

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 18179/87, 4996/89,
105877/02

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 28, 2011
New York, New York



Daniel P. Blouin, Esq. *Daniel M. Northwell, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as : Index No. 105877/02
Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM C : 4996/89
BARTHOLD, : 18179/87

Plaintiffs,

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 28, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
Dana M. Northcott, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Gouriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 19 2011

X:\<<<fbw45374legal/february2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No. (18179/87, 4996/89 &
105877/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/4/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/<<<fhw45374legal/february2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 18179/87, 4996/89 &
105877/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/4/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as	: Index No. 105877/02
Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM C	: <u>4996/89</u>
BARTHOLD,	: 18179/87
	: NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiffs,	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	:
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 28, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____

Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.

Dana M. Northwell, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 18179/87, 4996/89,
105877/02

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 28, 2011
New York, New York


Daniel F. Blouin, Esq. *Dan M. Northwell, Jr.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
MARTIN F. WHITE and EILEEN WHITE,	: Index No. 107014/06
	: 15658/91
Plaintiffs,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This document relates to:

DONNIE MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for the
Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., and DONNA
MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually,
Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 100021/1997
101498/1997
111035/1998


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04 01.11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500


Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:


Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 100021/1997
101498/1997
111035/1998

DONNIE MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for the
Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., and DONNA
MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually,
Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04 01.11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500



Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:



Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN KNOX

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115429/05 &
109675/99 & 111071/98 &
103975/97

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88134

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK

APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 121743/97
105221/98
111031/98
102783/00

Thomas J. Cruger Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/27/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00861



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121774/97
105235/98
111073/98
100198/00
115493/04

Carl Lunderman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3460

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121810/97
105233/98
111068/98
100755/04

Lloyd Harden

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-0988



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122078/97
T09854/02

Philip Mule


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01138

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123219/97
T02347/05

John Coughlin


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31621

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121743/97
105221/98
111031/98
102783/00


Thomas J. Cruger Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/27/11


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00861

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121810/97
105233/98
111068/98
100755/04


Lloyd Harden

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533550988

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121774/97
105235/98
111073/98
100198/00
115493/04

Carl Lunderman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**; be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3460

FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X
HOWARD ELLEFSEN and SYLVIA ELLEFSEN, :
 :
 : Plaintiffs, :
 :
 : - against - :
 :
 : A. C. & S., INC., et al., :
 :
 : Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 110289/98

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MARCH 25, 2011



Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This document relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110289/1998
111058/1998

HOWARD ELLEFSEN and SYLVIA ELLEFSEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/11
New York, New York



Michael Fawell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Margaret M. McBurney, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ADD 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121743/97
105221/98
111031/98
102783/00


Thomas J. Cruger Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/27/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00861



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This document relates to:

DONNIE MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for the
Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., and DONNA
MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually,
Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100021/1997

101498/1997


111035/1998

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04 01.11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500


Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:


Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111048/98
124527/00

Brian J. McGuire


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01676

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111049/98
113130/98
100755/03
107991/03

Sam Donofrio

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30110

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 110289/1998
11058/1998

HOWARD ELLEFSEN and SYLVIA ELLEFSEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/11
New York, New York



Michael Fawell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Margret M. McBurney, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ADD 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121810/97
105233/98
111068/98
100755/04


Lloyd Harden

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053355098

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN KNOX

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115429/05 &
109675/ 99 & 111071/98 &
103975/97

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88134

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121774/97
105235/98
111073/98
100198/00
115493/04

Carl Lunderman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.37460

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111049/98
113130/98
100755/03
107991/03

Sam Donofrio


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30110

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113177/98
103413/00

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113177/98

William A. Wagner

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/27/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00235

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118210/98
107453/01

Joseph S. Costanzo


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01959

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 119470/98
100866/03
102902/04


Ezio Costanzo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053333107

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119874/98

Chester Horner

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-2327

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

JOANN KARALES and SUSAN PAVACIC, as Co- :
Executrices for the Estate of ANTHONY LAMANO, and :
ROSE LAMANO, Individually, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 121906/98


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MARCH 24, 2011


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
ANTHONY E. LAMANO (Dec.) and ROSE	: Index No. 108083/06
LAMANO (Dec.),	: 121906/98
	:
Plaintiffs,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Golriz Sheai-Naini
Golriz Sheai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY E. LAMANO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121906/98 &
108083/06

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ^{3/22} 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN

& COURTNEY, P.C.

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

improperly named as Premier Refractories,

Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.86096

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

THERESA PAOLINI, Individually and as : Index No. 124397/02
Administratrix for the Estate of EMILIO PAOLINI, : 101192/99

Plaintiffs,

-against-

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
3/28/2011 Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

By: 
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DENNIS RISCILI, as Executor for the Estate of
PATRICK RISCILI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 104076-99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS GREEN

INDEX NO.

107233/99 and 102707/07

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24/11


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ A. BRANDIS, ESQ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HOWARD GUILDER and BETTY GUILDER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 107251-99


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RUTH E. PAIGE, as Executrix for the Estate of
ALONZO HUBBARD, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 107947-99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT
VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY, Individually

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 108599/99 &
110031/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86112

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

THOMAS E. TAYLOR,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No.: 108617-99

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN KNOX

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115429/05 &
109675/99 & 111071/98 &
103975/97

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88134

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY PETRIZZO

INDEX NO:
113224/99
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Per Amicus*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McGann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-5598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6504-99

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RAYMOND BEERHALTER and VIRGINIA D.
BEERHALTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 120247-99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICE G. EDGERTON, as Executrix for the
Estate of RONALD G. EDGERTON, and PATRICE
G. EDGERTON, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 120430-99


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

X:/FBW54790/legal/

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH J. LATTUCA, as Personal Representative
For the Estate fo DOMINIC MICKEY LATTUCA,
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

- against -

Index No.: 120652/99


A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg 3/28/11
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOHN G. MCGRATH, as Executor for the Estate of
PAMELA C. BRISTOL, and ARTHUR BRISTOL,
Individually,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s),

: Index No.: 120921-99

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GINA CONIGLIO, as Administratrix for the Estate of	:	NYCAL
THOMAS G. MULKERN, and ELIZABETH	:	I.A.S. Part 30
MULKERN, Individually,	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	Index No.: 121154-99
	:	
-against-	:	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	:	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121988/99
106891/00
116796/07

Felix M. Juzdowski


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335 32722

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
DIANE L. KUCIEL, as Executrix for the Estate of FELIX
M. JUZDOWSKI, PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 116796/07
106891/00
121988/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/12, 2011


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Diane L. Kuciel, as Executrix for the Estate of
Felix M. Juzdowski, Patricia Juzdowski,
Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109052/00, 122188/99

ANTHONY NASCA AND LILLIAN NASCA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Anthony Nasca and Lillian Nasca
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0447C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122190/99
109491/00

George P. McGoff

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER/LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.09881

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011




Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Deitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10010
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
454-5047

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5050AG

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-21026

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

1122-21525

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NICOLA SCALICI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111116/00 &
122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85804

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0001

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122196/99 114135/00

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Oliva
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1122-17059

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 14135/00

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 122196/99, 114135/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Smith, Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

454-4643

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5067C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 122196/99 114135/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16504

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 22196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-0576AP

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Oltz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-5059

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5067AP

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2497

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26042AP

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-2014

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR TAYLOR AND RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-1632

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122198/99 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2571-0624D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Oltiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4763

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122198/99 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26066D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1145

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5087D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank Oltiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2065

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0624V

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-2059

APR 07 2011

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thurlo, Charles R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5087V

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26066V

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1582

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 113196/00, 122199/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
1122-17635 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113196/00, 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0626N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113196/00, 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-5144

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113196/00, 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Dritz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5058N

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 113196/00, 122199/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26071N NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

IN RE TYRPAK: PATRICK C. VANCHIERI
AND MARGARET VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 113196/00, 122199/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17080

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122201/99, 114258/00

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10035
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
324-5048AG

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: (122201/99) 114258/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18039

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122201/99 114258/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0629AG

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 122201/99) 114258/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3460

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 122201/99 114258/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

ARR. 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

2383-26035AG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122201/99 114258/00

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17485

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114271/00, 122201/99

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. ORTIZ
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18049
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114271/00, 122201/99

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5048T

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114271/00, 122201/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26035T

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114271/00, 122201/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17495

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18057

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 22201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0629AA

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
454-5793 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114271/00, (122201/99)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-7259

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114271/00, 122201/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0629T

APR 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5048AA

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26035AA

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17503

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Francesco Orilia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0590A1

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 109264/00 &
122203/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85794

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109285/00, 122203/99

BERTHA V. PAKIN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF NESTORI J. PAKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nestori J. Pakin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0499M

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109266/00, 122203/99

LOUIS F. PAONESSA AND DOREEN
PAONESSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-15720

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109266/00, 122203/99

LOUIS F. PAONESSA AND DOREEN
PAONESSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0449Z

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109266/00, 122203/99

LOUIS F. PAONESSA AND DOREEN
PAONESSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/11, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15109

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
PAPAPIETRO, ROCCO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15735

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
214, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0449AB

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5051AB

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26068AC

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15124

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125074/99

Richard W. Brooks

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10826

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HERSHEL YEGELWEL and GERALDINE LOIS
YEGELWEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 125772-99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Orta
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1122-6992

APR 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5103AI

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Oppz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26168D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/00, (25774/99)

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ditz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-6496

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121774/97
105235/98
111073/98
100198/00
115493/04

Carl Lunderman


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.37460

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD MEHLROSE

INDEX NO.

100582/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101455/00

Charlie Campbell

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.14013

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121743/97
105221/98
111031/98
102783/00

Thomas J. Cruger Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/27/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00861



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113177/98
103413/00

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOANNE BEEMAN, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of MAURICE BEEMAN,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

: Index No.: 103825-00

-against- :

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants. :


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHRISTINE ZEHER, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of ALBERT CASARIO, and ROSE
CASARIO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 105103-00
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105292/00
123166/02

Robert E. Doyle


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335 28296

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT E. DOYLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 105292/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/10, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert E. Doyle
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

FRANCIS G. CONNELLY, Individually and as
Executor for the Estate of VERONICA B.
CONNELLY,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

: Index No.: 105597-00

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRANK COURTNEY, and JOANNE P.
COURTNEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 105809-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRANCIS D. LAHEY and ROSE MARIE LAHEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 106401-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 7, 2011

New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 6 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOHN GIARDIELLO and DIANE GIARDIELLO, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 106727-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 7, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121988/99
106891/00
116796/07

Felix M. Juzdowski


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32722

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 17 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
DIANE L. KUCIEL, as Executrix for the Estate of FELIX
M. JUZDOWSKI, PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 116796/07
106891/00
121988/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/13, 2011


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Diane L. Kuciel, as Executrix for the Estate of
Felix M. Juzdowski, Patricia Juzdowski,
Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HERSHEL YEGELWEL and GERALDINE LOIS
YEGELWEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 106949-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-6496

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Orszag
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1122-6992

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortuz Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5103AI

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/00, 125774/99

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES C. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank N. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James C. Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26168D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAWRENCE STINE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
ANTOINETTE STINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 107801/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Antoinette Stine
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19071

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107801/00

LAWRENCE STINE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
ANTOINETTE STINE,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Antoinette Stine
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2896

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAWRENCE STINE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
ANTOINETTE STINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 107801/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Antoinette Stine
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5207

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107801/00

LAWRENCE STINE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF
ANTOINETTE STINE,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. O'Keefe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Antoinette Stine
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

1122-19597

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAULINE TRAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108359/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert L. Travis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-20133

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108359/00

PAULINE TRAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert L. Travis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2897

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAULINE TRAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108359/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert L. Travis and Pauline Travis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5208

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAULINE TRAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108359/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert L. Travis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4055

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAULINE TRAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108359/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert L. Travis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0625

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAULINE TRAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108359/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert L. Travis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-20640

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114808/00, 108382/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-3623

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00, 114772/00

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estat of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-7050

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16986

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00, 114772/00

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1235-6554

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

SUZANNE C. SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH, AND
GENEVEVE A. SMITH, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-2909H

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5092H

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No: 108382/00, 114772/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Oltz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-7587

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

JOHN A. SMITH AND JENNA SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smith, John A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-1017H

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ditz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2909N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5092N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Ker Yann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4230

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 108382/00, 114808/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1017AR

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00, 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16414

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2909AR

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5092AR

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00, 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Olte
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16919

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1017N

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16431

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108383/00, 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17399

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108383/00 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2911L

APR 07 2011

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108383/00 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5093L

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108383/00 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford Winterhalter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0628L

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108383/00, 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

1122-17953

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113778/00, 108388/00

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5096F

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113778/00, 08388/00

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1015F

APP 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES AND ETHEL RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald C. Rhodes and Ethel Rhodes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

NEW YORK
112 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00, 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCARDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Opat
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2479

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES AND ETHEL RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald C. Rhodes and Ethel Rhodes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-20973

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES, SR. AND ETHEL
RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs,
Rhodes, Ronald C. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2907AH

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113778/00, 108388/00

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15903

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00, 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCIARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5096A1

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00, 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


FRANK ORTIZ
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6493

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00 (108388/00)

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCIARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Ricciardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

2571-1015A1

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00, 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCARDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
1235-1996

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCIARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Ricciardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
2383-2907AI

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES SR. AND ETHEL
RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald C. Rhodes and Ethel Rhodes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5096AH

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES SR. AND ETHEL
RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rhodes, Ronald C. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1015AH

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 113778/00, 108388/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15292

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 113778/00, 108388/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2907Q

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET SHUSTER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF DONALD SHUSTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108394/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald Shuster
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011
APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
1235-17996

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET SHUSTER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF DONALD SHUSTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108394/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald Shuster
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2383-2629

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET SHUSTER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF DONALD SHUSTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108394/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald Shuster
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

324-5181

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET SHUSTER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF DONALD SHUSTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108394/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald Shuster
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

2571-1018

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET SHUSTER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF DONALD SHUSTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108394/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald Shuster
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10023
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1122-18540

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MURRAY STROBER, AS EXECUTOR FOR
THE ESTATE OF MILTON STROBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108551/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Milton Strober
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-20325

ADD 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MURRAY STROBER, AS EXECUTOR FOR
THE ESTATE OF MILTON STROBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108551/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Milton Strober
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19807

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108551/00

MURRAY STROBER, AS EXECUTOR FOR
THE ESTATE OF MILTON STROBER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011


Kerry M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Oltz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Milton Strober
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-0524

ADD 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MURRAY STROBER, AS EXECUTOR FOR
THE ESTATE OF MILTON STROBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108551/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Milton Strober
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0862

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCES WEIR, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM T. WEIR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108553/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William T. Weir
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-18877

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCES WEIR, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM T. WEIR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108553/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William T. Weir
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5358

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108553/00

FRANCES WEIR, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM T. WEIR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William T. Weir
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-0627

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108553/00

FRANCES WEIR, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM T. WEIR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William T. Weir
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

1122-19404

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 108721/00 122198/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1582

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108721/00, 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26066V

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (108721/00) 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thurlo, Charles R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5087V

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA PINO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 108721/00, 122198/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Oltiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-2059

APR 07 2011

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108721/00 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0624V

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108721/00 122198/99

PATRICIA PINO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF CHARLES R. THURLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank Oltiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles R. Thurlo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2065

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108845/00, 100752/03

CASIMIR R. STASZAK AND SLYVIA
STASZAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Casimir R. Staszak and Sylvia Staszak
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-2733

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4763

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0624D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR TAYLOR AND RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-1632

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26066D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5087D

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108905/00

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR AND RETA M.
TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1145

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN M. MARKEY and ANNA B. MARKEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 109001-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109052/00, 122188/99

ANTHONY NASCA AND LILLIAN NASCA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Anthony Nasca and Lillian Nasca
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0447C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 109264/00 &
122203/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85794

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Orbiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
PAPAPIETRO, ROCCO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15735

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109264/00, 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
214, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0449AB

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 109264/00 122203/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5051AB

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109264/00 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26068AC

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109264/00 122203/99

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO AND ROSA
PAPAPIETRO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco Papapietro and Rosa Papapietro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15124

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109266/00, 122203/99

LOUIS F. PAONESSA AND DOREEN
PAONESSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-15720

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109266/00, 122203/99

LOUIS F. PAONESSA AND DOREEN
PAONESSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0449Z

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109266/00, 122203/99

LOUIS F. PAONESSA AND DOREEN
PAONESSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/11, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15109

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109285/00, 122203/99

BERTHA V. PAKIN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
ESTATE OF NESTORI J. PAKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nestori J. Pakin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0499M

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
109375/00 107774/01
100770/03

EDWARD E. WYANT SR. (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X
MARGARET McGOFF, as Executrix for the Estate of :
GEORGE P. McGOFF, and MARGARET McGOFF, :
Individually, :

Plaintiff,

- against -

A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 109491/00
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment
in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being
no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/10, 2011

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122190/99
109491/00

George P. McGoff

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER/LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.09881

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/29, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16928

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBIN M. SORRENTINO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110306/00 &
110180/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85807

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 110180/00 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16373

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (110180/00), 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26984

100 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5072C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-8545

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110480/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2571-0599C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBIN M. SORRENTINO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110306/00 &
110180/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/23, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**


Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.85807

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2571-0599C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-8545

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


FRANK H. ORTIZ, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5072C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26984

APR 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16373

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110180/00, 110306/00

MARK SORRENTINO, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, AND MARK
SORRENTINO, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/29, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robin M. Sorrentino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16928

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BETTY REEDER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PORPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF FREDOW REEDER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 110637/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Fredow Reeder
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-6493

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BETTY REEDER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PORPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF FREDOW REEDER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 110637/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fredow Reeder and Betty Reeder
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5193

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BETTY REEDER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PORPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE
OF FREDOW REEDER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 110637/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Fredow Reeder
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-6989

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111116/00, 22193/99

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-21026

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant


Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5050AG

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Deitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10013
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
454-5047

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 111116/00, 122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank N. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0001

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI AND ANGELA
SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 111116/00, 122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Nicola Scalici
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

1122-21525

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NICOLA SCALICI

NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111116/00 &
122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated:  3/22/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85804

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Francesco Orilia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0590AI

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113196/00 122199/99

IN RE TYRPAK: PATRICK C. VANCHIERI
AND MARGARET VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17080

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (113196/00), 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

2383-26071 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113196/00 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5058N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 113196/00 122199/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-5144

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (113196/00) 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0626N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113196/00 122199/99

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI AND MARGARET
VANCHIERI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick C. Vanchieri and Margaret Vanchieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
1122-17635 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES AND ETHEL RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald C. Rhodes and Ethel Rhodes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-20973

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES, SR. AND ETHEL
RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs,
Rhodes, Ronald C. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2907AH

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES AND ETHEL RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

214, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortner
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald C. Rhodes and Ethel Rhodes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

NEW YORK
112 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES SR. AND ETHEL
RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortíz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald C. Rhodes and Ethel Rhodes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5096AH

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113756/00, 108388/00

RONALD C. RHODES SR. AND ETHEL
RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rhodes, Ronald C. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE]

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1015AH

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113757/00 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCIARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,
324-5096AI

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00, 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kernann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


FRANK ORTIZ
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Ricciardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6493

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113757/00 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCIARDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

2571-1015A1

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113757/00, 108388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCARDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Opat
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Riccardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2479

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113757/00 08388/00

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI AND ANN
RICCARDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dominick J. Ricciardi and Ann Ricciardi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 1003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1235-1996

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113778/00, 108388/00

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank W. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15292

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 113778/00, 108388/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2907Q

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 113778/00, 108388/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5096F

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 113778/00, 108388/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15903

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY ANN PICINIC AND SANDY PICINIC,
CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF
RAIMONDO PICINIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 113778/00, 108388/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Raimondo Picinic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1015F

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16504

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5067C

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Smith, Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

454-4643 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK

20100576 CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122196/99, 114135/00
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1122-17059

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122196/99 114135/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leslie J. Sr. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK

238 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-2014

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26042AP

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2497

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5067AP

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerwann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Oltiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-5059

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122196/99, 114167/00

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William V. Stevenson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-0576AP

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122201/99, 114258/00

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5048AG

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122201/99, 114258/00

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3460

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122201/99, 114258/00

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0629AG

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, (114258/00)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18039

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122201/99, 114258/00

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

ARR. 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

2383-26035AG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO, JR. AND MARY
JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, 114258/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James V. Zito, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17485

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114264/00 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
454-5796 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: (114264/00), 122201/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/24, 2011


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0629AA

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18057

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17503

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26035AA

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 14264/00, 122201/99

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR
FOR THE ESTATE OF HELEN ZAKRZEWSKI,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Helen Zakrzewski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5048AA

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114271/00, 122201/99

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0629T

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114271/00 122201/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-7259

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 114271/00, 122201/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17495

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 114271/00, 122201/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1122-18049

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 114271/00, 122201/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26035T

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114271/00, 122201/99

MARTIN WYSOCKI, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI AND FLORENCE
WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leo Wysocki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5048T

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-6554

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SUZANNE C. SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH, AND
GENEVEVE A. SMITH, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108382/00, 14772/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

2383-2909H

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5092H

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-7587

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

JOHN A. SMITH AND JENNA SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smith, John A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-1017H

APR 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114772/00

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH, ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. SMITH AND
GENEVIEVE A. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estat of John A. Smith
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-7050

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN A. SMITH

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 114772/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

 2/12/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85805

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ditz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2909N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank N. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5092N

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4230

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1017N

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16431

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00

HAZEL P. SPENCE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
JAMES L. SPENCE, AND HAZEL P. SPENCE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James L. Spence
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16986

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108382/00, 114808/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16919

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1017AR

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

1235-16414

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108382/00 114808/00

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2909AR

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108382/00, 114808/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5092AR

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIA TESTA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ANGELO TESTA, AND MARIA
TESTA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 114808/00, 108382/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24, 2011



Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Angelo Testa
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3623

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108383/00, 115261/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5093L

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108383/00, 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford Winterhalter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0628L

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108383/00, 115261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-17953

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108383/00, 115261/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2911L

07 2011

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108383/00, 15261/00

DORIS M. WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD
WINTERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clifford R. Winterhalt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17399

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
115836/00


GINO ROMANO (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

MAGDALENA M. AGRICOLA (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
115972/00

-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
116672/00

GERALD J. D'AMORE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
116927/00

WILLIAM J. DONNELLY (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
117700/00

ED GOICHMAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Wein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
117846/00

CHARLES LEE NEWMAN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
2/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES LEE NEWMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 117846/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
3/28/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
117855/00

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG SR. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 117855/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 20 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RAYMOND SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 117873/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (Deceased)

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
117873/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 117873/2000

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY 4/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500




Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant

**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 117873/2000

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY 4/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500




Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
118374/00

MICHAEL A. MELNYK (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

DOROTHY MELNYK, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL A.
MELNYK,

Index No. 110182/00
118374/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Samuel Meirowitz
Samuel Meirowitz

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

3/28/2011
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

APR 19 2011

Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
118460/00

RICHARD L. HARVEY (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
118502/00


CONRAD LaCHANCE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
118679/00

MICHAEL Du BRITZ (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119159/00

CHARLES E. WILLIAMS (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES E. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 119159/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EDWARD E. WYANT SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 100770/03, 107774/01 &
119375/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALBERT YANIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 119375-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PAUL G. WOJCICKI and PAULINE WOJCICKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 119376-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LAWRENCE LIGAMMARE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

-----X

Index No. : 104033/01 &
119382/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/28/11
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Sama Malikzay, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119382/00
104033/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GIOVANNI LATTANZIO

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
119383/00, 102269/01
100787/03, 107623/06

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119383/00, 102314/01
100787/03

CARL KROGER


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119386/00
124999/00

HENRY J. ALLEN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
ARMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119390/00
125268/00

ROBERT D. BISHOP SR. (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

EARL R. HAMNER (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100783701
119391/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119393/00

John T. Fowler and Grace Fowler


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
119393/00
6633/01

JOHN T. FOWLER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 LL Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119393/00
100725/01

DAVID J. GIANCARLO


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
119394/00, 100588/01
100756/03


HARVEY L. FLEITMAN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119394/00 100621/01
100756/03

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
119400/00 101892/01
100787/03

TERRY M. KESSLER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119400/00
T01892/01

Marsha A. Kessler, Executrix for the Estate of Terry
M. Kessler, and Marsha A. Kessler, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/22/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119673/00

ALEXANDER ZABLOZKI (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD VERHAGUE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120329/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86320

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DENNIS RISCILI, as Executor for the Estate of
PATRICK RISCILI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 120647-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN SZWED, as Executor for the Estate of
ANTHONY SZWED,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 120665-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
121197/00

RICHARD FLOYD BENNETT (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/FBW41540/legal/DECEMBER2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ANTONINO and ROSARIA SCLAFANI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 121686/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
121686/00

ANTONINO SCLAFANI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122053/00

Suzanne McInerney, Individually and as Executrix for the
Estate of Joseph J. McInerney


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH J. and SUZANNE MCINERNEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 122053/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
3/28/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
122053/00

JOSEPH J. McINERNEY (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York


3/21, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS E. and PATRICIA RUSSELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 122496/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/28/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
122496/00

THOMAS E. RUSSELL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DAVID SELMENSBERGER, as Executor for the
Estate of WILLIAM SELMENSBERGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 122889-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 6 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MAURITS C. and ANNA MULDER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 123057/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

3/28/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
123057/00


MAURITS C. MULDER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT C. LOUGHLIN SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 123088/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

3/28/11


Sarita Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
123088/00

ROBERT C. LOUGHLIN SR. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
123565/00

JOSEPH TOMASKI


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

X:/FBW42239/legal/DECEMBER2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH and JANICE TOMASKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 123565/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Santa Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
PAUL A. DUNPHY,		: Index No. 123830/00
	Plaintiff,	:
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/6/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]
Michael Farelli

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
123870/00

PETER AVARAS


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
124331/00
100757/03

CLYDE FRASIER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GERALD J. and ROSEMARY MICHEL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 124332/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

3/28/11



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler



Santa Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
124332/00

GERALD J. MICHEL (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

ADD 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DAWN M. CHIDO, as Administratrix for the Estate
of FRANK COURTNEY, and JOANNE P.
COURTNEY, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 124342-00

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 7 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111048/98
124527/00

Brian J. McGuire

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01676

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

HENRY J. ALLEN (Deceased)

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
119386/00
124999/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GERALD D. LUNETTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 125135-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119390/00
125268/00

ROBERT D. BISHOP SR. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
002489/01
114483/02

VITO CONTI (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119394/00, 100588/01
100756/03


HARVEY L. FLEITMAN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119394/00, 100621/01
100756/03


JOSE F. FERNANDEZ


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN T. FOWLER (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119393/00
~~6633/01~~


100686/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 L.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100725/01

David J. Giancarlo and Noreen K. Giancarlo


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119393/00
100725/01


DAVID J. GIANCARLO


-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
100783/01
119391/00

EARL R. HAMNER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/12/09

4601

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES FREUND

INDEX NO.

101197/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 1, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038-4925



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

Jur File No
-4354-96

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
101205/01

JAMES ASHCRAFT (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119400/00
101892/01

Marsha A. Kessler, Executrix for the Estate of Terry
M. Kessler, and Marsha A. Kessler, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119400/00, 101892/01
100787/03

TERRY M. KESSLER (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH MATTE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 102125/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg 3/28/11
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
102125/01

JOSEPH MATTE (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

GIOVANNI LATTANZIO
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
119383/00, 102269/01
100787/03, 107623/06


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119383/00, 102314/01
100787/03


CARL KROGER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
102720/01

ANTHONY MODAFFERI


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ANTHONY MODAFFERI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 102720/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

3/28/11



Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:FBW42683/legal/DECEMBER2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THEODORE F. WALKER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 103003/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103003/01

THEODORE F. WALKER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM C. and JACQUELINE MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 103007/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
3/28/11



Sahia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103007/01


EUGENE DEGANNES


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 L.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL
 : I.A.S. Part 30
-----X : (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: :
 :
Anthony Migliore :
 : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**
v. :
ABB Lummus Crest, Inc. :
 :
 : Index No.: 103116/2001
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation ("Central Hudson") hereby requests summary judgment in the James Pepe action only, in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff James Pepe's complaint, and any amended complaints, against defendant Central Hudson with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Central Hudson be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3-29-11

FILED

APR 19 2011


Pamela R. Gold-Zafra, Esq.
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038


Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendant
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103611/01

WILLIAM C. MARTIN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103870/01

WILLIAM V. HAKES III (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103986/01

RICHARD PARKER (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RICHARD and AMELIA PARKER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 103986/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/28/11



Santa Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119382/00
104033/01

LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE (Deceased)

-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LAWRENCE LIGAMMARE,

Index No.: 104033/01 &
119382/00

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/28/11
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

DENIS F. and CAROLE NICASTRO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 104561/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
3/28/11



Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
104561/01

DENIS F. NICASTRO (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & MCMONIS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
104563/01

RUFUS HALL (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/22, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES V. ROSATI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 104696/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/28/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN J. ROSATI, as Executor for the Estate of
JAMES V. ROSATI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 104696-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____

New York, New York

 3/29/2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022

(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
104696/01

JAMES V. ROSATI (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 13 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
104982/01


ROBERT B. GAGLIARDO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
104997/01

FRANCIS J. LANGAN (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
105230/01


JOHN PAUL KEITH


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES E. MEYERS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 105252/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

3/28/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
105252/01

CHARLES E. MEYERS (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSE A. VIERIA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 105347/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTieman & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Kleih-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105347/01

JOSE A. VIEIRA (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
105352/01

ALBERT THOMAS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ALBERT and LINDA K. THOMAS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: ~~015352/01~~ 105352/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11


Sarina Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ETHEL N. KOROSCEZ (Deceased)

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
105477/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
105605/01

ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DAVID WRIGHT, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of ELIZABETH WRIGHT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 105986-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROSE CARUVANA, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of FRANK CARUVANA, and ROSE
CARUVANA, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 106298-01

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
106463/01

SALVATORE RANDAZZO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FILED

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROL DANN and JAMES HOOKER, as Personal
Representatives for the Estate of EARL HOOKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 106564-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ANTHONY JOHN and ANNE REILLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 106642/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/28/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
106642/01

ANTHONY JOHN EILLER (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
106643/01

LAWRENCE J. KELLY (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
106962/01

MAURI SAVOLAINEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/FBW42216/legal/DECEMBER2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MAURI SAVOLAINEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 106962/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11



Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DONALD E. SIERING,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107081/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11



Santa Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107081/01

DONALD E. SIERING (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS' CLERK'S OFFICE
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CASIMIR SIBIGA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107083/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Sarina Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107083/01

CASIMIR SIBIGA (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW (Deceased)

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
107086/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/4, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107095/01

EDWARD R. SKELLY


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

DONALD R. WINTERS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107187/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107187/01

DONALD R. WINTERS (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CLIFFORD A. WALKER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 107201/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11


Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107201/01

CLIFFORD A. WALKER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
James S. Weisenburger
(deceased),

Index No.: 107206/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/4, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James S. Weisenburger
700 Broadway, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-10834

APR 19 2011

So Ordered
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES S. WEISENBURGER and BARBARA
WEISENBURGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 107206/01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JEROME BROPHY, Individually as Executor for the
Estate of MARY BROPHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 107296-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/FBW42221/legal/DECEMBER2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107317/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107317/01

WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/24, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & MOUNTAIN
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ALTON H. and MAMIE THOMPKINS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107325/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Sama Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107325/01


ALTON H. THOMPkins (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

JOSEPH J. TURNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107335/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. TURNER (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107335/01

-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011


NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
OSCAR SPARLING,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107403/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107403/01

OSCAR SPARLING (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
107450/01

DENNIS M. WHALEN (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/4, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DENNIS M. WHALEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 107450/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118210/98
107453/01

Joseph S. Costanzo


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01959

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EDWARD E. WYANT SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 100770/03, (107774/01) &
119375/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11


Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
109375/00 (107774/01)
100770/03

EDWARD E. WYANT SR. (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALBERT DE ROSA, Individually and as Proposed
Executor for the Estate of FRANCES DE ROSA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 108007-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

KARRI REINERT, as the Executrix for the Estate of
JOHN MAZZULLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 108103-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: April 9, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&J GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
109019/01

GLENN E. POWERS (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GLENN A. E. and HARRY POWERS,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

- against -

Index No.: 109019/01

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/28/11



Sarina Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

IRENE HERNANDEZ, as Executrix for the Estate of : NYCAL
PHILIP HERNANDEZ, and IRENE HERNANDEZ, : I.A.S. Part 30
Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), : Index No.: 109761-01

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ANTHONY J. and KATHERINE ROMANO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 110165/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/28/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY J. ROMANO (Deceased)

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
110165/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110438/01

Richard John Mayerick, as Personal Representative for the
Estate of Harold M. McGinn, and Richard John Mayerick,
as Proposed Executor for the Estate of Madeline McGinn


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRANCES MATTEO, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of MICHAEL
MATTEO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 110739-01
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
:


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PHYLLIS E. MARANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 110810-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.:110850/2001

JAMES T. HAGEN (Dec),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Samuel M. Weitz 3/28/2011
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880


Margaret M. McBurney, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 201
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED


FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110850/01

James T. Hagen


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110850/01


James T. Hagen


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
MCCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00830

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111218/01
115614/01

Alphonso Jackson

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111218/01
115593/01


James Barry

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
MCCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00848

FILED
APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111218/01
115593/01

James Barry


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DOROTHY E. FARRELL, as Executrix for the Estate
of JOHN J. FARRELL, and DOROTHY E.
FARRELL, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 111219-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111219/01
116427/01

Lawrence Haigler


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111220/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BRYAN PRICE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/15, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRYAN T. PRICE

INDEX NO. 119477/01 and 111220/01
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10013-3598

FILED

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRYAN T. PRICE

INDEX NO. 119477/01 and 111220/01
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRYAN T. PRICE

INDEX NO. 119477/01 and 111220/01
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCain, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111220/01
119487/01

Edward P. Frank


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MORRIS COHEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 111221-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 7, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN GARREN and JOAN C. GARREN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 111222-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111223/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

THOMAS SHEEHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 28, 2011
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Donna M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 11
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

THOMAS SHEEHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No. 111223-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3-28-11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS SHEEHAN,

Index No. 111223/01 &
120770/01

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500
4/4/11



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
THOMAS SHEEHAN,	: Index No. 111223/01
	: 120770/01
	: NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff,	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 28, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Daniel Blouin
Dana M. Northcott, GC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S. *APR 07 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111224/01
120924/01

Ezra Clark


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
121126/01

Peter V. Matthews

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05225.0000

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler



FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
121118/01

Natale Grillo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/31/11


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Fran Alvarado*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05310000

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
111913/03

Fred A. Hofmann

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

.E

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN GARBARINO and ELENA GARBARINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 111225-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.07692/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
JOHN J. CULLEN, : Index No.: 111225/01
: 118068/01
: :
Plaintiff, : :
: :
vs. : (January 2011 Monthly FIFC Trial
: Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., :
: :
Defendants. : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. CORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meiravitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

536.07692/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: :
JOHN J. CULLEN, : Index No.: 411225/01
: 118068/01
: :
Plaintiff, : :
: :
vs. : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., :
: :
Defendants. : **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. CORTIZ, ESQ. *Samuel Meisowitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111225/01
118068/01


John J. Cullen

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MALKA LEVY, as Administratrix for the Estate of
SHALOM LEVY and MALKA LEVY, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 111227-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111227/01, 123461/01

JORGE RAMOS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jorge Ramos
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23530AI

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111228/01
121431/01

Ronald M. Kirk

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111228/01
121426/01

Edward F. Martens

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/7/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111230/01
123182/01


Michael Palazzo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07694/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
MICHAEL PALAZZO, Deceased, : Index No.: 111230/01
: 123182/01
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111230/01
123065/01

John Doherty


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/20/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X.:cases/FBW43556/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RUDOLPH BIBOW,

Index No.: 11231/01 &
120471/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.



~~Dan Norcraft, Esq.~~ Dana Northwall,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500
4/6/11



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111231/01


Louis J. Angelillo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111231/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LINDSEY BERTRAND,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 28, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL A. RUZZI

NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120583/01 &
111231/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/22/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

improperly named as Premier Refractories,


Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.86043

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111231/01
123575/01

Bertrand E. Lindsey


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111231/01
120471/01

Rudolph Bibow

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry V. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111233/01
118687/01

Peter Connolly


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/20/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111234/01
119235/01

Karel Dvorak

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011


536.07690/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 111241/01
KAREL DVORAK, : 119235/01
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK E. ORTIZ, ESQ. M. Daniel Farrell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO,
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111866/01

Anthony M. Iacono

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY O'DONNELL

INDEX NO.

112178/01

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 5, 2011


Erica Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG,
LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113260/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH McCARTHY,
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: March 21, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dore M. Northrup II, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113260/01

Joseph McCarthy

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05315.000

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CURTIS EDWARDS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 113956-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD GEIGERICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 114379-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK W. TRINKLE

INDEX NO.

114380/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *BENORCHIA*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD V. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-5988

FILED

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

KATHY EZZO, as Administratrix for the Estate of
ALFRED C. MAURAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 115298-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID J. VALENTE

INDEX NO.
115466/01
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq. *BEN ORTIZ*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X
GARY W. MASI and PHILIP G. MASI as Co-Executors :
for the Estate of EVA M. MASI, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 115469/01


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 25 2011


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Loring J. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115469/01

Eva M. Masi

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/24/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

APR 19 2011

Julie Evans NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EVA M. MASI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115469/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86029

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111218/01
115593/01

James Barry


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSEY, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
MCCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000

Our File No.: 06507.00848
APR 19 2011

FILED



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111218/01
115593/01

James Barry


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111218/01
115614/01


Alphonso Jackson

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Francesco Orilia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0590AI

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN GARREN and JOAN C. GARREN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No.: 115805-01

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: April 7, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115817/01

Thomas McCafferty

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0531.00001


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE MCLAUGHLIN

INDEX NO.

116148/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *BEN DIACITE*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111219/01
116427/01


Lawrence Haigler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFF

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARTIN CONDENZIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 117155-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.07692/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
JOHN J. CULLEN,	:	Index No.: 111225/01
	:	118068/01
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
vs.	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
Defendants.	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 19 2011

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. Samuel Meiravitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111225/01
118068/01

John J. Cullen


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07692/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:	:	
	:	
JOHN J. CULLEN,	:	Index No.: 111225/01
	:	118068/01
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
vs.	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 19 2011

[Signature] 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. CRITZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meiravitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERKS' OFFICE
~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118112/01

John A. Sing

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

APR 19 2011

Julie Evans
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111233/01
118687/01


Peter Connolly

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118721/01

Joseph W. Shreck


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11

FILED


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119217/01

James E. Spearman


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053 5.0000

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011


536.07690/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 111241/01
KAREL DVORAK, : 119235/01
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK A. ORTIZ, ESQ. (M. Daniel Farrell)
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111234/01
119235/01

Karel Dvorak

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119477/01

Bryan M. Price


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRYAN T. PRICE

INDEX NO.

119477/01 and 111220/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10013-3591

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111220/01
119487/01

Edward P. Frank


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119587/01

Lloyd Patterson

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053-5-000018

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119767/01

Francis P. McGowan


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119783/01

Fred G. Sherron


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11

FILED
APR 19 2011
Julie R. Evans
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119788/01

Alfonso D. Raines


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106318/02

Stephen Bobrowich


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106315/02

William Cahill

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0835 0001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106306/02


Carmelo A. Ficalora

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120388/01
CHARLES H. GEE, : 106304/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120388/01
CHARLES H. GEE, : 106304/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 19 2011


~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 120389/01
100011/02

Bessie F. LaBarbera

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389/01
T24454/01

Robert J. Fitzgerald

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389/01
124446/01

William W. Cahlstadt

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389/01
100018/02

Joseph Visconti


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 120390/01
123924/01


Charles P. Hadfield


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/1/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01
123906/01

James J. Coughlin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01
123945/01

Fred Zerolnick


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120391/01
104308/02

This Document Relates To:


Jerry Diamond

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.10536/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120391/01
JERRY DIAMOND, : 104308/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10536/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120391/01
JERRY DIAMOND, : 104308/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meizowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07636

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120392/01
104100/02


Gino Corbellini

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120392/01
104106/02


Daniel C. Blake

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.10452/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120392/01
DANIEL C. BLAKE, : 104306/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED


MICHAEL FARRELL, ESQ. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


APR 19 2011
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, CAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120394/01
104106/02

James Brennan

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105789/2002
120394/2001

JOHN B. MCDONALD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.01.11


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~, *Fran Alvarado*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880


Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED: 

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN B. MCDONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 120394/01 & 105789/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Phan Alvarado*
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
4/6/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120395/01
109561/02

James Smith

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05155.0000

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS MC CANN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109543/02 &


120395/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89397

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALEX SPARAGO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120396/01 &
103330/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86663

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120396/01
103352/02


Raymond J. Hickey

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This document relates to:

EDWARD T. GOOD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120396/2001
103357/2002
190263/2010

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



~~Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisawitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margret McBurney, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190263/10
120396/01
103357/02


Edward T. Good

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00864

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10564/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: <u>120396/01</u>
EDWARD T. GOOD,	:	103357/02
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	
	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey


~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ *3/28/11*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG *Semel Meirowitz*
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011


536.10564/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 120396/01
EDWARD T. GOOD,	:	103357/02
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *3/28/11*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120396/01
103357/02

Edward T. Good


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10011
Our File No. 0533500001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 10333072002
(120396/2001)

ALEX SPARAGO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/28/2011
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margaret Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

07 2011

X: <<<fbw44593/pol/MAY2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES PELLEGRINO.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 103336/02 & 120396/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthia M. Ortiz

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/1/11

Suzanne Halbardier

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorney for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein-Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALEX SPARAGO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No. 120396-01

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____
New York, New York

 3/28/2011
~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. *Samuel Meirowitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120398/01
104831/02
116795/07

Howard Corr


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10565/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL
: IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120398/01
HOWARD CORR, Deceased, : 104831/02
: 116795/07
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 19 2011


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


NEW YORK
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120398/01

Rocco Amato


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120403/01
T00316/02

Gerald Kammerman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05123.0001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120429/01
100623/02


Emil J. Coppola

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120430/01 &
100157/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


James M. Kelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.85982

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD VINESKI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 120430/01 &
100293/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86669

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120430/01
100100/02


Richard J. Bartholomucci

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 120431/01
123576/01

Pat Iacobelli

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
MCCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.01077

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120431/01
123576/01

Pat Iacobelli

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101110/02


James R. Fleming

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011


536.09778/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 120432/01
WALTER VOSBURGH,	:	101070/02
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/6/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101080/02

Lawrence W. Ryan


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

APR 19 2011

Julie Evans
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

FBW44562/legal/nosjm
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES R. FLEMING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101110/02 &
120432/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/4/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101070/02


Walter Vosburgh

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053-0000


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
FRANK C. PERRY and EMILIE B. PERRY,	: Index No. 101088/02
	: 120432/01
Plaintiffs,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
John Richmond, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120433/01

Ed Acerra

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111231/01
120471/01

Rudolph Bibow

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/cases/FBW43556/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RUDOLPH BIBOW,

Index No. : 111231/01 &
120471/01

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


~~Dan Norcraft, Esq.~~ Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500
4/6/11


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011

536.10565/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 120498/01
ROCCO F. AMATO,	:	
	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/14/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


~~FRANK M. CORIO~~ ESQ. M. Mulholland
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL A. RUZZI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120583/01 &
111231/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/22 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86043

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120583/01


Michael A. Ruzzi

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0535.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/cases/FBW43551/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS SHEEHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).
-----X


Index No.: 111223/01 &
120770/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500
4/4/11



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
THOMAS SHEEHAN,	: Index No. 111223/01
	: <u>120770/01</u>
Plaintiff,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MCA 28, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Daniel Blouin

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400


FILED

APR 19 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X
THOMAS STRINGER AND MARCIA STRINGER, :
: Plaintiffs, :
: - against - :
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :
: Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 120778/01
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/17, 2010



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
120924/01

Ezra Clark


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
121118/01

Natale Grillo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/31/11



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Fran Alvarado*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, EL SER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0531500001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
121126/01

Peter V. Matthews

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05225.0000



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121374/01

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRANK BARBERA,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/31, 2011
New York, New York



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Phan Alvarado*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111228/01
121426/01

Edward F. Martens

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

DOLORES MARTENS, and as Personal Representative :
for the Estate of EDWARD MARTENS, and DOLORES :
MARTENS, Individually, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 121426/01


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 10, 2011


Mathew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111228/01
121431/01

Ronald M. Kirk

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X
FRANK S. BARBERA, :
 :
 : Plaintiff, :
 :
 : - against - :
 :
 : A. C. & S., INC., et al., :
 :
 : Defendants. :
----- X


Index No.: 121734/01
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011


Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Loring J. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EUGENE STEINHAUER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 102733/02 & 122138/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew Mac Intyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Stephen D. Hall and Joan Hall,

Index No. 01-122295

Plaintiffs,

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Standard Motor Products, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-28, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111230/01
123065/01

John Doherty


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111230/01
123182/01

Michael Palazzo


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.07694/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
MICHAEL PALAZZO, Deceased, : Index No.: 111230/01
: 123182/01
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111227/01, 123461/01

JORGE RAMOS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jorge Ramos
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23530AI

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111231/01
123575/01


Bertrand E. Lindsey

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120431701
23576/01

Pat Iacobelli


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120431/01
123576/01

Pat Iacobelli


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
MCCORD CORPORATION

150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.01077


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01
123906/01


James J. Coughlin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01
123924/01

Charles P. Hadfield


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01
123945/01

Fred Zerolnick


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389701
124454/01

Robert J. Fitzgerald

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335 00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389/01
124446/01

William W. Cahlstadt

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389/01
100011/02

Bessie F. LaBarbera

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335-00001

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120389/01
100018/02

Joseph Visconti


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120430/01
100100/02

Richard J. Bartholomucci

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120430/01 &
100157/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85982

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Kleim Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD VINESKI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120430/01 &
100293/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86669

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120403701
100316/02

Gerald Kammerman


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05110001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD GRABINSKY,

Index No.: 100373/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S. INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM, LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/4, 2011


Eric A. Cesaro, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RICHARD GRABINSKY
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022


Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
BURNHAM, LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2492

So Ordered: 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120429/01
100623/02

Emil J. Coppola


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335 00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X
MARGARET VOSBURGH, as Administratrix for the :
Estate of WALTER VOSBURGH, and MARGARET :
VOSBURGH, Individually, :
Plaintiff, :
- against - :
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :
Defendants. :
----- X


Index No.: 101070/02
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MARCH 15, 2011



Mathew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring F. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011


536.09778/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
WALTER VOSBURGH,	:	Index No. : 120432/01
	:	101070/02
Plaintiff,	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	:	AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/6/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101070/02

Walter Vosburgh

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053...000


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101080/02

Lawrence W. Ryan


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

APR 19 2011

Julie Evans
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
FRANK C. PERRY and EMILIE B. PERRY,	: Index No. 101088/02
	: 120432/01
Plaintiffs,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
John Richmond, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120432/01
101110/02

James R. Fleming

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533500001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

FBW44562/legal/nosjm
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES R. FLEMING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101110/02 &
120432/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/4/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EUGENE STEINHAUER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 102733/02 & 122138/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/<<<fbw44590/legal/May 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EUGENE STEINHAUER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 102733/02 & 122138/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

82483

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/12/09

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES HAMAKER

INDEX NO.

102755/02, 190045/08

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 1, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038-4925



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598



SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This document relates to:

ALEX SPARAGO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 103330/2002
120396/2001


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 3/28/2011
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margaret Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALEX SPARAGO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120396/01 &
103330/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

 3/22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86663

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALEX SPARAGO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:


: Index No. 103330-02
:


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: _____
New York, New York

 3/28/2011
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meitowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/<<<fbw44593/gal/MAY2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES PELLEGRINO.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 103336/02 & 120396/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


4/1/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120396/01
103352/02

Raymond J. Hickey


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120396/2001

103357/2002

190263/2010

EDWARD T. GOOD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


 3/29/2011
~~Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisawitz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margaret McBurney, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190263/10
120396/01
103357/02


Edward T. Good

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00864

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120396/01
103357/02

Edward T. Good


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533570001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.10564/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120396/01
EDWARD T. GOOD, : 103357/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

[Signature] 3/28/11
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ *Semmel Meirowitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
APR 19 2011
[Signature]
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 27 2011

536.10564/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120396/01
EDWARD T. GOOD, : 103357/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/11
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120392/01
104100/02

Gino Corbellini

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120392/01
104106/02

Daniel C. Blake


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120394/01
104106/02

James Brennan


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10452/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to:	:	
DANIEL C. BLAKE,	:	Index No.: 120392/01
	:	104306/02
Plaintiff,	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED


MICHAEL FARRELL, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

APR 19 2011

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, YCAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011


536.10536/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120391/01
JERRY DIAMOND, : 104308/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meizowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07036

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10536/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120391/01
JERRY DIAMOND, : 104308/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *Samuel Meisowitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120391/01
104308/02

Jerry Diamond

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

536.10565/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 120398/01
HOWARD CORR, Deceased,	:	104831/02
	:	116795/07
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	:	AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 19 2011


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


NEW YORK
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120398/01
104831/02
116795/07

Howard Corr

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

INDEX NO.

105789/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/30/11



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

Our File No
S-4788-02

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105789/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN McDONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/31, 2011
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~

Fran Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/FBW32369/44589/legal/MAY2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN B. MCDONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 120394/01 & 105789/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Phan Alvarado*
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/6/11


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No. 105789/2002
120394/2001

JOHN B. MCDONALD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.01.11


Frank Ortiz, Esq., Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880


Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED: 

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X://<<<fbw45374legal/february2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 18179/87, 4996/89 &
105877/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
4/4/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as : Index No. 105877/02
Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM C : 4996/89
BARTHOLD, : 18179/87

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

: NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
: MOTION AND ORDER

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

March 28, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
Dana M. Northcott, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: 
Golriz Shoai-Najni, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 18179/87, 4996/89,
105877/02


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 28, 2011
New York, New York


Daniel P. Blouin, Esq. *Daniel M. Northwell, Jr.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120388/01
CHARLES H. GEE, : 106304/02
: (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 120388/01
CHARLES H. GEE,	:	<u>106304/02</u>
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


DATED:
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 19 2011

 3/28/2011
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106306/02

Carmelo A. Ficalora


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/24/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0001

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106315/02

William Cahill


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 09350001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No. 106318-02


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106318/02

Stephen Bobrowich


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DONALD F. HOGAN and MILDRED
HOGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No. 108870-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____
New York, New York

 3/29/2011
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&J GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
DONALD HOGAN and MILDRED HOGAN,	: Index No. 108870/02
	:
Plaintiffs,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/29/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Samuel Meirowitz
Samuel Meirowitz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS MC CANN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 09543/02 &
120395/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89397

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120395/01
109561/02

James Smith

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05135.0000

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

JAMES F. SMITH and JOSEPHINE SMITH, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 109561/02


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 14, 2011



John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122078/97
109854/02

Philip Mule

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01138

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CLINTON A. MCCLLOUD

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 126733/02 &
110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87397

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114483/02

Grace Conti, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of
Vito Conti

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, EISER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
002489/01
114483/02

VITO CONTI (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105292/00
123166/02

Robert E. Doyle


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/27/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335 28296

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

-----X
This Document Relates To:
ROBERT E. DOYLE AND GERALDINE C. DOYLE,

Index No.: 123166/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/11, 2011


Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert E. Doyle
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED.


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE DOYLE,

Index No.: 123166/02

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/10, 2011

RT-W

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine Doyle
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

THERESA PAOLINI, Individually and as : Index No. 124397/02
Administratrix for the Estate of EMILIO PAOLINI, : 101192/99

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
3/28/2011 Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARGARET MACFARLAND, as Executrix for the
Estate of JOHN MORGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 125058-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CLINTON A. MCCLOUD

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 126733/02 &
110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87397

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RECEIVED MAR 30 2011

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126776/02

VIVIAN LAWRENCE, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF GEORGE LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/27, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Kentile Floors, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Bonnie M. Steinwoff
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of George Lawrence
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10003
(212) 681-1575

FILED

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-6163

{N0003046-4}

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108845/00, 100752/03

CASIMIR R. STASZAK AND SLYVIA
STASZAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Casimir R. Staszak and Sylvia Staszak
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
1122-2733

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111049/98
113130/98
100755/03
107991/03

Sam Donofrio


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30110

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

HARVEY L. FLEITMAN (Deceased)
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
119394/00, 100588/01
100756/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
119394/00, 100621/01
100756/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

FILED
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
124331/00
100757/03

CLYDE FRASIER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EDWARD E. WYANT SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No: 100770/03, 07774/01 &
119375/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/25/11


Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

EDWARD E. WYANT SR. (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
109375/00, 107774/01
100770/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119400/00, 101892/01
100787/03


TERRY M. KESSLER (Deceased)


-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

CARL KROGER

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
119383/00, 102314/01
100787/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
119383/00, 102269/01
100787/03, 107623/06


GIOVANNI LATTANZIO


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119470/98
100866/03
102902/04

Ezio Costanzo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533531037



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X
ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA POLOTAYE, :
 :
 : Plaintiffs, :
 :
 : - against - :
 :
 : A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :
 :
 : Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 103508/03
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
MARCH 15, 2011



Christopher A. Romancelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111049/98
113130/98
100755/03
107991/03

Sam Donofrio

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30110

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
SAM DONOFRIO,

Index No.: 107991/03

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/18, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Sam Donofrio
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR MEDIATE

INDEX NO.

108114/03 and 190358/10

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11

Michael Roberts, Esq. *BENDARLIFE*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

GINA CONIGLIO, as Administratrix for the Estate of :
THOMAS G. MULKERN, and ELIZABETH :
MULKERN, Individually, :

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

Index No.: 110765-03

-against-

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


A.C. & S., INC., et al., :


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111224/01
111913/03


Fred A. Hofmann

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

E

APR 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DAVID SELMENSBERGER, as Executor for the
Estate of WILLIAM SELMENSBERGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 113556-03

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

-----X
This Document Relates To:
EDWARD T. PLANTZ,

Index No.: 115218/03

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/31, 2011

Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Plantz
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11000028

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN GARBARINO and ELENA GARBARINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 100336-04


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121810/97
105233/98
111068/98
100755/04

Lloyd Harden


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053350988

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
LORETTA HARDEN, Individually and as Administratrix
for the Estate of LLOYD HARDEN,

Index No.: 100755/04

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/13, 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Loretta Harden, Ind. and Administratrix for the
Estate of Lloyd Harden
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOAN E. BYRNES as Executrix for the Estate of
EDWARD BYRNES, SR., and JOAN E. BYRNES,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No.: 102222-04


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 31, 2011
New York, New York



Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119470/98
100866/03
102902/04

Ezio Costanzo


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533331037

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN GIARDIELLO and DIANE GIARDIELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 104918-04

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRANCIS D. LAHEY and ROSE MARIE LAHEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 108171-04


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN SZWED, as Executor for the Estate of
ANTHONY SZWED,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 110392-04

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121774/97
105235/98
111073/98
100198/00
115493/04

Carl Lunderman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.37460

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123219/97
102347/05

John Coughlin


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31621

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107014-06

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARTIN WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/15, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT
VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY, Individually

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 108599/99 &
110031/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86112

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN KNOX

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115429/05 &
109675/ 99 & 111071/98 &
103975/97

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88134

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RUTH E. PAIGE, as Executrix for the Estate of
ALONZO HUBBARD, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No.: 102334-06

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MARTIN F. WHITE and EILEEN WHITE,	: Index No. 107014/06
	: 15658/91
Plaintiffs,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GIOVANNI LATTANZIO

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119383/00, 102269/01
100787/03 (107623/06)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

JOANN KARALES and SUSAN PAVACIC, as Co- :
Executrices for the Estate of ANTHONY LAMANO, and :
ROSE LAMANO, Individually, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., :

Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 108083/06


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MARCH 24, 2011


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff


Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY E. LAMANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 121906/98 &
108083/06

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/22 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86096

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
ANTHONY E. LAMANO (Dec.) and ROSE	: Index No. 108083/06
LAMANO (Dec.),	: 121906/98
	:
Plaintiffs,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/23/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Golriz Sheai-Naini
Golriz Sheai-Naini, Esq.

FILED

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

[Signature] APR 07 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

EFFIE M. CHOATES, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of TED M. CHOATES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 116125-06


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 4, 2011
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS GREEN

INDEX NO.

107233/99 and 102707/07

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **A. BRANDIS, ESQ**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Francesco Orilia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0590AI

APR 07 2011

536.10565/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:		
	:	Index No.: 120398/01
HOWARD CORR, Deceased,	:	104831/02
	:	116795/07
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	(January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs.	:	Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	:	AND ORDER
	:	


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED

DATED: 4/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR 19 2011


FRANK M. CORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120398/01
104831/02
116795/07

Howard Corr


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DIANE L. KUCIEL, as Executrix for the Estate of FELIX
M. JUZDOWSKI, PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116796/07
106891/00
121988/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/12, 2011


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Diane L. Kuciel, as Executrix for the Estate of
Felix M. Juzdowski, Patricia Juzdowski,
Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121988/99
106891/00
116796/07

Felix M. Juzdowski


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335 32722

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 27 2011

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No.: 08/190003

ANTHONY VIVONA and AGATA VIVONA,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS TIRE OPERATIONS, LLC**, sued
herein as **BRIDGESTONE FIRESTONE NORTH AMERICAN TIRE, LLC**, and **FIRESTONE
DIVERSIFIED PRODUCTS, LLC**, sued herein as **BRIDGESTONE FIRESTONE DIVERSIFIED
PRODUCTS**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against said defendants, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants,
BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS TIRE OPERATIONS, LLC, sued herein as **BRIDGESTONE
FIRESTONE NORTH AMERICAN TIRE, LLC**, and **FIRESTONE DIVERSIFIED PRODUCTS, LLC**,

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

sued herein as BRIDGESTONE FIRESTONE DIVERSIFIED PRODUCTS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011



Joe Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
295 Madison Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 681-1575



Zachary S. Goldberg, Esq.
Goldberg, Corwin & Greenberg, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
Bridgestone Americas Tire Operations, LLC
and Firestone Diversified Products, LLC
331 Madison Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-1000

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

190003/08

VIVONA

✓

American Standard

APR 27 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/12/09

82483

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES HAMAKER

INDEX NO.
102755/02, 190045/08

ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


April 1, 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038-4925



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598



SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,
Plaintiff,
-against-
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al
Defendants

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part ~~29~~ 30
(~~Freedman, L.~~) Heitler


Index No.: 09/190125


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, The H. B. Smith Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, The H. B. Smith Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The H. B. Smith Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Peter J. Stasz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The H. B. Smith Company, Inc.
47 Westfield Industrial Park Road
Westfield, MA 01085
(413) 568-3148


Holly Peterson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Louis G. D'Addio
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6288

FILED

APR 07 2011
APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
~~Hon. Helen E. Freedman~~


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**ZELLA F. TURNER, Individually and as Executrix of the
Estate of WILLIAM E. TURNER, deceased,**

INDEX NO: 190349/09

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendant(s)


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

April 1, 2011



Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 681-1575


THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

FILED
APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190350/09


**THOMAS WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN
WILLIAMS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Federal Pacific Electric Company ("Federal Pacific"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Federal Pacific with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Federal Pacific be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2011



Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
Wilentz Goldman & Spitzer
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street
26th Floor
New York, New York 10038



Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Federal Pacific Electric
Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

MARIO RIVADENEYRA and MIREYA RIVADENEYRA,

Index No. 190374/09

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION, et al.,
including THE MONTALVO CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant The Montalvo Corporation (hereinafter "Montalvo") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Montalvo with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Montalvo be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 31, 2011



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Mario Rivadeneyra, et al.
(212) 558-5500



David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10018
Attorneys for Defendant
The Montalvo Corporation
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
JAMES H. BAXLEY,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190419/09

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

----- x

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x
JOHN H. ZAUGG and GERALDINE ZAUGG,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190008/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NATHANIEL MURRAY

INDEX NO: 190036/2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON; et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Defendant(s)
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

April 1, 2011

Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 681-1575

THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
APR 7 2011
PART 30

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

JAY NISSINOFF and MERYL NISSINOFF,

Index No. 190046/2010

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.B. DICK COMPANY, et al.,
including THE MONTALVO CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant The Montalvo Corporation (incorrectly sued herein as "The Montalvo Company, Inc.") (hereinafter "Montalvo") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Montalvo with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Montalvo be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 31, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Jay Nissinoff and Meryl Nissinoff, et al.
(212) 558-5500

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
The Montalvo Corporation
(212) 791-0285

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

DOMINICK PALAIO and ANNA PALAIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,

Defendants,
-----X

Index No. 190068-10

October 2010 *In Extremis* Group

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

3/30, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By [Signature]

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 1003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By [Signature]

John S. Rand, Esq.

99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL
PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD
CORPORATION

SO ORDERED: [Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: 4-11-11

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----- x
ANNA PALAIO, as Executrix for the Estate of DOMINICK
PALAIO, and ANNA PALAIO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190068/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc." and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NOUBAR PUSKULIAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190071/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

GRACE MEYER, as Executrix for the Estate of HEINZ
MEYER, and GRACE MEYER, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendant.

-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190072/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT
INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT
CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to
BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE
MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and
its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors
in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being
no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011


Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x
JAMES M. RUSSO and LORRAINE RUSSO,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190097/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT
INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as
successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE
MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and
its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors
in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being
no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
EDWARD BITTNER and NANCY BITTNER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190127/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
CARL A. STEINER,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190128/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


-----x
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT
INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present
parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its
agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

JOHN S. VIKELIS and EVANGELINA VIKELIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190144/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x
RICHARD J. TAYLOR and ADELAIDE TAYLOR,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190159/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

4/2011
205503

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LOUIS DIGILIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


Index No.: 190166/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
March 31, 2011



Christopher A. Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



William T. Miedel, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL INC.
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
JOE MARTIN and G. JAN MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,
Defendant.

----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190171/10


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x
ANGEL RIJO and ILUMINADA RIJO,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190199/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
JOSEPH M. ALTIERI and ANTOINETTE ALTIERI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190200/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 3, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 21, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

March 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MICHAEL V. MORENO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ABEX CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 190262/10


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Baldor Electric Company and its wholly owned subsidiary Reliance Electric Company (improperly identified as Baldor Electric Co., successor by merger to Reliance Electric Company), ("Baldor Electric"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Baldor Electric with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Baldor Electric be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/9, 2011


Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022


Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Baldor Electric Company and its
wholly owned subsidiary Reliance Electric Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190263/10
120396/01
103357/02

Edward T. Good

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00864



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120396/2001
103357/2002
190263/2010

EDWARD T. GOOD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


~~Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margret McBurney, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190271/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARVIN RICH,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/29, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

HENRY HERBIN, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :


Defendants. :


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 190313-10
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/23/11
New York, New York


Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
APR 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT CRISTIANO and CONCETTA
CRISTIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:


:
: Index No.: 190317-10
:


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
:

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/20/11
New York, New York


Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

4/16/11
200740

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190336/10


JOSEPH AND CHRISTINE GEMELLARO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Federal Pacific Electric Company ("Federal Pacific"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Federal Pacific with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Federal Pacific be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/31, 2011



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Federal Pacific Electric
Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH GENNOSA and ROSALIE
GENNOSA,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 190340-10


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/31/11
New York, New York


Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

: NYCAL
:
:

RONALD HANZE and CAROL HANZE,

: Index No. 190344/10
:
:

Plaintiffs,

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:

-against-

BYRON JACKSON PUMPS, et al.,

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30
:
:

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 15, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____

Amore Brandis

By: _____

Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 01 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR MEDIATE

INDEX NO. 108114/03 and 190358/10
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/30/11

Michael Roberts, Esq. *BEN D'ARCA*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
ARTHUR MEDIATE and HARRIET MEDIATE,	: Index No. 190358/10
	:
Plaintiffs,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
-against-	:
A.O. SMITHE WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
3/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Michael Roberts
Ben D'Amico


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

CHRISTOPHER T. TANSOSCH and
GEORGIANA TANSOSCH,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

New York City Asbestos Litigation
(NYCAL)

Index No.: 10-190382


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
RE: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross-claims against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11 2011

Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Christopher T. Tansosch And Georgiana
Tansosch

By: 
Bryan Belasky, Esq.

GIBBONS PC.
One Pennsylvania Plaza, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10119-3701
Attorneys for Defendant The Sherwin-
Williams Company

By: 
Robert D. Brown Jr., Esq.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Stein Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/AA-54583./Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHRISTOPHER TANSOSCH and GEORGIANA
TANSOSCH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 190382/10

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox 3/28/11
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036


Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a
UTICA BOILER
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

X:/DOM54582/Agal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHRISTOPHER TANSOSCH and GEORGIANA
TANSOSCH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 190382/10

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, LP hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, LP with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, LP, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox 3/28/11
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036


Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for DOMCO PRODUCTS
TEXAS, LP
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

APR 07 2011

X:/DOM54531/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DANIEL STUPINO and ANNA STUPINO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 190385/10

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L.P.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L.P.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, L.P.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg 3/28/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for DOMCO PRODUCTS
TEXAS, L.P.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL STUPINO and ANNA STUPINO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190385/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Strober Building Supply f/k/a The Strober Organization, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Strober Building Supply f/k/a The Strober Organization, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Strober Building Supply f/k/a The Strober Organization, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 23, 2011



Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Strober Building Supply f/k/a
The Strober Organization, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 569.88184

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO,

NYCAL

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190393/2010

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant **SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORP.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against said defendant, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant **SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORP.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN, P.C.

By: _____

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel: 212-681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Edwin and Ramona Pacheco

Allan I. Young, Esq.
156 West 56th Street, Suite 803
New York, New York 10019
Tel: 212-265-6888
Attorneys for Defendant,
Schindler Elevator Corp.

3/30/11

FILED

APR 19 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAA:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 10/190412

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEF KERI,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/29, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LOUIS ODDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 190414-10
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/23/11
New York, New York


Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190416/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND CARELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/09, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190416/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION as
successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,, et al.,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York


Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS
ENGINE COMPANY, INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 07 2011

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.. *et al.*,

Index No.: 190423/2010

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC CORPORATION ("Central Hudson") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against it with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


FILED
APR 19 2011

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Central Hudson be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

3-29-11




Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Ruthe A. Neff, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
-----x

Index No.: 190423/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

3/21/11


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190423/2010

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 4/4/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500




Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190440/2010

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRIEDRICH SCHEUERMANN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/29, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRIEDRICH SCHEUERMANN and CHRISTEL
SCHEUERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No.: 190440-10

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/23/11
New York, New York



Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X
THOMAS STRINGER AND MARCIA STRINGER, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

Index No.: 190444/10


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/7, 2011



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Thomas Stringer and Marcia Stringer

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
Index No: 190444-2010

WHEREFORE, defendant Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation ("Central Hudson") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Central Hudson with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Central Hudson be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-29-11

FILED
APR 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 742-9145



Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendant
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Heitler

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
THOMAS STRINGER and MARCIA STRINGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
-----x

Index No.: 190444/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York


4/4/11



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROSALIE GENNOSA and JOSEPH
GENNOSA,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 190538-10

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/31/11
New York, New York



Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,	:	Index No. 190008/11
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11/11

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
By: 
Bryan Belasky, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
3/11/11

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Air & Liquid Systems Corporation as Successor by
merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


Index No.: 190008/11


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/31/11

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Av., 4th floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

D.H.K.

David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED 
Hon.

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,

Index No. 11-190008

Plaintiffs,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and as Successor in Interest to Goodrich – Gulf Chemical, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/11, 2011

FILED

APR 19 2011


BY: 

Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212)681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Bryan Belasky

BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry Heitler

APR 07 2011

X:AA-54765/Agal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PETER GIANGASPRO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190052/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants *ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Benjamin Darsch, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
3/28/11



Nicole L. Pitti, Esq.
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a
UTICA BOILER
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

APR 19 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 07 2011