

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

FEB 17 2012

FAC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190297-2011

-----X
MAXIMILLIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE
SPRENG,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AMERICAN STANDARD, et al.;

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a REZNOR hereby
request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, REZNOR CORPORATION
i/s/h/a REZNOR, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against
defendant, REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a REZNOR and the same are hereby dismissed
with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 16, 2012




JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



THOMAS A. MONTIGLIO, ESQ
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a
REZNOR
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 535-5433
Our File No.: TBZ 0001X TAM

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FAE

951 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
HENRY E. APFELBAUM AND JUDY APFELBAUM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, et al.;

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Yuba Heat Transfer, Division of Connell-Limited Partnership hereby request summary judgement in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Yuba Heat Transfer, Division of Connell-Limited Partnership, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant Yuba Heat Transfer, Division of Connell-Limited Partnership, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Alex 30, 2012

JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

THOMAS A. MONTIGLIO, ESQ
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
Yuba Heat Transfer, Division of Connell-
Partnership Limited
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 535-5433
Our File No.: ESZ 02030

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 30 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190020/12

WILLIAM E. KRAUSS and
JEANNE KRAUSS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND ORDER OF
DISCONTINUANCE WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED in the above entitled action that the claims of plaintiffs WILLIAM E. KRAUSS and JEANNE KRAUSS, against defendant KENTILE FLOORS, INC., be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice to the aforesaid plaintiffs' right to file a later suit against this defendant by way of counsel other than NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK & ASSOCIATES, LLP. (or a successor firm), and without costs against either party, in accordance with the conditions set forth herein

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK
& ASSOCIATES, LLP.**


Attorneys for Plaintiffs William E.
and Jeanne Kraus

By: 

Dated: February 15, 2012

McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant Kentile Floors, Inc.

By: 

Dated: February 15, 2012

ENTER,


J.S.C.

14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

X

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM E. KRAUSS and
JEANNE KRAUSS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

X

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

STIPULATION OF DISCONTINUANCE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Attorneys for Defendant: Kentile Floors, Inc.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM E. KRAUSS and
JEANNE KRAUSS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190020/12

**STIPULATION AND ORDER OF
DISCONTINUANCE WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED in the above entitled action that the claims of plaintiffs WILLIAM E. KRAUSS and JEANNE KRAUSS, against defendant DAP, INC., be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice to the aforesaid plaintiffs' right to file a later suit against this defendant by way of counsel other than NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK & ASSOCIATES, LLP. (or a successor firm), and without costs against either party, in accordance with the conditions set forth herein.

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK
& ASSOCIATES, LLP.**

Attorneys for Plaintiffs William E.
and Jeanne Kraus

By: 

Dated: February 15, 2012

McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant DAP, Inc.

By: 

Dated: February 15, 2012

ENTER,



J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

X

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM E. KRAUSS and
JEANNE KRAUSS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

X

STIPULATION OF DISCONTINUANCE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

FILED

Attorneys for Defendant: DAP, Inc.

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Klein Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112067/99

SHIRLEY JOSEPH, Individually and CAROLE SUE
LAVINIO as Administratrix for the Estate of SIDNEY
JOSEPH;

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

-against-

ABB LUMMUS CREST INC., et al.,
Defendants.
-----X

MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 14, 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



~~Lisa Busch, Esq.~~ F. M. O. A. 2
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rory G. Greebel, Esq
COZEN O'CONNOR
Attorney for Defendant
Hatzel & Buehler
45 Broadway, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 509-9400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



112007/99

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DEFENDANTS' RIDER

Erik DiMarco Esq
Julie Evans Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
(212) 490-3000
fax:(212) 490-3038
Attorneys for Defendant:
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY

Chris Gannon Esq
Steven Rosenblatt Esq
Theodore Eder Esq
SEGal MCCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD
830 Third Avenue
Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500
fax:(212) 651-7499
Attorneys for Defendant:
**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY
GARLOCK SEALING TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
f/k/a GARLOCK INC.
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
THE MARLEY- WYLAIN COMPANY,
Individually and as successor
in interest to THE WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC.**

Arthur Bromberg Esq
WEINER LESNIAK LLP (NJ)
629 Parsippany Road
P.O. Box 438
Parsippany, NJ 07054
(973) 403-1100
fax:(973) 403-0010
Attorneys for Defendant:
**BMCE INC.,
f/k/a UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP**

Anna DiLonardo Esq
WEINER LESNIAK LLP (LONG ISLAND)
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Suite 540
Hauppauge, NY 11788
(631) 232-6130
fax:(631) 232-6184
Attorneys for Defendant:
**BUCYRUS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
as successor in interest BUCYRUS-ERIE COMPANY
ROBERT A. KEASBEY COMPANY**

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

John Burbridge Esq
John Fanning Esq.
CULLEN AND DYKMAN BLEAKLEY PLATT, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000
fax:(718) 935-1509
Attorneys for Defendant:

BURNHAM, LLC,
Individually, and as successor to
BURNHAM CORPORATION
KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC,
f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC.

James Keale Esq
Michael Tanenbaum Esq
SEDGWICK, LLP
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102-5311
(973) 242-0002
fax:(973) 242-8099
Attorneys for Defendant:

CATERPILLAR, INC.
FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.

Bob Malaby Esq
Joseph Carlisle Esq
William Bradley Esq
MALABY & BRADLEY LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, NY 10038
(212) 791-0285
fax:(212) 791-0286
Attorneys for Defendant:

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC.,
successor by merger to
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION
J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY
MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Ruthe Nepf Esq
THOMPSON HINE LLP
335 Madison Avenue
12th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 908-3957
fax:(212) 209-6890
Attorneys for Defendant:

CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

Andrew Bart Esq.
Norman Senoir Esq.
GREENFIELD, STEIN & SENOIR
600 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 818-9600

Attorneys for Defendant:

**CLAYTON DUBILIER & RICE
U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL)**

Suzanne Halbardier Esq.
BARRY MCTIERNAN & MOORE
2 Rector Street
14th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 608-8999
fax:(212) 608-8901

Attorneys for Defendant:

**CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC.
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.**

FRANK CIANO
ESQ.
PINO & ASSOCIATES, LLP.
Westchester Financial Center
50 Main Street, 7th Floor
White Plains, NY 10606
(914) 946-0600

Attorneys for Defendant:

**CNH AMERICA, as successor in interest to
CASE EQUIPMENT CORPORATION,
CASE CORPORATION and J.I. CASE**

Andrew Czerepark Esq.
CONSOLIDATED EDISON
Four Irving Place
New York, NY 10003
(212) 460-2164
fax:(212) 780-6483

Attorneys for Defendant:

**CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY
OF NEW YORK, INC.
ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, INC.**

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

Amanda Knapp Esq
Kerryann Cook Esq
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C
80 Broad Street
23rd Floor
New York, NY 10004
(315) 473-9648
fax:(315) 473-9654
Attorneys for Defendant:

**COURTER & COMPANY INCORPORATED
OAKFABCO, INC.
SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT CO., INC.
TREADWELL CORPORATION**

Scott Emery Esq.
LYNCH DASKAL & EMERY LLP
264 West 40TH Street
New York, NY 10018
(212) 302-2400
fax:(212) 302-2210
Attorneys for Defendant:

**DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORPORATION
THE GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY**

Jennifer Darger Esq
Judy Yavitz Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
116 East 27th Street at Park Avenue
12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300
fax:(212) 452-5301
Attorneys for Defendant:

**DANA COMPANIES, LLC
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION**

Paul Scudato Esq
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE
666 Fifth Avenue
17th Floor
New York, NY 10103
(212) 753-5000
fax:(212) 753-5044
Attorneys for Defendant:

**DEERE & CO.
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.**

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

Heidi Baker Esq
Laura Hollman Esq.
MCMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington st, 7th Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(212) 747-1230
fax:(212) 747-1239
Attorneys for Defendant:
**EASTERN REFRACTORIES COMPANY, INC.
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.**

Justin Kasmir
Esq.
AAROSON, RAPPAPORT, FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
600 Third Avenue
6th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 593-3937
fax:(212) 593-6970
Attorneys for Defendant:
FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Peter Stasz Esq.
General Counsel
H.B. SMITH COMPANY, INC.
47 Westfield Industrial Park Road
Westfield, MA 01085
(413) 568-3148
fax:(413) 568-0525
Attorneys for Defendant:
H.B. SMITH COMPANY, INCORPORATED

Elizabeth M. Young Esq.
LEDY-GURREN BASS & SIFF, LLP
475 Park Avenue South
8th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 753-4444
fax:(212) 753-1003
Attorneys for Defendant:
HATZEL AND BUEHLER, INC.

DONALD PUGLIESE
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
340 Madison Avenue
17th Floor
NEW YORK, NY 10173
(212) 547-5400
fax:(212) 547-5444
Attorneys for Defendant:
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

Lisa Pascarella Esq
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Paynters Ridge Office Park
2430 Route 34 - P.O. Box 648
Manasquan, NJ 08736
(732) 528-8888
fax:(732) 528-4445

Attorneys for Defendant:

**INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
TRANE U.S. INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC.
WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY,
a/k/a WABCO, INC.**

Gerard Nolan Esq.
John Ronca, Jr. Esq.
RONCA, MCDONALD & HANLEY
5 Regent Street
Suite 517
Livingston, NJ 07039
(973) 994-2030
fax:(973) 994-2113

Attorneys for Defendant:

**JANOS INDUSTRIAL INSULATION, INC.
YORK INDUSTRIES CORP.**

Amiel Gross
Linda Yassky Esq.
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
(212) 398-4867
fax:(212) 768-6800

Attorneys for Defendant:

**KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION
RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION**

Cassandre Pelissier-Donovan Esq
Diane Miller Esq
Richard Marin Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
500 Mamaroneck Ave
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151
fax:(212) 661-1141

Attorneys for Defendant:

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

Marc Kasowitz Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
1633 Broadway
New York, NY 10019
(212) 506-1700

Attorneys for Defendant:

MAREMOUNT CORP.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


State of New York)
 ss:
County of New York)

ANGELA J. LOIACONO, being duly sworn says: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside in Staten Island, New York.


On February 28, 2012, I served the within **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION and ORDER** by depositing a true copy thereof enclosed in a post-paid wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service, addressed to the following at their last known addresses set forth upon:

TO: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

All Defense Counsel (See attached Service List)


ANGELA J. LOIACONO

Sworn to before me this 28th
day of February, 2012.


Denise D. LaGrua
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01LA5049505
Qualified in Kings County
Term Expires: September 18, 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HENRY ALLEN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119386/00 &
124999/00

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85623

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN RAPIN

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112595/01 &
111040/98

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88146

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS WILLIAMS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106981/00

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, ^{NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE} defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.88159

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD A. WALIGOVSKA

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 103278/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88158

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BENNIE G. TAFOYA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 102941/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88155

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123610-99

MARSHA MORRISON and VICKI HAZEN, as
Co-Executrixes for the Estate of JOHN J.
BUNDY,

101757-00

125335-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 12, 2012*
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

HARRY A. BURTON JR. and BEVERLY J.
BURTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

Index No: 100021-01
119387-00
100753-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN MICHAEL MALLON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.,
et al.

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 112127-00
121514-00
108387-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *MARCH 12, 2012*
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US, f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102939-99

ROGER PRINCE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105615-99

HELMUT SIEMER,

125136-00

190242-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC./f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106440-01
119385-00
100782-03

ELLEN GREENBLATT, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of JACK
POLLOCK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: *March 12, 2012*
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106181-01
100782-03
119373-00

LESLIE CHARLES PAYNE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 12 2012
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105296-00

ANITA WITTE, as Administrator for the Estate
of ANTONIO PARRA and HELEN PARRA,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-


A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119278-00

JOHN THOMAS O'DONNELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109409-97
108669-00

JOHN DOE, as Proposed Executor for the Estate
of GEORGE MARTIN and CARLEEN M.
FUENTES as Proposed Representative for the
Estate of ALICE MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121924-98
108713-01

JOHN LAFFERTY and BARBARA LAFFERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Lillian O'Donnell, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of John T.
O'Donnell,

Index No.: 119278/00

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Plaintiff,

FILED
MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,

hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering
Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn New York
2-29, 2012


Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lillian O'Donnell, Individually
and as Administratrix for the
Estate of John T. O'Donnell
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4073

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Harry J. Dockweiler and Jacqueline
Dockweiler,

Index No.: 119756/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice and there being no
opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 28 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering
Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
319, 2012

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Harry J. Dockweiler and
Jacqueline Dockweiler
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-3221

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Alvin Schade,

Index No.: 123415/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., et al.;


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

2-29-2012




Dana M. Weitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alvin Schade
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-1

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07466/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
:
VINCENT AVESATO, : Index No.: 116916/98
: 108709/01
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (November 2011 Monthly FIFO
Trial Group)
:
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/2/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

536.05814/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: :
GEORGE J. SABO, Deceased, : Index No.: 123481/00
: :
Plaintiff, : (November 2011 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: :
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/2/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-100281

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT DES CHENES

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/6, 2012

By: [Signature]
Michael Ervelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

536.14467/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
THOMAS WILLIAMS, Deceased, : Index No.: 106981/00
: (November 2011 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/12/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106708

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH CONTE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ~~claims~~ claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, NY
3/6, 2012
By: 
Michael Smwell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106645-01

JOHN S. ZEBRACKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103278-99

RICHARD WALIGOVSKA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126937

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. BLASO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, NY

March 14, 2012

By: 
Joe Varquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-104304

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


MICHAEL FLYNN


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition hereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/6, 2012

By: 
Michael Jewell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK D. ASHTON


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 103375/02 &
120396/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88199

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 121477-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/19/12
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT G. ANDERSEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 124445/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb. 27, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88864

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRUCE B. BEAMER


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 110268/02 &
125561/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb. 27, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88923

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY BETH BEIDL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 110269/02 &
126806/02 & 111006/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88924

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH BLANK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 125260/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 27, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88926

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER BUNES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110267/02 &
126379/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 22, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88928

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 113279/02 &
120896/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 22 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88930

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GILBERT E. CARMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126045/02 &
113566/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88932

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HORACE CAYEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 123161/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88933

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK D. ASHTON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103375/02 &
120396/01

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88199

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ED K. BO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114898/02 &
106706/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88203

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL O. FLYNN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104304/02 &
120391/01

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 17 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Shelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88224

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STANLEY RYNDAK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112561/02 &
105713/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88293

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SALVATORE TINE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110775/02 &
106508/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 22, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88298

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE A. WALKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106457/02 &
109483/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 22, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88301

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
FRANK T. WEBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 103447/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

James M. Skelly, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88303

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Eileen M. O'Meara, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of William P.
O'Meara,

Index No.: 107098/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/9, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eileen M. O'Meara, Individually
and as Executrix for the Estate
of William P. O'Meara
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1263

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
John R. Carr and Samuel J. Carr, as
Co-Personal Representatives for the
Estate of Joseph E. Carr,

Index No.: 114016/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/9, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
John R. Carr and Samuel J.
Carr, as Co-Personal
Representatives for the Estate
of Joseph E. Carr
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1556

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102837

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN J. O'BRIEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corporation," ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Jericho, NY
3/6, 2012

By: [Signature]
Michael Smelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a Freightliner Corporation
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-104304

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL FLYNN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corporation," ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jericho, NY
3/6, 2012

By: [Signature]
Michael Farrell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a Freightliner Corporation
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105714
07-100679

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KARL E. NEUBERT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, NY

_____, 2012
By: Samuel Weitz 02/29/2012
Samuel Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: Benjamin R. Dwyer
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107004

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT LYDECKER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/6, 2012

By: [Signature]
Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107007

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARVEY FIALA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ~~claims~~ against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, NY
3/6, 2012

By: [Signature]
Michael Smell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Charles Johnson and Joan Johnson,

Index No.: 105917/04

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/9, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Charles Johnson and Joan
Johnson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4069

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES L. CHIDESTER,

Index No.: 190293-11

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, ELECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, ELECTROLUX, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
2/29, 2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: [Signature] J.S.C.

FILED

MAR 22 2012

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126935/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALFRED O'CONNOR,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2-29, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Benjamin L. Cafathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Ellen Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BEN P. TAFOYA, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of BENNIE G. TAFOYA, and JULIA
TAFOYA, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No: 102941-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2012
New York, New York

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH QUINN and NORMA QUINN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 108603-00
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 2-29-12
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. ~~Donald M. Horvath, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LEWIS G. DECKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No: 124325-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2-29-2012
New York, New York



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT L. BARKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 117416-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2-29-12
New York, New York


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN S. ZEBRCKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106645/01

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88161

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS PAVON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01 &
100302/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 27 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88291

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Vivian Smith, as Executrix for the
Estate of Alfred E. Smith,

Index No.: 113616/04

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/9, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vivian Smith, as Executrix for
the Estate of Alfred E. Smith
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4444

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 105917-04


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/19/12
New York, New York


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 106690/02

PEGGY LOFTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2-29-2012
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114016-02

JOSEPH E. CARR,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 12, 2012
New York, New York

Matthias T. MacIntyre

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 02 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

7104-227 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI,

Plaintiffs

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., ET ALS.

Defendants

NYCAL

INDEX NO: 120902-02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor in interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MARCH 1, 2012

By: 

Joseph Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 

Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
130 Main Street
Hackensack, NY 07601
201-487-1080
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries,
Inc.

SO ORDERED: 

HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110260/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALBERT DUELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/9, 2012
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *John Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Andrew S. Neer,

Index No.: 116798/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
319, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Andrew S. Neer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5648

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HELMUT P. SIEMER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190242/09 &
125136/00

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb 29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88151

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

April 2012 *In Extremis* Group

Index No. 190413-2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S.

PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

2/29, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By [Signature]

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Michael Rowlett

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By [Signature] Dated 1-1-12

John S. Rand, Esq.

99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL
PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD
CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: _____ **MAR 14 2012**

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES v.
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
NEW YORK COUNTY
Index No. 190413-2011
SERVICE LIST**

Counsel for Plaintiff:
Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
1-212-558-5500

ATTORNEYS:

Alexis P. Mendoza, Esq.
McElroy, Deutch, Mulvaney & Carpenter
1300 Mount Kembel Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962-2075

Genevieve McSteel, Esq.
McGuire Woods, LLP
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, New York 10105

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esq.
Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba
1818 Market Street, Suite 3100
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Andrew Sapon, Esq.
Bivona & Cohen, P.C.
88 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005

Andrew M. Warshauer, Esq.
Marshall, Dennehey, Sarner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway, Suite 540
Hauppauge, New York 11788

COUNSEL FOR:

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.

WEYERHAEUSER CO.
ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as
successor to BELL & Gossett Company and as
successor to Kennedy Valve Manufacturing
Co., Inc. and as Successor to Grinnell Valve,
Inc.

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION
(as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps,
Inc.)

COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, successor
to YORK SHIPLEY, INC.

BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES
CORPORATION (f/k/a Borg-Warner
Corporation)

FILED

MAR 22 2012

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH CLARKE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 190047-11


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 5 2012
New York, New York


Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH CLARKE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 190047-11


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 5 2012
New York, New York


Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 190028-11


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Watts Water Technologies, Inc, sued incorrectly as successor to Powers Valves ("WATTS") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant WATTS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WATTS be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/29/12
New York, New York


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Watts Water Technologies, Inc, sued
incorrectly as successor to Powers Valves
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
:
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
:

----- X
This Document Relates To: :

WILLIAM L. MORITZ and ELIZABETH MORITZ, :
:
Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., and :
BLACKMER, et al. :
:
Defendants. :
:
----- X

Index No.: 190294/11


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/12, 2012



David Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

4/12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES L. CHIDESTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 190293-11


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 22 2012

Dated: New York, New York




Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



William T. Miedel, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTL, INC.
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 03-100731
03-105144

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK SODANO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, NY
2/24, 2012

By: 
John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEVEN M. DEPIETRO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 101984/03 &
124339/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb. 27, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88943

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
MARK ROCK and SONDRRA ROCK

Index No.: 190319/11

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant RESILLO PRESS PAD COMPANY (hereinafter "RESILLO"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant RESILLO, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, RESILLO, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
3/8, 2012


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11-190432

JOHN REILLY and PATRICIA REILLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Individually and as Successor to ARMSTRONG
MACHINE WORKS), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., sued herein as "TRANE U.S. INC. (Individually and as successor to AMERICAN STANDARD INC.)", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 13, 2012
New York, New York


Mark Bibro, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
TRANE U.S. INC.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110088/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES COSTELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2-29, 2012
New York, New York



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110259/04


ANTHONY G. CHESKA

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 3/8, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111594/03
112220/03


RUSSELL E. DAY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/8, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111041/03


RAYMOND E. EVRARD SR.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and defenses against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/8, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110009/03


NEIL WALKER

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/1, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: **MAR 14 2012**

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos. 106981-00

ANNE MARIE WILLIAMS, Individually and as
Personal Representative for the Estate of THOMAS
EDWARD WILLIAMS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
3/8, 2012


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
ANNE MARIE WILLIAMS, Individually and
as Personal Representative for the Estate of
THOMAS EDWARD WILLIAMS
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012
Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111588/03


EUGENE M. BREETVELD

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-29, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq. *DM*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111232/03


MAKSO MATURA

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-29, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100476/04


GLENN BUDD MILLER

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-29, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30**

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 117870/03


HENRY MULLER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 2-29, 2012



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **DMP**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113499/03

FRANK PECCI


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-29, 2012




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 105169/04


EDMUND PROULX

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ^{cross} claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-29, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq. *DMN*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 3 4 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 107622/06, 111228/01
121562/01


JOHN MULCAHY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 3/8, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:


Index No. 113562/03

FRANK INCANTALUPO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 3/8, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 128029/02

CHARLES FUNICELLO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3712, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 3/9, 2012



Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Charles Funicello, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)**

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190052/11

**PETER GIANGASPRO and ELIZABETH
GIANGASPRO**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 312, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2-28, 2012


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Peter Giangaspro and Elizabeth Giangaspro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102708/07

ABRAHAM EPSTEIN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Abraham Epstein
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Index No. 105609/03

RICHARD KIERNAN


FILED
MAR 22 2012
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012


Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Chad. Fasy

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard Kiernan
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102473/07

JAMES HORNE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012


Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James Horne
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 105768/07

STEPHEN GREELEY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York
3/9, 2012


Joseph P. Williams, Esq. Alex Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stephen Greeley
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

_____x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 120817/99

ALBERT D. BUSCHI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and ~~there being no opposition thereto,~~

FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
 3/9, 2012

David A. Chandler, Esq. *Chas Ferry*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Albert D. Buschi
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 113866/99

HAROLD CASSIDY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



David A. Chandler, Esq. *Chas. F. ...*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harold Cassidy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JAMES HAMAKER and JOANN IVALDI,

Index No. 190045/08

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
including MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants.

FILED


MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012


David A. Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James Hamaker and Joann Ivaldi
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 107457/02


JOSEPH A. MANCUSO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
 2/29, 2012



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **Samuel Meirawitz, Esq.**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111590/03


HENRY W. BERNOTH


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/29, 2012


02/29/2012
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirawitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Index No. 190391/11

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,
including, Morse Diesel, Inc.,


Defendant(s).

FILED
MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March, 2012


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joseph T. Sander, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190184/10

XAVIER SCARDINO and ANITA SCARDINO


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


FILED

WHEREFORE, Defendant QCP, Inc., f/k/a Bakers Pride Oven Co., Inc., sued incorrectly as "Bakers Pride Oven Company" ("Bakers Pride") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Bakers Pride, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Bakers Pride, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Audrey Raphael, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Xavier Scardino and Anita Scardino
800 Third Avenue, 13th floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200


David H. Jones, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
*Attorneys for QPC, Inc., f/k/a Bakers Pride
Oven Co., Inc.*
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Index No. 190391/11

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,
including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.
_____x

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 March 1, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC




Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joseph T. Sander, et al.
(212) 681-1575



Eva S. Wayne, Esq.

150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Index No. 190391/11

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., SUPERIOR
BOILER WORKS, INC.,

Defendants.

FILED


MAR 22 2012


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Superior Boiler Works, Inc., sued incorrectly as "SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS" (hereinafter "Superior Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above captioned case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Superior Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Superior Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March, 2012


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joseph T. Sander, et al.
(212) 558-5500


Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Superior Boiler Works, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

CHUN HING LEE,

Index No. 190082/2012

Plaintiff,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.*,
including ELLIOTT COMPANY,


Defendants.
_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 8, 2012



James C. Long, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Chun Hing Lee
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 128019/02

GERALD ARZIE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Part, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 114319/03


CLIFFORD W. HYDE SR.


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113492/03

EDWARD GEORGE BARBERA

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


FILED


MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14, 2012


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos. 107403-02
114095-02

RALPH J. RUGGIERO and GRACE RUGGIERO.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named as Morse Diesel International, Inc.), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


FILED

MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Ralph J. Ruggiero and Grace Ruggiero
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos. 114090-02
107403-02


EDWARD J. STUFANO and STELLA STUFANO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named as Morse Diesel International, Inc.), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
SCHEIN & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Edward J. Stufano & Stella Stufano
200 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 538-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190185/10

LOUIS JOSEPH JASON,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 7 2012



Patrick Timmins, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200



Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190368/10

JAMES GUCK and JOAN GUCK,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and proposing no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 2, 2012


Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200


Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190326/11


MARTIN MANINGO


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 February 21, 2012


Carmen St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200


Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Index No.: 190391/11

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,
including, ELLIOTT COMPANY

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3013a dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2012


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110568/03


EDWARD McGRATH


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp" hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500


John Richmond


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

_____x

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190286/11

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

_____x


WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 22 2012


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
 2/29 2012



Christopher A. Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Grace L. DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ **MAR 14 2012**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-113278

JOHN BYRNES, as Administrator for the Estate of
JOHN BYRNES,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


ACandS, INC., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14-12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
John Byrnes, as Administrator for the Estate of
John Byrnes
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-113280

ANDREW J. SUSALKA,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ACandS, INC., et al.,

FILED

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14-12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Andrew J. Susalka
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012



BY-STATE-11

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-121910

ANDREW KORNACKI and LUANA KORNACKI,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

FILED

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14-12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

 02/17/2012
SAMUEL MEIROWITZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Andrew Kornacki and Luana Kornacki
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 03-100610

ROBERT ROCCABRUNA and MARGARET
ROCCABRUNA,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


FILED
MAR 22 2012
COURT OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14-12 New Brunswick


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Robert Roccabruna and Margaret Roccabruna
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST.
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012



BY-STATE-12

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190297/11

MAXIMILLIAN SPRENG and ANNELEISE
SPRENG,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

3M COMPANY, Individually and as successor to
MINNESOTA MINING and MANUFACTURING
COMPANY, et al.,

FILED

MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation**, Individually and as
successor to Frick Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against
defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as successor to Frick
Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as successor to Frick
Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
2/29/12

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JESSICA RUSSELL, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Maximillian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Fl.
New York, NY 10036

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WALTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012



BY-HANG-24

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 114164-02

JOHN C. HUGHES AND CLARE HUGHES,

against

ACANDS, INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

2/29/12


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


ERIK JACOBS, ESQ. *Joe Valquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
John C. Hughes and Clare Hughes
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-114072

ALTON A. HAKES,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ACANDS, INC., ET AL.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

2/29/12


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Alton A. Hakes
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012



BY-RETORT-28

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-102737

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES F. RAMA

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
2/27/12

Kristi Jahnke

KRISTI JAHNKE, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

John E. Richmond

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Charles F. Rama
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SO ORDERED:

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
EDWARD SWANSON,		: Index No. 120431/01
		: 123593/01
	Plaintiff,	:
	-against-	:
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,		: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 312, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
3/12/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Michael Fanelli

By: 
Jennifer T. Childs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	EDWARD C. POULSEN and	: Index Nos. 104382/03
	THERESA POULSEN,	: 126934/02
		: NO OPPOSITION
	Plaintiff(s),	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	-against-	: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: IAS Part 30
		: :
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Michael Fowell
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

GEORGE W. HISCHE and MARTINA HISCHE, : Index No. 190125/11
: 108680/06

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
:

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30
:

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 2 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
March 3, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Ambre Brandis

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-124445

RALPH F. LUISI, JR., as Executor for the Estate of
RALPH F. LUISI, and THERESA LUISI, Individually,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

FILED

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and ~~other being no~~ opposition thereto,
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14/12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Ralph F. Luisi Jr., as Executor for the Estate of
Ralph F. Luisi, and Theresa Luisi, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BY-MAST-27

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-107404

ANDREW S. NEER,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,

FILED

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, **ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and counterclaims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14-12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Andrew S. Neer
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 116798-07

ANDREW S. NEER,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14/12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Andrew S. Neer
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAR 14 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-124445

ROBERT G. ANDERSEN, and CHRISTINE
WHITEHEAD as Personal Representative for the
Estate of DOROTHY ANDERSEN,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14-12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Robert G. Andersen, and Christine Whitehead
as Personal Representative for the Estate of
Dorothy Andersen
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BY-MAST-28

MAR 14 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	X	Index No.: 00-113938
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
SALVATORE J. VASTA and PATRICIA VASTA	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	X	


No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff Salvatore J. Vasta was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Mar 6, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: 
Phan Alvarado, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558.5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

MARQUARDT, PAUL M.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 126731/2002
110269/2002


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

03/14/2012
Dated: Harrison, New York


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Rodrige Armand Jr. Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

EDWARD C. POULSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 126934/2002
104382/2003

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
03.12, 2012



Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



J.S.C.

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 125260/02

Ralph Blank


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 110269/02

Lino Borg

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107403/02

Glenn Carlisle


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Gilbert E. Carman

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126045/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113566/02

Gilbert E. Carman

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Horace Cayen

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123161/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
MAR 22 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Albert Dell'Arciprete

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 121607/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERSHON SIEDLECKI

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190510/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9, 2012


Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU
LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street at Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124445/02


Robert G. Andersen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 114562/02


Robert A. Baiocco

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113277/02

Roger Balducci


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119783/02


Roger Balducci

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Daniel Bisogno

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107102/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106964/02

Harold Strader


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107403/02


Edward J. Stufano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124444/02

Joseph Syracuse

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED


MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGERERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Conrad Wahl

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107169/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Alexander Zablozki

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119673/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107102/02

Jeffrey Zayas


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

YALE EISENBERG

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114501/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012



Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU
LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street at Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113279/02

Charles Mahfoud


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107103/02

Lawrence G. Manning


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Michael W. Rickard

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124489/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107403/02

Ralph Ruggiero

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice and here be no opposition thereto,


FILED

MAR 22 2012


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124268/02

John Saltzer

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107100/02

John Saltzer

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, he and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 115173/02

Leonard Sitarek

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107004/02

Leonard Sitarek


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 14 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Peter E. Fleming	Index No: 113637/02 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107222/02

Peter E. Fleming

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107102/02

Robert Guidal


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113567/02

Paul Kartis, Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Thaddeus Kozlowski

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 113279/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Eugene Lalumia

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107361/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, ~~be~~ the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


MAR 2 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Nicholas Latzer

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125211/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ~~cross claims~~ against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, ~~be and the same are~~ hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~MAR 22 2012~~
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 103178/03

Ralph Luisi


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, ~~be and~~ the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113278/02

John P. Byrnes

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED


MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, ^{NEW YORK} ~~he and the same are~~ _{COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE} hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119504/02

John P. Byrnes

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107403/02

Glenn Carlisle

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14 , 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Gilbert E. Carman

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126045/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Gilbert E. Carman

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113566/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14 ; 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 123161/02

Horace Cayen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ~~cross motions~~ against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

MAR 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 121607/02

Albert Dell'Arciprete

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEFFREY A. ZAYAS

Index No. 119115/02
107102/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

YALE EISENBERG

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114501/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2012


Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU
LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street at Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124445/02

Robert G. Andersen


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Robert A. Baiocco

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 114562/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119783/02

Roger Balducci


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113277/02

Roger Balducci


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107102/02


Daniel Bisogno


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 125260/02

Ralph Blank

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 110269/02

Lino Borg


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	X	Index No.: 00-108856
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS III and DONNA RICHARDS	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	X	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff Benjamin F. Richards III was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").


WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
Mar 9, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP
By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
By: 
Michael Fanelli, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558.5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	x	Index No.: 02-102837
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
JOHN J. O'BRIEN	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	x	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff John J. O'Brien was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Mar 9, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP
By: Donald R. Pugliese
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
By: Michael Fanelli
Michael Fanelli, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558.5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED
Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	X	Index No.: 10-190368
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
JAMES GUCK and JOAN GUCK	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	X	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff James Guck was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

FILED

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 9, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP

By: 
Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
212.605.6200

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK



-----X
 IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
 -----X
 VINCENT AVESATO and FLORENCE : Index No. 116916/98
 AVESATO, : 108709/01
 Plaintiffs, :
 -against- : **NO OPPOSITION**
 A.C. AND S., INC., et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 Defendants. : **MOTION AND ORDER**
 : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 : IAS Part 30
 -----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all cross claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/12/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew M. Ortiz
Frank Ortiz

By: Jennifer U. Childs
Jennifer U. Childs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
GLENN R. CARLISLE and PAULETTE S. CARLISLE,	: Index No. 114132/02
	: 107403/02
Plaintiffs,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and ~~in the absence of~~ no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

FILED

MAR 28 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/12/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
ROLAND E. LA DIEU SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU,	: Index No. 106508/02
	: 110790/02
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

3/12/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
DENIS A. DONAHUE and ANNE DONAHUE,	: Index No. 102421/02
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/12/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
MAR 22 2012

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Leonard Sitarek

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107004/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 321, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ~~cross~~ claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Leonard Sitarek

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115173/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106964/02

Harold Strader

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED
MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Edward J. Stufano

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107403/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 2212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124444/02

Joseph Syracuse

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED
MAR 22 2012


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107004/02


Lowell Thompson


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Conrad Wahl

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107169/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rule Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Jeffrey Zayas

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107102/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 103178/03


Ralph Luisi


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113279/02

Charles Mahfoud

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED


MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S
OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107103/02

Lawrence G. Manning


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Michael W. Rickard

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 124489/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107403/02

Ralph Ruggiero


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

John Saltzer

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115173/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107100/02

John Saltzer


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113637/02

Peter E. Fleming


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107222/02


Peter E. Fleming


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

MAR 22 2012

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107102/02


William Gooley

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Robert Guidal

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107102/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FILED

MAR 22 2012

CE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Paul Kartis, Sr.

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113567/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Thaddeus Kozlowski

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113279/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 22 2012

COUNTY CLERK


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14, 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107361/02

Eugene Lalumia

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


MAR 22 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Dated: New York, New York

MAR 14 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Nicholas Latzer

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125211/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, ~~all claims and cross claims~~ against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAR 22 2012

Dated: New York, New York


MAR 14 , 2012


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEONARD SITAREK

INDEX NO.

107004/02 and 115731/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEFFREY A. ZAYAS

INDEX NO.

107102/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 5, 2012

Matthew T. MacLarty

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

[Signature]

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM F. RYAN, JR.,

INDEX NO.

128019/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition **FILED**

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, **MAR 22 2012** and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

Dated: New York, New York

March 2, 2012

[Signature]

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

[Signature]

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD KELTY

INDEX NO.

126934/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY


JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHELE TUDISCO and ALGA TUDISCO

Index No. 110269/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
MICHELE TUDISCO and OLGA TUDISCO

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 110269/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONRAD F. WAHL

Index No. 10716902
11212702

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, ^{NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE} Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES A. WILLIAMS and BERNICE WILLIAMS

Index No. 107102/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

OFFICE OF
RICHARD W. BABINECZ

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

12 MAR -9
INDEXED
CONSOLIDATED
OF NEW YORK

INDEX NO.
126937/02 and 105608/03
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW KING

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED


ORDERED, that upon notice to all interested parties, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAR 29 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT DUELL


INDEX NO.
110260/04
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9/12


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH NIXON

INDEX NO.

124487/02 and 100455/05

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED

MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

3/9/12


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Ribhmond
WETZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH RUGGIERO

INDEX NO.

107403/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL KARTIS, SR.

INDEX NO.

113567/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1/12




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND NODELL

INDEX NO.

126686/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1/12




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED O'CONNOR

INDEX NO.

126935/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAR 22 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK, NY

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM HASSLER

INDEX NO.

102478/03

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/24/12

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 112709/2002

CHARLES SNECKENBURG,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant,

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York

03.05.2012

Matthew T. Weitz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr.

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501

Harrison, New York 10528

(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein-Heitler

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 14 2012

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

:
: NYCAL
:

-----X
This Document Relates To:

RICARDO WILLIAMS, et al

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AC S, INC., et al.

Defendant(s).
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION ON
BEHALF OF
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Index No.: 116827/2000

FILED

MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7 March, 2012

By: Thomas A. Boyle, Jr., Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
515 Madison Avenue – Suite 1118
New York, New York 10022
(212) 980-0404

By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our File 5010.951)

SO ORDERED:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

:
: NYCAL
:

-----X
This Document Relates To:

PHILIP OSMAK, et al

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AC S, INC., et al.

Defendant(s).
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION ON
BEHALF OF
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Index No.: 116825/2000

FILED

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7 March, 2012



By: Thomas A. Boyle, Jr., Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
515 Madison Avenue – Suite 1118
New York, New York 10022
(212) 980-0404



By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our File 5011.068)



SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

:
: NYCAL
:

-----X
This Document Relates To:

GARY MANNINA, et al
:
:
:
Plaintiff(s),

:
: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION ON**
: **BEHALF OF**
: **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

-against-

:
: Index No.: 116825/2000
:

AC S, INC., et al.

:
:
:
Defendant(s).
-----X

FILED


MAR 22 2012

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to New York Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7 March, 2012


By: Thomas A. Boyle, Jr., Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
515 Madison Avenue – Suite 1118
New York, New York 10022
(212) 980-0404


By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our File 5011.313)

SO ORDERED: 
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
This Document Relates To: :

Frank Bucchieri, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

AC&S, Inc. (Armstrong Contracting & Supply), et al., :

Defendant(s).
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF
OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Index No.: 104685/05


FILED


MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7 March, 2012


By: Thomas A. Boyle, Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff
515 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022


By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our file 5010.160)


SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HERMAN FENNELL, et al

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.J. PEGNO CONSTRUCTION
CORP, et al.

Defendant(s).
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION ON
BEHALF OF
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Index No.: 121839/03

FILED

MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7 March 2012, 2012

TDH
By: Thomas A. Boyle, Jr., Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
515 Madison Avenue - Suite 1118
New York, New York 10022
(212) 980-0404

Justin M. Kasmir
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our File 5011.403)

SO ORDERED:

SKH
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

:
NYCAL
:

-----X
This Document Relates To:

HERMAN FENNELL, et al

:
**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION ON
BEHALF OF
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

:
Index No.: 121844/03
:

A.J. PEGNO CONSTRUCTION
CORP, et al.

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7 March 2012, 2012

T. Boyle
By: Thomas A. Boyle, Jr., Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
515 Madison Avenue – Suite 1118
New York, New York 10022
(212) 980-0404

Justin M. Kasmir
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our File 5011.403)

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :
HAROLD YOUNG, et al : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
Plaintiff(s), : **JUDGMENT MOTION ON**
-against- : **BEHALF OF**
AC S, INC., et al. : **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
Defendant(s). :
-----X

Index No.: 116827/00
FILED
MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7 March, 2012


By: Thomas A. Boyle, Esq.
Law Offices of John C. Dearie & Associates
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
515 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 980-0404


By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT
FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970
(Our File 5010.981)

SO ORDERED: 
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES A. WILLIAMS and BERNICE WILLIAMS

Index No. 107102/02

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEFFREY A. ZAYAS

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 119115/02
107102/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/21, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSELLE SYRACUSE as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH SYRACUSE

Index No. 124444/02
127679/02

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSELLE SYRACUSE as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH SYRACUSE

Index No. 124444/02
127679/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BETTY TARNOWSKI as Personal Representative for the
Estate of HARRY TARNOWSKI and BETTY
TARNOWSKI Individually

FILED

Index No. 119468/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BETTY TARNOWSKI as Personal Representative for the
Estate of HARRY TARNOWSKI and BETTY
TARNOWSKI Individually

Index No. 119468/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED
MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERONICA P. SCHWILK , Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of HAROLD R. SCHWILK

Index No. 117541/02

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERONICA P. SCHWILK, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of HAROLD R. SCHWILK

Index No. 117541/02

FILED
MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BILLIE W. SELF and

FILED
SYNTHAL SELF

Index No. 127400/02

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb. 15, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG

FILED

MAR 22 2012

Index No. 190297/11


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HAROLD G. STRADER and SYLVIA STRADER

Index No. 106964/02

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HAROLD G. STRADER and SYLVIA STRADER

Index No. 106964/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

ANDREW J. SUSALKA

MAR 22 2012

Index No. 120076/02
113280/02


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW J. SUSALKA

Index No. 120076/02
113280/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

WILLIAM H. RICH

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb. 15, 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FILED**
MICHAEL W. RICKARD and MARY RICKARD
MAR 22 2012

Index No. 124489/02
101768/03


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Cherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL W. RICKARD and MARY RICKARD

Index No. 124489/02
101768/03

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Favel
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services
Corporation f/k/a Borg-Warner
Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL W. RICKARD and MARY RICKARD

FILED

Index No. 124489/02
101768/03

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT ROCCABRUNA and MARGARET
ROCCABRUNA

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 100610/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**ROBERT ROCCABRUNA and MARGARET
ROCCABRUNA**

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 100610/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 108768/03

MICHAEL J. RUSSO and CAROL RUSSO

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/6, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wertz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Norrel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 108768/03

MICHAEL J. RUSSO and CAROL RUSSO

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/6, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE J. SABO JR., as Executor for the Estate of
GEORGE J. SABO and EMMA SABO, Individually

Index No. 123481/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

LAW OFFICES
OF
WEITZ
&
LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN R. SALTZER, SR. and BONNIE SALTZER

Index No. 107100/02
124268/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN R. SALTZER, SR. and BONNIE SALTZER

Index No. 107100/02
124268/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CANDACE ZAK, as Executrix of the Estate of JOSEPH
POSSTER

Index No. 100286/03

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb. 17, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD C. POULSEN and THERESA POULSEN

Index No. 126934/02
104382/03

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff - M. Daniel Fennell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman
& Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD C. POULSEN and TERESA POULSEN

Index No. 126934/02
104382/03

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman
& Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM HENRY RICH

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb. 15, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 107103/02

LAWRENCE G. MANNING

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
LAWRENCE G. MANNING

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 107103/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LENORA E. MASTIN, Individually and SANDRA D.
LONG as Executris for the Estate of JAMES P. MASTIN
and LENORA E. MASTIN

Index No. 113481/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED
MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 113481/02

LENORA E. MASTIN, Individually and SANDRA D.
LONG as Executris for the Estate of JAMES P. MASTIN
and LENORA E. MASTIN

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED O'CONNOR and SARAH O'CONNOR

Index No. 126935/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2-29, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED O'CONNOR and SARAH O'CONNOR

Index No. 126935/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2 29, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KIRIAKOS PAPPAS

Index No. 113567/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KIRIAKOS PAPPAS

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 113567/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINDA PURT and JUDY KING as Co-Executrices for the
Estate of FREDERICK E. KNOPP SR.

Index No. 114065/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 120902/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLohardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI

FILED

Index No. 120902/02

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH F. LUISI, JR. as Executor for the Estate of
RALPH F. LUISI, SR. and THERESA LUISI individually

Index No. 103178/03
124445/02

FILED
MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH F. LUISI, JR. as Executor for the Estate of
RALPH F. LUISI, SR. and THERESA LUISI Individually

Index No. 103178/03
124445/02

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ROBERT LYONS as Personal Representative
for the Estate of DALE LOISE LYONS

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 126713/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ROBERT LYONS as Personal Representative
for the Estate of DALE LOISE LYONS

Index No. 126713/02

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118276/02

DEBORAH ANN MESI, as Administratrix for the Estate of
RICHARD J. JASIENSKI and DEBORAH ANN MESI, as
Executrix for the Estate of ~~RICHARD ANN JASIENSKI,~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH ANN MESI, as Administratrix for the Estate of
RICHARD J. JASIENSKI and DEBORAH ANN MESI, as
Executrix for the Estate of CAROL ANN JASIENSKI,

Index No. 118276/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED
MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL KARTIS SR.

Index No. 113567/02
127312/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/28, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff *Michael Smell*
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL KARTIS SR.

FILED

Index No. 113567/02
127312/02

MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/28, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Formelle
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anja M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO

GARY LEE KLINDT, as Executor for the Estate of
HERBERT KLINDT

Index No. 105764/06


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
CHARLES E. KNAPP and HELEN KNAPP

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb. 27th, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman
& Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES E. KNAPP and HELEN KNAPP

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb. 27th, 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Novel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman
& Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 114065/02

LINDA PURT and JUDY KING as Co-Executrices for the
Estate of FREDERICK E. KNOPP, SR.

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND E. HART as Administrator for the Estate of
JANET A. HART and RAYMOND E. HART Individually,

Index No. 114112/02
107403/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, **defendant, BMCE Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to **Civil Practice Law** and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **defendant, BMCE Inc.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND E. HART as Administrator for the Estate of
JANET A. HART and RAYMOND E. HART Individually,

Index No. 114112/02
107403/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. HOLECEK

FILED

Index No. 126687/02
104623/03

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff *Michael Formelli*
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. HOLECEK

Index No. 126687/02
104623/03

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff *Michael Farrell*,
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS HYNES and CLAIRE HYNES

FILED

Index No. 124339/02

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS HYNES and CLAIRE HYNES

Index No. 124339/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS A. IRWIN and MARY IRWIN

Index No. 109649/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
FILED
THOMAS A. IRWIN and MARY IRWIN

Index No. 109649/02

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 113637/02

CYNTHIA ANN FLEMING Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of PETER E. FLEMING,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **BMCE Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CYNTHIA ANN FLEMING **FILED** Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of PETER E. FLEMING,

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 113637/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 114716/02

BRADLEY FRITTON, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of JAMES R. FRITTON

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRADLEY FRITTON, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of JAMES R. FRITTON

Index No. 114716/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM T. GOOLEY

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Index No. 107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby **requests summary judgment** in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, **dismissing** plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., **with prejudice in this action**, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM T. GOOLEY

Index No. 107102/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Lockheed Martin Corp.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT GUIDAL

Index No. 107102/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT GUIDAL

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIA CINTRON, as Administratrix for the Estate of
JOSE M. CINTRON, and MARIA CINTRON, Individually

Index No. 117573/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant, **BMCE Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIA CINTRON, as Administrator for the Estate of
JOSE M. CINTRON, and MARIA CINTRON, Individually

Index No. 117573/02

FILED
MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

STEVEN M. DEPIETRO

Index No. 124339/02

MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEVEN M. DEPIETRO

FILED

Index No. 124339/02

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DINON

FILED

Index No. 109059/02

MAR 22 2012


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DINON

Index No. 109059/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 107403/02

WILLIAM R. DOWDLE JR.

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM R. DOWDLE JR. **FILED**

Index No. 107403/02

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED
KENNETH EGGENBERGER and LILA EGGENBERGER

Index No. 190408/11

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc - NY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc - NY, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc - NY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13, 2011


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc - NY
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD CAYEN as Proposed Representative for the
Estate of HORACE L. CAYEN, MILDRED CAYEN,
Individually

Index No. 123161/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD D. CHENEY

Index No. 113280/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/22, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 113280/02

DONALD D. CHENEY

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER BUNES

Index No. 110267/02

FILED
MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE

Index No. 113279/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED

Index No. 113279/02

NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE

MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102899/03

JOSEPH J. CARBONE, as Administrator of the Estate
of JOHN CARBONE

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. CARBONE, as Administrator for the Estate
of JOHN CARBONE

Index No. 102899/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY ELLEN CARMAN, as Administrator for the Estate
of GILBERT EUGENE CARMAN, and MARY ELLEN
CARMAN, Individually

Index No. 126045/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 126045/02

MARY ELLEN CARMAN, as Administratrix for the Estate
of GILBERT EUGENE CARMAN, and MARY ELLEN
CARMAN, Individually

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY F. CATALDO

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Index No. 121082/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY F. CATALDO

Index No. 121082/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 123161/02

RONALD CAYEN as Proposed Representative for the
Estate of HORACE L. CAYEN, MILDRED CAYEN,
Individually

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED


MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of ROBERT ANDERSEN, and CHRISTINE
WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representative for the Estate
of DOROTHY ANNE ANDERSEN

Index No. 124445/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAR 22 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 124445/02

CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of ROBERT ANDERSEN, and CHRISTINE
WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representative for the Estate
of DOROTHY ANNE ANDERSEN

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED

MAR 22 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANETTE BEAMER, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of BRUCE B. BEAMER

Index No. 125561/02
110268/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANETTE BEAMER, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of BRUCE B. BEAMER

Index No. 125561/02
110268/02

FILED

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLADYS BOCHNIASZ Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of RONALD J. BOCHNIASZ

Index No. 100918/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


FILED

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRITZ BUHRER

Index No. 104189/03

FILED
MAR 22 2012


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRITZ BUHRER

FILED

Index No. 104189/03

MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
886 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER BUNES

Index No. 110267/02

FILED


MAR 22 2012


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012

FAC

FEB 17 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190297-2011

-----X
MAXIMILLIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE
SPRENG,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AMERICAN STANDARD, et al.;

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against
defendant, YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED

MAR 22 2012

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against
defendant, YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 16, 2012

JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

THOMAS A. MONTIGLIO, ESQ
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF
CONNELL-LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
Our File No.: ESZ 02030 FAC

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2012