

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS P. MCPADDEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 10/190384
93/122568

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 111306/95


GEORGE T. VENNER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Edward Vogt Valve Company, individually and as successor to Edward Valves, Inc.) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7, 2010


James Lundy, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street
26th Floor
New York, NY 10038


Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as
successor to Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Shirley Klein-Heitler



FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

INDEX NO.
122879/97 AND 111829/03

ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD JEMIOLO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11



PETER TAMBINI, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3108

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Giovanni Petrone and Mary Petrone,

Index No.: 104095/98

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/20, 2011


Ambrose J. Brandis, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Giovanni Petrone and Mary
Petrone
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6456

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

GEORGE P. ANDRUCKI and MARY ANDRUCKI,

Index No.: 10/190377
98/106881

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
BRIAN MCGUIRE and SARAH MCGUIRE,

Index No.: 112919/98

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
^{3/19}, 2011


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Brian J. McGuire and Sarah McGuire
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Ara Nell Murphy, Individually, and Jan
Marie Murphy as Executrix for the Estate
of James F. Murphy,

Index No.: 113121/98

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/28, 2011

FILED


~~Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.~~ Matthew Park
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Ara Nell Murphy, Individually
and Jan Marie Murphy as
Executrix for the Estate of
James F. Murphy
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1831

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES J. HENRY

INDEX NO.

119820/98 AND 121309/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ A. BRANDIS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3109

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 101035/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT MONAHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 24, 2011
New York, New York


Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Klein Heitler)


-----x
This Document Relates to: :
: Index No.: 101158/99
DANKO ORBANIC, :
: Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
v. : **AND ORDER**
: **AS TO DEFENDANT**
WHITING CORPORATION, : **WHITING CORPORATION**
: Defendant.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant Whiting Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Whiting Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Whiting Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 11 2011


New York, New York
Dated: 3/15/11



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.

Christopher Villanti, Esq.
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation
200 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10166
(212) 294-6700

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD SOOS

INDEX NO.
105618/99 and 116681/06
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11


PETER TAMBINI, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
LILLIAN P. CARPENTER, as Executrix for the Estate of
GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER,

Index No.: 114255/04
106083/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/10, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lillian P. Carpenter, Executrix for the Estate of
Gerald Clayton Carpenter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE L. CHAMPAGNE

INDEX NO.

106337/99

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11

PETER
TAMBINI,
ESQ.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-5598

FILED

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Patrick J. O'Neill and Frances O'Neill,

Index No.: 118787/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/28 2010

FILED


APR 11 2011


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Patrick J. O'Neill and Frances
O'Neill
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5601

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119068/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN SCHAEFER,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/21, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.11555/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to:	:	
	:	
THOMAS TODD LAWRIE, Deceased	:	Index No.: 107860/00
	:	121982/99
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	(February 2010 FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 24, 2011
East Hanover, New Jersey


PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
MARGARET MCGOFF, as Executrix for the Estate of
GEORGE R. MCGOFF and MARGARET MCGOFF,
Individually,

Index No.: 109491/00
122190/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13, 2011

St. T. Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Margaret McGoff, Ind. and as Executrix for the
Estate of George McGoff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the Estate of
EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 125131/00
123724/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13, 2011


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Edward W. Jemiolo, as Executor for the Estate of
Edward L. Jemiolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119394/00; 100588/00



HARVEY L. FLEITMAN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10003
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119393/00; 100725/00


DAVID J. GIANCARLO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108353/00; 112438/00;
100769/00


JOSEPH D. GALANTE


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10055

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
CHARLIE CAMPBELL,

Index No.: 101455/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/18, 2011

HTW

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

[Handwritten Signature]

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charlie Campbell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

[Handwritten Signature]

Hon. Shery Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Index No.: 10/190423
00/102918

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011




John T. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ALICE JANE WAGNER, as Executrix for the Estate of
WILLIAM A. WAGNER, and ALICE JANE WAGNER,
Individually,

Index No.: 103413/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
^{2/13}, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Alice Jane Wagner, Ind. and as Executrix for the
Estate of William A. Wagner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROSEMARY SCHIANO, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of DANIEL SCHIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 105249-00

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/17/11
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107003/00


JAMES C. REYNOLDS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107203/00


SHELDON E. MCMANUS


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107296/00



GEORGE J. MALICK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10003
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI

Index No.: 107449/00

NO-OPPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

12/21, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Antonio MiglioZZi
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2499

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107801/00


ANTOINETTE STINE


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107854/00


MERRICK MCGRAW


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


536.11555/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
THOMAS TODD LAWRIE, Deceased : Index No.: 107860/00
: 121982/99
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (February 2010 FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 24, 2011
East Hanover, New Jersey


PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAME, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108353/00; 112438/00;
100769/00



JOSEPH D. GALANTE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10013
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108357/00; 111674/00


EDWARD GUNTRIPP

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108359/00


ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108387/00; 122123/00;
102903/04


JAMES H. MACK, JR.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108394/00



DONALD SCHUSTER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10003
---	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108551/00



MILTON STROBER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108553/00



WILLIAM T. WEIR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108721/00



CHARLES R. THURLO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York, 10005
---	---

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108905/00



ARTHUR L. TAYLOR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109052/00


ANTHONY NASCA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE¹

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109064/00



JAMES NICOLAIDIS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109249/00


RICHARD W. MITCHELL


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109264/00


ROCCO PAPAPIETRO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109266/00


LOUIS F. PAONESSA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109285/00


NESTORI J. PAKIN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109318/00


DONALD MONACO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109408/00


JAMES H. MCELROY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109413/00


CORNELIUS FRANCIS MCDADE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York~~ New York
03/23/11, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. <i>Matthew Park</i> Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109465/00


KARL MULLER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
MARGARET MCGOFF, as Executrix for the Estate of
GEORGE R. MCGOFF and MARGARET MCGOFF,
Individually,

Index No.: 109491/00
122190/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13, 2011

St. T. Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Margaret McGoff, Ind. and as Executrix for the
Estate of George McGoff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109778/00

CHARLES MURPHY RUMFOLA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110180/00 117855/00

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, SR.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

FILED
APR 11 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGovern, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110181/00:117036/00


SALVATORE J. LIBERATO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110182/00; 118366/00



SAM MUDARO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110306/00


ROBIN M. SORRENTINO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110587/00:100750/03



JOHN F. BANIK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110637/00


FREDOW REEDER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111116/00


NICOLA SCALICI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108357/00, 111674/00


EDWARD GUNTRIPP


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112110/00


VICTOR G. MELILLO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112122/00


STEFAN L. MAZUROWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108353/00 112438/00;
100769/00



JOSEPH D. GALANTE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10013
---	--

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112680/00


FRANCESCO ORILIA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113196/00


PATRICK C. VANCHIERI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113756/00


RONALD C. RHODES, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113757/00


DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114135/00

LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

FILED


APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114258/00


JAMES V. ZITO, JR.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114264/00



THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/29, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114271/00


LEO A. WYSOCKI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114778/00



JAMES L. SPENCE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114772/00


JOHN A. SMITH


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114808/00


ANGELO TESTA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10013

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115219/00



CLARK OVERTON

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115261/00



CLIFFORD F. WINTERHALTER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115696/00



JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116672/00



GERALD J. D'AMORE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM J. DONNELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 116927/00

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/21, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William J. Donnelly
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11-84-1

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110181/00; 117036/00

SALVATORE J. LIBERATO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 117846/00



CHARLES NEWMAN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110180/00, 117855/00

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

FILED
APR 11 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGovern, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Friedrich Scheuermann
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 117872-00


WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/28/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


Joseph P. Williams
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.


Jennifer Wu
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


536.15163/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
FRIEDRICH SCHEUERMANN, : Index No.: 117872/00
: :
Plaintiff, : (August 2007 FIFO Trial Group)
: :
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: :
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


JOSEPH P. WILLIAMS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110182/00; 118366/00


SAM MUDARO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-118374

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL A. MELNYK and DOROTHY MELNYK,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, NY

3/3/11



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Michael A. Melnyk and Dorothy Melnyk
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE¹

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BU-ACTIVE-15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 118460/00


RICHARD L. HARVEY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 118502/00


CONRAD LACHANCE


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1527736

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 118679/00


MICHAEL DUBRITZ

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
MICHAEL DUBRITZ

Index No.: 118679/00

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


2/21/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael Dubritz
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11054-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
CHARLES E. WILLIAMS

Index No.: 119159/00

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/23/2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles E. Williams
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 11 2011

FILED
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD WYANT

INDEX NO.

107774/01 and 119375/00

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119376/00; 107187/01


DONALD R. WINTERS


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119376/00; 107201/01


CLIFFORD A. WALKER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119377/00; 107335/01



JOSEPH J. TURNER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119377/00



ALTON H. THOMPKINS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119377/00, 107317/01


WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 19379/00; 107095/01


EDWARD SKELLY


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119379/00:106962/01



MAURI SAVOLAINEN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119379/00, 107083/01



CASIMIR SIBIGA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107081/01; 119379/00


DONALD E. SIERING


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP,
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119380/00, 102720/01


ANTHONY MODAFFERI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119382/00:104033/01



LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119383/00-102314/01


CARL KROGER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119383/00:102269/01


GIOVANNI LATTANZIO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KARL KROGER,

INDEX NO.
102314/01 and 119383/00
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11

PETER TAMBINI,
ESQ

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119385/00 106463/01



SALVATORE RANDAZZO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119390/00:125268/00



ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119392/00:100783/01


EARL R. HANMER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119393/00


JOHN T. FOWLER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119393/00; 100725/00



DAVID J. GIANCARLO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119394/00:100588/00


HARVEY L. FLEITMAN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

FILED
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROSE VER HAUGE, Individually and as Proposed
Executrix for the Estate of DONALD F. VER
HAGUE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 120329-00
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 25, 2011
New York, New York


Daniel J. Esq. M. Michael Farrell.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
APR 11 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120329/00

Donald Ver Hague,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29 2011


Megan E. Kriegstein

Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Michael Fanelli, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff
Donald Ver Hague
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD VER HAGUE

INDEX NO.

120329/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND


ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/22/11


~~Frank Ortiz~~ Esq. Michael Franell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121197/00



RICHARD FLOYD BENNETT

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUIS GARCIA

INDEX NO.

121295/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY


JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Daniel Brown*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES J. HENRY

INDEX NO.

119820/98 AND 121309/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



3/17/01

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ A. BRANDIS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3100

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121686/00



ANTONINO SCLAFANI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122053/00



JOSEPH J. MCINERNEY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108387/00; 122123/00;
102903/04


JAMES H. MACK, JR.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122496/00



THOMAS E. RUSSELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 123057/00


MAURITIS C. MULDER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 123088/00



ROBERT C. LOUGHLIN, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 123565/00



JOSEPH TOMASKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 124331/00


CLYDE FRASIER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 124332/00


GERALD J. MICHEL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the Estate of
EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 125131/00
123724/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/13, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Edward W. Jemiolo, as Executor for the Estate of
Edward L. Jemiolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10033
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ELIZABETH STRUM, as Executrix for the Estate of
CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Index No.: 125131/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
2/10, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Elizabeth Strum as Executrix for the Estate of
Chester Horner and Rita Horner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)-558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT D. BISHOP SR.

Index No.: 125268/00

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


3/11/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert D. Bishop Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11094-2603

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119390/00; 125268/00



ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119392/00; 100783/01


EARL R. HANMER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
EARL R. HANMER

Index No.: 100783/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


2/27/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Earl R. Hanmer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101205/01


JAMES ASHCRAFT


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102125/01


JOSEPH MATTE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119383/00; 102269/01


GIOVANNI LATTANZIO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KARL KROGER,

INDEX NO.

102314/01 and 119383/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11

PETER TAMBINI,
ESQ


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119383/00, 102314/01


CARL KROGER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119380/00; 102720/01


ANTHONY MODAFFERI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE¹

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103003/01


THEODORE F. WALKER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
- APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103611/01



WILLIAM C. MARTIN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10045
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM C. MARTIN

Index No.: 103611/01

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/21, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William C. Martin
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103870/01


WILLIAM V. HAKES


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103986/01


RICHARD PARKER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE

Index No.: 104033/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


3/24/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lawrence A. Ligammare
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 111811

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119382/00; 104033/01


LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104561/01


DENNIS F. NICASTRO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
DENIS F. NICASTRO

Index No.: 104561/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

 3/2/2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Denis F. Nicastro
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104563/01


RUFUS HALL


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES V. ROSATI

Index.No.: 104696/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/10/2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James V. Rosati
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104982/01



ROBERT B. GAGLIARDO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104997/01


FRANCIS J. LANGAN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Mein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105230/01



JOHN PAUL KEITH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN PAUL KEITH

INDEX NO.

105230/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11



PETER TAMBINI ~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN PAUL KEITH

Index No.: 105230/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

^{3/21}
2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Paul Keith
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Shersy R. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105252/01


CHARLES E. MEYERS


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105347/01


JOSE A. VIEIRA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ALBERT THOMAS

Index No.: 105352/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Albert Thomas
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC

177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-232

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 105354-01

This Document Relates To:

BRENDA L. TANNER, as Administratrix for the
Estate of WILLIAM M. TANNER, and BRENDA
L. TANNER, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., *et al.*, Including,
MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
APR 11 2011

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

March 28, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Hettler
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ETHEL R. KOROSECZ

Index No.: 105477/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/10/2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ethel R. Korosecz
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 110841

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105477/01


ETHEL L. KOROSECZ

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105605/01


ANTONIO MIGLIOZZO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119385/00; 106463/01



SALVATORE RANDAZZO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
SALVATORE RANDAZZO

Index No.: 106463/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

^{3/21}
_____, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvatore Randazzo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2413

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106643/01


LAWRENCE J. KELLY


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
LAWRENCE J. KELLY

Index.No.: 106643/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lawrence J. Kelly
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2529

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119379/00; 106962/01



MAURI SAVOLAINEN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107081/01, 119379/00


DONALD E. SIERING

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119379/00; 107083/01

CASIMIR SIBIGA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119379/00; 107095/01



EDWARD SKELLY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
EDWARD R. SKELLY

Index No.: 107095/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/11/2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward R. Skelly
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119376/00; 107187/01

DONALD R. WINTERS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119376/00; 107201/01


CLIFFORD A. WALKER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119377/00, 107317/01



WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119377/00; 107335/01


JOSEPH J. TURNER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOSEPH J. TURNER

Index No.: 107335/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


3/21/2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph J. Turner
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107403/01



OSCAR H. SPARLING

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10003 FILED APR 11 2011
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
EDWARD E. WYANT SR.

Index No.: 107774/01

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


3/21, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward E. Wyant Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC

177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 11084-

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD WYANT

INDEX NO.

107774/01 and 119375/00

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109019/01


GLENN E. POWERS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110165/01


ANTHONY J. ROMANO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110438/01



HAROLD MCGINN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to :
ALBERT CONTENTO, : Index No.: 111228/01
: 121539/01
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
Defendants. : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. MacIntyre
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 11 2011

FILED
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
Stephen F. Balsamo
~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: EDWARD F. MARTENS, Deceased, : Index No.: 111228/01
: : 121426/01
: Plaintiff, :
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs. : Group)
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
EDWARD F. MARTENS, Deceased, : Index No.: 111228/01
: 121426/01
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011


~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111229/01

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES THOMAS,
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/25, 2011
New York, New York


Esq. M. Paul Bonelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

536.07694/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: :
JOHN DOHERTY, : Index No.: 111230/01
: 123065/01
: :
Plaintiff, :
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs. : Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., :
: NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. - Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ. - RYAN'S OFFICE
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07694/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
JOHN DOHERTY,	:	Index No.: 111230/01
	:	123065/01
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	NO OPPOSITION
Defendants.	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

APR 11 2011


DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. - Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 1
(Heitler, S)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 01/112177

ANTHONY COSTANTINO, as Executor of the
Estate for FRANCES COSTANTINO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

v.


SELBY BATTERSBY & COMPANY, et al.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & COMPANY, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, Selby Battersby & Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Selby Battersby & Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Erica Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-605-6200



Stephen A. Mantele, Esq.
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Selby Battersby
The Dun Building
110 Pearl Street, 4th Floor
Buffalo, New York 14202
716-852-5875

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Kleir Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112178/01



ANTHONY O'DONNELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2011

 Audrey P. Raphael, Esq. Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue, 13 th Floor New York, New York 10022	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07704/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 115831/01
WILLIAM P. TANNER,	:	
	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119235/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

KAREL DVORAK,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/25, 2011
New York, New York


~~Paul Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fawcett
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, P.L.L.C.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.09772/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120390/01
JAMES J. COUGHLIN, : 123906/01
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. MacLarty
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
Stephen F. Garity
STEPHEN F. GARRITY, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.10567/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120394/01
JAMES BRENNAN, Deceased, : 105777/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011

Matthew T. Weitz
ERANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Garrity
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. GARRITY, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler


536.10338/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
JOSE TRINIDAD, : Index No.: 120395/01
: 109554/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BAGAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.09778/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: :
FRANK PERRY, : Index No.: 101088/02
: 120431/01
: (January 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
Plaintiff, :
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: :
: EDWARD F. MARTENS, Deceased, : Index No.: 111228/01
: : 121426/01
: Plaintiff, :
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs. : Group)
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler


536.07699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:		
EDWARD F. MARTENS, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 111228/01
	:	121426/01
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: :
: :
ALBERT CONTENTO, : Index No.: 111228/01
: 121539/01
: :
Plaintiff, :
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs. : Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07703/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
MICHAEL A. RUZZI, : Index No.: 120583/01
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED

APR 11 2011

Matthew Park
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsano
STEPHEN F. BALSANO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07703/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120583/01

MICHAEL A. RUZZI, :
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)

vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**

Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAM, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, O'NEIL, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK S. BARBARA,
Plaintiff,
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendants.

Index No.: 121734/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/21, 2011


MEGAN E. KRIEGSTEIN, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



PHAN ALVARADO, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
FRANK S. BARBARA
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07694/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
JOHN DOHERTY,	:	Index No.: 111230/01
	:	123065/01
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs.	:	Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	:	AND ORDER
	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew Park
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07694/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:	:	
	:	
JOHN DOHERTY,	:	Index No.: 111230/01
	:	123065/01
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs.	:	Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	:	AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011


~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ. NEW YORK
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.09772/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120390/01
: 123906/01

JAMES J. COUGHLIN, :
: :
Plaintiff, : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)

vs. :
:
:
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Mac Setzer
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COURT CLERKS OFFICE
Stephen F. Balsamo
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100293-02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD VINESKI,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/25, 2011
New York, New York


Esq. Michael Fanello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100373/02



RICHARD GRABINSKY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2011

 Audrey P. Raphael, Esq. Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue, 13 th Floor New York, New York 10022	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
J.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100373/02

RICHARD J. GRABINSKY,
Plaintiff(s)

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/11



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
OAKFABCO, INC.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Erica V. Cesaro
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RICHARD GRABINSKY
800 3rd Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-0001

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	:
This document relates to:	:
	:
EUGENE D. STEINHAUER	:
	:
	Index No.: 100733-02
	:
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND ORDER
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30/2011

FILED

APR 11 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
900 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert K. Gunn
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 ext. 15

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

536.09778/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
FRANK PERRY, : Index No.: 101088/02
: 120431/01
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (January 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 102733/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

EUGENE STEINHAUER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant PACCAR, INC., hereinafter ("PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/11, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
PACCAR, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 102733-02

This Document Relates To:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.
STEINHAUER,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) *et al.*, Including,
MAREMONT CORPORATION,


Defendants.
-----X

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March, 28, 2011


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104919/02


RUSSELL ANGELONE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2011

 Audrey P. Raphael, Esq. Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue, 13 th Floor New York, New York 10022	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10002
--	--

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10567/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120394/01
JAMES BRENNAN, Deceased, : 105777/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 11 2011

Matthew T. Mac Setz
ERANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Corasamo
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. CORASAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler


536.10338/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120395/01
JOSE TRINIDAD, : 109554/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 3/31/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BACCAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Index No.: 109854/02

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/19, 2011

St. T. Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111905/02


JOHN GARCIA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2011

 Audrey P. Raphael, Esq. Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue, 13 th Floor New York, New York 10022	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--

FILED
APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114483/02


VITO CONTI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

VIVIAN LAWRENCE, as Executrix of the
Estate of GEORGE LAWRENCE, Deceased,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 126776-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "THE CRANE CO."

("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kristen Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110587/00; 100750/03



JOHN F. BANIK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

SIGRID SHAPIRO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 100783-03

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "THE CRANE COMPANY as Successor to COCHRAN" ("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X


This Document Relates To: :
: NYCAL
ARTHUR J. MEDIATE and HARRIET MEDIATE, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
-against- :
Index No.: 108114-03
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15/11
New York, New York


Benjamin A. Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ARTHUR J. MEDIATE and HARRIET MEDIATE,

Index No.: 10/190358
03/108114

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT DOWNEY and RITA DOWNEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 109278-03

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "THE CRANE CO."

("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCH & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900
FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD LEVINSON and EDYTHE LEVINSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 10/190418
03/111594

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD JEMIOLO

INDEX NO.
122879/97 AND 111829/03
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11


PETER TAMBINI, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3109

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PRISCILLA CLEMENT, as Executrix of the
Estate of GORDON CLEMENT, and
PRISCILLA CLEMENT, Individually,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s),

: Index No.: 112936-03

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "THE CRANE CO.,
Individually and as Successor to Cochran" ("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment
in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOK, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ELLA RUTH MONTONEY and DONNA : NYCAL
JEAN WEESE as Co-Executrix of the Estate of : I.A.S. Part 30
OSCAR FOANE MONTONEY, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), : Index No.: 116300-03
-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "THE CRANE CO. as
Successor to COCHRAN" ("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Heitler
Kirsten Alford Heitler, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO. APR 11 2011
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900
FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD ADAMS and ELIZABETH
ADAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 117566-03

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "CRANE CO., Individually and as Successor to Cochrane" ("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LAURA LANDINO, as Executrix of the Estate
of FRANK LANDINO a/k/a FRANKLIN
DELANO LANDINO a/k/a FRANK
DELANDO LANDINO, Deceased,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 119461-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., named herein as "THE CRANE COMPANY"

("CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and as Proposed
Executrix for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Index No.: 102902/04

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/18, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Derna Costanzo, Individually and as Proposed
Executrix for the Estate of Ezio Costanzo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108387/00; 122123/00;
102903/04


JAMES H. MACK, JR.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/23, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 106751-04

This Document Relates To:

PAUL JENNINGS and LILLIE M. JENNINGS

Plaintiff

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendants American Premier Underwriters, Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendants American Premier Underwriters, Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon Notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendants American Premier Underwriters, Inc. and Consolidated Rail Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 24, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Law Offices of Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
NY, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Thomas M. Smith, Esq.
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
Attorneys for Defendants
American Premier Underwriters, Inc.
Consolidated Rail Corporation
10 Bank Street, Suite 1061
White Plains, NY 10606
(914) 286-2807

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heiter

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 04/113072

ANGELINA RAUSCHER, Individually and as Executrix
of the Estate of DONALD RAUSCHER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

v.

QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

-----X

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, Defendant, QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

[Signature]
Carmen St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-605-6200

Dated: Buffalo, New York

[Signature]
Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants, Quaker Chemical
Corporation
110 Pearl Street, Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14202
716-852-5875

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
LILLIAN P. CARPENTER, as Executrix for the Estate of
GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER,

Index No. 114255/04
106083/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/18, 2011

ATC
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

[Signature]
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lillian P. Carpenter, Executrix for the Estate of
Gerald Clayton Carpenter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115493/04

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ISABELLA W. LUNDERMAN, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of CARL T. LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/19, 2011

St. T. Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Isabella Lunderman, Ind. and as Executrix for the
Estate of Carl T. Lunderman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 102347/05

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE
COUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/18 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 05/115185

BERNARD HOCHBERG

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

v.

SELBY BATTERSBY & CO


Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & CO., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & CO, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 10, 2011

Dated: Buffalo, New York
2/15, 2011


Carmen St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-605-6200


Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants, Selby Battersby.
& Co.
110 Pearl Street, Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14202
716-852-5875

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 05/115185

BERNARD HOCHBERG

Plaintiffs,

v.

QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, QUAKER CHEMICAL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
March 10, 2011


Carmen St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-605-6200

Dated: Buffalo, New York

2/15, 2011 **APR 11 2011**

Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants, Quaker Chemical Corporation
110 Pearl Street, Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14202
716-852-5875

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 05/115185

BERNARD HOCHBERG

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

v.

SELBY BATTERSBY & CO


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & CO., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, SELBY BATTERSBY & CO, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

March 10, 2011


Carmen St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-605-6200

Dated: Buffalo, New York

2/15, 2011


Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants, Selby Battersby
& Co.
110 Pearl Street, Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14202
716-852-5875

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD SOOS

INDEX NO.

105618/99 and 116681/06

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/11


PETER TAMBINI ~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190125/09

LOUIS D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**



WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR TO YORK-SHIPLEY, hereinafter ("COMPUDYNE") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant CompuDyne with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, CompuDyne be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 16, 2011
New York, New York

Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP

Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd.



Holly Peterson, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Louis D'Addio
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually and
as Successor to York-Shipley, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190146/09

This Document Relates To:

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JOHN SAKATIS and MYRNA SAKATIS,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

3/16/2011

Christopher A. Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff *John & Myrna Sakatis*
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190294/09

DORIS BERGRIN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler-Hammer, n/k/a Eaton Electrical, Inc.) ("Cutler-Hammer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Cutler-Hammer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cutler-Hammer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 10, 2011


Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022


Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor in
interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-
Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutscher, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190349/09


WILLIAM E. TURNER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2011



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS WILLIAMS

Index No: 190350/09


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


WHEREFORE, defendant, Pass & Seymour, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Pass & Seymour, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Pass & Seymour, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice AND without costs.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER 3/17/11

By: 
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street - 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
Telephone: (212) 267-3091

HANCOCK ESTABROOK, LLP

By: 
Janet D. Callahan, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pass & Seymour, Inc.
1500 AXA Tower I - 100 Madison Street
Syracuse, New York 13202
Telephone: (315) 565-4500

SO ORDERED:

FILED

APR 11 2011


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin Beley, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1273-0029

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Ebasco Services, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Ebasco Services, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Ebasco Services, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Ebasco Services, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Kevin Berry, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Thomas Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
788-60 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011




Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin Beeey, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

963-0178

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190350/09


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Kevin M. Berry Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
535-0952

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190350/09

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Wolff & Munier, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Wolff & Munier, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Wolff & Munier, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Wolff & Munier, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Kevin M. Berley ^{ESQ}
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Williams, Thomas
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

530-0070

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin M. Berry Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-22960

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190350/09

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin M. Berney Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-29534

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Sid Harvey Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin M. Barry, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams and Kathleen Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
66-0448

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

Index No.: 190350/09
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Polytherm Insulation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Polytherm Insulation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Polytherm Insulation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Polytherm Insulation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin Berry, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas William
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
362-20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190350/09

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, New York Protective Coverings, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
New York Protective Coverings
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin M. Kelly ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Williams, Thomas
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2177-0212

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS WILLIAMS AND KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Kevin M. Belky, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams and Kathleen Williams
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190355/09

KAREN RICHMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BONDEX INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant ROGERS CORPORATION, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Rogers Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Rogers Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 16, 2010
New York, New York

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By: 
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Ave, 4th Floor,
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**


By: 
W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Rogers Corporation
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:


Index No.: 190058/10

KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  New York, New York
3/30, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036


Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

DONALD CONROY AND BARBARA CONROY

against

A,W, CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL

INDEX NO.: 190138/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation, improperly pled as York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation, Improperly pled as York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation, Improperly pled as York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: New York, New York


3/14/11

APR 11 2011


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation, improperly pled as
York International Corporation, Individually and as
Successor to Frick Company
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MARK BIBRO, ESQ.
EARLY & STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Donald Conroy and Barbara Conroy
360 Lexington Avenue
20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190143/10

HERBERT L. SMITH AND KATHLEEN
SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ABEX CORP., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and without prejudice to its arguments and defenses as set out in its motion for dismissal under Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3211, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28, 2011

FILED

APR 11 2011


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Laura B. Holliman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Stefanie Stumbo, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Herbert Smith and Kathleen Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504-0646

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

LOUIS DIGILIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 190166/10


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: *March 24, 2011*
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

APR 11 2011


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190168/10


**WILLIAM RAFFAELE and MARIA
RAFFAELE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2010



Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022



Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve
Company

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190168/10


WILLIAM RAFFAELE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2010




Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konisberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022



Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190170/10


GEORGE ROBINSON

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30, 2011




Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

GEORGE ROBINSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 190170/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et. al.

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29/2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue,
New York, New York 10036

W. Simone Nicholson
W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES GUILINI and MARY JANE
GUILINI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190179-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190203/10

EDWARD KERN and JOAN KERN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,
et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Erica V. Cesaro
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Kern and Joan Kern
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190226/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILHELM PRANGE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WESTERBEKE CORP. hereinafter ("WESTERBEKE") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Westerbeke with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Westerbeke be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/23, 2011
New York, NY



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Katrina Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY**
Attorneys for Defendant
Westerbeke Corp
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ALPHONSE MAGLIO, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :


Defendants. :

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 190243-10
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/23/11
New York, New York


David A. Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**EDWARD SCHMID and CARISTINE
SCHMID,**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**BURNHAM, LLC, Individually, and as
successor to BURNHAM CORPORATION, et al,
Defendants.**

Index No.: 190250-10


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CORDELL J. BELLACH,

Plaintiffs,

INDEX NO: 190267/10

- against -

S.O.S. PRODUCTS COMPANY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS TO DEFENDANT S.O.S.
PRODUCTS COMPANY, INC.**

WHEREFORE, Defendant S.O.S. Products Company, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant S.O.S. Products Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Defendant S.O.S. Products Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
February 4, 2011

EARLY & STRAUSS

KENT & McBRIDE, P.C.



Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Ave., 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
212-986-2233



Bradley R. Lawrence, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
S.O.S. Products Company, Inc.
420 Lexington Ave., Suite 335
New York, NY 10170
212-588-9460

SO ORDERED, 

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Joseph Mullen
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190270-10


WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/28/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


Joseph P. Williams
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD, P.C.
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE


Jennifer Wu
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT THATER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION
AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO
PUMPS, INC., et al,**

Defendants.


Index No.: 190297-10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

FILED

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190315-10

RICHARD FOLEY and ANNA FOLEY,
Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-against-

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et
al.**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 24, 2011

New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

FILED


APR 11 2011

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ,

Index No.: 190318-10

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., et al

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
3. 8, 2011



Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060
Our File No.: 089-578 GSH

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MORRIS J. KEENAN and MARY J. KEENAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 190322-10
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., sued herein as "CRANE CO. (Individually and as Successor to DEMING PUMP, WEINMAN PUMP MANUFACTURING COMPANY, PACIFIC STEEL BOILER CORPORATION AND THATCHER BOILER)", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 24, 2011
New York, New York



Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190323/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MAUEL A. CHINEA AND JANET M. CHINEA,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

459 REALTY LLC, et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2011



Brian Early, Esq.
Early & Strauss
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233



Maria Carlucci, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1400
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL,
and CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 190332-10

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 23, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-4900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190337-10

EDWARD C. KELLEY,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

FILED


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

APR 11 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAMES A. HAZELL and STEFANI HAZELL,

Index No.: 190341/10

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC.,
successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION, et
al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

BERNARD D'AQUILA and MARY ANN
D'AQUILA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and
as Successor to Amtico Floors, et al,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190343-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ANTHONY CORINO and ANTOINETTE
CORINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 190351-10


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

FILED
APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heider

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HAROLD J. HUNT,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN ART CLAY COMPANY, INC.;
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Individually and as Successor to
ARMSTRONG MACHINE WORKS);
BECKERLE LUMBER SUPPLY COMPANY,
INC.;
COLEMAN MANUFACTURING COMPANY,
INC.;
CRANE CO. (Individually and as Successor to
DEMING PUMP, WEINMAN PUMP
MANUFACTURING COMPANY, PACIFIC
STEEL BOILER CORPORATION AND
THATCHER BOILER);
ELLIOTT TURBOMACHINERY CO., INC.;
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY;
GEORGIA-PACIFIC LLC;
HOMASOTE COMPANY;
JARDEN CORPORATION (Individually and as
Successor to THE COLEMAN COMPANY,
INC.);
KENTILE FLOOR, INC.;
MACANDREWS & FORBES HOLDINGS INC.
(Individually and as Successor to THE
COLEMAN COMPANY, INC.);
PECORA CORPORATION;
S.O.S. PRODUCTS COMPANY, INC.;
THE COLEMAN COMPANY, INC.;
THE HOLMES GROUP, INC. F/K/A NEW
COLEMAN HOLDINGS INC.;
UNITED GILSONITE LABORATORIES;
VIACOM, INC. as Successor in Interest By
Merger to CBS Corporation fka
Westinghouse (Individually and as
Successor to CBS CORPORATION (BY
MERGER) F/K/A WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INDEX NO. 190356/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AS TO DEFENDANT S.O.S.
PRODUCTS COMPANY, INC.

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

CORPORATION);
WARREN PUMPS, INC. (Individually and as
Successor to WARREN STEAM PUMP
COMPANY AND QUIMBY PUMP CO.);
YARWAY CORPORATION;

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, Defendant S.O.S. Products Company, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant S.O.S. Products Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Defendant S.O.S. Products Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
February 4, 2011


EARLY & STRAUSS

KENT & McBRIDE, P.C.


Mark G. Strauss, Esq. 
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Ave., 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
212-986-2233


Bradley R. Lawrence, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
S.O.S. Products Company, Inc.
420 Lexington Ave., Suite 335
New York, NY 10170
212-588-9460

SO ORDERED,


HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: NYCAL
ARTHUR J. MEDIATE and HARRIET MEDIATE, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
-against- :
Index No.: 190358-10
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/4/11
New York, New York


Benjamin A. Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Arthur J. Mediate
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190358-10


WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


Benjamin Darche
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD, P.C.


Jennifer Wu
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARTHUR MEDATE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -


AO SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et
al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/14/11,
New York, New York


Ben Darsche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Index No.: 190358/10

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ARTHUR J. MEDIATE and HARRIET MEDIATE,

Index No.: 10/190358
03/108114

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____	X	
WILLIAM C. HOTZELT and EMILY M. HOTZELT,	:	NYCAL
	:	
	:	Index No. 10/190361
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	
-v-	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al.,	:	
	:	Justice Sherry K. Heitler
	:	
Defendants.	:	
_____	X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/18, 2011

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.

By: 
Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: 
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY and EDNA
WOOLSEY,**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,
Defendants.**

Index No.: 190365-10


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____



Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Michael Saccento
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190376-10

WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 15, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLANINE & BORDOFF, P.C.

Jennifer Wu
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GEORGE P. ANDRUCKI and MARY ANDRUCKI,

Index No.: 10/190377
98/106881

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

FRANCIS P. MCPADDEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC.,
successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION,
f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION, et al,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190384-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella,
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS P. MCPADDEN,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 10/190384
93/I22568

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190402/10

ANNETTE MONT and STUART MONT,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 24, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190403-10

COSIMO COTRONA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

**CBS. CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM
INC., by successor by merger to CBS
CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE
ELECTRIC CORPORATION, et al.**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 24, 2011*

[Signature]
New York, New York

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

[Signature]
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190404/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JULIO C. GARAY and ROXANA GARAY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 10, 2011*
New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Talene N. Megerian
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS
ENGINE COMPANY, INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K Heitler

651-7499

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190407/10

SALVATORE CUGNO and CARMELA CUGNO,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant TAMKO BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC. hereinafter ("TAMKO") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant TAMKO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, TAMKO be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 10, 2011
New York, New York


Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & von Oiste LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
19500 State Highway 249
Suite 420
Houston, Texas 77070


Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
TAMKO Building Products, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190407/10

SALVATORE CUGNO and CARMELA CUGNO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant TAMKO BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC. hereinafter ("TAMKO") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant TAMKO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, TAMKO be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 10, 2011
New York, New York



Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & von Oiste LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
19500 State Highway 249
Suite 420
Houston, Texas 77070



Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
TAMKO Building Products, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

BERNARD LEVINSON and EDYTHE LEVINSON,

Index No.: 10/190418
03/111594

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Volkert Peters
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190423-10


WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


Benjamin Darche
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Jennifer Wu
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800


So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Index No. 190423/10

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 11 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 10/190423
00/102918

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/30, 2011



John T. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, S.K.)

ISAAC RUBIN,

Index No.: 190008/2011

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**DEFENDANT THE WILLIAM POWELL
COMPANY'S NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR AND LIQUID SYSTEMS
CORPORATION, THE WILLIAM
POWELL COMPANY, ET. AL.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: February 23, 2011


APR 11 2011

BY

BY:


Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10022
212-681-1575

BY:


William F. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler