SCANNED ON 5/17/2011

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Master Index No.: 40000/88

This Document Relates To:

KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF and
JOANNE DOLORES ALTUCHOFF

Index No. 190058/2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dean Pump Division hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dean Pump Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dean Pump Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Buffalo, New York
April 12, 2010

John Ryan, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

James W. Whitcomb, Esq.
PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Dean Pump Division
3400 HSBC Center
Buffalo, New York 14203

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

4.28.11

Duplicate Original

UA/Doc # 01-2363242.1

[redacted]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **Index No. 100818/95**

----------x

MARTIN TOBIN,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ABB LUMMUS CREST, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants BRAKE SERVICE, INC. and HUNTINGTON BRAKE SERVICE, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BRAKE SERVICE, INC. and HUNTINGTON BRAKE SERVICE, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants BRAKE SERVICE, INC. and HUNTINGTON BRAKE SERVICE, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

By: _____

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 267-3091

By: _____
Ann-Gail B. Hult
FABIANI COHEN & HALL, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
BRAKE SERVICE, INC. and
HUNTINGTON BRAKE SERVICE, INC.
570 Lexington Avenue, Fourth Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 644-4420

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

467429-1

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT SUNDMAN and PAMELA SUNDMAN

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 101082/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7, 2010

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

0000042076M038

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MORRIS CLEMONS,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: ~~102423-02~~ 103612-97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD ANGRISANO, as the Estate of SAM ANGRISANO and ANGELINE ANGRISANO, Individually, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103682/1997
111055/1998
116682/2006
113279/1997

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. – Michael Farrell
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THOMAS O'MALLEY and JANE O'MALLEY,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 118351/03
104232/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAY C. TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 112046/06
106654/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOSEPH OWENS,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 115563/05
121762/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ROBERT PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 103005/04
122111/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 123219/97 102347/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joseph Williams

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD ANGRISANO, as the Estate of SAM ANGRISANO and ANGELINE ANGRISANO, Individually, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103682/1997
111055/1998
116682/2006
113279/1997

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. - Michael Farrell
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED.

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN PETRONE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 104095/98

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/13, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Petrone
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

APR 15 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD ANGRISANO, as the Estate of SAM ANGRISANO and ANGELINE ANGRISANO, Individually, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103682/1997
111055/1998
116682/2006
113279/1997

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. – Michael Farrell
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JAMES MURPHY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 113121/98

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James Murphy
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED

SO ORDERED:

MAY - 9 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ED CODAIR,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 118174/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
TERRANCE J. FOLEY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 118509/98

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/12, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Terrance J. Foley
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11[illegible]

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
CHESTER HORNER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119874/98

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/13, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Chester Horner
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ANTHONY LAMANO,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 108083/06
121906/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LINDA HUSSAIN, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. LANG and NANCY LANG, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108713/2001
~~112048/2006~~
121919/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. – Michael Fanelli
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOSEPH A. MASSARO JR.

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 100050/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph A. Massaro Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-

FILED

SO ORDERED:

MAY - 9 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOSEPH A MASSARO and MARY JEAN MASSARO VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 100050/99** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARY ANN NICOMETO, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of CHARLES JAMES NICOMETO, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. AND S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 101005/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Charles Fargus
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

EMILIO PAOLINI,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 124397/02
101192/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JOANNE M. PARCELL and ROBERT G. WHELEN JR., as Co-Executors for the Estate of ROBERT GERARD WHELEN, and JOAN MARY WHELEN, Individually, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 103281/99**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: LUIS A. VEGA AND DELIA SANTIAGO VEGA, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 103810/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: CARLTON WAITE, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 103942/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM P. SELMENSBERGER, and JEAN SELMENSBERGER,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105500/1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 105670/99

This Document Relates to:
Myra W. Strauss, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John F. Strauss,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/7, 2011

Danny Kraft Jr., Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Myra W. Strauss, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John F. Strauss
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2462

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
PAUL AMBERY and THERESA L. AMBERY, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 106315/99**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ALBERT VENTRY,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 110031/05
108599/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ALFONSO VINCENT BUONO AND MARGARET MARY BUONO, Plaintiff(s),	**INDEX NO.: 113506/99**
vs.	
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., Defendants.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **DELANO B. CASSAR AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF STANLEY J. CASSAR AND DELANO B. CASSAR, INDIVIDUALLY,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al.,** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 113879/99** **124442/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

236309
2/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **ANTHONY J. DOBIES AND CECILIA DOBIES,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 114491/99** **100300/01** **113953/03** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: LAWRENCE ASCH AS CO-EXECUTOR, AND LESLIE A. LIPKIND AS CO-EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF BERNARD ASCH VS. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., DEFENDANTS.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 118495/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN N. SCHAEFER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119068/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/7, 2011

Danny Kraft Jr., Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John N. Schaefer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

APR 15 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOHN J. MAKOFSKE AND KATHRYN V. MAKOFSKE, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 120119/99** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LILLIAN NASCA, as Executrix for the Estate of ANTHONY NASCA, and LILLIAN NASCA, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 109050/00 120308/99 122188/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK S. COURTNEY JR. and JOANNE P. COURTNEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121856/1999
105809/2000
124342/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DIANE GIARDIELLO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN GIARDIELLO, and DIANE GIARDIELLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121978/1999
106727/2000
104918/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

262696

2/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **ALVIN E. EHL,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 105849-00** **121980/99** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL GACH, and PHYLLIS GACH, individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. AND S., INC., et al., Defendants.	INDEX NOS. ~~112375-02~~ 121985-99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/7, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Danny R. Kraft
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company
Jill Berry
Schiff Hardin LLP
900 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: _____

CH2\9548513.1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ALMA TARANTO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH E. TARANTO, PLAINTIFF(S),** **VS.** **A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 122161/99** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
EUGENE MEDAGLIA and ROSE MEDAGLIA, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 122186/99**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LILLIAN NASCA, as Executrix for the Estate of ANTHONY NASCA, and LILLIAN NASCA, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 109050/00 120308/99 122188/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **DANIEL F. SULLIVAN and ANN M. SULLIVAN,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 122197/99** **114386/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

141329
8/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FRANK LOPOPOLO and CELIA LOPOPOLO,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 125319/99** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **WILLIAM A. McBRIDE JR. AND KATE McBRIDE,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 125768/99** **107302/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
MARIO VASCONI and MARIE VASCONI, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: ~~107145/00~~ 125770/99**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JANE TACCONE KOLB, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN TACCONE, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	**INDEX NO.: 100546/00**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100555/00

Robert V. Olmstead, Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546801.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

~~ROBERT~~ MEHLROSE,
RICHARD

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 100582-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 27, 2011

~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100582/00

Richard H. Mehlrose

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

4546854.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **THOMAS ROKSVOLD and MARYANNE ROKSVOLD VS. A.O, SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 100665/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN G. PALASZYNSKI

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 102468/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John G. Palaszynski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-1

FILED

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
CONSTANCE M. MONTGOMERY, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of ROBERT MONTGOMERY VS. A.O, SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	**INDEX NO.: 102789/00**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
WAYNE WALLACE, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of WARREN E. BURNHAM, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index No. 103412/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/5/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~
Omry R. Knott Jr.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lois Kim
Lois Kim, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM A. WAGNER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 103413/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William A. Wagner
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103590/00

William D. Buxton

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

4546756.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: LOUIS COLELLO	Index No.: 104264-00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/20/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 ext. 15

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **MARIA SRAMEK, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH SRAMEK, and MARIA SRAMEK, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of CATHERINE SRAMEK,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 105282/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RONALD R. KENT VS. A.C. and S., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 105342/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 105342/00

This Document Relates to:
Kristina Kent, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Ronald R. Kent,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/7/11, 2011

Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Kristina Kent, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Ronald R. Kent
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6463

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GERALD W. JENKINS and HAZEL JENKINS, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 105365/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK S. COURTNEY JR. and JOANNE P. COURTNEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121856/1999
105809/2000
124342/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information visit: http://www.gfi.com

262696

2/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **ALVIN E. EHL,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 105849-00** **121980/99** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DIANE GIARDIELLO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN GIARDIELLO, and DIANE GIARDIELLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: ~~121978/1999~~
106727/2000
104918/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JACQUE MARTIN, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of CHRISTOPHER F. MARTIN, PLAINTIFF(S),	**INDEX NO.: 106810/00**
VS.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., DEFENDANTS.	

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN HICKS AND CHRISTINE HICKS, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., DEFENDANTS.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 106979/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
MARIO VASCONI and MARIE VASCONI, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: ~~107145/00~~ 125770/99**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
WILLIAM A. McBRIDE JR. AND KATE McBRIDE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 125768/99 107302/00**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **GLORIA MACLARTY, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM MACLARTY AND GLORIA MACLARTY, INDIVIDUALLY,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107482/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JERRY E. MALANEY VS. A.C. and S., INC., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 107492/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JERRY E. MALANEY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 107492/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jerry E. Malaney
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY - 9 2011

APR 22 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.15590/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EDWARD GUNTRIPP, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 108357/00 111674/00 (November 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 4/7/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT L. TRAVIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108359/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85816

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RAIMONDO PICINIC

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 108388/00 & 113778/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85795

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)
PETER F. DEMBROSKI, as Administrator for the Estate of PETER DEMBROSKI, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. and S., INC., (ARMONSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., DEFENDANTS.	INDEX NO.: 108548/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MURRAY STROBER, as Executor for the Estate of MILTON STROBER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 108551/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MILTON STROBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108551/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85811

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

536.06796/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: MILTON STROBER, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 108551/00 (November 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 4/7/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: FRANK CAMARDELLA	Index No.: 108846-00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/20/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 ext. 15

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **DORIS TAYLOR, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF THOMAS W. TAYLOR AND DORIS TAYLOR, INDIVIDUALLY,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 108912/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **PAUL L. TROPEANO, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 108936/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LILLIAN NASCA, as Executrix for the Estate of ANTHONY NASCA, and LILLIAN NASCA, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 109050/00 120308/99 122188/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

536.11363/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ANTHONY NASCA, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 109052/00 (November 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 4/7/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: IRENE MARONEY, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN M. MARONEY AND IRENE MARONEY, INDIVIDUALLY, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 109094/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 1027
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN L. MATHIS

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 109431/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/12, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John L. Mathis
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-1

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ROBERT E. MURPHY and EILEEN A. MURPHY, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 109450/00**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 109778/00

This Document Relates to:
Charles R. Rumfola, as Administrator for the Estate of Charles M. Rumfola,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/19, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles R. Rumfola, as Administrator for the Estate of Charles M. Rumfola
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tedesco, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
~~Our File~~ No.: 10924-3473

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Anthony C. Bagnett (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110574/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/19, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Anthony C. Bagnett (Deceased)
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: I0924-1220

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
THOMAS SCERE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 111114/00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/13, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Scere
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

APR 15 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

536.15590/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EDWARD GUNTRIPP, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 108357/00 111674/00 (November 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 4/7/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

MAY - 9 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

146999 11/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANK FRACCALVIERI PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. & S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 112444-00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 3/23/11

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112517/00

James Baldwin

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546752.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HARRY OKIN AND GLORIA T. OKIN, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 112694/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RAIMONDO PICINIC

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: ~~108388/00 &~~ 113778/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85795

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **DANIEL F. SULLIVAN and ANN M. SULLIVAN,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: ~~122197/99~~** **114386/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115220/00

Gerald H. Rabideau, Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546819.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115821/00

Daniel Ruggiero

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546830.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LELAND W. MILLINGTON (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116847/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.18.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116847/00

Leland W. Millington

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546796.1

7104-18 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SHIRLEY S. NEWMAN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of CHARLES LEE NEWMAN

Plaintiffs

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al

Defendants

Civil Action No. 117846-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor-in-interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/24/11

By: _____
Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
130 Main Street
Hackensack, NJ 07601
201-487-1080
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1776397-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

RAYMOND SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,
Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 00-117873

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117885/00

L.C. Richards, Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546827.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CLARA M. LIGAMMARE, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 104033/01 119382/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100779/03 &
107575/01 & 119384/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86316

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FLOYD PONZI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100782/03 & 106441/01 & 119385/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86310

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119386/00
124999/00

HENRY J. ALLEN

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DAVID BLENKENSOPP PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. and S., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 119388/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120329/00

Donald F. Ver Hague

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4546836.1

MAY 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121107/00

Barbara J. Ladwig as Personal Representative for the Estate of Donald G. Ladwig and Barbara J. Ladwig as Spouse

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **TEXTRON INC., incorrectly s/h/a TEXTRON INC. Individually and as Successor to BYRON JACKSON (herein after "TEXTRON INC."),** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **TEXTRON INC.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **TEXTRON INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/6/11

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early, Lucarelli, Sweeney & Strauss, LLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
TEXTRON INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00938

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherman K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4331213.1

536.08724/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH J. McINERNEY, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 122053/00 (December 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 4/21/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SUZANNE MCINERNEY, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH JOHN MCINERNEY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 122053/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123830/00

Paul A. Dunphy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.13753

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546888.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123830/00

Paul A. Dunphy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4546760.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK S. COURTNEY JR. and JOANNE P. COURTNEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121856/1999
~~105809/2000~~
124342/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 119386/00 124999/00
HENRY J. ALLEN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: CHESTER HORNER PLAINTIFF(S), VS. ADIENCE INC., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 125131/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: TERRANCE FOLEY PLAINTIFF(S), VS. ADIENCE, INC., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 125131/00 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125263/00

Lane L. Bilby

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley K. Heitler

4546753.1

236309
2/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **ANTHONY J. DOBIES AND CECILIA DOBIES,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 114491/99** **100300/01** **113953/03** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100354/01

James M. Eckert

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelley K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546763.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **KEVIN M. MONETTE, as Executor for the Estate of EARL R. MONETTE, and KEVIN M. MONETTE, as Administrator for the Estate of FRANCES F. MONETTE, Individually VS. A.C. and S., INC., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 101145/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101721/01

George Gonyo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07566.00500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

4546889.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101721/01

George Gonyo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546769.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FELICIA KENSY, Individually and as Administratix for the Estate of RAYMOND T. KENSY VS. A.C. and S., INC., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102456/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CLARA M. LIGAMMARE, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104033/2001

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY 4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
40 Wall Street, 57th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CLARA M. LIGAMMARE, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 104033/01 119382/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

REUBEN GOLDMAN,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 100~~769-03~~
104560-01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 27, 2011

~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104560/01

Reuben W. Goldman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546766.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104999/01

Frank C. Gullo Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05333.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546778.1

12.10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Ethel L. Korosecz and Frank Korosecz, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S. Inc., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 105477/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21 2011

Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ethel L. Korosecz and Frank Korosecz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-22382

4843-3280-7688.1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105605/01

Antonio Migliozzi

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546793.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106441/01

Floyd Ponzi

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4546809.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FLOYD PONZI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100782/03 &
106441/01 & 119385/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86310

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 107086/01**
STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANN HARDOBY, INDIVIDUALLY AND GREGORY HARDOBY AND DIANE RABIEJ, AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE HARDOBY, Plaintiffs, -against- AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107297/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7, 2011

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ann Hardoby, Individually and Gregory Hardoby and Diane Rabiej, as Co-Executrices for the Estate of George Hardoby
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0507

APR 22 2011

N0028243-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107333/01

Angelo Tomasello

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053[illegible]-00001

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546832.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107575/01

Raymond Ryskowski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546831.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100779/03 &
107575/01 & 119384/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86316

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LINDA HUSSAIN, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. LANG and NANCY LANG, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108713/2001
112048/2006
121919/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. - Michael Fanelli
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109016/01

Gerald Maringione

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546783.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110814/01

Daniel Maynard Pratt

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546816.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BARRY (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
111218/01
115593/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALPHONSO JACKSON

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111218/01
115614/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE HAIGLER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
111219/01
~~116427/01~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD P. FRANK (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
~~111220/01~~
119487/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK M. COLABELLA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111221/01~~
115845/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

LOUIS MONTELEONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: ~~111223/01 &~~
122427/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86635

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PETER V. MATTHEWS JR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
~~111224/01~~
121126/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

X:cases\FBW43886\legal\NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRED HOFMANN, JR,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

A.C & S. INC. et al.

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 111224/01 & 111913/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant, ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, ***FULTON BOILER WORKS*** , with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ***FULTON BOILER WORKS,*** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for the plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

4/8/2011

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Barry, McTiernan & Moore
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EZRA CLARK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
111224/01
120924/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

536.07695/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: FRED A. HOFMANN, JR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 111224/01 111913/03 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Radcliffe

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BADAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

NATALE V. GRILLO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
111224/01
121118/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherey Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRED A. HOFMANN JR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
111224/01
111913/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

EZRA CLARK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, ~~(Heitler, J.)~~
Index No: 111224/01 & 120924/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85922

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. CULLEN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111225/01
118068/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JAMES JOSEPH FAULKNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 111226/01 & 122547/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86608

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

PETER DIMARTINO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 111226/01 & 122530/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86603

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RONALD M. KIRK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
111228/01
121431/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT CONTENTO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
~~111228/01~~
121539/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM H. WHARRY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 111228/01 & 121547/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86676

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD F. MARTENS (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
~~111228/01~~
121426/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL PALAZZO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111230/01
123182/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JERRY CHIERCHIA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111230/01
123078/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT COSTA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
111231/01
120462/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RUDOLPH BIBOW

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
111231/01
~~120471/01~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PETER CONNOLLY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No.~~
111233/01
118687/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KAREL DVORAK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111234/01
119235/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY M. IACONO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111866/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Anthony O'Donnell and Mary O'Donnell

Index No: 112178/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS and as BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/29/10

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 10557.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

3857656.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EVA M. MASI (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115469/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

536.08376/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EVA M. MASI, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 115469/01 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BARRY (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111218/01
115593/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
ARMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALPHONSO JACKSON

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111218/01~~
115614/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS McCAFFERTY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115817/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM P. TANNER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115831/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

WILLIAM P. TANNER,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 115831/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 4, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK M. COLABELLA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111221/01~~
115845/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN L. MCCUE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 116146/01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/12, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John L. McCue
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE HAIGLER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111219/01~~
116427/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOHN J. CULLEN,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 118068/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. CULLEN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111225/01~~
118068/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

PETER CONNOLLY,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 118687/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montiglio
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PETER CONNOLLY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111233/01
118687/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
118721/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES E. SPEARMAN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119217/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KAREL DVORAK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111234/01~~
119235/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

KAREL DVORAK,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 119235/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BRYAN M. PRICE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119477/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

536.07696/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: BRYAN M. PRICE, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 119477/01 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

BRYAN M. PRICE,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 119477/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

X:/cases/FBW43887/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 119477/01

BRYAN M. PRICE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/8/2011

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,_____
Hon. Shelley Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD P. FRANK (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111220/01
119487/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM TAGLIAFERRI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119610/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

WILLIAM TAGLIAFERRI,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 119610/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS P. Mc GOWAN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119767/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALFONSO D. RAINES

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119783/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRED G. SHERRON

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119783/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM MILETI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
119798/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM CAHILL (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120388/01
106315/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: STEPHEN BOBROWICH, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 120388/01 106318/02 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Radcliffe

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. PARSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

I.D. CAPLES (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120388/01
106314/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES H. GEE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index~~ No
~~120388/01~~
106304/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CARMELO A. FICALORA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120388/01
106306/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120388/01
106310/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BESSIE F. LA BARBERA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120389/01
100011/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. FITZGERALD (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
~~120389/01~~
124454/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH VISCONTI (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No 120389/01~~
100018/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES P. HADFIELD (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120390/01
123924/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRED ZEROLNICK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120390/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JERRY DIAMOND

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120391/01
104308/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL C. BLAKE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120392/01
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

GINO CORBELLINI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 120392/01 & 104100/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85975

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BRENNAN (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No 120394/01~~
105777/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120395/01~~
109549/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES F. SMITH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120395/01
109561/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAMES SMITH,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 120395/01
109561/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. HICKEY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120396/01
103352/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

SOL L. HITZIG

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 120397/01 & 105078/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/12 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86616

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROCCO F. AMATO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120398/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROCCO F. AMATO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120398/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85902

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HOWARD CORR and ELIZABETH CORR, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 120398/01; 104831-02 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD CORR (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120398/01, 104831/02
116795/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EMIL J. COPPOLA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
120429/01
100623/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

EMIL J. COPPOLA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 120429/01 & 100623/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85952

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120430/01
100157/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
~~200 I.U.~~ Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PAT IACOBELLI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120431/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

X.:/cases/FBW44562/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WALTER VOSBURGH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 120432/01 & 101070/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

4/14/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES R. FLEMING (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120432/01
101110/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE W. RYAN (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No.~~
120432/01
101080/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120432/01
101070/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK C. PERRY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120432/01
101088/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ED ACERRA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 120433/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85900

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ED ACERRA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120433/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

536.09773/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EROTIDO LARRINAGA, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 120433/01 124181/01 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EROTIDO LARRINAGA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120433/01
124181/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

VINCENT COSTA,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 120462/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT COSTA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111231/01
120462/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RUDOLPH BIBOW

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111231/01~~
120471/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

RUDOLPH BIBOW,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 120471/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL A. RUZZI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120583/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

X:/cases/FBW43556/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MCIHAEL A. RUZZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 120583/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/31/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Thomas Stringer and Marcia Stringer, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 120778/01, 190444/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19/11

Peter M. Venetis , Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Stringer and Marcia Stringer,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 1000
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-23252

APR 22 2011

4825-4276-9672.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

EZRA CLARK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: ~~111224/01 &~~
120924/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85922

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EZRA CLARK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111224/01
120924/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FRED A. HOFMAN JR.,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 121113/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

NATALE V. GRILLO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111224/01
121118/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PETER V. MATTHEWS JR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111224/01~~
121126/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

EDWARD MARTENS,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 121426/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montana
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD F. MARTENS (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111228/01~~
121426/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RONALD M. KIRK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
111228/01
121431/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ALBERT CONTENTO,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 121539/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT CONTENTO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111228/01~~
121539/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM H. WHARRY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: ~~111228/01 &~~ 121547/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86676

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD BANG for the ESTATE OF FREDERICK BANG, deceased,

Plaintiffs,

-Against-

Standard Motor Products, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121732/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

ME1 11470092v.1

X:/<<<FBW44581/legal/JUNE 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

KENNETH HELLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122139/01 & 108157/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

John Richmond,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/8/2011

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

LOUIS MONTELEONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111223/01 & 122427/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86635

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122494/01

Arthur Pavane

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4546804.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

PETER DIMARTINO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: ~~111226/01~~ & 122530/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86603

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

APR 22 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JAMES JOSEPH FAULKNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111226/01 & 122547/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86608

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

X:/cases/FBW43345/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 123065/01

JOHN DOHERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

3/31/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JERRY CHIERCHIA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111230/01~~
123078/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JERRY CHIERCHIA,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 123078/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL PALAZZO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111230/01~~
123182/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
~~(516) 294-5433~~

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MICHAEL PALAZZO,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 123182/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
RICHARD J. DIETZ AND CATHERINE, Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
-against-	:	Index No.: 123275/01
A.C. & S. INC., ET AL., Defendant(s).	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
March 28, 2011

By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Richard J. Dietz and Catherine Dietz

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAY 22 2011

976354v.1

238939 1/11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES COUGHLIN PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. & S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 123906-01 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 3/23/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAMES COUGHLIN,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 123906/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES P. HADFIELD (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120390/01~~
123924/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

X.:/cases/FBW44559/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EROTIDO LARRINAGA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 124181/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/8/2011

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

EROTIDO LARRINGA,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 124181/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

536.09773/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EROTIDO LARRINAGA, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~120433/01~~ 124181/01 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Radcliffe

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EROTIDO LARRINAGA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120433/01~~
124181/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. FITZGERALD (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120389/01~~
124454/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BESSIE F. LA BARBERA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120389/01
100011/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH VISCONTI (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120389/01~~
100018/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120430/01~~
100157/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
~~200 I.U.~~ Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOSEPH D. FESTA,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 100157/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 10
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x
MICHAEL J. AMATO,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.
----------x

Index No. 100170/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 27, 2011

Danny Kraft Jr., Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 681-1575

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso,

Plaintiffs,

-Against-

Standard Motor Products, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 02-100461

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 20th, 2011

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

ME1 11470033v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES CARUSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100461/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86807

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

EMIL J. COPPOLA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120429/01 & 100623/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85952

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EMIL J. COPPOLA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120429/01
100623/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **EUGENE D. STEINHAUER**	Index No.: 100733-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/20/11

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
900 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 ext. 15

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120432/01~~
101070/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

X:/cases/FBW44562/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WALTER VOSBURGH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index ~~No.: 120~~432/01 & 101070/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

4/14/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE W. RYAN (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120432/01
101080/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK C. PERRY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120432/01~~
101088/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FRANK PERRY,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,
Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 120432-02
101088-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FRANK C. PERRY,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 101088/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 400
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

---------- x

FRANK C. PERRY and EMILE B. PERRY,	:	Index No.: 101088/02
Plaintiffs,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler Part 30
- against -	:	
A. C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	**NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	:	

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 1, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Loring I. Fenton, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
200 Park Avenue, 15th Floor
New York, New York 10166
(212) 801-9200
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES R. FLEMING (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120432/01~~
101110/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
~~(516) 294-5433~~

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAMES R. FLEMING,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 101110/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **NANCIE J. ROHMANN, as Executrix for the Estate of ALEX T. MILLER and NANCIE J. ROHMANN, as Executrix for the Estate of GLADYS M. MILLER VS.** **A.C. and S., INC., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 101286/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MORRIS CLEMONS,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 102423-02
103612-97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. HICKEY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120396/01
103352/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

RAYMOND J. HICKEY,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 103352/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 1, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
RALPH VINCENT AND LINDA L.VINCENT, Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
-against-	:	Index No.: 103443/02
A.C. & S. INC., ET AL., Defendant(s).	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
March 28, 2011

By: Frank M. Oritiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Ralph Vincent and Linda L., Vincent

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

655112v

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

GINO CORBELLINI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120392/01 & 104100/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85975

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL C. BLAKE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120392/01~~
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 5 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JERRY DIAMOND

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120391/01
104308/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD CORR (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120398/01, 104831/02
116795/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HOWARD CORR and ELIZABETH CORR, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. AND S.,INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al., DEFENDANTS	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 120398/01; 104831-02 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 104919/02
CAROL E. ANGELONE, Individually And as Executrix of the Estate of RUSSELL ANGELONE, PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.C. & S, INC., et al., DEFENDANTS.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS
& KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

SOL L. HITZIG

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: ~~120397/01 &~~ 105078/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/12 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86616

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
GRACE LEWANDOSKI, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT F. LEWANDOSKI, and GRACE LEWANDOSKI, Individually,	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
Plaintiff(s),	:	Index No.: 105166/02
-against-	:	
A.C. & S. INC., ET AL.,	:	
Defendant(s).		

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
March 28, 2011

By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: GRACE LEWANDOSKI, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT F. LEWANDOSKI, and GRACE LEWANDOSKI, Individually

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 22 2011

655112v

255155
8/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **MIRIAM HAHN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of GERALD D. HAHN,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 105172/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BRENNAN (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120394/01~~
105777/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: ~~T16682/06~~ 105877/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106242/02

Donald J. Zoyhofski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4546840.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES H. GEE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120388/01~~
106304/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

CHARLES H. GEE,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 106304/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CARMELO A. FICALORA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120388/01~~
106306/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120388/01~~
106310/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

I.D. CAPLES (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120388/01~~
106314/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

I.D. CAPLES,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 106314/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM CAHILL (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120388/01
106315/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 106318/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

536.10562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: STEPHEN BOBROWICH, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~120388/01~~ 106318/02 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Radcliffe

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. RADAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~119646/02~~
107101/02

John A. Scull

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.05912

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4366798.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to: **Index No. 107103/02**

LAWRENCE G. MANNING

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

X:/<<<FBW44581/legal/JUNE 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

KENNETH HELLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122139/01 & 108157/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

John Richmond,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/8/2011

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 109059/02**
JOHN DINON	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 109339/02**
JOSEPH DEMARCO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FRANK CATALANO,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 109549/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120395/01~~
109549/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAMES SMITH,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 120395/01
109561/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES F. SMITH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120395/01
109561/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAMES F. SMITH,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 109561/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 109649/02
THOMAS A. IRWIN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROLYN BENTON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS THE EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF LLOYD BENTON, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C.& S., INC., *et al.*, Defendant(s).	Index No.: 109661/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Kentile Floors, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Erica Cesaro, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Llyod Benton
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2082-0365

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0041764-1}

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROLYN BENTON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS THE EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF LLOYD BENTON, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C.& S., INC., *et al.*, Defendant(s).	Index No.: 109661/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Karnak Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Llyod Benton
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

781-0001

APR 22 2011

{N0040269-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126765/02
110269/02

Willis R. Gavigan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/6/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.15301

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4366791.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. ~~126713/02~~ 110270/02
DALE L. LYONS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Anthony R. Flamio

Index No: 1~~26723/02~~
110270/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice ~~and without~~ costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/8/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 075[illegible]6.1[illegible]10

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4366776.1

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL GACH, and PHYLLIS GACH, individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. AND S., INC., et al., Defendants.	INDEX NOS. 112375-02 121985-99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/7, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Danny R. Kraft
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company
Jill Berry
Schiff Hardin LLP
900 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: _____

CH2\9548513.1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL GACH, and PHYLLIS GACH, Individually v. A.C. and S., Inc.., et al,	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
Plaintiff(s),	:	**Index No.: 112375/02**
- against -	:	
A.C. and S., INC., et al,	:	
Defendant(s).		

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2011

By: Adam Cooper, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
T: 212-558-5500
F: 212-344-5461

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 22 2011

961608v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Phyllis Gach, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Michael Gach,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112375/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/7, 2011

Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Phyllis Gach, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Michael Gach
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3585

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127345/02
113565/02

Donald W. Riddell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/15/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.14573

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4366795.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126046/02
113566/02

Frances Tomeo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.14571

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4366802.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
EUGENE O'BRIEN and JANE O'BRIEN VS. A.C. and S., INC., ET AL.	**INDEX NO.: 117398/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 117541/02**
HAROLD R. SCHWILK	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 500
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119646/02
107101/02

John A. Scull

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.05912

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4366798.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FRANK PERRY,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,
Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 120432-02
101088-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 127128/02 121082/02
ANTHONY F. CATALDO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: APR 15 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to: **Index No. 121910/02**

ANDREW KORNACKI

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite [illegible]0
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 15 2011

288766
8/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **LORETTA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN O'BRIEN and LORETTA O'BRIEN, Individually, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 123323/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

EMILIO PAOLINI,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 124397/02
101192/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DELANO B. CASSAR AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF STANLEY J. CASSAR AND DELANO B. CASSAR, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: ~~113879/99~~ 124442/02 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 124445/02** **103178/03**
RALPH F. LUISI	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARGARET MCFARLAND as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN MORGAN.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 125058/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 125211/02**
NICHOLAS C. LATZER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126046/02
113566/02

Frances Tomeo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.14571

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4366802.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 126713/02** **110270/02**
DALE L. LYONS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: APR 15 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126723/02
110270/02

Anthony R. Flamio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice ~~and without~~ costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/8/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07516.1010

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4366776.1

X:/<<<FBW45828/legal/August 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CLINTON MCCLOUD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 126733/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

3/31/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126765/02
110269/02

Willis R. Gavigan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/6/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.15301

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4366791.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ANN ROSNER as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK D'ALESSANDRO VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 127003/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10027
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ROSE CALLAHAN and JOHN SIGNORELLI, as Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH V. SIGNORELLI, and MARY SIGNORELLI, Individually VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 127007/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 127128/02** **121082/02**
ANTHONY F. CATALDO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: APR 15 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127345/02
113565/02

Donald W. Riddell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/15/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.14573

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4366795.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~105144/03~~
100731/03

Dominick Sodano

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00147

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelley K. Heitler
APR 22 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4365354.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100741-03
102871-03

Carl T. Trubio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00177

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4365361.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102892-03
100741-03

Joseph L. Hammond

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00156

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4365381.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

REUBEN GOLDMAN,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 100769-03
104560-01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 27, 2011

~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100779/03 &
107575/01 & 119384/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86316

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FLOYD PONZI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100782/03 &
106441/01 & 119385/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86310

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100783/03

Sigrid Shapiro

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue,.4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00332

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4282786.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100908/03

Edward J. O'Rourke Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00104

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4365341.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100923/03

Beverly M. Webster

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00081

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

4365370.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~100741-03~~
102871-03

Carl T. Trubio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00177

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4365361.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102892-03
100741-03

Joseph L. Hammond

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00156

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4365381.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 124445/02 103178/03
RALPH F. LUISI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105144/03
100731/03

Dominick Sodano

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07336.00147

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelley R. Heitler
APR 22 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4365354.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
SAM DONOFRIO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 107991/03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Sam Donofrio
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

APR 25 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108146/03

Richard J. Florkiewicz, as Fiduciary of the Estates of
Theodore J. Florkiewicz and Helen Florkiewicz

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 4/5/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 10557.00344

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

3875448.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT DOWNEY AND RITA DOWNEY, Plaintiff(s) -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 109278/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Pecora Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Pecora Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Pecora Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Pecora Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Downey and Rita Downey
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10003
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
539-0037

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

N0003024-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: EDWARD JEMIOLO PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 111829/03 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

536.07695/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: FRED A. HOFMANN, JR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~111224/01~~ 111913/03 (January 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 3/23/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Radcliffe

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. ~~RADUMO~~, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
~~P.O.~~ Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
APR 15 2011

X:cases\FBW43886\legal\NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRED HOFMANN, JR,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

A.C & S. INC. et al.

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 111224/01 & 111913/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant, ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for the plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

4/8/2011

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Barry, McTiernan & Moore
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRED A. HOFMANN JR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~111224/01~~
111913/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112936/03

Priscilla Clement, as Executrix of the Estate of Gordon Clement, and Priscilla Clement, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 10557.00340

FILED
MAY - 9 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4159685.1

236309
2/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **ANTHONY J. DOBIES AND CECILIA DOBIES,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 114491/99** **100300/01** **113953/03** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
EDWARD T. PLANTZ VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	**INDEX NO.: 115218/03**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY - 9 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115743/03

Grace Cavelli, as Administratrix of the Estate of Frank Cavelli, and Grace Cavelli, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 4/13/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 10557.00341

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

3875436.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115839/03

Velpo Johnson Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546781.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

VELPO JOHNSON, JR.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115839/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.18.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 50
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Ella Ruth Montoney and Donna Jean Weese as Executrix of the Estate of Oscar Foane Montoney

Index No: 116300/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue,.4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00043

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4282788.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117566/03

Elizabeth Adams, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Richard Adams, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/8/11

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10567.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4329595.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 117873/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/19, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-2685

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THOMAS O'MALLEY and JANE O'MALLEY,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 118351/03
104232/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley Kornreich

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120250/03

Angiolilo Julius Greico

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelly K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546776.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ANGIOLLIO JULIUS GREICO (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.:120250/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.18.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 22 2011

369134

8/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
REGINA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of ALOYSIUS KENNY, and REGINA KENNY, Individually, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 120579/03** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOAN E. BYRNES, as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD BYRNES, SR. and JOAN E. BYRNES, Individually** **VS.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102222/04** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
EZIO COSTANZO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 102902/04

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/15 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ezio Costanzo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

APR 15 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ROBERT PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 103005/04
122111/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104917/04

Walter H. Reeves

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S **OFFICE**

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 2 2011

4546822.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DIANE GIARDIELLO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN GIARDIELLO, and DIANE GIARDIELLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121978/1999
~~106727/2000~~
104918/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 4/7/11

WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GERALD C. CARPENTER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 114255/04

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/15, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gerald C. Carpenter
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: F11084-1

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 102192/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~123219/97~~ ~~102347/05~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joseph Williams

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ALBERT VENTRY,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 110031/05
108599/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOSEPH OWENS,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 115563/05
121762/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

603636
8/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, as Personal Representative for the Estate of RICHARD DANSON, and HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, Individually, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102335/06** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

ANTHONY LAMANO,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 108083/06
121906/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JAY C. TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No.: 112046/06
106654/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2011

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LINDA HUSSAIN, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH C. LANG and NANCY LANG, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108713/2001
112048/2006
121919/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. - Michael Fanelli
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD ANGRISANO, as the Estate of SAM ANGRISANO and ANGELINE ANGRISANO, Individually, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103682/1997
111055/1998
~~116682/2006~~
113279/1997

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.11.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. – Michael Farrell
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN,LLP
Attorneys forDefendants KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
(914) 412-7300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Judge.Sherry Klein-Heitler.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN M. KING and ANGELINE KING VS. A.C. and S., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 100678/07 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 101422/07 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102115/07

Robert Laurance Maydich

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4546787.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS as Executor for the Estate of ANN TRESS VS. A.O.SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102706/07** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

226694
612630
2/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THOMAS JOSEPH GREEN,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102707-07** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

HOWARD CORR,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 116795/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD CORR (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
~~120398/01~~, 104831/02
116795/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/14, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

8539-337
4/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **MARNI CONDREN and RICHARD D'ADDIO, as personal representatives of the estate of LOUIS G. D'ADDIO, Plaintiff,** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.; Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190125/09** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS
& KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Elliott Turbomachinery Co.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LOUIS G. D'ADDIO, Plaintiff, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No.: 190125/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 18, 2011

Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Kentile Floors, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Llyod Benton
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-6557

APR 22 2011

{N0041766-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE

----------x

In Re: NEWYORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:

JACK D'ACQUISTO

----------x

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190280/09

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, HARCO LABORATORIES, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, HARCO LABORATORIES, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, HARCO LABORATORIES, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011

Weitz & Luxenberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038

Dennis J. Monaco, Esq.
ABRAMS, GORELICK, FRIEDMAN & JACOBSONSON, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
HARCO LABORATORIES
One Battery Park Plaza – 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004

SO ORDERED: _____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **DORIS BERGRIN**	Index No.: 190294-09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/20/11

Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 ext. 15

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 09/190300
GEORGE GRAHAM	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Marshall Engineering Products Company, LLC, a/k/a MEPCO, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Marshall Engineering Products Company, LLC, a/k/a MEPCO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Marshall Engineering Products Company, LLC, a/k/a MEPCO be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/13, 2011

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue; 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Peter Langenus, Esq.
SCHNADER, HARRISON, SEGAL & LEWIS
Attorneys for Defendant
140 Broadway; Suite 3100
New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

00223278.WPD

APR 15 2011

R-621665
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ZELLA F. TURNER, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of WILLIAM E. TURNER, deceased,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190349/09** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

6534-6008
10/10.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
NICHOLAS J. AMATO and EILEEN AMATO **VS.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,** **DEFENDANTS.**	**INDEX NO.: 190391/09** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

6534-6010
10/10.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
RAYMOND SCHAEFER and MARIANNE SCHAEFER, **VS.** **ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA), et al.,** **DEFENDANTS.**	**INDEX NO.: 190432/09** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **MICHAEL GACH**	Index No.: 112375-10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
~~4/1/11~~ 4/20/11

Danny Kraft, Jr. Esq
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert K. Gunn Jr.
Robert K. Gunn , Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

622351
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOAN MLINCSEK, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN MLINCSEK, and JOAN MLINCSEK, Individually, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190025/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

NATHANIEL MURRAY,

Plaintiff,

v.

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
Index No: 190036/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dean Pump Division hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dean Pump Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dean Pump Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Buffalo, New York
May 10, 2010

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

James W. Whitcomb, Esq.
PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Dean Pump Division
3400 HSBC Center
Buffalo, New York 14203

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Doc # 01-2369443.1

C28-11 – Duplicate original

622 456
10/10.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JAY NISSINOFF and MERYL NISSINOFF,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.B. DICK COMPANY, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190046/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

JOSEPH CLARKE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No: 190047/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 20, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Salvatore Azzoline, Esq.
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022

LONDON FISCHER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc.

Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq.
59 Maiden Lane, 41st Floor
New York, New York 10038
Tel No.: (212) 972-1000

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: _____
J.S.C.

(N0315768 1)

APR 25 2011

X:/RWB54180./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM F. O'CONNELL and DIANE O'CONNELL

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 19005/10 L90051/10

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants R.W. BECKETT CORPORATION hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants R.W. BECKETT CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants R.W. BECKETT CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/8/2011

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for R.W. BECKETT CORP.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL**
	I.A.S. Part 30
	(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)
SALVATORE FERRARI, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190057/10**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

~~Erik Jacobs, Esq.~~ Patti Bunty, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

R-622588
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF and JOANNE DOLORES ALTUCHOFF,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190058/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

8539-371
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF AND JOANNE DOLORES ALTUCHOFF,** **Plaintiff,** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190058/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Elliott Turbomachinery Co.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 190068-10

----------X

This Document Relates to:

October 2010 *In Extremis* Group

DOMINICK PALAIO and ANNA PALAIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,

Defendants,

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: White Plains, New York
3/30, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 1003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By 3-22-10
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: 4-11-11

Duplicate Original

APR 15 2011

(Print signer's name below signature)

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NOUBAR PUSKULIAN, Plaintiff(s) -v- ANCHOR PACKIN COMPANY, et al. Defendants.	NYCAL Index No. 190070-10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant, Genlyte Thomas Group, LLC, successor by merger to Lightolier, Inc., hereinafter ("Lightolier"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lightolier with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lightolier be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
~~Michael Roberts, Esq.~~ David Chandler
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: _____
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD CONROY, Plaintiff(s) -against- A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190138/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Taco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Mark Strauss, Esq
EARLY & STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald Conroy
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

542-1351

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

N0039504-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LEO REGINALD AKERS Plaintiff, -against- AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR COMPANY, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190150/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("AHM"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above–entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, AHM, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

IT IS ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, AHM, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 20, 2011

Janet Walsh, Esq.
Locks Law Firm PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
747 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Tel: (212) 558-5675

Robert M. Goodman, Esq.
Greenbaum, Rowe, Smith & Davis LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
American Honda Motor Co., Inc.
75 Livingston Avenue, Suite 301
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
Tel: (973) 535-1600

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APPROVED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF NEW YORK
I.A.S. MOTION
SUPPORT OFFICE
☑ MOT ☐ X-MOT
CLERK'S INITIALS

1217597.01

APR 22 2011

6534-6016 10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190154/10**
FRANK X. HELSTAB AND MELINDA J. HELSTAB PLAINTIFF(S), **VS.** **AETNA HEALTH INC. (Individually And as Successor to ONE LIBERTY PLAZA HOLDINGS INC), et al., DEFENDANTS.**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY & STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

4/25/11
205503

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

LOUIS DIGILIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
----------X

Index No.: 190166/10

IAS PART 30
(JUSTICE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, Defendant **DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP n/k/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC., Individually and as Successor to Dunkirk, Dunkirk Boilers and Utica Boilers,** by its attorneys, JONES HIRSCH CONNORS & BULL P.C., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP n/k/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC., Individually and as Successor to Dunkirk, Dunkirk Boilers and Utica Boilers,** along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice; there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP n/k/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC., Individually and as Successor to Dunkirk, Dunkirk Boilers and**

Utica Boilers, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 15, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Chris Romanelli
Attorneys for Plaintiff Louis D. Gilio
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500
3/31/11

JONES HIRSCH CONNORS & BULL P.C.

William E. Bell
Attorneys for Defendant
DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION n/k/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, CORP., f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP.
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, New York 10004
(212) 527-1000

SO ORDERED:

Hon. ~~Shirley~~ Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.
Sherry

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

815631

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190166/10

Louis Digilio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendants **CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC., incorrectly s/h/a CONTROL COMPONENTS INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest to BAILEY VALVE CO. (herein after "CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.")** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/11

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 07640.00146

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4166997.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190166/10

Louis DiGilio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/11

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00845

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4312750.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM RAFFAELE and MARIA RAFFAELE, Plaintiffs, -against- 3M COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190168/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/20, 2011

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Brendan J Tully
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Raffaele and Maria Raffaele
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 25 2011

1003-385

R-623052
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
GEORGE ROBINSON, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190170/10**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

8539-377
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **GEORGE ROBINSON,** **Plaintiff,** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190170/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/18/11

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Elliott Turbomachinery Co.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

6534-6017
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
GEORGE ROBINSON, **PLAINTIFF,** **VS.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,** **DEFENDANTS.**	**INDEX NO.: 190170/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
295 Madison Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

660500

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WAYNE SMITH,

Plaintiff,

Index No.: 190180/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against –

ARVINMERTITOR, INC; ARTIE'S AUTO PARTS INC.; BORGWARNER INC., Individually and as Successor to BORG-WARNER CORP/ BRAKE PARTS INC.; BWD AUTOMOTIVE CORPORATION; FORD MOTOR COMPANY; HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest to ALLIEDSIGNAL CORP., BENDIX CORP.; MAREMONT CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor in Interest to GRIZZLY BRAKES; NISSAN NORTH AMERICA INC.; TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA., INC.;

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC. ("MBUSA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant MBUSA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant MBUSA, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2011

Janet C. Walsh, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC.
747 3rd Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Tel: (212) 838-3333
Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael B. Sena, Esq.
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (212) 471-8527
Attorneys for Defendant
Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WAYNE SMITH,

Plaintiff,

Index No.: 190180/10

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

ARVINMERTITOR, INC; ARTIE'S AUTO PARTS INC.; BORGWARNER INC., Individually and as Successor to BORG-WARNER CORP/ BRAKE PARTS INC.; BWD AUTOMOTIVE CORPORATION; FORD MOTOR COMPANY; HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest to ALLIEDSIGNAL CORP., BENDIX CORP.; MAREMONT CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor in Interest to GRIZZLY BRAKES; NISSAN NORTH AMERICA INC.; TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA., INC.;

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC. ("MBUSA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant MBUSA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant MBUSA, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 6, 2011

Janet C. Walsh, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC.
747 3rd Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Tel: (212) 838-3333
Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael B. Sena, Esq.
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004
Tel: (212) 471-8527
Attorneys for Defendant
Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190181/10

Michael Mazer Jr. and Barbara Lavern Mazer

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/11

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.00852

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4318113.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190187/10

Raymond Finerty and Mary Finerty

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **VALEO CLIMATE CONTROL CORP.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **VALEO CLIMATE CONTROL CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **VALEO CLIMATE CONTROL CORP.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/15/11

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips, and Konigsberg LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Valeo Climate Control Corp.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 12316.0000

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2011

4254976.1

622964
10/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ANTONIO SIRACUSA, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190193/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RONALD DUMMITT and DORIS KAY DUMMITT

Plaintiffs,

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190196/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dean Pump Division hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dean Pump Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dean Pump Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Brian Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
295 Madison Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10017

James W. Whitcomb, Esq.
PHILLIPS LYTLE LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Dean Pump Division
3400 HSBC Center
Buffalo, New York 14203

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Doc # 01-2578908.1

4-28-11

Duplicate Original

4/2011
205633

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Wilhelm Prange and Rosemary Prange

Index No: 190226/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AT&T CORP.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AT&T CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AT&T CORP.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/11

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AT&T CORP.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05175.00288

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4087432.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190248/10

LOWELL COOPER and GRACIE COOPER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

4/19/2011

~~Michael Roberts, Esq.~~ Patti Bruntyn, Esq
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

212 - 651 - 7499

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

EDWARD T. GOOD,

Plaintiff,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY,

Defendant.

----------x

Index No. 190263/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION & ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant OKONITE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant OKONITE COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, OKONITE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
March 4, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 10-190269

GLENN RITZEL,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/4, 2011

BY: _____
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph Williams

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED_____
Hon. Sherry Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190270/2010

----------X

JOSEPH MULLEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon.

WHEREFORE, defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXEMBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company

By: _____
David Lafarga, Esq.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, NY 10038
(212) 232-1300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. _____, J.S.C.

4850-0068-9929.1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ORIGINAL

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Applies To:

AARON RICHMAN.

----------X

NYCAL

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190288/10

WHEREFORE, defendant **Eastman Kodak Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **Eastman Kodak Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Eastman Kodak Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 17, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, P.C.

By: _____
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for *Plaintiff Aaron Richman*
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

GREGG J. BORRI LAW OFFICES

By: _____
Gregg J. Borri, Esq.
Attorneys for *Defendant Eastman Kodak Company*
61 Broadway, Suite 2820
New York, NY 10006
(212) 980-8866

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

DONALD B. SISSON and PATRICIA SISSON

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190302/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER INC., Individually and Incorrectly sued as Successor-in-Interest to Butterworth Jetting Systems, Inc. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER INC., Individually and Incorrectly sued as Successor-in-Interest to Butterworth Jetting Systems, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER INC., Individually and Incorrectly sued as Successor-in-Interest to Butterworth Jetting Systems, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

April 14, 2011

Mark Bibro, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017

Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190305/10

William Spier and Josephine Spier

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

Janet Walsh, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
747 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. Chesterton Company
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 05335.00001

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4351953.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION ——————————— WILLIAM SPIER and JOSEPHINE SPIER, VS. A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., DEFENDANTS.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 190305/10 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

Janet Walsh, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
747 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 838-3333

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 1027
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AETNA

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Henry Herbin, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. Smith Water Products, Co., et al. Defendants.	Index No.: **190313/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24 2011

Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Henry Herbin,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

1863-27215

4834-1592-1928.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RICHARD FOLEY and ANNA FOLEY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190315/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joseph Williams, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **ROBERT CRISTIANO**	Index No.: 1090317/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 4/20/11

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 ext. 13

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM MENDEZ AND MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Mendez and Margaret Mendez
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-1015

N0038955-1

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM MENDEZ AND MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Mendez and Margaret Mendez
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
542-1380

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

N0038955-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190318/10

William Mendez and Margaret Mendez

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/6/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 10557.00897

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4248978.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM MENDEZ AND MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Mendez and Margaret Mendez
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1296-0154

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM MENDEZ AND MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C Fox

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Mendez and Margaret Mendez
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

505-430

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM MENDEZ AND MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Mendez and Margaret Mendez
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

875-0074

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM MENDEZ AND MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Mendez and Margaret Mendez
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-220

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190319/10

Donald S. Campbell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/15/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10357008

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
APR 15 2011

4138167.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190319/10

Donald S. Campbell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CHEVRON U.S.A. INC., incorrectly s/h/a CHEVRON U.S.A., INC., Individually and as Successor to GULF OIL CORPORATION s/h/a CHEVRON U.S.A., GULF OIL and GULF OIL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (hereinafter CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/6/11

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CHEVRON U.S.A. Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 01042.00220

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4318228.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL CHARLES BENSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190320/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industies, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industies, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industies, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industies, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael Charles Benson
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-1008

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL CHARLES BENSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190320/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2011

Carl M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael Charles Benson
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-10195

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
MANUEL A. CHINEA and JANET M. CHINEA, Plaintiffs, - against - AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	Index No. 10-190323/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc. for the purpose of the claims asserted herein, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc. for the purpose of the claims asserted herein, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc. for the purpose of the claims asserted herein, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: April 14, 2011

Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

George R. Talarico, Esq.
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP
750 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
212-308-4411
Attorneys for Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190323/10

Manuel A. Chinea and Janet M. Chinea

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **HYDE MARINE, INC.** s/h/a HYDE MARINE, INC., Individually and as Successor to THE HYDE WINDLASS COMPANY AND HYDE PRODUCTS, INC. (hereinafter "HYDE MARINE, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **HYDE MARINE, INC.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **HYDE MARINE, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

~~Mark Strauss, Esq.~~
Jason ~~Hodrinsky~~, Esq. Brian Early
EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY & STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Ave., 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
HYDE MARINE, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 12606.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4289530.1

APR 12 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190323/10

Manuel A. Chinea and Janet M. Chinea

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/14/11

Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY LUCARELLI SWEENEY & STRAUSS
Attorney for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00891

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4440090.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

ISRAEL SASONI and JANET SASONI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., *et al.*, including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190327-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 15, 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700
506 - 1800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190327/10

Israel Sasoni and Janet Sasoni

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/12/11

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.01348
212 - 490-3038

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4325837.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190332/10

Wilfred Joseph Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/11

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00895

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4325824.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Jennifer Fuschetto

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C Fox

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J. Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-29642

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J. Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

542-1379

N0038955-1

MAY 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Cox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J.Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

875-0073

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Okwedeo N. Okoh, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J.Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504-0660

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan Cox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J. Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1273-34

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Hoff
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J. Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-1013

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL AND CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190332/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Wolff & Munier, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Wolff & Munier, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Wolff & Munier, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Wolff & Munier, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wilfred J. Gogel and Catherine Josephine Gogel
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

530-0077

N0038955-1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 10-190350

Bernice Seiff

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC., incorrectly s/h/a "R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc., Individually and as Successor to Gouverneur Talc Company, Inc."** hereinafter ("R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11/11

Mark G. Strauss
Early & Strauss LLC
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 09030100055

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4335490.1

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Anthony Corino and Antoinette Corino, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al. Defendants.	Index No.: **190351/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24 2011

Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Danny Kraft, Jr., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Anthony Corino and Antoinette Corino,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-27246

4834-1592-1928.1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 190357/10

----------X

GIULIO LOCCISANO and LINDA FEGGANS,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant JOY GLOBAL INC. ("JOY GLOBAL") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant JOY GLOBAL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, JOY GLOBAL be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4-18, 2011
New York, NY

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
JOY GLOBAL INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190368/10

James Guck and Joan Guck

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid)**, incorrectly s/h/a "Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Co. and/or the former Vellumoid division of Federal-Mogul Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/14/11

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Levy Phillips and Konigsberg, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal
Injury Trust, as successor in interest
to the Vellumoid Division of
Federal-Mogul Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 11121.00017

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

4290506.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190368/10

James Guck and Joan Guck

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company**, incorrectly s/h/a "Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Co. and/or the former Vellumoid division of Federal-Mogul Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/11

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal
Injury Trust as successor to Felt
Products Manufacturing Company
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 11120.00113

FILED
APR - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

4290497.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
THOMAS J. COYNE and SUZANNE COYNE, Plaintiffs, -against- ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 190399/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

212 - 302 - 2210

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

JULIO C. GARAY and ROXANNA GARAY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, INC., *et al.*, Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190404-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/7, , 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700
212 - 506 - 1800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190411/10

Patricia A. Foley and Thomas Foley

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO Corporation, incorrectly s/h/a Aviation Manufacturing Corporation individually and as successor in interest to Spencer Heater**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO Corporation** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO Corporation,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/11

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SPENCER HEATER,
a division of AVCO Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 06980.00017

FILED
MAY 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4312781.1

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Cornelius Byl and Nina Yang Byl, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190413/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24 2011

Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Cornelius Byl and Nina Yang Byl,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

1863-27376

4834-1592-1928.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RAYOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al, Defendants	NYCAL Index No.: 190416-10 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant TWC The Valve Company named in this lawsuit as Walworth TWC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant, TWC-The Valve Company, named in this lawsuit as Walworth TWC, with prejudice, and there begin no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross Claims against Defendant, TWC-The Valve Company, named in this lawsuit as Walworth TWC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York,

April 5, 2011

Allan I. Young, Esq.
PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
156 West 56th Street, Suite 2002
New York, New York 10019
Attorneys for Defendant
TWC-The Valve Company

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Joseph Williams, Esq.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Raymond Carella and Edna Carella

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Hon. Judge Presiding

APR 15 2011

2211409v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190416-10

– against –

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, Gilmour Supply Co., Inc., f/k/a B. F. Gilmour Co., a division of Davidson Pipe Supply, Inc., ("Gilmour Supply Co., Inc.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Gilmour Supply Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Gilmour Supply Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
March 8, 2011

Karl Geercken
Attorney for Defendant
Gilmour Supply Co., Inc. f/k/a
B.F. Gilmour Co., a division of Davidson Pipe Supply, Inc.
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 210-9400

Joseph Williams
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Raymond Carella and Edna Carella
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ APR 15 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ADMIN/20746354v1

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Raymond Carella and Edna Carella, Plaintiff, -against- Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, et al. Defendants.	Index No.: 190416/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/24 2011

Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Raymond Carella and Edna Carella,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-27365

APR 22 2011

4834-1592-1928.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: VOLKERT J. PETERS PLAINTIFF(S), VS. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 190423-10 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler APR 22 2011

REC'D APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

VOLKERT J. PETERS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
190423/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS CLUSTER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York
4/12, 2011

~~CHARLES FERGUSON~~ BENJAMIN DARCHE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190423/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and their agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendants"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Benjamin Darche, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036
(212) 558-5500

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD AND SMITH LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company

By: _____
Kelly A. McGee, Esq.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York, 10005
(212) 232-1300

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

4826-1217-3321.1

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Volkert J. Peters; Plaintiff, -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al. Defendants.	Index No.: **190423/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21 2011

Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Volkert J. Peters
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-27369

APR 22 2011

4834-1592-1928.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
VOLKERT J. PETERS **VS.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**	**INDEX NO.: 190423/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs. 4/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

STEVE P. FREDRICKSON

V.

AMETEK, INC., et al.

Index No: 10-190443

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY, INC. (incorrectly sued as SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to Murray)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against incorrectly named defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY, INC. (incorrectly sued as SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to Murray)** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY, INC. (incorrectly sued as SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to Murray)** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/30/11

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Erik DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No.: 009[illegible]5.0[illegible]35[illegible]

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 15 2011

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Error! Unknown document property name.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Thomas Stringer and Marcia Stringer, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 120778/01, 190444/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19/11

Peter M. Venetis , Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Stringer and Marcia Stringer,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-23252

APR 22 2011

4825-4276-9672.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH CURNYN AND NORAH CURNYN

Index No. 190462-10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Faber Burner Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Faber Burner Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Faber Burner Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 4/13, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway – 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Samuel G. Probst - President
Faber Burner Company
1000 East Bald Eagle Street
Lock Haven, PA 17745

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:
RONALD SZAMATULSKI

-against-

AMERICAN ART CLAY COMPANY, INC.; ET AL

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 11-190079

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants YUBA CITY STEEL PRODUCTS CO. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants YUBA CITY STEEL PRODUCTS CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants YUBA CITY STEEL PRODUCTS CO., be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 7, 2011

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue
20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

MARK STRAUSS, ESQ.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Ronald Szamatulski

Mason Thomas
2840 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95618-7759

Kelly L. Thomas ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
YUBA CITY STEEL PRODUCTS CO.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler J.S.C.

APR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190090/11

Lester Maklan and Sheila Maklan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, Individually and as Successor to SIEMENS ENERGY AUTOMATION, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, Individually and as Successor to SIEMENS ENERGY AUTOMATION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, Individually and as Successor to SIEMENS ENERGY AUTOMATION, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/8/11

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Eric DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, Individually and as Successor to SIEMENS ENERGY AUTOMATION, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 00965.00354

FILED
MAY - 9 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4487657.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190090/11

Lester Maklan and Sheila Maklan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in interest to MURRAY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in interest to MURRAY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in interest to MURRAY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/7/11

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Erik DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in interest to MURRAY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 00965.00354

FILED

MAY - 9 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 22 2011

4487639.1