

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190371/2010

CHUNG SOON O'DWYER

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Staedtler-Mars Limited, incorrectly sued herein as "Staedler Mars-Limited", hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Staedtler-Mars Limited, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Staedtler-Mars Limited, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

4/18, 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Brian Early, Esq.  
**EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY &  
MEISENKOTHEN**  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*

Maryellen Connor, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. PART 30

----- X (Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM TANZINI,  
Plaintiff(s), INDEX NO. 190037-14

-against- NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

3M COMPANY, et al.,  
Defendants.

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation, Individually and as Successor by Merger to Stratoflex, Inc. (Cleveland Brake Division) and EIS (herein after "Parker-Hannifin Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 28, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John Ryan, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, NY 10036  
212-681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
William Tanzini

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
Troutman Sanders, LLP  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212-704-6000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Parker-Hannifin Corporation

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 7**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAR 31 2014

PART 30

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MARLENA F. ROBAEY and EDWARD  
ROBAEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et  
al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

INDEX NO. 190276-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~February~~, 2014 ~~March 25, 2014~~


FILED

APR 25 2014


  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10039  
212558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Marlena F. Robaey and Edward Robaey

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
Troutman Sanders, LLP  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212-704-6000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

BRYAN HOCKLER,

Plaintiff,

-vs.-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing  
Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

Index No. 190235/13

WHEREFORE, defendant Plastics Engineering Company, by its attorneys,  
Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant  
Plastics Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims  
against defendant Plastics Engineering Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: MARCH 17, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Holly C. Peterson, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Michael J. Masino, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Plastics Engineering Company  
99 Garnsey Road  
Pittsford, New York 14534  
(585) 419-8800

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X  
This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190051-14  
: :  
DONALD NEFSEY AND PHYLLIS NEFSEY, : **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**  
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant, Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation, incorrectly sued herein as "PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION (Individually and as Successor to abex corporation and The American Brake Shoe & Foundry Company," (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: April 7, 2014  
New York, New York

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY  
& MEISENKOTHEN  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

COUNTY CLERK  
NEW YORK  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. Sargente, Esq.  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON  
& YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest  
to Abex Corporation  
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X  
This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 108101-02  
:  
MARGARET G. DONOHUE-COPE, :  
Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of : **NO OPPOSITION**  
ROBERT S. COPE, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 28, 2014  
New York, New York

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



~~Adam Dreksler, Esq.~~  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Deena M. Crimaldi, Esq.  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON  
& YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest  
to Abex Corporation  
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

CAROLYN O. BELLE and WILLIAM BELLE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. and  
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.

Defendants.

**ORIGINAL**

Index No.: 190282/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/2/14 **FILED**  
New York, New York

APR 25 2014

[Signature]  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

[Signature]  
William T. Miedel, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys For Defendant  
Armstrong International, Inc.  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122024/1998

WILLIAM LONG and DOROTHY LONG,  
  
Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York

~~July 29, 2013~~ April 1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Joanna Drozd  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street - 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(646) 589-8703



Peter Tambini  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs William Long and Dorothy Long  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

DUN58556/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM J. NELSON and CAROL NELSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**FILED**

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 104272/97

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

APR 25 2014

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Defendant(s),


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants ECR International, Inc. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation and as Successor by merger to the Utica Companies, Inc. sued herein as ECR International, Corp. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corp. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants defendants ECR International, Inc. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation and as Successor by merger to the Utica Companies, Inc. sued herein as ECR International, Corp. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR International, Inc. f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corporation and as Successor by

RE: William J. Nelson,  
NYCAL, Index No.: 104272/97

merger to the Utica Companies, Inc. sued herein as ECR International, Corp. f/k/a Dunkirk  
Radiator Corp. and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq. 3/19/14  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
WILLIAM J NELSON and  
CAROL NELSON  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
F/K/A DUNKIRK RADIATOR  
CORPORATION AND AS  
SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO  
THE UTICA COMPANIES, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

104272/97

Nelson

U  
ACT 5

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
107135/00 and 125770/99

RAYMOND WACHOWICZ

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Peter Tambini  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. 3/19/14  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
110269/02 and 121736/02

THOMAS M. RAISH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 21, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
David Barry, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS SIGNORINO

INDEX NO.  
110058/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York



Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG,  
LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
124439/02

WILLIAM BELANICH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


3/24/14

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

John Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
115634/01 and 111218/01

JOHN A. SORENSEN

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

3/27/14

APR 25 2014

  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
190013/10

JAMES W. HILER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


3/27/14

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
190252/10

CECIL W. ABSHER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 4, 2014*

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

*Matthew MacIntyre*  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Timothy M. McCann*  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
190246/10

LARRY V. GUMAER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



TIMOTHY M. MCCANN, ESQ.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
101424/07, 120389/01 and 124443/01

JOHN L. CATTERSON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014.

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
TIMOTHY M. MCCANN, ESQ.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
115276/00 and 108383/00

JOHN Q. ZERO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

  
March 31, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Peter Tambini, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
190345/09

JOSEPH B. BAUM

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
3/24/14

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



John Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE

NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190419/13

REMO CORSI

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Joseph W. Bellone, Esq.  
~~WEITZ & LUKENBERG, P.C.~~  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Remo Corsi*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212)681-1575

Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

RAYMOND T. PRESTON and JEAN PRESTON,

Index No. 190400/12

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., including VIKING  
PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014 APR 25 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Raymond T. Preston and Jean Preston

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Grace De Mario, Esq.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Viking Pump, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
APR 09 2014

**RECEIVED**

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHARLES P. BLAND,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,  
et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 190007-12

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 1, 2014 **FILED**  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
R&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

CLIFFORD SMITH and ANASTASIA SMITH, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining &  
Manufacturing Co., et al., :

Defendants. :

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No: 190137-13

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA INC., f/k/a Square D Company (hereinafter "SQUARE D"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 25, 2014 **FILED**  
New York, New York

  
Brendan Tully, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Schneider Electric USA, Inc.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:	:	
	:	
CONSTANCE MCGUIRE, as Executrix for the	:	NYCAL
Estate of JAMES E. MCGUIRE, and CONSTANCE	:	I.A.S. Part 30
MCGUIRE, Individually	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	Index No(s): 190323/2012
	:	
-against-	:	<b>NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY</b>
	:	<b>JUDGMENT MOTION AND</b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	<b>ORDER</b>
	:	
Defendants.	:	

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant NORDYNE, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NORDYNE, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NORDYNE, LLC be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 31, 2014  
New York, New York

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Patti L. Burshtyn  
Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Michael E. Waller  
Michael E. Waller, Esq.  
**K&L GATES LLP**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
NORDYNE, LLC  
One Newark Center, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 848-4000

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MAURICE F. CUMMINS and MARY  
CUMMINS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 190029-14

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/14  
New York, New York

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

PATRICIA L. COHEN and ELIZABETH  
SNOW,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., n/k/a RHONE  
POULENC AG COMPANY, n/k/a BAYER  
CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

NYCAL  
I.A. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 190385-13


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/28/14  
New York, New York

  
Patti Burshtyn Esq. Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: : NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
CYNTHIA SCHONBERGER, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
: :  
Plaintiffs, : Index No.: 190138-13  
: :  
-against- : NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., : :  
: :  
Defendants. : :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., improperly sued as "CRANE CO., Individually and as successor to Cochrane" (hereinafter "CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/31/14  
New York, New York

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190355/11**

LUCILLE JACOBSEN, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of ROY N. JACOBSEN

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated:    New York, New York    **APR 25 2014**

3/31, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*

(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.


**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038

*Attorneys for Defendant*

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**

**APR 09 2014**

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 120391/01,  
104275/02,190173/09

RALPH SALZANO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014  
4/4, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
Ralph Salzano  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Morse Diesel, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 126304/93**

JOHN CAREY and EILEEN CAREY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/4/, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*John Carey and Eileen Carey*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 103068/06

MELVYN B. HALPERN and MARCY HALPERN

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/7/14, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Brian Early, Esq.  
**EARLY LUCARELLI SWEENEY  
MEISENKOTHEN**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Melvyn B. Halpern and Marcy Halpern*  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 190169/09**

GARY J. NANKERVIS

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/7/14, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Matthew McIntyre, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
Gary J. Nankervis  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
Morse Diesel, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
APR 09 2014

**RECEIVED**  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

SAUL SHEIMAN,

Index No.: 190382/2013

Plaintiff,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota  
Mining and Manufacturing Company, *et al.*,  
including DEZURIK,

WHEREFORE, defendant DeZurik (improperly sued herein as "DEZURIK, INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DeZurik with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DeZurik be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9 2014 APR 25 2014

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
Saul Sheiman  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

David P. Schaffer, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
DeZurik  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

MICHAEL MURPHY and MARGARET MURPHY,

Index No.: 190272/2013

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

3M COMPANY, et al., including DEZURIK,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant DeZurik (improperly sued herein as "DEZURIK, INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DeZurik with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DeZurik be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE DE LABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Michael Murphy et al.  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

David P. Schaffer, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
DeZurik  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107338/04

JACOB NICKLAS CONTI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Alcoa Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Alcoa Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Alcoa Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014



Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Jacob Nicklas Conti  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Evan A. Foxk, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Alcoa, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

813-009

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
L.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190289/13,

EDWARD HECKERS, JR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/9/2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Parth Buchta, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Edward Heckers, Jr.  
WELZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KILGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

504-1255

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FRANK D. ESPOSITO

Index No.: 190212/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/9, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Patti Broughton, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Frank D. Esposito  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

2572-170

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190212/13,

FRANK D. ESPOSITO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/9/, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Patti Buontyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Frank D. Esposito  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

504-1166

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JEAN CLAUDE EDOUARD  
AND  
KITTY ANN EDOUARD

Index No.: 190237/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DAP, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DAP, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/9, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Patti Burchyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Jean Claude Edouard and Kitty Ann Edouard  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
DAP, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

604-645

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190343/13,

MARIE DISCALFANI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9/14, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Adam Drexler, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Marie Discalfani  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

504-1223

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND JR.  
AND  
ANN CLEVELAND

Index No.: 190304/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/9, 2014

APR 25 2014

**FILED**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Paul Buntyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Looney Conway Cleveland Jr. and Ann Cleveland  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111592/04

NEIL O'DONNELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Alcoa, Inc., f/k/a Aluminum Company of America, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Alcoa, Inc., f/k/a Aluminum Company of America, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Alcoa, Inc., f/k/a Aluminum Company of America, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9/14, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff


Neil O'Donnell

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl

New York, New York 10022

(212) 605-6200

  
Evan A. Foxx, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Alcoa, Inc.

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30 813-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STEVE STUPIN, AS EXECUTOR OF THE  
ESTATE OF SRDJAN MATIJEVIC,

Index No.: 16743/1991;  
107445/2000;  
190346/2011

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

March 20, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Joanna Drozd  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655

  
Adam Raffo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Steve Stupin, As Executor of the Estate of  
Srdjan Matijevic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190418/2013

JOSEPH FLOOD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**


APR 25 2014



WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's  
complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

January 2, 2014 ~~March 14, 2014~~

  
Joanna Drozd  
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655

  3/19/14  
Suzanne Ratchiffe Samuel Meirowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Joseph Flood  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190131/13,

ROBERT TEAGUE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Higbee, Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee, Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Higbee, Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee, Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Higbee, Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee, Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
April 9, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
ROBERT TEAGUE  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Higbee, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30  
190-895

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

PETER RICCI  
and  
MARIA RICCI

Index No.: 190424/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robertson-Ceco Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robertson-Ceco Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Robertson-Ceco Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9/2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Peter Ricci and Maria Ricci  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Robertson-Ceco Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

1505-560

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JAMES AUGUSTUS PROCTOR  
AND  
JOY C. PROCTOR

Index No.: 190040/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Homasote Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Homasote Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Homasote Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Augustus Proctor and Joy C. Proctor  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Homasote Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,  \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH W. NEWBURY  
AND  
EILEEN NEWBURY

Index No.: 190224/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9/, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Patti Bontyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Joseph W. Newbury and Eileen Newbury  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Nash Engineering Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

505-3643

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

DONALD IZBICKI AND MADELINE  
IZBICKI

Index No.: 190140/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Higbee Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. Inc. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products, Inc., hereinafter referred to as Higbee Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Higbee Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. Inc. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products, Inc., hereinafter referred to as Higbee Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Higbee Inc., incorrectly sued as Higbee Inc., individually and as successor to Higbee Rubber Co. Inc. and Higbee Gaskets and Sealing Products, Inc., hereinafter referred to as Higbee Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/19/14, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Izbicki and Madeline Izbicki  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Higbee Inc  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD HECKERS, JR.

Index No.: 190289/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/9, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Edward Heckers, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014 963-1683

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190411/09

SYLVIA MECKEL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of  
MELVYN MECKEL, and SYLVIA MECKEL, Individually  
Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER/DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.  
Defendant.  
-----X

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 03/27, 2013  
Harrison, New York

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 102763-02; 122138-01

THOMAS DOHERTY and MARY ELLEN DOHERTY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER/DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/13, 2014  
Harrison, New York

*Frank S. Weitz*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED**  
  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Honorable Sherry Klem-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190465/2012

RAMON CARTAGENA and RAMONA MORALES-  
CARTAGENA

Plaintiff,

-against-

KERR CORPORATION, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KERR CORPORATION**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and as successor by merger to KERR MANUFACTURING COMPANY ("KERR CORPOARTION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KERR CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KERR CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 04/07, 2014  
Harrison, New York



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Diane H. Miller, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR  
DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and  
as successor by merger to KERR  
MANUFACTURING COMPANY


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190099/2013

TEODOSIO V. PATINO-BERNAL and ROSA PATINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
ZY-TECH GLOBAL  
INDUSTRIES**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

costs.  
Dated: 4/23, 2014  
Harrison, New York


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Bryan Belasky, Esq.,  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212)681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**  
  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190099/2013

TEODOSIO V. PATINO-BERNAL and ROSA PATINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER/DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 4/7, 2014  
Harrison, New York

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212)681-1575

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190289/2013

EDWARD HECKERS, JR.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KERR CORPORATION**

**KERR CORPORATION, et al.**


Defendant.


-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and as successor by merger to KERR MANUFACTURING COMPANY ("KERR CORPOARTION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KERR CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KERR CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 04/07, 2014  
Harrison, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Diane H. Miller, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR  
DENTAL CORPORATION, Individually and  
as successor by merger to KERR  
MANUFACTURING COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL

RALPH P. NORTH,

Index No.: 190114/2013

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant FLOWSERVE U.S. INC., as successor-in-interest to DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION incorrectly sued herein as FLOWSERVE CORPORATION, as successor in interest to DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION, (hereinafter "DURAMETALLIC") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant DURAMETALLIC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, DURAMETALLIC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/3, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

**LEVY PHILLIPS &  
KONIGSBERG, LLP**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


**LEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**



Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022



Madina Axelrod, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Durametallc Corporation  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,  \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190037/14

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM TANZINI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

Dated: 3/14, 2014  
New York, New York APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

John Ryan, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

Ted Eder, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BW/IP, Inc.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EARALD B. RUDON and PHYLLIS S. RUDON,

Index No. 190400-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

March 31, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

BY:   
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

BY:   
Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

EDWARD DEGEORGIS and MARY ANN  
DEGEORGIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 106915/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

*March 25, 2014*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Heather Gaw*

Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.23585

*Phan Alvarado*

Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward DeGeorgis and Mary Ann DeGeorgis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

*Sherry Klein Heitler*

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**INSYNC**

This Document relates to:

Index No. 111250/04

MARILYN J. BIGELOW as Executrix for the Estate of  
RICHARD VARI, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

*March 21 2014*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jesse D. Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.16830

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Marilyn J. Bigelow as Executrix for the  
Estate of Richard Vari, Sr.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

KEVIN BARRETT and IRENE BARRETT,  
  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 103674/97  
113279/97  
111058/98  
109675/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York



Jesse D. Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.6207

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
KEVIN BARRETT and IRENE BARRETT  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

# INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

HOWARD ROSENTHAL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABB, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190424/12

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/8/2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

*ATW*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*M R*

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Graybar Electric Company, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 33249.8193

~~Brian E. Early, Esq.~~ *Mark Bibro*  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Howard Rosenthal  
EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**



# INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

E. JAYNE LAMOREAUX, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of WILLIAM G. HASSEL,

Index No. 114460/03

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/8/2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Graybar Electric Company, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 33249.8101

~~Brian F. Early, Esq.~~   
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
E. Jayne Lamoreaux, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of William G. Hassel  
EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x  
ALEXANDER RIVERA and NYDIA RIVERA, wife,

Index No.: 190303/13

-against-

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A&J LUMBER CO, INC. et. al.

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.


-----x  
WHEREFORE, MANNINGTON MILLS, INC (hereinafter "Mannington Mills") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, MANNINGTON MILLS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1/14  
  
~~Laurence Nassif, Esq.~~  
SEEGER WEISS, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
77 Water Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212)584-0700

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

  
Katrina Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LOUIS D. STILES and CORNELIA STILES,

Index No.: 190326/13

-against-  
Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "Gardner Denver") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be ~~and the same are~~ **FILED** hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

*March 18, 2014*  
  
~~David Chandler, Esq.~~ DANIEL WASSERBERG  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Arlene Gharabeigie, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
DONALD GREENOUGH, Esq. as Special Administrator  
for the Estate of ALLEN DEWIT HUTCHINS, and  
MARTHA HUTCHINS, Individually,

Index No.: 190066/12

-against- Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. hereby requests Summary Judgment in  
the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
Defendant, MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/3/14  
Chris Romanelli, Esq  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Katrina Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190246/10

CAROLENE GUMAER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LARRY V. GUMAER, and CAROLENE GUMAER,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


APR 25 2014

4-1-14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.27091




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carolene Gumaer, as Executrix for the Estate of  
Larry V. Gumaer, and Carolene Gumaer,  
Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 100316/07

JOHN O'BRIEN, as Executor for the Estate of JAMES  
O'BRIEN, and KATHLEEN O'BRIEN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


APR 25 2014

4-1-14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.10166



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
John O'Brien, as Executor for the Estate of James  
O'Brien, and Kathleen O'Brien, Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 101286/07

ALICE C. BOTTOM, as Executrix for the Estate of  
DAVID M. BOTTOM, and ALICE C. BOTTOM,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


APR 25 2014

4/1/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.8904



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Alice C. Bottom, as Executrix for the Estate of  
David M. Bottom, and Alice C. Bottom,  
Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

ANNE GILL, as Executrix for the Estate of JACK  
ELDON GILL, AND ANNE GILL, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 106740/00  
121978/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

4/1/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.18118



Lisa Busch, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Anne Gill, as Executrix for the Estate of Jack Eldon  
Gill, and Anne Gill, Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

DONNA TOMECEK, Executrix for the Estate of  
RONALD P. MCDONOUGH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 15568/87  
6471/90  
190050/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

4/11/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.17081



Lisa Busch, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donna Tomecek, Executrix for the Estate of Ronald  
P. McDonough  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

DONALD F. FORD, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of DONALD H. FORD, and WILLIAM R.  
FORD, as Personal Representative for the Estate of  
HAZEL FORD,

Index No. 101904/02  
102454/01  
111057/98  
128244/95

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S. PRODUCTS INC. et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


APR 25 2014

4/1/14


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.28686



Lisa Busch, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Donald F. Ford, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of Donald H. Ford, and William R. Ford, as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of Hazel  
Ford  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 114784/02  
106691/02

BETTY FASSETT, as Executrix for the Estate of  
CONRAD J. FASSETT, AND BETTY FASSETT,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

4/1/14

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.20861

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa Busch, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Betty Fassett, as Executrix for the Estate of Conrad  
J. Fassett, and Betty Fassett, Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 106330/95  
108934/95  
111062/98  
119055/01  
109357/02

PAMELA ANNE DICKERT and FREDERICK A.  
CIAMPI, JR., as Co-Executors for the Estate  
FREDERICK A. CIAMPI, SR. and MARY CIAMPI,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

3/24/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.8278

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
PAMELA ANNE DICKERT and FREDERICK A.  
CIAMPI, JR., as Co-Executors for the Estate of  
FREDERICK A. CIAMPI, SR., and MARY  
CIAMPI, Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
RICHARD DIMLER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING &  
SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 118498/1998

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

3/21/2014

APR 25 2014



Adam Raffo  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Richard Dimler



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
ELEANOR M. PEARSE, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of HOWARD EDWARD PEARSE, and  
ELEANOR M. PEARSE, Individually,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105571/2008

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


3/27/2014


  
Peter Tambino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Eleanor Pearse for the Estate of Howard  
Edward Pearse

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

ROBERT GERMAIN, SR.,

Index No.: 190281/12

Plaintiff,

v.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SCOTT WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Wayne/Scott Fetzer Company d/b/a Wayne Combustion Systems, s/h/a Wayne Combustion Systems (hereinafter, "Wayne"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' first amended verified complaint against defendant Wayne with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Wayne be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Hawthorne, New York  
~~October 7, 2013~~ April 7, 2014

Jordan Fox  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Jonathan R. Harwood  
TRAUB LIEBERMAN STRAUS &  
SHREWSBERRY LLP  
7 Skyline Drive  
Hawthorne, New York 10532  
(914) 347-2600  
Attorneys for Defendant Wayne Combustion

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JANINA CHLEBOWSKA,

Index No. 190084/13

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining &  
Manufacturing Co., et al.

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, Defendant EDWARD A. SEARS ASSOCIATES, P.C., hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's  
complaint against Defendant EDWARD A. SEARS ASSOCIATES, P.C., with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and  
cross-claims against Defendant EDWARD A. SEARS ASSOCIATES, P.C., be  
and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 7, 2014

**FILED**

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG,  
LLP

APR 25 2014 GOGICK, BYRNE & O'NEILL, LLP

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

~~By: Lori A. Benavides, Esq.~~  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Janina Chlebowska  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Tel: (212) 605-6200

By: Jason Anthony Ciluffo, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Edward A. Sears Associates P.C.  
11 Broadway, Suite 910  
New York, NY 10004-1314  
Tel: (212) 422-9424  
Fax: (212) 422-9429

NICHOLAS NOJACK, Esq.

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

so ordered:

Sherry Klein Heltzer

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x  
ABRAHAM FREUND,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing  
Company, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190355/2012

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC, erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Signed by Plaintiff 3/13, 20 14

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SG ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x  
ROLLAND M. ENGLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining  
and Manufacturing Company, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190284/2012

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_



Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Jennifer MacSteel, Esq.  
NEW YORK MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7 Fl.  
New York, NY 10105

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:  
THEODORE LEVY and MERLE LEVY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190326/12

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Plaintiff: 3/13, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Genevieve MacSteel  
Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
MICHAEL ANTLE and VASHTEE ANTLE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 190360/2012

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Signed by Plaintiff 3/13, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190361/2012

ROBERT GRIFFIN,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

v.

A.P. SERVICES, INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest  
to Argo Packing Company, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Plaintiff: 3 / 13, 2014

**FILED**

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Genevieve MacSteel  
Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

JOHN D. SORENSEN, as Executor for the Estate of  
JOHN A. SORENSEN, and CARMELLA SORENSEN,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 115634/01  
111218/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


APR 25 2014

4-1-14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.6786



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
John D. Sorensen, as Executor for the Estate of  
John A. Sorensen, and Carmella Sorensen,  
Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

NYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190252/10

DENNIS ABSHER, as executor for the estate of CECIL  
W. ABSHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4-1-14


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.27110



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Dennis Absher, as Executor for the Estate of Cecil  
W. Absher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
JOHN BUTURLA JR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190349/2013

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

2/25/14

  
Patti Burshtyn  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Buturla, Jr.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
EDWARD HECKERS, JR.,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190289/2013

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABB, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

2/25/14


  
Patti Burshtyn  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward Heckers, Jr.

  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
EARALD B. RUDON and PHYLLIS S. RUDON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 190400/2013

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


2/25/14

  
Patti Burshtyn  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Earald and Phyllis Rudon

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES LAWRENCE SHEEHAN and MARGARET  
SHEEHAN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.  
Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190425/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

2/27/14  


David Chandler  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
James Lawrence Sheehan and Margaret  
Sheehan

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
PAUL SALMERI and JUNE SALMERI,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190404/2013

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

2/27/14



Matthew MacIntyre  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Paul and June Salmeri



Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
FRANCIS V. ESPOSITO and ITA ESPOSITO,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190421/2013

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/28/14



Michael Roberts  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Francis and Ita Esposito

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RALPH P. NORTH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


NYCAL  
I..A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190114-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Erica V. Cerafo  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG,  
LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 605-6200  
Facsimile: (212) 605-6290  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Ralph P. North

3/24/14



John A. Tartaglia, III  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer Inc.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
RICHARD E. LEONE and ROSARY LEONE,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190324/2013

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

2/27/14

**FILED**

*Matthew MacIntyre*

Matthew MacIntyre  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Richard and Rosary Leone

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Nicole A. Spence*

Nicole A. Spence  
**RIZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

FRANCES OLEXA, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of JOSEPH P. OLEXA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106209-01  
119373-00  
100781-03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/14/14


**FILED**

  
Dana Northcraft  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.** APR 25 2014  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Est. of Joseph Olexa and Frances Olexa

  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
American Optical Corporation

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In Re: First Judicial District  
Asbestos Litigation

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Applies to:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

ROBERT LOUIS CAMPAGNA and VIVIAN C.  
CAMPAGNA

Plaintiffs,

Index No: 190043/2014

vs.

CYTEC INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as  
Successor to American Cyanamid Company, et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Wyeth Holdings Corporation f/k/a Wyeth American Cyanamid (sued herein as Cytec Industries Inc., Individually and as successor to American Cyanamid Company), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Cytec Engineered Materials Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Wyeth Holdings Corporation f/k/a Wyeth American Cyanamid, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York  
4/3, 2014

DATED: Buffalo, New York  
April 7, 2014

**FILED**

**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**

APR 25 2014

**DAMON MOREY LLP**

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
Telephone: (212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Col G. Snider, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant,*  
*Wyeth Holdings Corporation*  
The Avant Building  
200 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200  
Buffalo, New York 14202  
Telephone: (716) 856-5500

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

SO ORDERED:

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J)

This Document Relates to:

JOYCE COX, as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD  
GOTTLIEB, and JOYCE COX as Executrix for the Estate  
of ANNA GOTTLIEB,

Index No. 114637/2006

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al.

Defendants,

-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a  
CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the  
above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's  
Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION  
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is  
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION CORPORATION, be  
and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


By   
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 509-3456  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By   
John S. Rand, Esq.  
99 Court Street  
White Plains, New York 10601  
(914) 946-8900  
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL  
PAPER COMPANY

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

Dated: APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROBERT WALSH and JOAN WALSH,

Index No. 190501/2013

Plaintiffs,  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

3 M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing, et  
al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION, hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION, with  
prejudice, there being no opposition thereto; it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendant RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG MONTGOMERY McCRACKEN WALKER &  
RHOADS LLP

By:   
Holly C. Peterson  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Walsh and Joan Walsh  
800 Third Avenue, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

By:   
Charles Palella  
Attorneys for Defendant  
RicoH Americas Corporation  
437 Madison Avenue, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 867-9500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JANINA CHLEBOWSKA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


NYCAL  
I..A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190084-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

 3/13/14  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Lori A. Benavides~~ NICHOLAS NOVACK, ESQ.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 605-6200  
Facsimile: (212) 605-6290  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Janina Chlebowska

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Pfizer Inc.

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JANINA CHLEBOWSKA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190084-13


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MINERALS TECHNOLOGIES INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant MINERALS TECHNOLOGIES INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant MINERALS TECHNOLOGIES INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with

prejudice and without costs.

3-14-14



Lori A. Benavides *NICHOLAS J. NORTON, ESQ.*  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 605-6200  
Facsimile: (212) 605-6290  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Janina Chlebowska



Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Barretts Minerals Inc., and Minerals  
Technologies Inc.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SO ORDERED,

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JANINA CHLEBOWSKA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190084-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BARRETTS MINERALS INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BARRETTS MINERALS INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant BARRETTS MINERALS INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3-14-14

~~Lori A. Benavides~~ ~~NICHOLAS DONAK, Esq.~~  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 605-6200  
Facsimile: (212) 605-6290  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Janina Chlebowska

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Barretts Minerals Inc., and Minerals  
Technologies Inc.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
CAROL WENK and JOSEPH WENK,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190301/13

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,  
n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,  
n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/14/2014 **FILED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael P. Roberts  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Carol and Joseph Wenk

APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALLAGHER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 111191/96,  
111066/98, 108854/99 &  
117835/02

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

*Matthew MacIntyre*

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

3/19/14

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190304/13

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003


3/19/14 FILED

APR 25 2014

  
Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (2) 313-3600  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J)

This Document Relates to:

JOYCE COX, as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD  
GOTTLIEB, and JOYCE COX as Executrix for the Estate  
of ANNA GOTTLIEB,

Index No. 114637/2006

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al.

Defendants,

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a  
CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the  
above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's  
Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION  
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION CORPORATION, be  
and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014 **FILED**

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By [Signature] APR 25 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 509-3456  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By [Signature]

John S. Rand, Esq.

99 Court Street  
White Plains, New York 10601  
(914) 946-8900  
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL  
PAPER COMPANY

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ **RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RAYMOND R. ROWLING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102118/07

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE (2) 313-3600  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

3/26/14  
**FILED**

APR 25 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PAUL SCULLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190146/10

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

<sup>3/20/14</sup>  
**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES V. TEMPPIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 111679/08

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

3/26/14  
**FILED**  
APR 25 2014

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DAVID W. PREMO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106580/02 &  
113233/02

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.  
Barry McTieman & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES C. TOMASELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105718/02 &  
112282/02

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

3/26/14  
**FILED**  
  
APR 25 2014  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

DUN88184/legal/nosjm/OCTOBER2013  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RALPH P. NORTH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X



NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190114/13

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A DUNKIRK RADIATOR  
CORP. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice  
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR  
INTERNATIONAL F/K/A DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP. be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

 **FILED** 

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

3/19/14  
APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A  
DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP.  
Barry McTernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

KMA 52654  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WARREN W. TAVENIERE,

Index No.: 107016/08

*Plaintiff,*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

*-against-*


AMERICAN EXPORT LINES, et al.,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

*Defendants.*  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **JOHN CRANE, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **JOHN CRANE, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **JOHN CRANE, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Leri Benevides, Esq.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorney for the Plaintiff 3/19/14  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Tel.: (212) 605 - 6200

NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.



Joseph Digregorio, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE, LLC  
Attorneys for the Defendant  
JOHN CRANE, INC.  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, New York 10006  
Tel.: (212) 313 - 3600  
Our File No.: KMA52654

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kreis-Heitler

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

CLB55716/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
SRDJAN MATIJEVIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 16743/91 &  
190346/11

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 3/19/14



Adam Raffo, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

FILED

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Michael Cromer, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212)-313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DONALD D. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 112387/02 & 107168/02

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Fanel  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/19/14

Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTieman & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Lieb-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EDWARD HECKERS, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

ABB INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 190289/13


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants *DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS INC.* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS INC.* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS INC.*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
3/19/14

  
Terance Calcagno, Esq.  
Attorneys for *DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS*  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
SAMUEL J. HOPPER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 107933/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Flutt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

3/26/14  
 FILED 

APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANK R. CAPECI

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113863/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


3/26/14  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Fluit, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
KEVIN BARRETT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 103674/97,  
113279/97, 111053/98 &  
109675/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 3/26/14

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

PLAINT 10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANCIS ANTICOLI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 114998/98


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/26/14  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

FILED  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PAUL SCULLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190146/10

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

3/26/14  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
**FILED**

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

*[Handwritten signature]*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RAYMOND R. ROWLING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102118/07

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*3/26/14*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Shawnette Fluit, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

FILED  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
BARTLEY MOONEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 103309/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/26/14  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Flutt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
Five Star Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT JAMES MELDER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123467/01 &  
111227/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*3/26/14*  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
MICHAEL T. MASTANDREA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190003/11

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3/26/14

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Shyanette Plutt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CLIFFORD KEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 124001/94,  
123902/94 & 111070/98


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ABRAHAM FREUND,

Index No: 190355/2012

Plaintiff(s)

against

3M Company, individually and as successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Genlyte Thomas Group LLC, as successor by merger to Lightolier, Inc. ("Lightolier"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lightolier with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lightolier be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/8, 2014.

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Vincent A. Errante, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Genlyte Thomas Group LLC, as  
successor by merger to Lightolier, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X Index No.: 190345-13

SHIRLEY BELL, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
THOMAS C. BELL and SHIRLEY BELL, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

ELECTROUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually  
and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et. al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter "ELECTROLUX")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
March 31, 2014

David Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*a. BRANCHES, ESQ*

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Jodges Walsh Messemer  
& Moroknek, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.  
Individually and as successor to Tappan  
and Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

**RECEIVED**

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_ J.S.C.

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190328/13

**CHARLES DE MARTINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

 Ambre Brandis, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	<b>FILED</b> APR 25 2014 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM CAMPBELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121854/99 &  
103574/00

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*3/26/14*  
*[Signature]*  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*[Signature]*  
Shawnette Fluit, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. ~~Sheela~~ Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DAVID W. PREMO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106580/02 &  
113233/02

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


3/24/14  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Shawnette Pluffi, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM H. COLETTI and JENNIE COLETTI**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190306/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*March 4*, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*a. Brandis, Esq.*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ARNOLD C. ACKERLEY JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190325/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*a. Brandis*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190328/13

This Document Relates to:

**LORA ANN DE MARTINO, as Administratrix  
for the Estate of CHARLES DE MARTINO and  
LORA ANN DE MARTINO, Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*A. Brandis, Esq.*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190328/13

This Document Relates to:

**LORA ANN DE MARTINO, as Administratrix  
for the Estate of CHARLES DE MARTINO and  
LORA ANN DE MARTINO, Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*March 14*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*a. Brandis, Esq*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOHN BUTURLA JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190349/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/8, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ ~~Patricia Brooks, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK: PART 30

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOANNE DYER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix of the Estate of Sam Gull,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Index No. 190011/2009

March 2014 Fifo Cluster


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. ("Lennox"), hereby requests summary judgment in this action pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against it, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

Ordered that upon notice to all remaining co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Lennox be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/4/14 2014

  
Patrick Timmins, Esq.

~~NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.~~  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Brian T. Murnane, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 452-5300

Attorneys for Lennox Industries, Inc.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH SULLIVAN and CONSTANCE SULLIVAN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190044/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer Materials & Services, Inc. and sued as Koppers Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer Materials & Services, Inc. and sued as Koppers Industries, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/3, 2013

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
**WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 267-3091

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.

**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARGARET J. BLUNDA, Executrix of the Estate of  
VITO FRANCIS BLUNDA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Index No. 190385/2009

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer East Materials & Services, Inc., and Koppers Co., Inc. (Beazer), hereby requests summary judgment in this action pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Beazer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

Ordered that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Beazer be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/5, 2014



Kevin M. Berry, Esq.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 267-3091

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Brian P. Sexton, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 452-5300

*Attorneys for Beazer East, Inc.*

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARGARET M. COFFEY, Individually and as  
Executrix of the Estate of JOHN COFFEY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Index No. 190385/2009

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer East Materials & Services, Inc., and Koppers Co., Inc. (Beazer), hereby requests summary judgment in this action pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Beazer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

Ordered that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Beazer be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/3, 2014



Kevin M. Berry, Esq.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 267-3091

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Brian P. Sexton, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 452-5300

*Attorneys for Beazer East, Inc.*

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JANE COLLINS, Individually and as Executrix of the  
Estate of PAUL COLLINS,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Index No. 190099/2008

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer East Materials & Services, Inc., and Koppers Co., Inc. (Beazer), hereby requests summary judgment in this action pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Beazer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

Ordered that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Beazer be, and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/3, 2014

*Kevin M. Berry*

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 267-3091

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

*Jennifer W. Darger*

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Brian P. Sexton, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 452-5300

*Attorneys for Beazer East, Inc.*

FILED  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**EDWARD HECKERS, JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190289/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Gould Electronics Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul*

~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. Ruth Buntin, Esq.~~

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.

**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**

Attorneys for Gould Electronics Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**SHIRLEY BELL, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of THOMAS C. BELL, and SHIRLEY BELL,  
Individual**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190345/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*A. Brandis, Esq.*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**SHIRLEY BELL, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of THOMAS C. BELL, and SHIRLEY BELL,  
Individual**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190345/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500.

*A. Brandis, Esq.*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**SHIRLEY BELL, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of THOMAS C. BELL, and SHIRLEY BELL,  
Individual**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190345/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*A. BRANDIS, ESQ*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190306/13

**WILLIAM H. COLETTI and JENNIE COLETTI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 31, 2014


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*A. Brandis, Esq.*



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH W. NEWBURY and  
EILEEN NEWBURY**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190224/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


April 8, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. Path Bronty, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH W. NEWBURY and  
EILEEN NEWBURY**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190224/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York


April 8, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Patricia Burant*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190157/13

This Document Relates to:

**ANTHONY DEMAIO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 8, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. ~~Patricia Bortugno, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190048/13

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM I. BODDEN**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Signature]*

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Patricia Burchett, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**DOUGLAS H. PERL**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190122/2013

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


*March 24* 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Douglas Von Oiste, Esq.  
**KARST & VON OISTE, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
505 Main Street  
Port Jefferson, NY 11777  
(917) 838-4556



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JEAN CLAUDE EDOUARD**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190237/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*April 8*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Rec ✓*  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Patti Buo ntjn Esq*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
~~Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.~~  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JEAN CLAUDE EDOUARD**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190237/13

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Signature]*

~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ ~~Patricia Bontje, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**FRANK D. ESPOSITO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190212/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Paul H. Buscetta, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*Jonathan B. Kromberg*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**FRANK D. ESPOSITO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190212/13

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul*  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Patti Buchta, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies LLC, formerly  
known as Dana Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212)-452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**EDWARD HECKERS, JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190289/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


*April 8*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Peter Buntyn, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190304/13

This Document Relates to:

**LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND JR. and  
ANN CLEVELAND**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul N*

*[Signature]*

~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Patricia B...*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND JR. and  
ANN CLEVELAND**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190304/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul*  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Patricia Buontino, Esq.*

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

*Jonathan B. Kromberg*, Esq.

**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**LOONEY CONWAY CLEVELAND JR. and  
ANN CLEVELAND**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190304/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8, 2014*

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Patricia Buchholz, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**HAROLD VOETSCH**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190045/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Signature]*

~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Ruth Swintz, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
~~Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.~~  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM I. BODDEN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190048/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8*, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Paul* ✓

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Pauli B...*, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER-ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RAMON CARTAGENA and RAMONA  
MORALES CARTAGENA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190465/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

April 8, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Patti Bontyn, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RAMON CARTAGENA and RAMONA  
MORALES CARTAGENA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190465/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York


April 8, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Pythi Bontyn, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RAMON CARTAGENA and RAMONA  
MORALES CARTAGENA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190465/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


April 8, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Ratti Brothman, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Charles Moore

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190155/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112277/02

William J. Peach

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**RECEIVED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105718/02

William J. Peach

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105718/02

William J. Peach

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112277/02

William J. Peach

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, **FILED** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190437/09

Alden L. Peterson, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190437/09

Alden L. Peterson, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190226/11

Vito Russomanno

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106991/02

Arthur C. Clark, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 109703/08

Arthur J. Tillman, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1  
2014  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM I. BODDEN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190048/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*April 8, 2014*

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.*  
~~Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Patricia B. Bortyn, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

*Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.*  
~~Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.~~  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOHN BUTURLA JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190349/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 8, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Patricia Brahtynski*

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.

**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**


Attorneys for Dana Companies LLC

116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190131/10

Kenneth R. Hinsch, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

William Gallagher

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107094/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107094/00

William Gallagher

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190131/10

Kenneth R. Hinsch, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190131/10

Kenneth R. Hinsch, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Maylan Keenan

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190349/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Clifford T. Knudsen

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190180/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Floyd Mackey	Index No: 190264/11  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190264/11

Floyd Mackey

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190340/11

Joseph Maraia

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014


4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190155/11

Charles Moore

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190021/10

Thomas L. Foland

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190021/10

Thomas L. Foland


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

<sup>4/11</sup>, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106963/02

William O. Frary

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106963/02

William O. Frary

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/25, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106963/02

William O. Frary

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106963/02

William O. Frary

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190345/11

Joseph Fusco

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

John Clifford

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121913/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 121913/97

John Clifford

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/1  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 121913/97

John Clifford

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113258/01

Allen Nadbourney

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014  
4/1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Allen Nadbourny	Index No: 113258/01  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>


WHEREFORE, defendant AmChem Products Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AmChem Products Inc, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AmChem Products Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for AmChem Products Inc  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113258/01

Allen Nadbourny

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113258/01

Allen Nadbourny

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Carmine Mongoni

Index No: 190117/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190278/09

Howard Berry

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190185/09

Jennings Bartlett

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190021/10

Thomas L. Foland

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, by and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Thomas Franzone	Index No: 106972/08  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 117579/02

Ronald R. Doedema

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107099/02

Ronald R. Doedema


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/1, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 100081/08

Gabriel Cintorino

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Todd Graham Pelrah

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 106807/02  
**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Mark A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

This Document Relates to:

Todd Graham Pelrah

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 106807/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106807/02

Todd Graham Pelrah

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/1 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 120327/99

Salvador Martinez, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sheri K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 104693/00

Benjamin F. Gholson

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190120/08

Charles W. Frick


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/16, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190120/08

Charles W. Frick

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/1  


, 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126682/02

John Freeman, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126682/02

John Freeman, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRIANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112730/00

Thomas O'Connor

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112730/00

Thomas O'Connor

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190413/12

Paul Miller

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190174/09

Paul Miller

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Paul Miller	Index No: 190174/09  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>



WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126685/02

Thomas R. Mergenhagen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126685/02

Thomas R. Mergenhagen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 120327/99

Salvador Martinez, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 123077/01

Robert Castorina

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 127674/02

Simon J. Uht

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107006/02

Michael D. Tesoriero

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

John Sullivan

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117709/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107400/02


Ralph E. Paul

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Thomas O'Connor

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106708/02

Richard L. Nash

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126686/02

Walter Johannesen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
NEW YORK  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126686/02

Walter Johannesen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/1 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105715/02

John B. Effinger

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105715/02

John B. Effinger

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 100057/99

Bart M. Miceli

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 122374/00

Arnold Scholnick

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014


4/11 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 116095/02

Richard F. Nash


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 116095/02

Richard F. Nash

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

This Document Relates to:

Richard L. Nash

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116095/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1, 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 100081/08

Gabriel Cintonino

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105991/08

Rocco Benevento

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Michael D. Tesoriero	Index No: 107006/02  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Ralph E. Paul

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107400/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 101177/99

Dennis F. Padula

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190116/10

William Falkenmeyer

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 111230/01

Robert Castorina

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

**FILED**

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 123077/01

Robert Castorina

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190115/08

George Brady

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/1 2014

APR 25 2014

*[Handwritten signature]*  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 100057/99

Bart M. Miceli

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and with ~~costs~~ costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106972/08

Thomas Franzone

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190116/10

William Falkenmeyer

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

William Falkenmeyer

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190116/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/1, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107400/02

Ralph E. Paul

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


411 2014 APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 104693/00

Benjamin F. Gholson

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190413/12

Paul Miller

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190120/08

Charles W. Frick

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 108101/02

Robert S. Cope

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190064/10

James Cooper, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Emerson D. Chandler

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105876/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

4/1 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 125797/00

Russell Boiko

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

4/1 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED, .

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119388/00

Russell Boiko

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113375/99

Vincent G. Amitrano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No:105872/02

Gerald J. McCormick

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No:190366/09

Gerald J. McCormick


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No:110270/02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No:126710/02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, ~~be and the same are~~ hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014


4/11 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  William N. Johnson	Index No:105713/02  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190245/09

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105870/02

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105717/02

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/1 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190155/09

Delphine Stachowski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York


4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190404/12

Robert Schrader

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 122787/01

Philip T. Schneider

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014


4/1 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 33**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 109747/00

Gerald R. Root

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112971/00

Michael Rodack

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014  


APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190349/10

Joseph Pisarro

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11  
2014  


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106581/02

George F. Novak

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

4/1, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190257/09

Andrew Mormile

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190113/08

Theodore A. Wolkiewicz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED, :

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190070/09

Allen R. Thorogood

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry C. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 114014/02

Phillip Tambasco


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014  



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190218/09

William H. Stevener

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119388/00

Russell Boiko

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014


4/1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190245/09

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Robert S. Cope

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108101/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105876/02

Emerson D. Chandler

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
April 25, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Michael V. Bruno	Index No: 119038/01  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and ~~without costs~~


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
11, 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 111077/98

Michael V. Bruno

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 115993/95

Michael V. Bruno

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119388/00

Russell Boiko

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113375/99

Vincent G. Amitrano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014  
  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105717/02

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113375/99

Vincent G. Amitrano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/1 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 115696/00

Walter Skalski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
  
Robert Schrader

Index No: 190404/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

+11 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112971/00

Michael Rodack

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105717/02

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014  




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106691/02

Arthur C. Clark, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

FILED  
APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 125797/00

Russell Boiko

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190257/09

Andrew Mormile

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190108/09

John A. Metroka

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11  
, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Gerald J. McCormick	Index No: 190366/09  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/16  
, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 110270/02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 126710/02

Ronald Z. Kosiba

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and with ~~no~~ costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190115/08

George Brady

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
  
Robert Castorina

Index No: 111230/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105870/02

Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 104308/02

Ralph Salzano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Gerald R. Root

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122191/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 109747/00

Gerald R. Root

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York  
4/21, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190349/10

Joseph Pisarro

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 09 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 112971/00

Michael Rodack

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106581/02

George F. Novak

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York  
2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 102916/00

Michael Solomon

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4<sup>th</sup>, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 115696/00

Walter Skalski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 122787/01

Philip T. Schneider

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190173/09

Ralph Salzano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, **FILED** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 108383/00

John Q. Zero

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190113/08

Theodore A. Wolkiewicz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Allen R. Thorogood

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190070/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190155/09

Delphine M. Stachowiak

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Delphine M. Stachowiak

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190155/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190404/12


Robert Schrader

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

This Document Relates to:

**JOHN BRANN,  
PLAINTIFF,  
-AGAINST-  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL,  
DEFENDANTS.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**Index No: 190268/2013**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, J.E. Sawyer & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, J.E. Sawyer & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, J.E. Sawyer & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/28/14  
New York, New York

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Bryan Belluck*  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

*Bruce S. Huttner*  
Bruce S. Huttner, Esq.  
Donohue, Sabo, Varley & Huttner, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, J.E. Sawyer &  
Company, Inc.  
24 Aviation Road, Suite 205  
P.O. Box 15056  
Albany, New York 12212-5056  
(518) 458-8922

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190349/10

Joseph Pisaro


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

<sup>4/11</sup>, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105717/02


Joseph M. Huntz


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190257/09


Andrew Mormile

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/1, 2014  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105870/02


Joseph M. Huntz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190064/10


James Cooper, Jr.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119388/00

Russell Boiko


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

4/11, 2014  


  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113375/99

Vincent G. Amitrano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 115276/00

John Q. Zero

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190449/13


Pietro Nani and Josephine Nani

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

  
4/1/14  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**American Biltrite Inc.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 13139.00415

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190449/13

Pietro Nani and Josephine Nani

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**American Biltrite Inc.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 13139.00415

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190400/13

Earald B. Rudon and Phyllis S. Rudon

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: 4/1/14

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 13139.00394

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190400/13

Earald B. Rudon and Phyllis S. Rudon

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/22/14


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 13139.00394

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Steven J. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190261/13

Lulash Vuksanaj and Emirjeta Vuksanaj

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: 4/9/14  
New York, NY

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 10557.01471

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190040/14

Gene Miller and Sylvia Miller

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/8/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
Our File Number: 14501.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190458/10

Eugene Brown and Edna Brown

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

*MARCH 24, 2014*

**FILED**


  
Michael Roberts, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.01350.

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190048/12

George Carley and Harriet Carley

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/28/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
110 William Street  
New York, NY 10038

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 10557.01159

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190051/10

William F. O'Connell and Diane O'Connell

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a "CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS" and also incorrectly s/h/a "BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS" (hereinafter "**CARRIER CORPORATION**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014


MARCH 26, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael P. Roberts, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, N.Y., 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 10557.00826

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 109119/05


Thomas Kowalski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/1, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 09 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190218/09

William H. Stevener

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

4/11, 2014 APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190207/12

Helene Stewart

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/31/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**

APR 25 2014




Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 14501.00099

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190074/13


Harriet Noble

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 14, 2014  
New York, NY



Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMA & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 14501.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190449/13

Pietro Nani and Josephine Nani

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/1/14  
  
Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Eyans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Burnham LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 14501.01071

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190037/14

William Tanzini

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a “SIEMENS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Murray and Furnas” (hereinafter “SIEMENS CORPORATION”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendants **SIEMENS CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **SIEMENS CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*3/31/14*

*[Signature]*  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq. *J. Ryan*  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Signature]*  
Erik DiMarco, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**SIEMENS CORPORATION.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 00965.00533

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190037/14


William Tanzini

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

  
John Ryan, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036


3/31/14  
**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 14501.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190037/14


William Tanzini

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/14  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Ryan, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 13139.00001

LHD

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 09 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190037/14


William Tanzini

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/15/14  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Ryan, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 13139.00001

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190328/13


Charles De Martino and Lora Ann De Martino

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 21, 2014

  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
American Biltrite Inc.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 13139.00383

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190394/13

Wilfredo Cruz,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 4/7/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
James M. Kramer, Esq.  
LEVY PHILIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 07536.17984

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190304/13

Looney Conway Cleveland Jr., and Ann Cleveland

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/8/14


New York, NY

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 10557.01466

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190048/13

William I. Bodden

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, by its attorneys, hereby  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law  
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER  
CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: 4/8/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 10557.01354

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190082/14

Pavlos Kakos and Maria Kakos

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**, as successor to the former **VELLUMOID DIVISION OF FEDERAL-MOGUL CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**, as successor to the former **VELLUMOID DIVISION OF FEDERAL-MOGUL CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**, as successor to the former **VELLUMOID DIVISION OF FEDERAL-MOGUL CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/2/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10006

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal  
Injury Trust, as successor to  
Vellumoid Division**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 11121.00038

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190082/14


Pavlos Kakos and Maria Kakos

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG CO.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG CO.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG CO.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 4/2/14  
New York, NY

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10006

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON/ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, AS SUCCESSOR TO FELT PRODUCTS MFG CO.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 11120.00149

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190310/13


Charles R. Campbell and Patricia Campbell

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/14  
New York, NY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CLYDE UNION, INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 07723.00116

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190310/13

Charles R. Campbell and Patricia Campbell

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, s/h/a "BURNHAM LLC, Individually, and as successor to BURNHAM CORPORATION," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/14  
New York, NY

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
John Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**BURNHAM LLC**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 14501.00660

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190400/13

Earald B. Rudon and Phyllis S. Rudon


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/14


**FILED**

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CLYDE UNION, INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 07723.00119

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 09 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN BUTURLA, JR.

190349/13  
Index No.: 190349/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/31, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Buturla, Jr.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

963-1734

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ALEXANDER RIVERA  
AND  
NYDIA RIVERA

Index No.: 190303/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DAP, Inc. improperly sued as Dap Product Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DAP, Inc. improperly sued as Dap Product Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAP, Inc. improperly sued as Dap Product Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~  
3/14/14


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Nathaniel Falda, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Alexander Rivera and Nydia Rivera  
SEEGER WEISS, LLP  
One William Street  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 584-0700



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
DAP, Inc. improperly sued as Dap Product Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Steven Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRICE BIENZ, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
WILLIAM P. BIENZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126172/93

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
3/19, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

John E. Richmond, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
BIENZ, WILLIAM  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES R. GODFREY  
AND  
KATHRYN GODFREY

Index No.: 121978/99, 106763/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/18, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Charles R. Godfrey and Kathryn Godfrey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

1122-12621

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES R. GODFREY  
AND  
KATHRYN GODFREY

Index No.: 121978/99, 106763/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
3/19, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


*Frank Ortiz*  


Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Charles R. Godfrey and Kathryn Godfrey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

1235-12093

APR 04 2014

PAYED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES R. GODFREY  
AND  
KATHRYN GODFREY

Index No.: 121978/99, 106763/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/13, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Charles R. Godfrey and Kathryn Godfrey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

2383-26038AT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

HENRY D. HOLKA  
AND  
BARBARA A. HOLKA

Index No.: 107404/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/18, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Henry D. Holka and Barbara A. Holka  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

1122-6109

APR 4 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113863/99

**JOANN POULAKOWSKI, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of FRANK CAPECI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014  
3 6, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Dana Northcraft, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113092/98; 111046/98

**CHARLES F. SYLVIA and LAVINA R.  
SYLVIA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014  
3/17, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120395/01; 109573/02

**DAVID J. RENZ, as Executor for the Estate of  
HERBERT RENZ, and MAUREEN RENZ,  
Individually,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3-6, 2014 APR 25 2014

**FILED**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Dana Northcraft, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom  
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)


Index No.: 190135/2013

**ROBERT FLAHIVE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  New York, New York  
3/26, 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as  
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward  
Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt  
Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Stanley Klein-Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190011-09

**JOANNE DYER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix of the Estate of SAM GULL**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Benjamin Moore & Company ("Benjamin Moore"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Benjamin Moore with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Benjamin Moore be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/24, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patrick J. Timmins, Esq.


Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

*NICHOLAS NANCE, Esq.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Benjamin Moore  
& Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190457/2010

**MARY G. WELLBORN, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN TOTH**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Robertshaw Controls Company, Individually and as alleged successor to Fulton Sylphon Company (incorrectly plead as "Invensys Controls, Inc., individually and as Successor-in-Interest to Robertshaw Controls Company, Individually and as Successor to Fulton Sylphon Valves, and as Robertshaw Controls Company, Individually and as Successor to Fulton Sylphon Company") ("Robertshaw"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Robertshaw, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robertshaw be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robertshaw Controls Company, Individually and as  
alleged successor to Fulton Sylphon Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190019/2013

**CHRISTAKIS K. CHRISTODOULOU and  
AVGI CHRISTODOULOU**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 10, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Lipe Automation Corporation  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190348/10

**MONTE MAGID and HELAINE MAGID**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (misnamed in the complaint as "Rockwell Automation Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC") ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
March 20, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Michael Roberts, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,  
Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley  
Company, LLC  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WENDY ARLENE NELSON, AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
ANDREW PAUL

Index No.: 109974/06, 103379/93

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of Andrew Paul  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED** 1122-10981  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109974/06, 103379/93

WENDY ARLENE NELSON, AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
ANDREW PAUL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
327, 2014


APR 25 2014

  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of Andrew Paul  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Treadwell Corporation  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456-

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-10475

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109974/06, 103379/93

WENDY ARLENE NELSON, AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
ANDREW PAUL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
SUZANNE PATCHETTE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of Andrew Paul  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

2383-29906

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190186/09

**KAREN MAHONES and JAMES MAHONES,  
as Co-Administrators of the Estate of JOHN  
MAHONES LASALLE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/26, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Carmen V. St. George, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
**NICHOLAS NOWACK, Esq.**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,  
Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley  
Company, LLC  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106579/02; 113073/02

**JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JAMES E. MCCABE and JAMIE  
ELAINE FELICIA and STEPHANIE TOBIN as  
Co-Executrices for the Estate of SHIRLEY A.  
MCCABE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 John E. Richmond, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190137/13

CLIFFORD SMITH and ANASTASIA SMITH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Eaton Electrical, Inc., f/k/a Cutler Hammer) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Brendan J. Tully, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.  
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-  
interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-  
Hammer, Inc.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190500/12

**DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/17, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014




Brendan Tully, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation, as  
successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and  
Cutler-Hammer Inc.  
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor  
88 Pine Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 483-9490

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190284/11

**DIANNE CUMMINGS, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of PETER  
CUMMINGS and DIANNE CUMMINGS,  
Individually**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014  
3-6, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Dana Northcraft, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

HENRY D. HOLKA  
AND  
BARBARA A. HOLKA

Index No.: 107404/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
3/10, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Henry D. Holka and Barbara A. Holka  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sheryl Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

1235-5614

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

HENRY D. HOLKA  
AND  
BARBARA A. HOLKA

Index No.: 107404/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/12, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
frank s-hertz  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Henry D. Holka and Barbara A. Holka  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
2383-25550AG

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

KLAUS MAURER  
AND  
INGRID MAURER

Index No.: 103265/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/12, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Klaus Maurer and Ingrid Maurer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 4 2014

PART 30

1122-19526

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

KLAUS MAURER  
AND  
INGRID MAURER

Index No.: 103265/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/13, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Klaus Maurer and Ingrid Maurer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Caitlin Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

KLAUS MAURER  
AND  
INGRID MAURER

Index No.: 103265/03,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/12, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Klaus Maurer and Ingrid Maurer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

2383-26224

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WENDY ARLENE NELSON, AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
ANDREW PAUL

Index No.: 109974/06, 103379/93

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

327, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of Andrew Paul  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**RECEIVED**

324-8834

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

----- x  
ZBIGNIEW THOMAS JALOWSKI and IVONA JALOWSKI, Index No.: 190474/2012

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.  
----- x

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER ON BEHALF OF  
DEFENDANT A.L. EASTMOND  
& SONS INC.**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant A.L. Eastmond & Sons Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint and all amendments to the complaint against A.L. Eastmond & Sons Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.L. Eastmond & Sons Inc. be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27/14, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

By:   
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575


By:   
Benjamin E. Haglund, Esq.  
DAY PITNEY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
A.L. Eastmond & Sons Inc.  
7 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036-7311  
(212) 297-5800

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
HARALAMBOS M. GATZONIS and  
VASILILI GATZONIS,  
Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 190011/14

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps,  
Inc., et al,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)**


----- x  
Defendants.  
-----

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Pennco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Pennco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Pennco, Inc.** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/13/14

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP


  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

DATED: 4/2/14

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER  
VAN LIEU LLC

  
Steven A. Weiner, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Pennco, Inc.  
60 Pompton Avenue  
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
HONORABLE SHERRY E. HEITLER, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NILA M. HAUPTNER, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD W.  
HAUPTNER,

Index No.: 190273-11

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/11/14  
New York, New York

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5880

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS  
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heltler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190273-11

NILA HAUPTNER, Individually and as  
Administratrix of the Estate of RICHARD  
HAUPTNER,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, ET  
AL.**

**Defendant(s).**

WHEREFORE, defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/11/14  
New York, New York

**FILED**

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Nicole J. Diesa, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ  
& TARDY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, NJ 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X		
CAROLYN O. BELLE and WILLIAM BELLE,	:	
	:	INDEX NO. 190282-13
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
----- X		

WHEREFORE, defendant Neles-Jamesbury, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Neles-Jamesbury, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Neles-Jamesbury, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 27, 2014  
*Mark 27*

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

*[Signature]*  
Benjamin Darche  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Carolyn O. Belle and William Belle  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Signature]*  
Thuy T. Bui  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Neles-Jamesbury, Inc.  
1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 248-3140

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. L. Heffler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FRANKLIN POTTER AND BONNIE  
POTTER

Index No.: 121088/97, 105221/98,  
111040/98, 102788/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/31, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

*Matthew McInerney*  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Laura Beth Hollman*

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Franklin Potter and Bonnie Potter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014 722-219

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE SOWA

Index No.: 100804/97,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/17, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
In Re Becker: Eugene Sowa  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

1235-8582

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM T. TAYLOR  
AND  
BARBARA TAYLOR

Index No.: 190053/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/10, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014


  
Frank O'Hi

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
William T. Taylor and Barbara Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

963-932

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE C. YOUNG

Index No.: 102458/01,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/13, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Frank O'Hara  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Willie C. Young  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

1122-22612

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102458/01,

WILLIE C. YOUNG

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/18, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Willie C. Young  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

1235-22132

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE C. YOUNG

Index No.: 102458/01,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/18, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Frank-lic  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Willie C. Young  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

2383-22519

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 100081-08

---

GABRIEL CINTORINO,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, ~~be and~~ the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 106972-08

---

THOMAS FRANZONE,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite-1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 107400-02  
114051-02

---

RALPH E. PAUL,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite-1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 107099-02  
117579-02

---

RONALD R. DOEDEMA,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Karina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 118400-98

ROMEO A. PETTINELLI,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

HARALAMBOS M. GATZONIS and VASILIKI  
GATZONIS,

Index No.: 190011/2014

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated:

New York, New York

APR 25 2014

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 4/2/14  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS  
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**PART 30**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190084-13

Janina Chlebowska,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-


3M COMPANY,  
f/k/a Minnetonka Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, AMETEK, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, AMETEK, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AMETEK, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/19/14  
New York, New York



Lori Benavides, Esq. Nicholas Novack  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Matt Broderson, Esq.  
Forman Perry Watkins Krutz & Tardy, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant, Ametek, Inc.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

Index No.: 111050-98  
112912-98  
113071-98

DONALD D. CIMATO,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190029-14

MAURICE I CUMMINS and MARY  
CUMMINS,

Plaintiffs,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

---

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

3/24, 2014

APR 25 2014


  
John Richmond, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:  
LEO ZIMMERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

CUMMINS INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190383/12

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant CUMMINS INC., (incorrectly named as CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.) (hereinafter "CUMMINS"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CUMMINS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CUMMINS be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MARCH 26, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for CUMMINS INC.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212)-651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JACK P. SCAINETTI and MARGARET SCAINETTI,

Index No.: 190278/13

-against-  
Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

*[Signature]*  
4/26/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Signature]*  
David Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*[Signature]*  
Katrina Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,  
*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190115-08

---

GEORGE BRADY,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

---

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**


*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE**

**SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

*Attorneys for Defendant*


H.B. FULLER COMPANY

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190120-08

CHARLES W. FRICK,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014


  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

Index No.: 122079-97  
105234-98  
110035-98  
125279-99

PAUL MILLER,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 120327-99

---

SALVADOR MARTINEZ, JR.,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/27, 2014  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 112730-00  
122202-99

---

THOMAS O'CONNOR,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, he and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 104693-00  
125779-99

---

BENJAMIN F. GHOLSON,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

Index No.: 126682-02  
103891-03

JOHN FREEMAN, SR.,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, ~~as~~ and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 103310-03  
126685-02

---

THOMAS R. MERGENHAGEN,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, ~~be and the same~~ are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190195-09

---

DONALD E. MCCORMICK, SR.,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, ~~be and~~ the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190013-11

---

HAROLD CARLSON,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

---


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
2/27, 2014

APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

Index No.: 121978-99  
106758-00

JAMES W. GLEASON,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and ~~the same~~ are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

Index No.: 104559-97  
113281-97  
111027-98  
109703-08

ARTHUR J. TILLMAN, SR.,

Plaintiff,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/27 2014  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

In Re: NYCAL

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

J. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Index No: 190292/2011

EDWARD GALETTI  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendant ALSTOM GRID, INC., sued herein as "Alstom USA, Inc.,  
Individually, and a successor to and/or doing business as The American Schack Co., Inc.,  
Griscom-Russell and Alstom Energy Services, Inc.," hereinafter ALSTOM, hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ALSTOM, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all-co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims  
against Defendant ALSTOM, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without  
costs.

**FILED**

Dated: White Plains, New York

APR 25 2014

2/24/14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**Heidel Pittoni, Murphy & Bach, LLP**  
Attorneys for Alstom Corporation

**Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]  
Bruce F. Gilpatrick, Esq.  
81 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601  
(914) 559-3100

By: [Signature]  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
110 William St., 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED

[Signature]

1169080.1

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT WALSH and JOAN WALSH,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

:  
: Index No. 190501-13  
:


: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER AS TO**  
: **CANADIAN COMMERCIAL**  
: **CORPORATION**  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant Canadian Commercial Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Canadian Commercial Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Canadian Commercial Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 27, 2014

LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP

By:   
Holly C. Peterson, Esq.  
800 Third Ave.  
New York, NY 10033  
(212) 605-6200  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

O'HARE PARNAGIAN LLP

By:   
Richard A. Menchini, Esq.  
82 Wall St., Suite 300  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 425-1401  
Attorneys for defendant  
Canadian Commercial Corporation

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190151/11

**JOHN ALIPRANTIS and BARBARA  
ALIPRANTIS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer Materials & Services, Inc. and sued as Koppers Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Beazer East, Inc., formerly Beazer Materials & Services, Inc. and sued as Koppers Industries, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January, 2014  
MARCH 24

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



Michael Roberts, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


Eric T. Murnane, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Beazer East, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212)452-5300

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM TANZINI,

Plaintiff,

vs

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.


Defendants.


Index No.: 190037/2014  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant I.D. BOOTH, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212 dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against named defendant I. D. BOOTH, INC., with prejudice and without costs. The basis for dismissal is:

- No Product ID       Lack of Personal Jurisdiction  Workers Compensation Bar  
 Wrong Entity Sued  No Successor Liability  Lack of Service  As A Matter of Law  Other

Dated: Buffalo, New York  
March 18, 2014

  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Mark S. Nemeth, Esq.  
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
I. D. BOOTH, INC.  
110 Pearl Street, Suite 400  
Buffalo, New York 14202  
(716) 852-5875

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNG

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 104028/00

RICHARD BABRAVICH and DONNA BABRAVICH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

March 19, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.5801 **5801**



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
RICHARD BABRAVICH and DONNA  
BABRAVICH  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

SHARON A. BURNS, Individually and as a Personal  
Representative for the Estate of JOSEPH P. BURNS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 105624/03  
126937/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

March 19, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.8522

~~Lisa Busch, Esq.~~ David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sharon A. Burns, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of Joseph P. Burns  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

DOROTHY MCKINNEY, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of JAMES MCKINNEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 106649/02  
119645/01  
108752/99  
111035/98  
113280/97  
111192/96

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 19, 2014

APR 25 2014




Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.8138

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Dorothy McKinney, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of James McKinney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**INSYNC**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

ANNA VENTURINI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF JOHN VENTURINI AND ANNA  
VENTURINI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

Defendants.

Index No. 190287/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

3-26-14

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.20177

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Anna Venturini, as Executrix for the Estate of  
John Venturini and Anna Venturini, Individually,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
-COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**INSYNC**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 101090/02

RONALD OELSCHLAGER and SARA  
OELSCHLAGER,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without


costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3-26-14

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Stephen Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.8601

  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Ronald Oelschlager and Sara Oelschlager  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190346/11

STEVE STUPIN as Executor for the Estate of SRDJAN  
MATIJEVIC,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York APR 25 2014

March 17, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Jesse D. Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.28015

Adam Raffo, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
STEVE STUPIN, as Executor for the Estate of  
SRDJAN MATIJEVIC  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNCL

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 117523/99

CHARLES B. MORIARITY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

March 19, 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Jesse D. Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.29385



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
CHARLES B. MORIARITY  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**INSYNC**

This Document relates to:

LISA VANSCHAICK, as Executrix for the Estate of  
ANDREW T. CATON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 114078/02  
107400/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York

3/28/14 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Heather Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.29380



~~Lisa Busch, Esq.~~ Michael Fowell,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lisa Vanschaick as Executrix for the Estate of  
Andrew T. Caton  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190071/13

ALLAN MIZRAHI and RONNIE MIZRAHI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O.SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

5/14/14

*STW*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Suzanne Ratcliffe*

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.29004

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Allan Mizrahi and Ronnie Mizrahi  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHARLOTTE F. OCZEK, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of ALOISIUS J. OCZEK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING  
& SUPPLY), ET AL.,

Defendants.

New York Asbestos Litigation  
(NYCAL)

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 101162-1999


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Beazer East, Inc. s/h/a Beazer East, Inc., f/k/a Koppers Company, Inc.  
("BEAZER EAST, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against  
BEAZER EAST, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant BEAZER EAST, INC. and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without  
costs.

Dated: 3/15 APR 25 2014, 2014  
New York, New York  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Thomas S. D'Antonio, Esq.  
WARD GREENBERG HELLER & REIDY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Beazer East, Inc.  
300 State Street  
Rochester, NY 14614  
(585) 454-0700

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

This Document Relates to:

BERNARD J. JOHNSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al.

Defendants,

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J)

Index No. 109228/2006

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY incorrectly s/h/a CHAMPION CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/26, 2014

APR 25 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
By [Signature]  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 509-3456  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK By [Signature] 3-26-14  
John S. Rand, Esq.  
99 Court Street  
White Plains, New York 10601  
(914) 946-8900  
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT CHRISTIANSEN, Individually and as  
court-appointed executor for the Estate of  
DOROTHY CHRISTIANSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, ET AL.

Defendants.

New York Asbestos Litigation  
(NYCAL)

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190517-2011  
R.J.I. Filed: 6/1/2012

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Beazer East, Inc. s/h/a Beazer East, Inc., f/k/a Koppers Company, Inc.  
("BEAZER EAST, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against  
BEAZER EAST, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant BEAZER EAST, INC., and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without  
costs.


**FILED**

Dated: 3/20, 2014  
New York, New York

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas S. D'Antonio, Esq.  
WARD GREENBERG HELLER & REIDY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Beazer East, Inc.  
300 State Street  
Rochester, NY 14614  
(585) 454-0700

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOHN W. ADLER and ELAINE ADLER,

: NYCAL

Plaintiffs, : Index No.: 190392/2012

vs. :

3M COMPANY, et al.

: LEVITON  
: MANUFACTURING  
: CO., INC.'S NO  
: OPPOSITION  
: SUMMARY  
Defendants. : JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER


-----X  
WHEREFORE, Defendant, LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant LEVITON only, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


IT IS ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant LEVITON are hereby dismissed with prejudice, without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 27, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
Amber Long, Esq.  
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Ave.  
New York, NY 10022  
Tel.: (212) 605-6200

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
Joseph Lipari, Esq.  
THE SULTZER LAW GROUP, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.  
77 Water Street, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Tel.: (646)-722-4266

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 01-111219

VINCENT BOYLE and ELLEN BOYLE,

against


ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 3/11/14 New Brunswick, NJ



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10005



MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Vincent Boyle and Ellen Boyle  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

**SO ORDERED:**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

HOAGLAND, LONGO,  
MORAN, DUNST  
& DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street  
Suite 1100  
New York, NY



CH-MARK-27

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
  
VINCENT BOYLE and ELLEN BOYLE,  
  
against  
  
ACandS, INC., et al.,

INDEX NO.: 01-116426

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 3/11/14 New Brunswick, NJ

  
MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN,  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Vincent Boyle and Ellen Boyle  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

HOAGLAND, LONGO,  
MORAN, DUNST  
& DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street  
Suite 1100  
New York, NY



CH-MARK-28

Duplicate ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

LAURENCE CUNNINGHAM and JOHANNA  
PRINCE-CUNNINGHAM,

Index No.: 190129/2013

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Wayne/Scott Fetzer Company d/b/a Wayne Combustion Systems, s/h/a Wayne Combustion Systems (hereinafter, "Wayne"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' second amended verified complaint against defendant Wayne with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Wayne be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Hawthorne, New York  
October 31, 2013

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*


Jonathan R. Harwood  
**TRAUB LIEBERMAN STRAUS &  
SHREWSBERRY LLP**  
7 Skyline Drive  
Hawthorne, New York 10532  
(914) 347-2600  
*Attorneys for Defendant Wayne Combustion*

**RECEIVED**

APR 03 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4.3.14

*Duplicate Original*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
SRDJAN MATIJEVIC,

Index No.: 190346/11

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 20, 2014 APR 25 2014



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Srdjan Matijevic  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-6565

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
DAVID M. BOTTOM

Index No.: 101286/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York <sup>1/6/14</sup> APR 25 2014  
2013

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
David M. Bottom  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No. 10924-5436

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
CLAIR JOCKERS, Individually and as  
Administratrix of the Estate of  
DONALD JOCKERS

Index No.: 115739/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~

2014 APR 25 2014





COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Donald Jockers  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 6754-9905

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
THOMAS HOUSTON and ELLEN HOUSTON,

Index No.: 190119/12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/24, 2014

**FILED**

  
Darron E. Berquist, Esq.  
The Lanier Law Firm PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Thomas Houston  
126 East 56<sup>th</sup> Street, 6<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 421-2800

APR 25 2014

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-12338

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
CLAIR JOCKERS, Individually and as  
Administratrix of the Estate of  
DONALD JOCKERS

Index No.: 115739/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
3/20 2014



Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Donald Jockers  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-98854 2014

RECEIVED

APR 25 4 2014

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JON N. GILDE, Individually and as  
Administrator of the Estate of  
MAURICE GILDE,

Index No.: 105707/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

Dated: ~~New York~~ New York  
2/20/2014



Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Maurice Gilde  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-9789

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
BENNIE LOBAITO,

Index No.: 104870/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: ~~New York~~ New York  
3/23, 2014

APR 25 2014



Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bennie Lobaito  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-9670

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PAUL NIEDERT and EDITH NIEDERT,

Index No. I:12-cv-08515-KBF

Plaintiffs,

STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE WITH  
PREJUDICE AS TO MARIO  
& DIBONO PLASTERING  
CO., INC.

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for the parties in this action, that whereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, this action is discontinued with prejudice as to the plaintiff's claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC, without costs to either party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
March 7, 2014



Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, LLC  
Attorneys For Plaintiff  
Paul & Edith Niedert  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.,  
INC  
44 Wall Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 701-701-4128  
Our File No.: 10924-6722

**RECEIVED**



APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Ronald A. Gustas, as Executor for the  
Estate of Ronald Gustas,

Index No.: 190026/10

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/20, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Ronald A. Gustas, as Executor  
for the Estate of Ronald Gustas  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Justin M. Tafe, NEW YORK  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 10924-6199 0 4 2014

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 25 2014  
F 1030

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
BENNIE LOBAITO,

Index No.: 104870/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
4/11/2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bennie Lobaito  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: ~~6752-9670~~

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JON N. GILDE, Individually and as  
Administrator of the Estate of  
MAURICE GILDE,

Index No.: 105707/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: ~~New York~~, New York  
4/1, 2014

APR 25 2014



  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Maurice Gilde  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No. 6754-9789

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
LLOYD CALDWELL,

Index No.: 110399/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

APR 25 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas Novack, Esq.

Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Lloyd Caldwell  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-9788

**RECEIVED**

SO ORDERED:

APR 04 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT JOSEPH BRESCHARD (dec.),

Index No.: 06/100564

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

APR 25 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GARY D. KING, LINDA BALLARD and TIMOTHY  
KING, as Co-Administrators for the Estate  
of MATTHEW KING, and DOTTIE KING, Individually,

Index No.: 05/107012

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED** 

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI,

Index No.: 05/115520

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Cullen and Dykman LLP NEW YORK  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robin Woisin, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of Robert James Melder,

Index No.: 123467/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/16 FILED 2014

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Robin Woisin, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate  
of Robert James Melder  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

APR 25 2014

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No. 10924-1

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
John Knox,

Index No.: 115429/05

Plaintiffs,

- Against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants,  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/14, 2014

*Suzanne Ruckliffe*  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
John Knox  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Raghu Bandlamudi*

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 701-4164

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Our File No.: 6754-9537

So Ordered:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

: NYCAL  
: I. A. S. Part 30  
: (Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

**Ralph P. North**

: Index No.: 1901:14/13  
:  
:  
: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
: JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER


-----X  
**WHEREAS**, Defendant Goulds Pumps requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Goulds Pumps, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goulds Pumps, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


March 28, 2014



Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*



Joseph Angiolillo, Esq.  
*Cullen and Dykman LLP*  
44 Wall Street,  
New York, NY 10005  
*Counsel for Goulds Pumps*

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SANDRA J. WILCOX, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of WILLIAM M. WILCOX, SR., and  
SANDRA J. WILCOX, Individually,

Index No.: 06/100307

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry A. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

PETER J. FAMIGHETTI, as Administrator for the  
Estate of MICHAEL FAMIGHETTI, and PETER J.  
FAMIGHETTI, as the Executor for the Estate  
of FRANCES FAMIGHETTI, Individually,

Index No.: 06/102945

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED** *[Handwritten signature]*  
APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Lykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK L. KOCKLER, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of GENEVIEVE KOCKLER and  
FRANK L. KOCKLER, Individually,

Index No.: 05/105592

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FRANK E. KOONE, SR. (dec.),

Index No.: 06/104954

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
LLOYD CALDWELL,

Index No.: 110399/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~  
3/29, 2014



Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Lloyd Caldwell  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6276




Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

**FILED**

File No.: 6754-9788

SO ORDERED:



APR 25 2014

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Marino Calmi,

Index No.: 190308/12

Plaintiffs,  
- against -  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/24, 2014

APR 25 2014

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Marino Calmi  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Our File No.: 6754-12527

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANNA M. ANGEVINE, as Executrix for the  
Estate of GEORGE W. ANGEVINE, and ANNA M.  
ANGEVINE, Individually,

Index No.: 05/116178

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. ~~Sherry~~ Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

ROBERT J. LORPER,

Index No.: 05/107940

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

DONALD M. MUELLER,

Index No.: 05/116057

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CAROLINE A. NEWCOMB, as Executrix for the  
Estate of NELSON E. NEWCOMB, and CAROLINE  
A. NEWCOMB, Individually,

Index No.: 06/102562

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

JAMES REILLY and BEVERLY F. REILLY,

Index No.: 06/105453

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shercy K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANE A. SIVERS, as Executrix for the  
Estate of LOUIS H. SIVERS, and JANE A.  
SIVERS, Individually,

Index No.: 05/115801

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DEANICE DONEY, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN STASKO,

Index No.: 05/110637

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

DAVID M. TOBIN,

Index No.: 05/102349

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MELVYN MECKEL (dec.),

Index No.: 09/190411

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICK J. MCCLOSKEY, as Administrator for  
the Estate of JOHN MCCLOSKEY,

Index No.: 05/115842

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MORTON MECKLER,

Index No.: 06/102561

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

LA DONNA BAIN, as Executrix of the Estate  
of ANDREW T. BAIN,

Index No.: 09/190060

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

BEULAH M. BARTLETT, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of JENNINGS K. BARTLETT,

Index No.: 09/190185

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank A. Ortis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH DOLINOY, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of HOWARD BERRY,

Index No.: 09/190278

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WELLS & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Cheryl K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN E. BURNS and MARGARET BURNS,

Index No.: 10/190014

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED** [Handwritten signature]


John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

APR 25 2014

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Shery K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN CHEREPANYA (dec.),

Index No.: 10/190066

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED** *[Handwritten Signature]*  
APR 25 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, *[Handwritten Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLE CLARKE, Individually and SUSAN  
CLARKE as Executrix for the Estate of  
GERARD M. CLARKE,

Index No.: 08/107334

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**  
*[Handwritten signature]*

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

APR 25 2014

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*[Handwritten signature]*

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

EMMA CORNISH, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of GEORGE J. CORNISH JR.,  
and EMMA CORNISH, Individually,

Index No.: 09/190268

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

WALTER KNUDSEN and ADELINE KNUDSEN,

Index No.: 01/119701  
01/111220

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
RODEZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THOMAS J. KOWALSKI (dec.),

Index No.: 09/190337

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
FRANK M. ORTIZ & LUXENBERG, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MARION KRAMARITSCH, as Executrix of the  
Estate of FRANK L. KRAMARITSCH, and MARION  
KRAMARITSCH, Individually,

Index No.: 09/190128

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

**FILED**  
*[Handwritten signature]*

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

EDITH KUTKO, as Executrix for the Estate  
of ANDREW KUTKO JR., and EDITH KUTKO,  
Individually,

Index No.: 10/190020

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD S. LOWY,

Index No.: 01/119491  
01/111220

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**  
APR 25 2014  
*[Handwritten signature]*

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BRUNA MAZZELLA, Individually and NANCY A.  
MAZZELLA as Administratrix for the Estate  
of ANTHONY R. MAZZELLA,

Index No.: 08/190040

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/13, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

ELMER F. MULLER,

Index No.: 05/115712

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Bank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry D. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MILDRED MURRAY, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of  
EDWIN MURRAY,

Index No.: 05/102879

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WHITE & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SUSAN M. PETELEY, as the Executrix for the  
Estate of JOSEPH L. PETELEY, and MARY E.  
PETELEY, Individually, and SUSAN M. PETELEY,  
as the Executrix for the Estate of  
MARY E. PETELEY,

Index No.: 05/117266

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,  
Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/21, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Nykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

VINCENT D. PISTONE,

Index No.: 06/104645

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sheri A. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL KLEINERMAN, as the Personal  
Representative for the Estate of EDWARD  
RICH, and ERIC KAPLAN, as Executor for the  
Estate of CHARLOTTE J. RICH,

Index No.: 05/106352

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

ANTHONY J. SIMINI and ANNETTE SIMINI,

Index No.: 05/117706

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
ICE TZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SAMUEL WILLIAMS,

Index No.: 06/103409

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/25 2014 **FILED**

APR 25 2014

  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FRANKLYN FARRIS, as Administrator for the  
Estate of JOHN C. BOWEN,

Index No.: 06/116854

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FRANK A. SGRO (dec.),

Index No.: 00/115239  
00/108386

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10007  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Francisco M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHERYL SMITH, as Executrix of the Estate  
of KENNETH ALTON SMITH JR., and CHERYL  
SMITH, Individually,

Index No.: 08/109295

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/10, 20

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as  
Co-Administrators for the Estate of JOSEPH  
J. SZCZUR, and SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H.  
SZCZUR, as Co-Executors for the Estate of  
ROSEMARIE SZCZUR,

Index No.: 07/116745  
00/114412  
99/122197

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM S. TYNAN (dec.),

Index No.: 01/102033

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.A.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

RENITA JONES, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of MARSHALL TYSON,

Index No.: 10/190028

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Lykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank W. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CARL VULTAGGIO,

Index No.: 07/117231

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOREEN WALLACK, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of PETER D. WALLACK, and  
DOREEN WALLACK, Individually,

Index No.: 09/190064

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dymally LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEFTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SUSAN A. METZ, as Executrix for the Estate  
of THOMAS J. METZ, and SUSAN A. METZ,  
Individually ,

Index No.: 06/102288

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry A. Heitler

**RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CARMINE MONGONI,

Index No.: 09/190117

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/13, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dylman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Orloff, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CHARLES B. MORIARTY (dec.),

Index No.: 99/117523

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dylman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED  
APR 04 2014  
PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SAMUEL MORREALE III (dec.),

Index No.: 07/117229

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**


APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank W. Ortis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

VIRGINIA MORRIS, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of LESTER MORRIS,

Index No.: 10/190154

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET D. PAUL, as Executrix for the Estate  
of WAYNE PAUL, and JANET D. PAUL,  
Individually,

Index No.: 07/114934

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dylman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GENE F. PLANK (dec.),

Index No.: 07/116167

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
4/10, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

LISA M. PIESIK, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of MICHAEL RABIDEAU,

Index No.: 08/102948

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/10, 2014


**FILED**

APR 25 2014



John J. Fanning, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Cullen and Dykman LLP NEW YORK  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CAROLYN M. JENSEN, as Executrix for the  
Estate of WALTER A. JENSEN, and CAROLYN M.  
JENSEN, Individually,

Index No.: 07/101333

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Cullen and Dykman, LLP NEW YORK  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GARY LEE KLINDT, as Executor for the  
Estate of HERBERT KLINDT,

Index No.: 06/105764

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

DORIS MOON, as Proposed Executrix for the  
Estate of ERNEST MOON, SR., and DORIS MOON,

Index No.: 06/105887

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD L. PERRY,

Index No.: 07/101274

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SUSAN ROWE, as Executrix for the Estate of  
RONALD P. ROWE, and SUSAN ROWE,  
Individually,

Index No.: 06/109028

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Nykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

LAURA BIVENS, as Executrix for the Estate  
of FLOYD BIVENS, and LAURA BIVENS,  
Individually,  
  
Plaintiff(s),  
  
-against-

Index No.: 02/101745  
01/102565  
98/111052  
96/100517

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,  
  
Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD J. JOHNSON,

Index No.: 06/109228

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/28, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Cullen and Dykman LLP NEW YORK  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEFFREY MONTANTE, as Executor for the  
Estate of MARY L. MONTANTE and LOUIS  
MONTANTE, Individually,

Index No.: 07/101344

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

KATHLEEN A. DENARDO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of DONALD B. PRENTICE, Individually,

Index No.: 07/101281

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GERARD SCHIAVO,

Index No.: 06/112522

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/25, 2014 **FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GLADYS RICHMOND, as Executrix for the  
Estate of MURRAY L. WHITE, and GLADYS  
RICHMOND, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EMMA C. WHITE,

Index No.: 07/100309

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

ANTHONY M. BOVA, as Executor for the Estate  
of DOROTHY T. BOVA, and ANTHONY M. BOVA,  
Individually,

Index No.: 06/116852

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SALVATORE DEPIOLA,

Index No.: 06/113842

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry A. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

IRVING FEUERMAN,

Index No.: 06/108170

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dymally LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
FRITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CHARLES R. GRAVES,

Index No.: 06/113490

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/11, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014


John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

RECEIVED

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GERARD A. MAHER (dec.),

Index No.: 02/114974  
98/111033  
95/114571  
95/109429

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOROTHY MCKINNEY, Individually and as  
Personal Representative of the Estate of  
JAMES MCKINNEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 02/106649  
01/119645  
99/108752  
98/111035  
97/113280  
96/111192

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dylman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN O'BRIEN, as Executor for the Estate of  
JAMES O'BRIEN, and KATHLEEN O'BRIEN,  
Individually,  
  
Plaintiff(s),  
  
-against-

Index No.: 07/100316

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHARLES PETERSON,

Index No.: 03/100782  
01/109411  
98/111039  
95/115998  
94/134984

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS PETRONZIO (dec.),

Index No.: 01/120024  
98/111034  
96/115065  
94/135795

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212)732-2000

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
FEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

LOUIS ZINMAN (dec.),

Index No.: 01/120305  
98/111043  
96/115065  
94/135795

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dylman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHARLES FREEBERG (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/101858  
98/111150  
96/117487  
96/115066  
95/126822

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014



John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
ORTEZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NANCY McDYER, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EARL M. GARRETT, and  
NANCY McDYER, Individually,

Index No.: 02/121704  
02/118679  
99/110053  
98/111066  
97/113279  
96/111191

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND LAURENT (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICK LECCESE, as Executor for the  
Estate of THOMAS LECCESE and  
FLORENCE LECCESE,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 02/117435  
98/111033  
96/115067  
95/115188

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

BARBARA DEL GALLO as the Personal  
Representative for the Estate of REZZIERO  
DEL GALLO, and BARBARA DEL GALLO,

Index No.: 01/119626  
99/108752  
98/111059

Individually,  
Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

97/113279  
96/111192

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dylman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANNETTE E. DI FANT, as Executrix for the  
Estate of ARTHUR A. DI FANT,

Index No.: 02/121208  
98/111059  
95/114570  
95/113704

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THOMAS A. EVANS, SR. (dec.),  
  
Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

Index No.: 01/119354  
98/117487  
98/111045  
96/115066  
95/102530

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,  
  
Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM R. FORD, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of DONALD FORD,

Index No.: 02/101904  
01/102454  
98/111057  
95/128244

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/28, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAMELA ANNE DICKERT and FREDERICK A.  
CIAMPI, JR., as Co-Executors for the Estate  
of FREDERICK A. CIAMPI, SR., and MARY CIAMPI,  
Individually,

Index No.: 02/109357  
01/119055  
98/111062  
95/108934  
95/106330

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Cullen and Dykman LLP NEW YORK  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry A. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EARL L. CLARK (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 02/101852  
98/111150  
96/117487  
95/122401

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/5, 2014

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanling,  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CLAUDE CROTTY (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 02/101854  
98/111032  
96/117487  
96/115066  
95/128844

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dymally LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shercy E. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
APR 04 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALICE C. BOTTOM, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of DAVID M. BOTTOM, and ALICE C.  
BOTTOM, Individually,

Index No.: 07/101286

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM BROWN (dec.),

Index No.: 01/101954  
98/111150  
96/101840

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27, 2014

**FILED**

John J. Fanning, Esq. APR 25 2014  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

APR 04 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN SMART (dec.),

Index No.: 02/101763  
98/111034  
95/128707  
95/122401

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/25, 2014

**FILED**

APR 25 2014

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

APR 04 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 190161/2013

WAYNE R. BRYANT AND SHANNON  
BRYANT

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Exxon Mobil Oil Corporation ("Exxon Mobil"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Exxon Mobil without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Exxon Mobil be and the same are hereby dismissed **FILED** without prejudice and without costs.

APR 25 2014


Dated: New York, New York  
Nov. 5, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Exxon Mobil Oil Corporation  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Shirley Klein-Heitler

2160342\_1

*Duplicate Copy*  
4-3-14

RECEIVED  
APR 03 2014  
PART 30