

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JEANNE COYLE, individually and as Executrix for the
Estate of JOSEPH COYLE,

Index No.: 07-104310

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 12th, 2013


FILED

APR 03 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.

McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant(s)
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL W. STACHOWIAK, as Administrator for the
Estate of DELPHINE M. STACHOWIAK and CHARLES
STACHOWIAK, individually,

Index No.: 09-190155

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
March 12, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq. ~~John Richmond~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant(s)
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MILTON ALAN GORDON,

Index No.: 190190/12

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

BIRD & SON, INC., *et al.*,


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L.P. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L. P. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L. P. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

By: 
CHRISTOPHER GLADD, ESQ.
NAPOLI, BERN, RIPKA &
ASSOCIATES, LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
MILTON ALAN GORDON
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, New York 10118
Tel.: (212) 267 - 3700

By: 
SANIA MALIKZAY, ESQ.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE, LLC
Attorneys for the Defendant
DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L. P.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
Tel.: (212) 313 - 3600
Our File No.: DOM56700

3/8/13

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 116854/2006

FRANKLYN FARRIS, as Administrator for the Estate of
JOHN C. BOWEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Feb. 28. 2013
Harrison, New York

FILED

APR - 3 2013




Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105596/05

GRACE CHAMIDES, as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH CHAMIDES, and GRACE CHAMIDES,
Individually

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jan. 28, 2013

Harrison, New York





WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

DATE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107334/2008

GERARD M. CLARKE and CAROLE M. CLARKE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *January 17, 2013*
Harrison, New York



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

APR -3 2013

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klemp Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MILTON ALAN GORDON,

Plaintiff,

- against -

BIRD AND SON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NYCAL Index No. 190190/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant The Pep Boys – Manny, Moe & Jack (“Pep Boys”), hereby requests summary judgment in this matter pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff’s complaint against Pep Boys with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

Ordered that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Pep Boys be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-12, 2013

FILED


APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK, LLP

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: 

By: 

~~Randolph A. Stolzman, Esq.~~
Christopher Glad
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7530
New York, N.Y. 10018
212.267.3700

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Fl.
New York, NY 10016
212.452.5300

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Pep Boys

SO ORDERED: 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IGNAZIO GISONDI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALL ACQUISITIONS, LLC, et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NYCAL Index No. 190307/2012

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Cushman & Wakefield, Inc. ("Cushman") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Cushman with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cushman be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: Roseland, New Jersey
March 18, 2013

APR - 3 2013


NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK LLP

BRACH EICHLER L.L.P.

**COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK**

By: 

Kardon Stolzman, Esq.

By: 

Lindsay P. Cambron, Esq.

350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, New York 10118
(212) 558-5500

101 Eisenhower Parkway
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
(973) 364-5232

Attorneys for Plaintiff

*Attorneys for Cushman &
Wakefield, Inc.*

SO ORDERED: 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I.A.S. Part 30
-----X	:	(Heitler, S.)
	:	
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
WILLIAM BERENSMANN and MADELYN	:	Index No(s): 190472-12
BERENSMANN,	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation (incorrectly sued as “Abex Corporation, f/k/a American Brake Shoe Company” and “Pneumo Abex Corporation, Individually and as Successor in interest to Abex Corporation f/k/a American Brake Shoe,”) (hereinafter “Abex”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff’s complaint against Abex with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


APR - 3 2013

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2013


COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jim Kramer, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Tel: (212) 605-6200



James Walker Smith, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (212) 981-4501

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

New York Asbestos
Litigation (NYCAL)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190402-12

-----X
MARK COATS and ELAINE COATS,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

DAIMLER TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA LLC., *et al.*,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, DAIMLER TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, DAIMLER TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA LLC, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAIMLER TRUCKS NORTH AMERICA LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


APR - 3 2013


March 13, 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE
& DiSIPIO

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, LLC

By: 


By: 

Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.

Darrone Berquist, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant
Daimler Trucks North America LLC
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335
Graybar Building
New York, New York 10170
(212) 319-6898
Our File No.: 02596-1200865

Attorneys for Plaintiff
126 East 56 Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022

SO-ORDERED: 

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 104310/07

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANNE COYLE, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH
COYLE and JEANNE COYLE, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, FARRELL LINES, INC. and NEDLLOYD LINES CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212 dismissing plaintiff's Verified Complaint against defendant, FARRELL LINES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FARRELL LINES, INC. and NEDLLOYD LINES CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
January 17, 2013

APR - 3 2013

BY

Samuel Meiorowitz
Samuel Meiorowitz
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536

BY

Mark F. Muller
Mark F. Muller
Attorneys for Defendant
FARRELL LINES, INC.
NEDLLOYDS LINES CORPORATION
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005-1759
(212) 425-1900

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Sherry Klein Heitler
Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 23 2013

PORT 30

STATE OF NEW YORK
SUPREME COURT : COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG AND ANNELIESE SPRENG

Plaintiffs

v.

WAYNE COMBUSTION SYSTEMS, et al,

Defendants

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No. 190297/11


WHEREFORE, defendant WAYNE COMBUSTION SYSTEMS, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant WAYNE COMBUSTION SYSTEMS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WAYNE COMBUSTION SYSTEMS be and same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Buffalo, New York
March 25, 2013

FILED

APR - 3 2013


Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575


James S. Nowak, Esq.
Kenney Shelton Liptak Nowak LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Wayne Combustion Systems.
The Calumet Building
233 Franklin Street
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-3801

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LAWRENCE ROMANO, as Administrator of the)
Estate of BENNY ROMANO,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
- against-)
)
A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al)
)
Defendants.)
-----X


Index No.: 106962/05

STIPULATION TO
WITHDRAW SO-
ORDERED NO
OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
FAVORING DEFENDANT
PNEUMO ABEX, LLC

It is hereby stipulated that the executed and ordered No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Pneumo Abex, LLC filed with this Court on March 13, 2013 be withdrawn. This stipulation in no way interferes with Defendant's right to move this Court for Summary Judgment in this action if they so choose.



Nicholas Novack, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Plaintiff's Counsel



Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, LLP
90 Broad Street
4th Floor
New York, NY 10004
Pneumo Abex's Counsel

SO ORDERED,



SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

4.1.13

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
ABRAHAM FREUND,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.
-----x


Index No.: 190355-12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant HUBBELL INCORPORATED (DELAWARE), by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant HUBBELL INCORPORATED (DELAWARE) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant HUBBELL INCORPORATED (DELAWARE) be and the same be hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2013
New York, New York



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036



David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Def. Hubbell Inc. (Delaware)
100 Wall St., 23rd floor
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
APR - 3 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
MAR 30 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
ABRAHAM FREUND,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.
-----x


Index No.: 190355-12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant PROGRESS LIGHTING, INC., by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PROGRESS LIGHTING, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PROGRESS LIGHTING, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2013
New York, New York



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036



David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Def. Progress Lighting, Inc.
100 Wall St., 23rd floor
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Kiew Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
ROLLAND M. ENGLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.
-----x


Index No.: 190284-12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant HUBBELL INCORPORATED (DELAWARE), by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant HUBBELL INCORPORATED (DELAWARE) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant HUBBELL INCORPORATED (DELAWARE) be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 12, 2013
New York, New York




Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036



David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Def. Hubbell Inc. (Delaware)
100 Wall St., 23rd floor
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
APR - 3 2013
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
MAR 20 2013
PART 33

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MILTON ALAN GORDON,

Plaintiff,

Index No.: 190190-12

vs.

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Genuine Parts Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Genuine Parts Company be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7/13, 2012


Dated: Buffalo, New York
October 25, 2012

NAPOLI BERN RIPKA



Christopher Gladd, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite
New York, NY 10003

DAMON MOREY LLP



Peter S. Marlette, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Genuine Parts Company
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
200 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

3/12/13

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2013

PART 30

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

DENIS J. GALLAGHER and ANN GALLAGHER,

Index No.: 190480-12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
3/14/2013


John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


James Walker Smith, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

New York Asbestos
Litigation (NYCAL)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190402-12

-----X
MARK COATS and ELAINE COATS,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Plaintiff,

-against-

DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


APR - 3 2013

February 27, 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE
& DiSIPIO


THE LANIER LAW FIRM, LLC

By: 
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.

By: 
Darrone Berquist, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant
Daimler Trucks North America LLC
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335
Graybar Building
New York, New York 10170
(212) 319-6898
Our File No.: 02598-1200866

Attorneys for Plaintiff
126 East 56 Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022

SO-ORDERED: 

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

New York Asbestos
Litigation (NYCAL)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190506-12

-----X
ARMANDO GIACHIN,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, *et al.*,

RECEIVED
FEB 20 2013
PART 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York


APR - 3 2013

February 18, 2013

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE
& DiSIPIO


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
EARLY & STRAUSS
NEW YORK

By: 

By: 

Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Daimler Trucks North America LLC
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335
Graybar Building
New York, New York 10170
(212) 319-6898
Our File No.: 02598-1200982

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

SO-ORDERED: 

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190495/2012

CAROLEE COHEN and MICHAEL COHEN

Plaintiff,

-against-

KERR CORPORATION, et al.

FILED

APR - 3 2013

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KERR CORPORATION**


Defendant **COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and as successor by merger to KERR MANUFACTURING COMPANY ("KERR CORPOARTION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KERR CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KERR CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 03/06/13
Harrison, New York
New York

Adam Cooper, Esq.


Russell S. Jamison, Esq.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ABRAHAM FREUND,
-----X

Index No.: 190355-12

Plaintiff,

- against -

3M COMPANY, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190495/2012

CAROLEE COHEN and MICHAEL COHEN

Plaintiff,

-against-

KERR CORPORATION, et al.

FILED

APR -3 2013

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KERR CORPORATION**


Defendant **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


WHEREFORE, defendant KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and as successor by merger to KERR MANUFACTURING COMPANY ("KERR CORPOARTION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KERR CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KERR CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated 03/06/13
Harrison, New York
New York

Adam Cooper, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
**KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR New
DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and
as successor by merger to KERR
MANUFACTURING COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 9 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ABRAHAM FREUND,

Index No.: 190355-12

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

3M COMPANY, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS,
INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
3-25, 2012



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 687-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan

FILED

APR 03 2013

55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE - 385-6000
NEW YORK Fax: 914-385-6000

RECEIVED

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

MAR 28 2013

PART 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 108412/2007

JOHN J. OBREMSKI, AS Executor for the Estate of
DENISE M. OBREMSKI, and JOHN J. OBREMSKI,
Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER/DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 03/08/13
Harrison, New York


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
APR - 3 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klem-Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 20 2013
PART 30

7104-673 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JEANNE COYLE, as Executrix for the Estate
of JOSEPH COYLE and JEANNE COYLE,
Individually

Plaintiff

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al

Defendants

NYCAL
INDEX NO: 104310-07

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Motion Control Industries, Inc. (sued herein as Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor in interest to Carlisle Corporation) ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Motion Control with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 4, 2013

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries,
Inc.

SO ORDERED: 
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

APR - 3 2013

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

72-13/TMC
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ARMANDO GIACHIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

Index No: 190506/2012

ADSCO MANUFACTURING LLC, *et al.*

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **GOLTENS-NEW YORK CORP.** (s/h/a and f/k/a **GOLTENS MARINE CO. INC.**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **GOLTENS-NEW YORK CORP.**, only, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, **GOLTENS-NEW YORK CORP.**, only, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 12, 2013

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017



Thomas M. Canevari, Esq.
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
GOLTENS-NEW YORK CORP.
80 Pine Street
New York, NY 10005

RECEIVED
MAR 20 2013

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 20 2013

Duplicate Original

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No.: 190160/12

LOUIS L. FISHBEIN, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 18, 2012

FILED

APR 03 2013

By: 
Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
**LOUIS L. FISHBEIN AND
NATALIE L. FISHBEIN**
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 476-6200

By: 
Arthur G. Cohen
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
GORDON & SILBER, P.C.
Attorney for Defendants

**DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL,
INC. AND RANSOM AND
RANDOLPH CO.**
355 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10017-6603
(212) 834-0600

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Duplicate Original
3-14-B

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALICIA HERNANDEZ

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190213/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93532

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANDREW KUTKO, JR.

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190020/10


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated 3/11/2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


James M. Skelly, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93525

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sheryl Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH COYLE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 104310/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 19, 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,

DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.

Attorneys for **Caterpillar, Inc.**

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 1028.93637

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH BARLETTE, SR.

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190017/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Cruz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93521

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 23 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

KURT BREITLAUCH


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 190098/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.83982

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BENNY CAIOLA


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 190270/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____, 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93524

FILED

APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
APR 3 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCESCO CONSENTINO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190246/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

James M. Shelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEIL, O'BRIEN,

DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

improperly named as Premier Refractories,

Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.93526

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR - 3 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE CORNISH

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190268/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93527

FILED
APR 03 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BERT T FERGUSON

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190110/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.83984

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190361/12

ROBERT GRIFFIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.P. SERVICES INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 18, 2012/2013


Michelle D. Grady, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Griffin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

APR 03 2013

RECEIVED COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

1296-210

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190426/12

JOHN DAUB AND JEANETTE DAUB,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, Individually and as
successor to Easco Boiler Corp., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 18, 2012 2013



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Daub and Jeanette Daub
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

1296-213

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT GRIFFIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.P. SERVICES INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190361/12


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **TACO INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **TACO INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **TACO INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 18, 2012-2013



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Griffin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED 542-1566

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No.: 190495/12


CAROLEE COHEN, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.**, and **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, erroneously s/h/a successor to **DENTSPLY AUSTENAL AND DENTSPLY CERAMCO** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.**, and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 7, 2013

By: 
Adam Cooper, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
**CAROLEE COHEN and
MICHAEL COHEN**
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
Arthur G. Cohen
GORDON & SILBER, P.C.
Attorney for Defendants
**RANSOM AND RANDOLPH CO.,
AND DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL,
INC.**
55 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10017-6603
(212) 834-0600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

MARSHALL TYSON


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 190028/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Cruz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93530

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Rubin Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
DOUGLAS BEGBIE


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 190168/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 25, 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Michael Smaller*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Caterpillar, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.94605

FILED

APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Hottler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

IVAN SWEBERG

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 122197/99 &
114395/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/5, 2013

Erik Jacobs Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.80878

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL SHAUGHNESSY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116605/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 25, 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Kelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Caterpillar, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.93618

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK L. KRAMARITSCH

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190128/12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.84421

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MELVYN MECKEL

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190411/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,

DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93540

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARMINE MONGONI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190117/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

File No.: 473.84071

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LESTER MORRIS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190154/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93529

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY R. PIZZO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190097/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 03/14, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Tel No.: 473.83988

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Stein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

MARY T. ROWINSKI


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 190075/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.


**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.83878

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

HERBERT H. SCHELLHAS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190209/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93546

FILED
APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

JACK TEMKIN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190021/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11, 2013


Frank Ortner, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.84176

FILED
APR 03 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheriff Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 11/90143

CARMEN WILSON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

v.

GENERAL WIRE PRODUCTS, INC., et al.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, GENERAL WIRE PRODUCTS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant GENERAL WIRE PRODUCTS, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant GENERAL WIRE PRODUCTS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

Buffalo, New York

3/18, 2013

September 5, 2012

APR 03 2013

Patrick J. Timmons, Esq.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

FELDMAN, KIEFFER, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Defendant General

800 Third Avenue

Wire Products, Inc.

New York, New York 10022

The Dun Building


Telephone No.: 212-605-6200

110 Pearl Street, 4th Floor

Buffalo, New York 14202

716-852-5875

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ANTHONY J. MAROLDO and MARGARETE
MAROLDO, his wife

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A.C. & S., Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant **EX-FM, INC. f/k/a FISCHBACH & MOORE, INC.**, hereby request summary judgement in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **EX-FM, INC. f/k/a FISCHBACH & MOORE, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant **EX-FM, INC. f/k/a FISCHBACH & MOORE, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 14, 2013


RUTH D. MARCUS, ESQ.
Wilentz Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street - 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


FRANK A. CECERE, ESQ.
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
EX-FM, INC. f/k/a FISCHBACH
& MOORE, INC.,
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 535-5433

Our File No.: TFZ 078209 FAC

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 20

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Case Index No.: 125099-94
Plaintiff Index No.: 190239-10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS J. KOWALSKI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190337/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:


3/11, 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10036
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.93536

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LEO ZIMMERMAN

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190383/12


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
FEBRUARY 26, 2013


MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MICHAEL P. ROBERTS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Leo Zimmerman
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

APR 03 2013


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



CC-LEAP-3

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190401/12

WILLIAM DELGADO AND MARGARET DELGADO
against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, ET AL.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/15/13 New York, New York


MELISSA R. BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JORDAN C. FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
William Delgado and Margaret Delgado
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED
APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WALTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



CC-WITCH-1

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN SCHMIDT and HILDEGARD SCHMIDT,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190067/12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2-26-13 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Martin Schmidt and Hildegard Schmidt
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30



CC-SIGN-17

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113072/04

ANGELINA RAUSCHER, INDIVIDUALLY
AND AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF
DONALD RAUSCHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/22, 2013



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



LORI BENAVIDES, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald Rauscher
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
1003-0042

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190168/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DOUGLAS BEGBIE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/25, 2013
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Powell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 111232/01
101141/07

PETER M. TREMBLAY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 3/25, 2013
New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


E. Benessere, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190294/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DANIEL CURCI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/25, 2013
New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Fagnello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106581/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE F. NOVAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/26/, 2013
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM DELGADO AND MARGARET DELGADO
against
A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, ET AL.

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190401/12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/15/13 New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013


MELISSA R. BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation, Individually
and as Successor to Frick Company
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JORDAN C. FOX, ESQ.
FOX & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
William Delgado and Margaret Delgado
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SO ORDERED:

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



CC-WITCH-2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190361/12

ROBERT GRIFFIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A.P. SERVICES INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Aurora Pump Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Aurora Pump Company**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Aurora Pump Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2013


Michelle D. Grady, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Griffin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10059
(212) 681-1575

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

1003-4806

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190132/09

RAYMOND J. HEUSCHNEIDER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DOUGLAS VON OISTE
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Raymond J. Heuschneider
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30
1008-301

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190414/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

KENNETH P. RAU,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/22, 2013
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Lindsey J. Foster, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190175/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LESTER G. SCOTT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/22, 2013
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ROBERT SOTO and SUZANNE SOTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 190290/12
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., et. al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/2013

APR 03 2013


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
HARRY BENESH and FRANCES BENESH,

Index No.: 190463/12

-against-
Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, et. al.,


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, NY
March 8, 2013



David Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Grace Jang, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Gardner Denver Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-108870

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


DONALD HOGAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/26, 2013

By: 

Frank A. Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

By: 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin R. Dwyer, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-108356

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT HOULIHAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

By:  3/26, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013

By: 


Frank Ortiz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107102
02-110111

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAWRENCE STERN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

FILED


APR 03 2013

By: 

Frank J. Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 

OFFICE
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106964
04-113616

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED SMITH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

3/26
[Signature]

FILED

APR 03 2013

By: Frank Votz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

[Signature]
By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106914

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE MEIROSE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

3/26 2013
By: 


Frank Satin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

By:

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
PATRICK O'SULLIVAN and CARMEL O'SULLIVAN

Index No.: 190180/12

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et. al.

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant HONDA OF AMERICA MFG., INC. (hereinafter "HAM"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant HAM, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, HAM, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
Feb 28, 2013

APR 03 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Benjamin Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-109641

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEONARD DARMSTEDTER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY

3/26, 2013

APR 03 2013

By: 

By: 

Frank Weitz
sq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-109064

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SIDNEY LOEB

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

FILED

APR 03 2013

By: _____

Frank Datz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

B. R.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126186

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH COLON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

By: _____

Frank Sate
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

By: _____

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

B-R
Daniel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-113277
02-119788
02-119810

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM BERTON


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY

FILED

3/26, 2013
By: 

APR 03 2013

Frank Korte Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-112954

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND WEBER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, NY

FILED

3/28, 2013

APR 03 2013

By: 

By: 

Frank Gator, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-111308

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE BISHOP

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY ^{3/26}, 2013

FILED


By: 

APR 03 2013

By: 


Frank Dattner, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-107534

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNICE LOMANTO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

FILED

03/19, 2013

By: 

APR 03 2013

By: 

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-107003

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES REYNOLDS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

FILED

3/19/2013
By:  APR 03 2013

By: 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 98-113177

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM WAGNER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

3/19, 2013

By: _____

[Signature]
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: _____

[Signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 97-121810

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LLOYD HARDEN


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

By:  2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
by  Powers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-106369

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR KINGSLAND


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

^{3/19}
2013

By: Krill Katz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED

APR 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-108394

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD SHUSTER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY

3/19, 2013

By: 


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

APR 03 2013

By: 

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-108355
00-110548

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PETER CEFALY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

By: [Signature] ^{3/19/2013} **FILED** [Signature]
Esq. APR 03 2013
WEITZ & LUXENBERG Esq. Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
180 Maiden Lane NIXON PEABODY LLP
New York, NY 10038 Attorneys for Defendant,
(212) 558-5500 Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-107801

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


ANTOINETTE STINE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

3/19/2013
By: 
Frank S. Z... Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Powers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-113384

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL FAYLOR

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, NY

By: *[Signature]* 3/19/2013
Frank Sotir, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By: *[Signature]*
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-112680

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCESCO (FRANK) ORILIA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

3/19, 2013
By: 

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE 

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-112438

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH GALANTE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, NY

3/19, 2013
By: 
Frank G. L. 2 Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-112110

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VICTOR MELILLO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, NY

3/19, 2013
By: 
Frank Antez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-110637

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDOW REEDER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

By:

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]

Frank Ortiz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

[Handwritten signature]
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-110589

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE BALL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY
3/19, 2013

APR 03 2013

By: 

Frank A. J. Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherie Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-109285

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NESTORI PAKIN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

By:  3/19, 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 99-122198
00-108721

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THURLO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

By: _____

3/19/2013
[Signature]

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

[Signature]

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-115219

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLARK OVERTON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, NY

3/19, 2013
By: 

APR 03 2013

By:  Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK BY: 
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Daniel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-114979

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD CURRAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

By:  2/19 2013


Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-114808

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANGELO TESTA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

3/11/13, 2013
By: 

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-114772

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN SMITH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

By:  3/17, 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-114264

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE ZAKRZEWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

APR 03 2013

By:  2013
Frank Weitz Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By: 
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
: X
MOISES VELASQUEZ MANOFF, as Executor of :
the Estate of CARMEN VELASQUEZ, Deceased, :
: :
Plaintiff, :
: :
-against- :
: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., :
: :
Defendants. :
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 114403-07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant S&S Worldwide, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant S&S Worldwide, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant S&S Worldwide, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
~~December 22, 2012~~
March 3

Jordan C. Fox
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Moises Velasquez Manoff, as Executor of the
Estate of Carmen Velasquez, Deceased
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 558-5500

Thuy T. Bui
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
S & S Worldwide, Inc.
1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 248-3140

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BETTYANN VOLPE and FRANK L. VOLPE,

INDEX NO: 190226/12

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

A.O SMITH CORPORATION, et al.

Defendant(s)

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 18, 2013

Napoli Bern Ripka, Shkolnik &
Associates LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
305 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, NY 10118
Tel: (212) 267-3700

THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

IGNAZIO GISONDI,

INDEX NO: 190307/12

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

**ALL ACQUISITIONS, LLC f/k/a HOLLAND FURNACE
COMPANY, et al.**

Defendant(s)
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 18, 2013

Napoli Bern Ripka, Shkolnik &
Associates LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
305 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, NY 10118
Tel: (212) 267-3700

THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

EDWARD FEINSTEIN,

INDEX NO: 190195/12

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.

Defendant(s)

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 18, 2013

APR 03 2013



Napoli Bern Ripka, Shkolnik &
Associates LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
305 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, NY 10118
Tel: (212) 267-3700

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**BARBARA WALKER As Personal Representative For
The Estate of MURRAY N. WALKER, SR. and
BARBARA WALKER as Spouse**

INDEX NO: 190433/11

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-

ABB, INC.; et al.

Defendant(s)

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works)** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc., (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works)** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 18, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013


MARK STRAUSS, ESQ.
EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY &
STRAUSS
360 Lexington Avenue, 20TH FL
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
L. E. Bersa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of the
Estate of ELI J. SEGAL,**

INDEX NO: 113056/06

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s)
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

January 22 2013

Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue – 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 681-1575

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

Thomas M. Beneventano
THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

KIRIAKOS PAPPAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 113567-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
L. GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HARRY TARNOWSKI and BETTY
TARNOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,


Defendants.

:
:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 113278-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs
complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ANDREW J. SUSALKA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 113280-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&F GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BRUCE B. BEAMER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 110268-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013


Frank M. Cruz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kristen Alford Kneis, Esq.
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DONALD D. CHENEY and GAYLE B.
CHENEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 113280-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Edward Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

MICHAEL W. RICKARD and MARY
RICKARD, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No(s): 124489-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

 **FILED**
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Michael Powell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. APR 03 2013
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

MICHAEL W. RICKARD and MARY
RICKARD, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 101768-03
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Michael Fine~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 29 2013
PARCEL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RUSSELL BOIKO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 119388-00

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


~~Frank M. Ortiz~~ Esq. *Michael Farrell*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED *Kneis*
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
Kingston Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3000

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RUSSELL BOIKO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 125797-00

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Michael Finnell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6038
(212) 536-3900

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30
RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ARTHUR J. SENECA and SYLVIA
SENECAL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 106808-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/26/13
New York, New York


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
R&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
99 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

SYLVIA T. SENEAL, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of ARTHUR J.
SENECAL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 110579-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/26/13
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 516-8900

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013

REC

MAR 28 2013

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

PART 30

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

PETER PACIFICO

INDEX NO: 101665/06

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s)
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

January 22 2013

[Signature]
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 681-1575

FILED

[Signature]
THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
a Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant

APR 03 2013

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

RECEIVED

MAR 27 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM R. DOWDLE JR. and MARGARET
DOWDLE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 107403-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Kirsten Alford Kneis

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
R&J GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JANET A. HART and RAYMOND E. HART,

Plaintiff(s),

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

-against-

Index No(s): 107403-02

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
Kneis
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Erster Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FREDERICK E. KNOPP,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 107400-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DALE L. LYONS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 110270-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

 **FILED**
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP **APR 03 2013**
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO. **NEW YORK**
599 Lexington Avenue **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES P. MASTIN and LENORA E.
MASTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 107401-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

[Signature]
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED *Kneis*

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
99 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED. [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSE N. CINTRON and MARIA CINTRON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 107099-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERGER P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

STEVEN M. DEPIETRO and DEBORAH
DEPIETRO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 124339-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERGER
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

 **FILED**

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES V. DOMINICK and ANNA
DOMINICK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.


:
:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 113276-02


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH R. FUCCELLA SR. and
ROSEMARIE FUCCELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 190078-13

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/20/13
New York, New York



David A. Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED 

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT SPINA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING &
MANUFACTURING CO., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 190321-11


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/19/13
New York, New York


Patrick Timmins, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED RECEIVED

APR 03 2013

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT SPINA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING &
MANUFACTURING CO., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 190321-11

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. ("CRANE PUMPS")


hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE PUMPS be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


Dated: 3/19/13
New York, New York

APR 03 2013


Patrick Timmins, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Angela Di Giglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MICHELE TUDISCO and OLGA TUDISCO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No(s): 110269-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 20, 2013
New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013

Adam Raffo

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ **ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Alford Kneis

~~Kristy Alford Kneis, Esq.~~
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARY BETH BEIDL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 126806-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&J GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARY BETH BEIDL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No(s): 110269-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

WALTER BUNES, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. and S., Inc. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) , et al., :

Defendants. :

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 110267-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York

~~Frank J. Oruz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

NICHOLAS R. CALABRESE and WINIFRED
E. CALABRESE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,


Defendants.


:
:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 113279-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ANTHONY F. CATALDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 121082-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/25/13
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6930
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102562/06

NELSON E. NEWCOMB and CAROLINE A.
NEWCOMB

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/12, 2013


John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Nelson E. Newcomb & Caroline A. Newcomb
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, PC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: **RECEIVED**

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 100864/03, 111911/03

PHILIP A. BENEDETTO and LOIS A. BENEDETTO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/12, 2013


John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Philip A. Benedetto and Lois A. Benedetto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 101177/99

DENNIS F. PADULA and LYNDA A. PADULA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 15, 2013




David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Dennis F. Padula and Lynda A. Padula
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112282/02, 105718/02

JAMES C. TOMASELLO and DELLA TOMASELLO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 15, 2013



David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
James C. Tomasello and Della Tomasello
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos. 106581-02
113937-02


GEORGE NOVAK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26, 2013



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

George Novak
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant

Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

_____X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 108412-07

DENISE OBREMSKI


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

_____X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 3/26, 2013



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Denise Obremski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAR 28 2013

RECEIVED

PART 30

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	X	Index No.: 190472/12
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
WILLIAM BERENSMANN	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	X	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff William Berensmann was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
March 12, 2013

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173


*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, L

By: 
James Kramer, Esq.
800 Third Avenue - 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

RECEIVED

MAR 27 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
WILLIAM BROGLIO,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190376-12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendant.
----- x

WHEREFORE, Defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, sued herein as "WEYERHAEUSER CO.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: December 26, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: 2/28, 2013



Joseph Williams, Esq.
THE LAW OFFICES OF
JOSEPH P. WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES
Attorneys for Plaintiff
245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor
New York, New York 10167
(212) 668-1122


FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Christopher Brown, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
39th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**SALVATORE CANTOLINO and MARIE ANTOINETTE
CANTOLINO**

INDEX NO: 190211/2012

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendant(s)
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 18, 2013

[Signature]
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue – 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 681-1575

FILED

[Signature]
THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HARRY HEWSKI, JR. and CAROLYN
HEWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 190035/13
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. (sued herein incorrectly as CRANE CO., individually and as successor to Pacific Valves) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/26/13
New York, New York


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

P/

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120327/99

SALVADOR MARTINEZ, JR AND MILDRED
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 8, 2013


FILED

APR 03 2013



Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvador Martinez, Jr. and Mildred Martinez
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED 1235 21119

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120327/99

SALVADOR MARTINEZ, JR AND MILDRED
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
March 8, 2013


APR 03 2013


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvador Martinez, Jr. and Mildred Martinez
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

1122-21618

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120327/99

SALVADOR MARTINEZ, JR AND MILDRED
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

March 8, 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvador Martinez, Jr. and Mildred Martinez
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

324-2248
MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190230/11

MARK JULIAN as the Proposed Executor for the
Estate of MARIO JULIAN and ROSE JULIAN,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/ 2013

APR 03 2013



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Adam Dreikster, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mario Julian and Rose Julian
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190230/11

MARK JULIAN as the Proposed Executor for the
Estate of MARIO JULIAN and ROSE JULIAN,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13, 2013

APR 03 2013




NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs'
Mario Julian and Rose Julian
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEANNE COYLE, as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSPH COYLE, and JEANNE COYLE,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104310/07,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Coyle, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30 ¹²³⁵⁻²²³⁶⁷

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANKLYN FARRIS, AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN C. BOWEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 116854/06,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/20/2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bowen, John C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANKLYN FARRIS, as Administrator for the
Estate of JOHN C. BOWEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 116854/06,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bowen, John C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANKLYN FARRIS, as Administrator for the
Estate of JOHN C. BOWEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 116854/06,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/2013


APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bowen, John C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

_____x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 100455/05, 124487/02

KENNETH L. NIXON and FRED A NIXON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

_____x


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/12, 2013

APR 03 2013



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Kenneth L. Nixon and Freda Nixon
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122197/99, 114395/00

IVAN SWEBERG AND LARAIN SWEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/5, 2013


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ivan Sweberg and Laraine Sweberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
1235-23168S

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122197/99, 114395/00

IVAN SWEBERG AND LARAINÉ SWEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

3/5/13, 2013


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ivan Sweberg and Laraine Sweberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED 122-235-99S

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122197/99, 114395/00

IVAN SWEBERG AND LARAIN SWEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York
3/5, 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ivan Sweberg and Laraine Sweberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-504AH
RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110904/02

TERESE RENSCHAW, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of TODD GRAHAM PELRAH, and
TERESE RENSCHAW, as the Proposed Personal
Representative for the Estate of PATRICIA PELRAH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York
3/12, 2013



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Todd Graham Pelrah and Patricia Pelrah
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 33

2571-0575AP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TERESE RENSCHAW, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of TODD GRAHAM PELRAH, and
TERESE RENSCHAW, as the Proposed Personal
Representative for the Estate of PATRICIA PELRAH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110904/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/12, 2013

APR 03 2013



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**



John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Todd Graham Pelrah and Patricia Pelrah
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GEORGE F. NOVAK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106581/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13, 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Justin Kasimir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
NOVAK, GEORGE F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

2571-680AE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106581/02,

GEORGE F. NOVAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013

Carol Tempesta
Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

George F. Novak
Attorney for Plaintiffs
NOVAK, GEORGE F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 03 2013

106581/02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190159/12

HELEN NAGY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, OAKFABCO INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, OAKFABCO INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, OAKFABCO INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/26/, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013


Ayinde Sawyer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Jordan Eck, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Helen Nagy
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013

PART 30
2571-1906

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET MCKAY-O'DEA, as Administratrix
for the Estate of SAMUEL MCKAY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 190305/12,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/20/13, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



DANIEL WASSERBERG
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McKay, Samuel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120327/99

SALVADOR MARTINEZ, JR AND MILDRED
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 8, 2013


Justin Kasimir, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvador Martinez, Jr. and Mildred Martinez
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED 2571-2288
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120327/99

SALVADOR MARTINEZ, JR AND MILDRED
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

APR 03 2013

March 8, 2013



Ker Yann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvador Martinez, Jr. and Mildred Martinez
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013 2383-24248

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
ANGEL LAMBERTY (Dec.) and CARMEN	: Index No. 100988/04
LAMBERTY,	: 111940/03
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq. **FILED** Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

APR 03 2013 264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
NEW YORK (212) 302-2400

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York



SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
 IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
 -----X
 DORIS W. SCHELLHAS, as Executrix for the : Index No. 190209/09
 Estate of HERBERT H. SCHELLHAS and DORIS :
 W. SCHELLHAS, Individually, : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**
 Plaintiff, :
 -against- :
 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 : IAS Part 30
 Defendants. :
 -----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

APR 03 2013

3/14/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE LEVIN DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: Matthias M. Ortiz
Frank Ortiz

By: Diane M. Pompei
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
IVAN SWEBERG and LARAINÉ SWEBERG,	: Index No. 114395/00
	: 122197/99
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/8/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Erik Jacobs, Esq.

By: 
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

FILED

APR 03 2013

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

APR 03 2013
26 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MARION KRAMARITSCH, as Executrix for the	: Index No. 190128/12
for the Estate of FARNK L.KRAMARITSCH, and	:
MARION KRAMARITSCH, Individually,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
Plaintiff,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-against-	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 8, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: 
~~Frank Ortiz~~ DAVID J. BARRY

By: 
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANICE EVANS ZELENKA, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of ALAN ZELENKA,
and JANICE EVANS ZELENKA, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190428/09,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
March 18, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
ZELENKA, ALAN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANICE EVANS ZELENKA, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of ALAN ZELENKA,
and JANICE EVANS ZELENKA, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190428/09,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
March 18, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



ADAM RAFFO, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
ZELENKA, ALAN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

3/14

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WALTER VARA AND MARILYN BEATRICE
VARA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 190512/11,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Chris Romanescu
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Vara, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

505-2607

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122197/99, 114395/00

IVAN SWEBERG AND LARAINÉ SWEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/5, 2013


APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE


Kerrann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ivan Sweberg and Laraine Sweberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL VOLIKAS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190481/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/22

, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

*PETER TAVAN,
ESQ.*

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BERNARD J. STEMPEL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190479/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/20, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT KASCHERES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190380/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
3/22, 2013

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

PETER TAMANI,
ESQ.


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
JOSEPH BARLETTE, JR., as Proposed Executor	: Index No. 190017/10
for the Estate of JOSEPH BARLETTE SR.,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
Plaintiff,	:
-against-	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: Diane M. Pompei
Diane M. Pompei

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ROBERT G. LORENTZ and JULIA A. LORENTZ,

x
Index No. 114496-2005
111109-2000
108351-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

RECEIVED NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ESTATE BERNICE T. LOMANTO et al.,
Index No. 107534-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

DOROTHY LIBERATO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF SALVATORE J. LIBERATO, Index No. 117036-2000
110181-2000

-against- Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT H. BODENSTEIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190389/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/22, 2013

PETER
ESQ

TAMBINI


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED

APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

LOUIS MONACO, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD MONACO, Index No. 109318-2000
122185-1999

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X NYCAL X

VICTOR G. MELILLO et al., Index No. 112110-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

_____ X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JOHN A. MEEHAN, & BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 102693-2001
119380-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013






NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF SHELDON E. MCMANUS, Index No. 107203-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MCELROY, & ELIZABETH W.
MCELROY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 100837-2007
109408-2000
122190-1999

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

RUTH MCDADE, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CORNELIUS FRANCIS MCDADE, & RUTH MCDADE, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 109413-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

NANCY J. MAZUROWSKI, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF STEPHEN L. MAZUROWSKI,

x
Index No. 112122-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x


MARIE MALICK AS PROPOSED ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE J. MALICK, Index No. 107269-2000

Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION
-against-
A.C. & S. INC. et al.,
Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

ROSE MARIE MACK, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES H MACK JR.,

Index No. 102903-2004
108387-2000
112123-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 11th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 50

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO and ROSA PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 109264-2000

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, N.J. 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

NESTORI J. PAKIN et al.,

Index No. 109285-2000
122203-1999

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

CLARK OVERTON et al., Index No. 115219-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANCESCO
ORILA, & BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 104634-2007
115787-2001
112680-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

CAROL NICOLAIDES, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES NICOLAIDIS, & CAROL NICOLAIDIS, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 109064-2000
122188-1999

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ESTATE OF OLEN NEAMAND et al.,

x
Index No. 108360-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

ANTHONY NASCA AND LILLIAN NASCA,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 109052-2000

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013
PART 30

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

1030

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

FRANCES S. CLEMENT, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF SAMUEL MUDARO, Index No. 118366-2000
110182-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED

APR 03 2013

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

JAMES C. REYNOLDS et al., Index No. 107003-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ESTATE FREDOW REEDER et al.,

x
Index No. 110637-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

MARY ANN PICNIC & SANDY PICNIC AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF RAIMONDO PICNIC, Index No. 113778-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

 APR 03 2013

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ANGELO TESTA et al.,

x
Index No. 114808-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 03 2013



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 108905-2000
122198-1999

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ESTATE OF ANTOINETTE STINE et al.,

Index No. 107801-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY & WILLIAM H.
STEVENSON AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM V.
STEVENSON,

Index No. 114167-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ROBIN M. SORRENTINO and MARK SORRENTINO,

Index No. 110306-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,

Index No. 114135-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 03 2013


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

JOHN A. SMITH et al.,

Index No. 114772-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ESTATE OF DONALD SHUSTER et al.,
Plaintiffs, Index No. 108394-2000

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI, AND ANGELA SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 111116-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 7th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

DOMINICK J. RICCIADI & ANN RICCIARDI, Index No. 113757-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13


APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

RONALD C. RHODES and ETHEL RHODES,

Index No. 113756-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

MARY JEAN ZITO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES V.
ZITO JR., AND MARY JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 114258-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

APR 03 2013

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI et al., Index No. 114264-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


X
WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

MARTIN WYSOCKI, AS THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF LEO
WYSOCKI & FLORENCE WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 114271-2000
122201-1999

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

DORIS WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER,

Index No. 115261-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

PATRICK VANCHIERI and MARGARET VANCHIERE,

Index No. 113196-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SO ORDERED,

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR
THE ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR., AND PAMELA
BLUMENTHAL, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF PAULINE M. TRAVIS,

Index No. 108359-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

CHARLES R. THURLO et al.,

Index No. 108721-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

PETER CEFALY et al., Index No. 110548-2000
108355-2000

Plaintiffs,
-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

CHARLES S. BANIK, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN F. BANIK, & ANNABELL BANIK, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 110587-2000
108356-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

GEORGE L. BALL et al.,

Index No. 110589-2000
108356-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PAK 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT and MARY JANE BAGNETT,

Index No. 110574-2000
108356-2000
110571-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL


EVA JEAN LAWLER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CONRAD G. AUBIN, & EVA JEAN LAWLER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JUANITA, Index No. 110577-2000
108356-2000

Plaintiffs,
-against- NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION
A.C. & S. INC. et al.,
Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Mein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ISAURO PANTOJA and SABITRI TULSI,

x
Index No. 190173-2012

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/20/13

FILED

APR 03 2013

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

David A. Chandler

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 9 2013

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

PAID

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

JOHN T. FOWLER and GRACE FOWLER,

Index No.

119393-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

X

ELVIRA M. DU BRITZ, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL DU BRITZ, Index No. 118679-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


X
WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X

NYCAL

X

MARIE DONNELLY, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM J. DONNELLY, & MARIE DONNELLY, IMNDIVIDUALLY,

Index No.

116927-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
RECEIVED
APR 03 2013

MAR 28 2013
PART 30
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

EUGENE DEGANNES and JACQUELINE DEGANNES,

Index No. 103007-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
FILED
APR 03 2013

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

BETTY BISHOP, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT D.
BISHOP SR., & BETTY BISHOP, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 125268-2000
119390-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/20/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

PAUL J. DRANK et al.,

x
Index No. 112464-2000
108353-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

EDWARD W. CURRAN SR. and SUSAN CURRAN.

Index No. 114979-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

LINDA COSTANZO, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK S. COSTANZO, Index No. 110077-2000
108354-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 03 2013
RECEIVED
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

EDWARD R. SKELLY et al.,

Index No. 107095-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13

FILED


APR 03 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ and DOROTHY A. SCHWARTZ,

Index No.

117873-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 50

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

MARY ROMANO, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF GINO ROMANO,

Index No. 115836-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ X
DOROTHY MELNYK, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL A MELNYCK, Index No. 118374-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


_____ X
WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

SUZANNE MCINERNEY, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH J. MCINERNEY,

Index No. 122053-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

RICHARD JOHN MAYERICK, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE
FOR THE ESTATE OF HAROLD M. MC GINN, & RICHARD JOHN
MAYERICK AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
MADELINE MC GINN,

Index No. 110438-2001

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

-against-


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

FRANK KOROSECZ, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
ETHEL N. KOROSECZ & FRANK KOROSECZ, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 105477-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

MARSHA A. KESSLER, EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF TERRY
M. KESSLER, & MARSHA A. KESSLER INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 101892-2001
119400-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


Defendants.

X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

BETTY KING, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF RONALD E. KING,

Index No. 110960-2000
108351-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

APR 03 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Hentler



RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

LUCY A. KALINOWSKI,, INDIVIDUALLY & EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF MATTHEW Z. KALINOWSKI,

Index No. 107270-2000

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

_____ X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ANNA A. JOHNSON, INDIVIDUALLY & AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF EBER VERNON
JOHNSON,

Index No. 114024-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

NAKISHA M. HAIRSTON, AS ADMINSTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF DAVID R. HAIRSTON,

Index No. 115221-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,


Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

JOSEPH D. GALANTE et al.,

Index No. 112438-2000
108353-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

FRANK FRACCALVIERI et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 112444-2000
105233-2004
108353-2000

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ESTATE PAUL E. FAYLOR et al.,

x
Index No. 113384-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al.,


Defendants.
_____ x

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,


RECEIVED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
MAR 28 2013

PART 30

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ARTHUR PAVANE AND PEARL PAVANE,

Index No. 122494-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL

ANNE MILLINGTON, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
LELAND W. MILLINGTON & ANNE MILLINGTON INDIVIDUALLY,

X
Index No. 116847-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

STELLA MIGLIOZZI AND INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI,

Index No. 105605-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION**

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 11th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH and HELEN MAYDICH,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 102115-2007
125768-1999
107299-2000

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortin, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

GERALD MARINGIONE and SHIRLEY MARINGIONE,

Index No. 109016-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

FRANK C. GULLO JR. and COLLEEN D. GULLO,

x
Index No. 104999-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

RANDEE KATLIN, & WILLIAM L. GOLDMAN AS CO-EXECUTORS
FOR THE ESTATE OF REUBEN W. GOLDMAN,

Index No. 104560-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ANGIOLLIO JULIUS GREICO et al,

Index No. 120250-2003
121992-1999
106623-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

TWILIA L. BILBY, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
LANE L. BILBY, & TWILIA L. BILBY, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 125263-2000
119390-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.


_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JAMES BALDWIN and HELEN A. BALDWIN,

Index No. 112517-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

BRIDGET JONES, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
CHARLES E. WILLIAMS, Index No. 119159-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

MARILYN E WILLIAMS, MICHAEL E. WILLIAMS & JOHN P.
HUNT, AS CO-EXECUTORS FOR THE ESTATES OF JOSEPH J.
TURNER, Index No. 107335-2001
119377-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

FRANCE MIGNONE, INDIVIDUALLY & ANTHONY JR., AS
EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. MIGNONE SR.,

Index No. 101955-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 14th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

LYNN F. PARSI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHANNES
DEVALK,

Index No. 103181-2003
122789-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ALICE ELIA, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE
OF DOMINIC ELIA & ALICE ELIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 100331-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

JOHN R. CARR and SAMUEL J. CARR CO-PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH E. CARR,

Index No. 114016-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Mein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

ORIN AGRI, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO,

Index No. 124444-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI, Index No. 106242-2002
115696-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation,
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

ANGELO D. TOMASELLO, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE
OF ANGELO J. TOMASELLO, & MARIE TOMASELLO, Index No. 107333-2001
119377-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

DORIS M. RYSKOWSKI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAYMOND J. RYSKOWSKI, & DORIS M. RYSKOWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 107575-2001
119384-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102


FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

VANESSA BROWN, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
L.C. RICHARD SR., & VANESSA BROWN, AS PROPOSED
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MARY BELL RICHARDS,

Index No. 117885-2000
110182-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

LINDA DUPRAW, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GERALD RABIDEAU SR., & LUCRETIA RABIDEAU, Index No. 115220-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/24/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

DANIEL MAYNARD PRATT et al.,

x
Index No. 110814-2001

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

MICHAEL PATRICK GILL,

Index No. 104174-2003
126935-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13


APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

CHARLES FUNICELLO,

Index No. 103523-2003
126681-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP

Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

ROBERT FLAHERTY & MARJORIE FLAHERTY,
Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104011-2003
126686-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

DEBORAH E. MAKOVITCH, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR
THE ESTATE OF THOMAS S. FESHOH, et al,

Index No. 110744-2006
104638-2003
126687-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.


_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 3/26/13 New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 03 2013



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES & LILLIAN CHIRLES,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104184-2003
126935-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

_____ X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

APR 03 2013

3/26/13




NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

JOHN T. CASSIDY & MARGARET A. CASSIDY,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104417-2003
126934-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
_____ X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

MARTIN S. ARONSON et al.,

Index No. 126686-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

_____ X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

DIANE J. WILSON, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
CHARLES J. WILSON, & DIANE J. WILSON, INDIVIDUALLY, Index No. 118277-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOHN QUATTROCCHI, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI, Index No. 123970-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

[Handwritten signature of Frank Ortiz]
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

[Handwritten signature of Dennis E. Vega]

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

[Handwritten signature of Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, INDIVIDUALLY & AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN J. MONAGHAN, Index No. 114568-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS AGAINST CBS CORPORATION


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

FREDERICK A. PORTA & ROSA PORTA,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104029-2003
126686-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/16/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

SAMUEL OSBORNE & ETHEL OSBORNE,

Index No. 103509-2003
126681-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

JOSEPH MORGANO AND ROSALIE MORGANO,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104386-2003
126934-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

FRANK MONASTERO & CAROLINE MONASTERO,

x
Index No. 127406-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/20/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JAMES E. MAYBEE & MARJORIE MAYBEE,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104166-2003
126935-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

VINCENT LEONE & JOANNE LEONE,

Index No. 104018-2003
126686-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


Defendants.

X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ROLLAND E. HICKEY & BERNICE A. HICKEY,

Index No. 125965-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

ANDREA E. HAYWARD, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF HENRY J. HAYWARD JR., & ANDREA E. HAYWARD,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 126037-2002

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/29/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 03 2013



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center - 11th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

REC'D



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2013

PART

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

VINCENT ASSALONE and ANTOINETTE ASSALONE,

x
Index No. 190144-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


BELL & GOSSETT CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x
WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

HARRY ADLER JR. and RITA ADLER,

Index No. 190181-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

ROSEMARY WOSSNER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
AUGUST F. WOSSNER JR., & ROSEMARY WOSSNER
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 126292-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOANNE R. VIOHL, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK J. STILA.,
Index No. 103869-2006
103496-2003
126681-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN & HELEN ROTHSTEIN,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104155-2003
126935-2002

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 29 2013

PART

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

CHARLES ARTHUR ROSS,

Index No. 104154-2003
126935-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

PEARL RABINOWITZ, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF WALTER RABINOWITZ & PEARL RABINOWITZ,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 104381-2003
126934-2002

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

YALE L. EISENBERG,

Index No. 190330-2011
114501-2005

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 11th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

WENDELL CORWIN SR. and ALICE CORWIN,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190447-2011
108354-2000
110030-2000

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

JOHN CATALFAMO,

Index No. 190313-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ENEA CARTELLI,

Index No. 190309-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

HAROLD J. BRENNAN,

Index No. 190256-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

NEAL P. IZZO and CAROL IZZO,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190428-2011
123562-2001
120431-2001

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION et al.,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

WILLIAM L. MORITZ and ELIZABETH MORITZ,

x
Index No. 190294-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOSEPH MILAZZO JR. and ROSEALIE M. MILAZZO,

Index No. 190311-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

ARLENE A. LABOCCETTA,

Index No. 190260-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

RICHARD KLIS and RUTH KLIS,

Index No. 190310-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

SOPHIE ANN KENNY, Index No. 190446-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION et al.,


Defendants.

X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 11th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

WAFIK TAWFIK and MONA TAWFIK,

Index No. 190327-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED


APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

GEORGE W. SMITH AND EDNA SMITH, Index No. 190299-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

FILED

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

CLAUDIA SANCHEZ,

Index No. 190312-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

ROBERTO ROMAN and TRUDY ROMAN,

Index No. 190262-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13

APR 03 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

DOREEN MORRISON and PAUL WILLIAMS,

x
Index No. 190258-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL
_____ x

VALERIE LONG, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
MICHAEL P. LONG VALERIE LONG, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 116680-2006
122017-1998
120667-2000

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

SUSAN J. NORRIS, AS ADMINISTRATIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
MONROE EVANS AND BETTY EVANS,

Index No. 121518-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

SARAH BUGLIONE, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF NEIL
BUGLIONE AND SARAH BUGLIONE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 118437-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

EDWARD BOLES, AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
EUGENE E. BOLES.,

Index No. 116099-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ X


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 17th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 2 2013

30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ALLEN WARZNITER, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
JOSEPH WARZNITER, & ALLEN WARZNITER & ISAAC IKE
KRAN, AS CO-ADMINISTRATORS FOR THE ESTATE OF MARY
WARZNITER,

Index No. 108209-2003

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, N.J. 07102

FILED
APR 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF CARL W. SCHNEIDER, 7 CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 107146-2003

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

ABEX CORP. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 19th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

JOAN M. RUSSO, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR JOSEPH RUSSO, Index No. 102105-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
x

DEBORAH MAGNIER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE & DEBORAH MAGNIER, AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PATRICIA CALABRESE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 113232-2004
111795-2004

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.
_____ x


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

AURELIO TORRES,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 115014-2000

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ELIZABETH MARTIN, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
HAROLD MARTIN, & ELIZABETH MARTIN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 111173-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.W. CHESTERTON CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JEAN DEPONTO, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF HENRY MULLER, Index No. 117870-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/26/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

x

JOSEPH MIRABILE and ANGELINA MIRABILE,

Index No. 190176-2009

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/21/13


FILED

APR 03 2013

Frank Ortiz,
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
X

ABRAHAM STERMAN, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR
THE ESTATE OF MILDRED STERMAN & ABRAHAM STERMAN,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 111496-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3/26/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
REGGIE FRAZIER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190181/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

3/11/13

FILED


Joseph Digregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a
DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP.

APR 03 2013
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE 13-3600

DTN/Sld/86

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

FBW39208/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IVAN SWEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122197/99 &
114395/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

X:/FBW49943/egal/November2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH CHAMIDES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 105596/05

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/11/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


FILED



Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2013
PART 30

CLB56826/legal/nosjm
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IVAN SWEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122197/99 &
114395/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED


APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

3/18/13


Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

CLB55877/legal/nosjm/November 2012
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH CHAMIDES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105596/05


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 3/11/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


FILED


Shawnette Fruit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Hetler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190355/2012

ABRAHAM FREUND

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 25, 2013

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

[Signature]
Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
One Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,
[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190321/11

ROBERT SPINA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Tuthill Corporation ("Tuthill"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Tuthill with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Tuthill be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/25, 2013



Patrick Timmins, Esq.
Levy, Phillips, & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022



Michael Jardim, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Tuthill Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 03 2013

RECEIVED NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190025/10


JOHN MLINCSEK AND JOAN MLINCSEK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2013


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as
successor to Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves,
Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190294/11

WILLIAM L. MORITZ and ELIZABETH MORITZ

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., Individually and as Successor to Aldrich Pumps; Durco, Durion; BW/IP, Anchor Darling, Superior Goup, Pacific Pumps Sier-Bath Pumps, Edward Vogt, Vogt Valves, Nordstrom Valves, and Edwards Valve, Inc.) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/18, 2013

FILED

APR 03 2013



David Chandler, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt
Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN DAUB and JEANETTE DAUB,

Index No.: 190426-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS,
INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
3-25, 2012

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-4575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SAMUEL DEMARCO and JOSEPHINE DEMARCO,

Index No.: 190331-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., SPIRAX
SARCO, INC., et al

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
3-25, 2012

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED

APR 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN DAUB and JEANETTE DAUB,

Index No.: 190426-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, SPIRAX SARCO, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, INC. (hereinafter "**SARCO**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

3-25, 2012

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 21
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____

J.S.C.

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SAMUEL DEMARCO and JOSEPHINE DEMARCO,

Index No.: 190331-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
3-25, 2012

Jordan C. Fox, Esc.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESC.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Electrolux Home Products, Inc.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

FILED

APR 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2013

PART 30