J. Susino	Index No: 117863/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAR 02 2012
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Bennie G. Tafoya	Index No: 102941/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Jack Tolomeo	Index No: 100587/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Salvatore J. Vasta	Index No: 113938/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frederick W. Jacob	Index No: 107003/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Milton E. Jacobs	Index No: 107005/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Milton E. Jacobs	Index No: 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Ed K. Bo	Index No: 114898/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 120399/01
This Document Relates to: Richard L. Bossey	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Ralph A. Conte	Index No: 106708/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Carl Cucco, Jr.	Index No: 106578/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Denis A. Donahue	Index No: 102421/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Eric E. Dreimiller	Index No: 106695/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Gary L. Farnham	Index No: 107006/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Harvey Fiala	Index No: 107007/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12 Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael O. Flynn	Index No: 120391/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10001
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James A. Fretto	Index No: 107006/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sam r. Mogavero	Index No: 106706/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John A. Gentile	Index No: 106695/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Harold L.Green	Index No: 120391/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 ~~FEB 2~~, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John A. Hynes	Index No: 104165/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Mark D. Ashton	Index No: 120396/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 103452/02
This Document Relates to: Patrick Myers	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 102837/02
This Document Relates to: John J. O'Brien	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 22 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Louis Pavon	Index No: 120430/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Stanley Ryndak	Index No: 105713/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Stanley Ryndak	Index No: 112561/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Erich Szillus	Index No: 105562/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Donald M. Taylor	Index No: 190336/11
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Helmut P. Siemer	Index No: 190242/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Helmut P. Siemer	Index No: 105615/99
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 110180/00
This Document Relates to: Michael J. Susino	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Thomas O'Donnell	Index No: 119374/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 119373/00
This Document Relates to: Leslie Charles Payne	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

Pollock

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 119385/00
This Document Relates to: Jack Pollack	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 102939/99
This Document Relates to: Roger Prince	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 122205/99
This Document Relates to: Joseph Quinn	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 108603/00
This Document Relates to: Joseph Quinn	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Benjamin F. Richards	Index No: 108856/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: George J. Sabo	Index No: 123481/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph G. King, Sr.	Index No: 125767/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 115729/05
This Document Relates to: John Knox	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Lafferty	Index No: 121924/98
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Karl E. Neubert	Index No: 105714/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 123970/02
This Document Relates to: Damiano Quattrocchi	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sol Shargel	Index No: 124444/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Louis Silbert	Index No: 124441/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Terrence Walsh	Index No: 124441/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard A. Waligovska	Index No: 103278/99
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 119376/00
This Document Relates to: Thomas E. Williams	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas E. Williams	Index No: 106981/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James E. Wilson	Index No119376/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John S. Zebracki	Index No. I2002/9237 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thaddeus Sarama	Index No: 120737/99
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thaddeus Sarama	Index No: 122193/99
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thaddeus Sarama	Index No: 120739/99
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thaddeus Sarama	Index No: 111124/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Vincent Avesato	Index No: 116916/98
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
~~March 28, 2012~~ FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/7/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 121910/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ANDREW KORNACKI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2\15\12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-8938-02

MAR 02 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 103452/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PATRICK MYERS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2\15\12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Our File No
S-5623-02

MAR 02 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107848/07 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: VICTOR TORINO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/14/12

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

Our File No
S-4127-07

TMc:CC(jpk)
8/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 105716/02 AND 111684/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: CHARLES FRENCH	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 22, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

Our File No
S-6453-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/28/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 105177/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: WILLIAM PULVERMACHER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 22, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

Our File No
S-5130-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190266/11
BERNADETTE HRUBY, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN FRANKENBERG, JR., against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
February 22, 2012

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DANIEL WASSERBERG, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
BERNADETTE HRUBY, as Executrix for the
Estate of JOHN FRANKENBERG, JR.,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Harry A. Burton, Jr.	Index No: 100021/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Fred G. Cordes	Index No: 119826/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert J. Des Chenes	Index No: 119396/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James Fitzpatrick	Index No: 105756/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Gary Glick	Index No: 118869/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
FEB 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Harry Dockweiler	Index No: 119756/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb 28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 121986/99
This Document Relates to: James Fitzpatrick	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
[illegible] 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 103447/02

FRANK WEBER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106808/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS VALONE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106706/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

SAM MOGAVERO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106457/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE A. WALKER, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Carcathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119387/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

HARRY A. BURTON, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100455/05

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

KENNETH NIXON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/13, 2012
New York, New York

John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 15 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 125335/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN BUNDY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Carpathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 103372/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN A. BARRETT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120396/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARK ASHTON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190311/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH MILAZZO.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Charles Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106645/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN ZEBRACKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 105615/99
190242/09

HELMUT P. SIEMER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 123481/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE SABO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 102939/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROGER PRINCE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108669/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105713/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

STANLEY RYNDAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 106508/02

SALVATORE TINE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120430/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOUIS PAVON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/16, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106508/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES KEAHON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107006/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GARY FARNHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 103278/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD WALIGOVSKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 102941/01

BENNIE TAFOYA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119373/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LESLIE CHARLES PAYNE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/16, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111864/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

VINCENT NOGAS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/16, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105296/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANTONIO PARRA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120391/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

HAROLD GREEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106695/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN A. GENTILE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119278/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN THOMAS O'DONNELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document relates to: ROBERT ROCCABRUNA and MARGARET ROCCABRUNA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100610/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb 28, 2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert and Margaret Roccabruna
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

4814-7534-7470.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document relates to: ROBERT ROCCABRUNA and MARGARET ROCCABRUNA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100610/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb 28, 2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert and Margaret Roccabruna
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

4814-7534-7470.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Charles Sneckenburg and Kathy Sneckenburg, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 112709/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charles and Kathy Sneckenburg
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Norman James Reinard and Eva Reinard, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106694/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 1
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Norman James Reinard and Eva Reinard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document relates to: WENDELL CORWIN SR., and ALICE CORWIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No.: 190477/11 108354/00 110080/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., individually and incorrectly sued Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 1 0 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2-25-12

Steven Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Wendell Corwin Sr. and Alice Corwin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 7 2012

4811-4464-5646.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document relates to: WENDELL CORWIN SR., and ALICE CORWIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No.: 190477/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-13-12

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wendell Corwin Sr. and Alice Corwin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: LOWELL H. THOMPSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 115179/02 107004/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Feb. 22, 2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lowell H. Thompson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-27730

MAR 07 2012

4852-6326-4782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Donald L. Sterner and Margaret Sterner, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106706/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3/6/2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Jr., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Donald and Margaret Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: MICHAEL W. RICKARD and MARY RICKARD, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 101768/03 124489/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 1 0 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

~~Frank M. Cruz,~~ Esq. Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiff
Michael W. and Mary Rickard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 0 7 2012

1863-17647

4852-6326-4782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: THOMAS HYNES and CLAIRE HYNES, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 124339/02 101994/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiff
Thomas and Claire Hynes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

1863-27623

4852-6326-4782.1

Corbin

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: JOSELLE SYRACUSE, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH SYRACUSE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 127679/02 124444/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

~~Frank M. Ortiz~~, Esq. Michael Bonelli
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joselle Syracuse, as Executrix for the Estate of Joseph Syracuse
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
~~(212) 558-5500~~

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-26157

MAR 07 2012

4852-6326-4782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: JOHN P. BYRNE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 119504/02 113278/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John P. Byrne
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-23032

MAR 07 2012

4852-6326-4782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: ANDREW KORNACKI and LUANA KORNACKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 121910/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

02/24/2012
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Andrew and Luana Kornacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

1863-18602

4852-6326-4782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Edward M. McInerney, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106706/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York 3/6/2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Edward M. McInerney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: David Spitz, as Administrator for the Estate of Irving Spitz, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106266/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 16 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York 3/6/2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew Macintyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
David Spitz as Adm. For the Estate of Irving Spitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 07 2012

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: RALPH F. LUISI, Jr., as Executor for the Estate of RALPH F. LUISI and THERESA LUISI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 103178/03 124445/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 1 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/6/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ralph F. Luisi, Jr. as Executor for the Estate of Ralph F. Luisi and Theresa Luisi, Ind.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 7 2012

1863-5337

4852-6326-4782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA AND MARIE TRANFAGLIA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190270/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Patti Burnhtyn, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tranfaglia, Thomas A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1003-3206

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA AND MARIE TRANFAGLIA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190270/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Grobet USA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Grobet USA, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Grobet USA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/27/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Grobet USA
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Patti Bwokty, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tranfaglia, Thomas A.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1010-9

MAR 0 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190270/2011
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA and MARIA TRANFAGLIA,**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/17, 2011

~~Michael Roberts, Esq.~~ Patti Brantyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan
Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 1000

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 02 2012

1712095_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X Index No. 11-190286

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/17/12, 2012

BY: _____
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Chris Romanelli, Esq.

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012