

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 124445/02

CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of ROBERT ANDERSEN, and
CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of DOROTHY ANNE ANDERSEN,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

04.26.12



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.5337



Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert G. Andersen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SENT - FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190334/181

Virgil Laffey

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARVER PUMP COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARVER PUMP COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARVER PUMP COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/9/12



Douglas von Oiste
KARST & VON OISTE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19500 State Hwy 249, Suite 249
Houston, TX 77070



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARVER PUMP COMPANY
(281) 970-9988
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 06898.00084

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30**

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190286/2011


JOSEPH P. McCORT and MARTHA McCORT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
April 23, 2012



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTRIES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No.: 190389-2011

Frank Rugnetta and Helen Rugnetta,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("JMM") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant JMM, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant JMM be, and the same, are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 10, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENERG, P.C.


BENNETT, GIULIANO, MCDONNELL
& PERRONE, LLP

By: 
Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5778

By: 
William R. Bennett, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc.
494 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10001

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 12 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 

Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Telephone: (646) 328-0120

Facsimile: (646) 328-0121

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Z:\Casework\J-M Manufacturing Asbestos Matters\E237 Rugnetta v. J-M Manufacturing\Pleadings\NoOppSummJudgMot-012612.doc

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X
FRANK RUGNETTA and HELEN RUGNETTA,

NYCAL
Index No.: 190389-11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

Barbara Maldonado, residing at Glendale, New York being duly sworn, says that she is over the age of 18 years, that on the 1st day of May, 2012 she deposited in the post office or in a post office box regularly maintained by the government of the United States in the County of New York, State of New York, a copy of the NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION dated April 10, 2012 contained in a securely closed postpaid wrapper directed to:

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Post Office Address
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



BARBARA MALDONADO

Sworn to before me this
1st day of May, 2012



Notary Public

MATTHEW M. GORDEN
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK
No. 02GO6244387
Qualified in New York County
My Commission Expires July 05, 2015

FRANK RUGNETTA
SERVICE RIDER

Nancy McDonald, Esq.
MCELROY, DEUTCH & MULVANEY
1300 Mount Kemble Avenue
P.O. Box 2075
Morristown, NJ 07962
Telephone: (973) 993-8100
Facsimile: (973) 425-0161
Attorneys for Defendant:

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.
EATON CORPORATION, as successor -in-interest to
CUTLER-HAMMER, INC.

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 490-3000
Facsimile: (212) 490-3038
Attorneys for Defendant:

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C
80 Broad Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10004
Telephone: (212) 509-3456
Facsimile: (212) 509-4420
Attorneys for Defendant:

AURORA PUMP COMPANY
KENTILE FLOORS, INC.
OAKFABCO, INC.

Geneiveve MacSteel, Esq.
Philip Goldstein, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS, LLP
1345 Avenue of the Americas #7
New York, NY 10105
Telephone: (212) 548-2100
Facsimile: (212) 715-2315
Attorneys for Defendant:

BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY

**ITT CORPORATION
WEYERHAEUSER CO.**

Anna DiLonardo, Esq.
DENNEHY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN (LONG ISLAND)
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Suite 540
Hauppauge, NY 11788
Telephone: (631) 232-6130
Facsimile: (631) 232-6184
Attorneys for Defendant:

**BORG-WARNER CORPORATION,
by its successor-in-interest,
BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.**

Theodore Eder, Esq.
SEGAL MCCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 651-7500
Facsimile: (212) 651-7499
Attorneys for Defendant:

**BYRON JACKSON PUMPS
CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.**

James Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
520 Saw Mill Road
Elmsford, NY 10523
Telephone: (914) 345-3701
Facsimile: (914) 345-3743
Attorneys for Defendant:

CATERPILLAR, INC.

Bob Malaby, Esq.
William Bradley, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, NY 10038
Telephone: (212) 791-1791
Facsimile: (212) 791-0286
Attorneys for Defendant:

**CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC.,
successor by merger to
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION**

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY MCTIERNAN & MOORE
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10006
Telephone: (212) 608-8999
Facsimile: (212) 608-8901

Attorneys for Defendant:

CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC.
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
UTICA BOILERS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to
UTICA RADIATOR CORPORATION

Kirsten Kneis, Esq.
K & L GATES LLP
599 Lexington Ave.
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 536-3900
Facsimile: (212) 536-3901

Attorneys for Defendant:

CRANE CO.
SQUARE D COMPANY

Jennifer Darger, Esq.
Judy Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
116 East 27th Street at Park Avenue
12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 452-5300
Facsimile: (212) 452-5301

Attorneys for Defendant:

DANA COMPANIES, LLC

Steve Kevelson, Esq.
One Cozine Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11207
Attorneys for Defendant:

EMPIRE-ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP.

Christopher Hannan, Esq.
KELLEY JASONS MCGUIRE SPINELLI & HANNA, LLP
120 Wall Street, 30th Floor
New York, NY 10038
Telephone: (212) 344-7400
Facsimile: (212) 344-7402

Attorneys for Defendant:

**FMC CORPORATION,
Individually and as successor through acquisition of
CHICAGO PUMP COMPANY & NORTHERN PUMP
COMPANY**

Justin Kasmir, Esq.

AAROSON, RAPPAPORT, FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP

600 Third Avenue, 6th Floor

New York, NY 10016

Telephone: (212) 593-3937

Facsimile: (212) 593-6970

Attorneys for Defendant:

FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Michael Tanenbaum, Esq.

SEDGWICK, LLP

Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor

Newark, NJ 07102-5311

Telephone: (973) 242-0002

Facsimile: (973) 242-8099

Attorneys for Defendant:

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

Scott Emery Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL & EMERY LLP

264 West 40th Street

New York, NY 10018

Telephone: (212) 302-2400

Facsimile: (212) 302-2210

Attorneys for Defendant:

**GEORGIA PACIFIC LLC.
GOODYEAR CANADA, INC.
THE GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER COMPANY**

John Fanning, Esq.

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.

CULLEN AND DYKMAN BLEAKLEY PLATT, LLP

177 Montague Street

Brooklyn, NY 11201

Telephone: (718) 855-9000

Facsimile: (718) 935-1509

Attorneys for Defendant:

**GOULDS PUMPS, INC.
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC.**

Donald Pugliese, Esq.
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
340 Madison Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10173

Telephone: (212) 547-5400

Facsimile: (212) 547-5444

Attorneys for Defendant:

**HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC. / BENDIX**

Lisa Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Paynters Ridge Office Park
2430 Route 34 - P.O. Box 648
Manasquan, NJ 08736

Telephone: (732) 528-8888

Facsimile: (732) 528-4445

Attorneys for Defendant:

**INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
TRANE U.S. INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC.**

Robert Hafner, Esq.
ECKERT, SEAMANS, CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC.
50 South 16th Street, 22nd Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Telephone: (215) 627-0303

Facsimile: (215) 627-2551

Attorneys for Defendant:

INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORPORATION

Timothy McDonnell, Esq.
BENNETT, GIULIANO, MCDONNELL & PERRONE LLP
494 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10001

Telephone: (646) 328-0120

Facsimile: (646) 328-0121

Attorneys for Defendant:

J-M MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.

Wendy Kagan, Esq.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS
40 Patterson Street
P.O. Box 480
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Telephone: (732) 545-4717

Facsimile: (732) 545-4579

Attorneys for Defendant:
KOHLER CO.

Thomas Maimone, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, NY 11501
Telephone: (516) 390-9595
Facsimile: (516) 877-0321
Attorneys for Defendant:
MACK TRUCKS, INC.

Marc Kasowitz, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
1633 Broadway
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 506-1700
Facsimile: (212) 506-1800
Attorneys for Defendant:
MAREMOUNT CORP.

Paul Scudato, Esq.
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE
666 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10103
Telephone: (212) 753-5000
Facsimile: (212) 753-5044
Attorneys for Defendant:
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.

Philip O'Rourke, Esq.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Street
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (212) 232-1300
Facsimile: (212) 232-1399
Attorneys for Defendant:
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.

John F. Renzulli, Esq.
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10605
Home: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant:
PRATT & WHITNEY POWER SYSTEMS, INC.

Amiel Gross, Esq.
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
(212) 398-4867
fax:(212) 768-6800
Attorneys for Defendant:
RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION

Norman Golub, Esq.
MARSHALL CONWAY WRIGHT & BRADLEY, P.C.
116 John Street, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10038
Telephone: (212) 619-4444
Facsimile: (212) 962-2647
Attorneys for Defendant:
SLANT/FIN CORPORATION

Patrick Dwyer, Esq.
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN
2 Research Way
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609) 734-6186
fax:(609) 987-6651
Attorneys for Defendant:
**THE B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY,
(GOODRICH CORPORATION)**

Heidi Baker, Esq.
MCMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington St., 7th Floor
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Telephone: (212) 747-1230
Facsimile: (212) 747-1239
Attorneys for Defendant:
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

Frank A. Cecere, Esq.
AHMUTY, DEMERS & MCMANUS
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, NY 11507
Telephone: (516) 294-5433
Facsimile: (516) 535-2400
FRANK.CECERE@ADMLAW.COM
COUNSEL FOR TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

Lawrence D'Aloise, Esq.
CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
99 Court Street
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 946-8900
Facsimile: (914) 946-8960
Attorneys for Defendant:

U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

Joseph Colao, Esq.
LEADER & BERKON LLP
630 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 486-2400
Facsimile: (212) 486-3099
Attorneys for Defendant:

WARREN PUMPS, LLC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET P. CZACHOR, as Administratrix for
the Estate of LOUIS CZACHOR, and
MARGARET P. CZACHOR, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Czachor, Louis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCES CRIPPS, as Executrix for the Estate of
DONALD A. CRIPPS JR., and FRANCES
CRIPPS, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 104292/00, 125782/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cripps, Donald A. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd &

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Proposed Executrix
for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and
MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111779/04,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crawford, Harold C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rec'd & L.W.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM L. CARPENTER and BERNARDINE
CARPENTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 113809/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carpenter, William L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SK

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110478/02, 106806/02

RICHARD DAY and LINDA LEE DAY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


James B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Day, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - 110478/02
2082-10090

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EARL DALKAS, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of JENNIE DALKAS and EARL DALKAS,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dalkas, Jennie
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EARL DALKAS, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of JENNIE DALKAS and EARL DALKAS,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dalkas, Jennie
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH David & Lisa

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Uta Endress and Rolf Endres

Index No: 190034/11


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x


WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** incorrectly s/h/a
**“CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT
HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS”** (herein after **“CARRIER CORPORATION”**) hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/18/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 10557.00926

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 11 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125211/02

Nicholas C. Latzer

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10011
Our File No. 05335.28520

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190511/11

JOHN A. BEARESE AND RUTH BEARESE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/20, 2012




Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John A. Bearese and Ruth Bearese
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-24100

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL S. HELLER, as Executor for the Estate
of CHERYL L. HELLER, and MICHAEL S.
HELLER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100904/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



James B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Heller, Cheryl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the
Estates of JOHN KNESICH and MILENA
KNESICH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100920/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knesich, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SKH - nova s.d.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT E. KERNS and THELMA KERNS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105711/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kerns, Robert E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT G. JEWELL AND FRANCES A.
JEWELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 115562/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jewell, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT G. JEWELL AND FRANCES A.
JEWELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115562/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jewell, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Filed 5/14/12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT G. JEWELL and FRANCES A. JEWELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 115562/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jewell, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

1122-23987

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN H. JAKWAY and JUNE JAKWAY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 110297/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jakway, John H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115012/02, 106693/02

DONALD J. KRENTZ and IRENE G. KRENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Krentz, Donald J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the
Estates of John KNESICH and MILENA
KNESICH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100920/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knesich, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: -

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET P. CZACHOR, as Administratrix for
the Estate of LOUIS CZACHOR, and
MARGARET P. CZACHOR, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Czachor, Louis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

EX-1111

2383-26227C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL S. HELLER, as Executor for the Estate
of CHERYL L. HELLER, and MICHAEL S.
HELLER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100904/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Heller, Cheryl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALICE GOEBEL, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of EDWARD C. GOEBEL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105623/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012




Jarvie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Goebel, Edward C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 217638/92, 100300/94

RALPH GESUALDI and CLARIE GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gesualdi, Ralph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH Paul & Did: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

CONSTANCE MATTESON AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
LEONARD J. PUSC AND LORRAINE A. PUSC,
AS SPOUSE,

Index No: 06-113814

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, P&O Nedlloyd Limited hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212 dismissing plaintiff's Verified Complaint against defendant, P&O Nedlloyd Limited with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto, and it is hereby,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, P&O Nedlloyd Limited, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 19, 2011

EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FREEHILL, HOGAN & MAHAR, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
P&O Nedlloyd Limited

By: 

Darrell Wilson, Esq. *Brian Early*
Mark R. Bibro, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue., 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone No.: 212-986-2233

By:  5/1/12

John J. Walsh, Esq.
Justin T. Nastro, Esq.
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005-1759
Telephone No: (212) 425-1900


SO ORDERED: _____

378346.1

FILED
MAY 14 2012
MAY 03 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL,

Index No.: 190080-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,
COPEX-VULCAN, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
4/23, 2012




Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
COPEX-VULCAN, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - new & LW: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
BENJAMIN K. SCHAEFFER and CHARLENE
VIRGINIA SCHAEFFER,

Index No.: 109120/12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., individually
and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,**
individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (ELECTROLUX)
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against
Defendant, **ELECTROLUX** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX** be and the same are hereby dismissed
with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
4/20, 2012

Esq.
Belluck & Fox
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcyd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, Individually and
Executrix for the Estate of JOHN RONALD
FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109589/06, 114999/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Janjie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frederick, John Roland
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2082-10084

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102479/03, 127886/02

ALICE BUTERA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF VERNON DEPRIEST,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 2012

Jason S. Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

 4/18/2012

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Vernon DePriest
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

2571-1830
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

{N0137879-1}

MAY 03 2012

SKH - moved & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RALPH J. DE GEORGI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106574/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
De Georgi, Ralph J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK JACKSON AND EDNA JACKSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 109354/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jackson, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Lqd:

2383-27229

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK JACKSON AND EDNA JACKSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 109354/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jackson, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK JACKSON AND EDNA JACKSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109354/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jackson, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LEONARD POMPOSELLO and CATHERINE
POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 110859/02, 106508/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pomposello, Leonard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 10 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Recvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 105027/03

ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pizzolorusso, Albino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106694/02, 114650/02

NORMAN JAMES REINARD and EVA
REINARD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Reinard, Norman James
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rec'd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOLORES REINA, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN REINA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105559/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Reina, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOLORES REINA, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN REINA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105559/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012




Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Reina, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAUL J. COSTANZO, as Executor for the Estate
of ANGELO E. COSTANZO and MARIE L.
COSTANZO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111780/04,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Costanzo, Angelo E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - 11/30/12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY,
as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA
CIAMBRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 114518/05, 100855/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciambone, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 08 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH
8. Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY,
as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA
CIAMBRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 114518/05, 100855/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciambrone, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT CIAIO, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of ANGELO CIAIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciaio, Angelo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 09 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT CIAIO, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of ANGELO CIAIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciao, Angelo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

id: _____

Sk...

2082-11615

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate
of RICHARD VAN DERVEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100717/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/, 2012




Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Van Derveer, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - NEW & DU.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate
of RICHARD VAN DERVEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100717/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Van Derveer, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 1 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - New York

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100909/03,

JOSEPH TUCCI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tucci, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rev'd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL S. HELLER, as Executor for the Estate
of CHERYL L. HELLER, and MICHAEL S.
HELLER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100904/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Heller, Cheryl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SENT BY FAX TO: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANDREW SCHAEFER AND PEGGY
SCHAEFER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105146/03, 100731/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
M/30/12, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schaefer, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SCH - Recd & Dtd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD SAMSON and STELLA SAMSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106510/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Samson, Edward
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Id: 2082-10071

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD SAMSON and STELLA SAMSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106510/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012




Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Samson, Edward
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 13 2012
324-6430

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108111/03, 100904/03

ARNOLD D. SKOLER AND ELLA SKOLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/12, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skoler, Arnold Daniel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

2383-26226AD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104855/03,

ALFRED L. MARIN AND EVELYN MARIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/13/12, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marin, Alfred L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALFRED L. MARIN AND EVELYN MARIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marin, Alfred L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

66111 NEW YORK CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOMINIC MICKEY M. LATTUCA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 120652/99,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lattuca, Dominic Mickey M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES R. PELUSO, as Administrator for the
Estate of ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR. and JANE M.
PELUSO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105625/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peluso, Rocco L. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SMT & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LEO PALMER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100904/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30/12, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Palmer, Leo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 13 2012
SKH - Heitler & Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD J. O'ROURKE AND MARY CLARE
O'ROURKE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100908/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
O'Rourke, Edward J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Floro & Di...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALAM MOSTOW, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of SAM MOSTOW,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103643/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mostow, Sam
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 12 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROCCO MORANO, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of SABINO MORANO and CAROLINA
MORANO Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100855/03, 109264/04

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Morano, Sabino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003;
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 12 2012
SKH - Rvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 109264/04

ROCCO MORANO, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of SABINO MORANO and CAROLINA
MORANO Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Morano, Sabino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ~~2082-11634~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 105027/03

ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pizzolorusso, Albino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 11 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM PISANO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ABEX CORPORATION, *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 190077/11,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
424, 2012



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
PISANO, WILLIAM
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Carmen Victoria St. George

FILED

MAY 1 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

542-1407

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190077/11

WILLIAM PISANO,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ABEX CORPORATION, et al.,


Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Nash Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012



Okwede N. Okoh, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Nash Engineering Company
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Carmen Victoria St. George
LEVY, PHILIPS & KONGISBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William Pisano
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

505-0471

MAY 03 2012

SKH - hcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NELLIE M. RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate
of SALVATORE RAGAON and NELLIE
RAGONE, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03, 116190/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ragone, Salvatore
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NELLIE M. RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate
of SALVATORE RAGAON and NELLIE
RAGONE, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100855/03, 116190/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ragone, Salvatore
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100731/03, 105150/03

ERNESTO RIVERA, JR. AND BLANCA
RIVERA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rivera, Ernesto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Recd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ERNESTO RIVERA, JR. AND BLANCA
RIVERA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100731/03, 105150/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rivera, Ernesto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100731/03, 105150/03

ERNESTO RIVERA, JR. AND BLANCA
RIVERA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rivera, Ernesto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKM - River & Dtd: _____

1122-23978

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114650/02, 106694/02

NORMAN JAMES REINARD and EVA
REINARD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Reinard, Norman James
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

did: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of CHARLES F. RYBACKI, and
JOAN A. RYBACKI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 116797/07, 106706/02;
114857/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


James B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rybacki, Charles F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, AS Personal Representative
for the Estate of CHARLES F. RYBACKI and
JOAN A. RYBACKI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 116797/07, 106706/02;
114857/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rybacki, Charles F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KATHLEEN FIK, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of WILLIAM F. RYAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 103411/00,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ryan, William F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSEMARY NEWTON, as Executor for the
Estate of ERNEST R. ROMANOFSKI and JOAN
ROMANOFSKI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 106579/02, 113079/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Romanofski, Ernest R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SM: [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANDREW SCHAEFER AND PEGGY
SCHAEFER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105146/03, 100731/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schaefer, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

2383-26196AI

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANDREW SCHAEFER AND PEGGY
SCHAEFER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105146/03, 100731/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schaefer, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108111/03, 100904/03

ARNOLD D. SKOLER AND ELLA SKOLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
M/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skoler, Arnold Daniel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN L. SHAW AND KAREN ANN SHAW,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108205/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
M/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shaw, John L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 104543/03

FRANK SNYDER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryan M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Snyder, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112709/02, 106578/02

CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES
SNECKENBURG, and CATHERINE
SNECKENBURG, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sneckenburg, Charles
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112709/02, 106578/02

CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES
SNECKENBURG and CATHERINE
SNECKENBURG, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sneckenburg, Charles
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

324-6732AJ

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 105027/03

ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pizzolorusso, Albino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SAY - ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NELLIE M. RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate
of SALVATORE RAGAON and NELLIE
RAGONE, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03, 116190/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ragone, Salvatore
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108610/00, 122205/99

RICHARD J. POTTER and SUSAN POTTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Janie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Potter, Richard J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2082-10038

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108111/03, 100904/03

ARNOLD D. SKOLER AND ELLA SKOLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skoler, Arnold Daniel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the
Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA
CERRONI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100854/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cerroni, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - FROG & DICK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY CELESTE and CONCETTA
CELESTE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106508/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Celeste, Anthony
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - HOW & DUG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD CARRIERO and ROSEMARIE
CARRIERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109689/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012




Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carriero, Donald
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SNY - HOLD & DEL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Proposed Executrix
for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and
MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111779/04,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crawford, Harold C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & W...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108196/02, 114082/05

ELLEN A. COX, Individually and Executrix for
the Estate of PHILIP R. COX,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cox, Philip R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Floyd & ENGEL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK J. COSTELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105874/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Costello, Frank J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 02 2012
SKH - 10003

2082-10057

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/11

RONALD SZAMATULSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiff
Ronald Szamatulski
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

2082-11430

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET
STERNER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 106706/02, 114859/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sterner, Donald L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

IRVING SPITZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 106266/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Irving Spitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100731/03, 105144/03

DOMINICK SODANO AND JUDITH SODANO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sodano, Dominick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1122-23981

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 104543/03

FRANK SNYDER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Snyder, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2383-29676

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 104543/03

FRANK SNYDER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/25/12, 2012




Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Snyder, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012
Snyder, Frank & Diller

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106708/02, 116076/02

RICHARD A. CHIMERA and SHIRLEY G.
CHIMERA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chimera, Richard Anthony
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116076/02, 106708/02

RICHARD A. CHIMERA and SHIRLEY G.
CHIMERA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MBS, 2012




Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chimera, Richard Anthony
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the
Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA
CERRONI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100854/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cerroni, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILBUR W. SMITH AND BETTY SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 108586/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smith, Wilbur W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 08 2012

1122-2276

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK T. SKRABACZ and MARTHA
SKRABACZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 190400/10,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/28/12, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skrabacz, Frank T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKR - new & bid:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH TUCCI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100909/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tucci, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____
2082-11801

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100909/03,

JOSEPH TUCCI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tucci, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Ltd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100741/03, 102871/03

CARL T. TRUBIO AND FRANCES TRUBIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Trubio, Carl T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SMITH HORN & DID: _____

1122-23982

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118136/98

Edward DeVita

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/12/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKERSON LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01998

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER and DONNA
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 108985/02, 105871/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012




James B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wimmer, Thomas Michael
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Filed & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HENRY WILLIS and BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 106695/02, 118528/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012




Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Willis, Henry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110509/03, 100864/03

STEVE D. WHITE AND DEANNE WHITE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
White, Steve D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 11 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 11 2012
SKri - Hevd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SARAH WEAVER, as Executrix for the Estate of
DAVID WEAVER and SARAH WEAVER,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 105871/02, 108982/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/20/12, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weaver, David
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY WARSNITER, Individually and as
Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH
WARSNITER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108209/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warszniter, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - new & old

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate
of RICHARD VAN DERVEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100717/03,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/, 2012


Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Van Derveer, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the
Estate of WALTER URBANIK and THERESA
URBANIK, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100915/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Urbanik, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 13 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Nova & Digi

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Proposed Executrix
for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and
MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 111779/04,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crawford, Harold C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET WARDELL, as Proposed Executrix
for the Estate of ELMONT WARDELL and
MARGARET Wardell, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wardell, Elmont
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1122-2930

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS J. WALSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 103811/01,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


James B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walsh, Thomas J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100988/04
111940/03

ANGEL LAMBERTY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - nova & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 110859/02

Leonard P. Pomposello

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/17, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106508/02

Leonard P. Pomposello


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

4/17, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HEITLER & BLAU

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 118718/01

Leonard Pomposello


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/17, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 111233/01


Leonard P. Pomposello


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/17, 2012


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HOWE & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102477/03
127886/02

Howard E. James Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/16/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.295

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - [unclear] - [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100234/03
106359/03

Ronald E. Hoeft

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/17/12




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2994

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100229/03

Robert M. Hill

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29556

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 103796/04

JOSEPH GANISIN

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119987/03

ANGELO T. GENTILE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Boyd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 115705/03

JOSEPH G. DUFOUR

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30**

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100867/04

ROBERT D. FREEMAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 104610/04
111940/03

STANLEY J. MASTERS

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - h...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 101357/04

PATRICK W. LOWDEN JR.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Floyd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110528/04
111626/03

KENNETH SHIRLEY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106708/02, 116079/02

JAMES P. CAHILL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cahill, James P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106708/02, 116079/02

JAMES P. CAHILL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012




Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cahill, James P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 09 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dm.

2082-10087

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M.
BUCKLEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 106508/02, 110848/02


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Buckley, John C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190511/11

JOHN A. BEARESE AND RUTH BEARESE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/20, 2012



Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John A. Bearese and Ruth Bearese
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0137994-1}

FILED
MAY 03 2012 MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 115-23760

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the
Estates of JOHN KNESICH and MILENA
KNESICH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100920/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knesich, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Lrd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100855/03, 109264/04

ROCCO MORANO, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of SABINO MORANO and CAROLINA
MORANO Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Morano, Sabino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
SKH - HOW & L.W.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110305/02, 105715/02

KENNETH G. MILLER and JUDY ANN
MILLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Miller, Kenneth G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED


MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rowd & D...

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114873/02, 106706/02

EDWARD M. MC INERNEY, JR. and
BERNADETTE M. MCINERNEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mc Inerney, Edward M. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 03 2012
SKM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107401/02, 113480/02

WILLIAM A. MATICE AND SUSAN MATICE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Matice, William A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1235-4968

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALFRED L. MARIN AND EVELYN MARIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104855/03,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12, 2012




Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marin, Alfred L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Flores & Kluger

2383-26239

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SALVATORE FIGLIOLIA,

x
Index No. 106706-2002

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~MAY 2, 2012~~


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MICHAEL A. CORVINO,

Index No. 107007-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MARION CHRISTON, as Administratrix for the Estate of
JOHN G. CHRISTON, and MARION CHRISTON, Individually,

x
Index No. 106964-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.& S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter
"Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse without prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 2, 2012




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH: [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ROBERT HINES,

x
Index No. 120432-2001

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH Rev. & Ltd. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ALBERTO HIDALGO,

x
Index No. 120432-2001

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - 1103 & 1104

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

KENNETH R. HALLERAN

X
Index No. 107007-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~May 2, 2012~~

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOWARD H. HABERER,

X
Index No. 105713-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C.& S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.

Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RAYMOND JOSEPH JABLONSKI,

x

Index No. 106999-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~MAY 2, 2012~~

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JOHN J. HUDSON,

x
Index No. 107006-2002

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JOHN VANSTON,

x
Index No. 105871-2002

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C.& S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RONALD S. TANNER and PHYLLIS TANNER

x
Index No. 105875-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C.& S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Ltd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MICHELLE PIZZUTO, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN W. MAHNKEN,

X
Index No. 106706-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Row & uid: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

THOMAS J. LUISA, as Executor for the Estate of ALBERT A. LUISA,

x
Index No. 106695-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Ld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Joseph P. McCort and Martha McCort,

Index No.: 11-190286

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. Smith Water Products Company, et al.,


Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/19, 2012


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558.5500


Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10017
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES L. CHIDESTER

Index No.: 190293-11

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

A.O Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendant(s).
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CUNO Incorporated, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CUNO Incorporated with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CUNO Incorporated be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 27, 2012


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500


Alex A. Toribio, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CUNO Incorporated
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10017
212.609.6800

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE RISO, JR.

Index No.: 105151/03

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 25, 2012



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500



Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800


FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

x

MICHAEL H. WILSON,

Index No. 106578-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SENT - HOW & DID: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x
William E. Duffy and Penny Duffy, Index No. 190482-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Bell & Gossett Company et al., including
Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant **Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DANIEL YOUNG as Administrator for the Estate of
BILLY GENE YOUNG,

X
Index No. 190452-2011

-against- Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER AS AGAINST DEFENDANT
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION et al., including
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,


Defendants.

_____ X


WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11/12


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 13 2012
SKH - hcvd & Uld: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

KARL H. BECKSTED,

x
Index No. 106708-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - BROWN & LINT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

x

Salvatore Cugno and Carmela Cugno,
Plaintiff(s),
-against-

Index No. 190407-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

American Sugar Refining Inc. et al., including
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012

Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & von Oiste LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19500 State Hwy 249, Suite 420
Houston, Texas 77070

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 13 2012

SKH - FLOW & LIT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Salvatore Cugno and Carmela Cugno,
Plaintiff(s),
-against-

x
Index No. 190407-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

American Sugar Refining Inc. et al., including
Foster Wheeler, LLC,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012



Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & von Oiste LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19500 State Hwy 249, Suite 420
Houston, Texas 77070




Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HONORARY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CONRAD FROMM and MARY FROMM,

x
Index No. 107007-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 14 2012

MAY 2, 2012





COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 11 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2012

SKH - FROMM & DILLON

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HERBERT L. FRIETSCH,

Index No. 106508-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.& S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~May 2, 2012~~



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 13 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CHARLES E. FRENCH and BESSIE FRENCH,

x

Index No. 105716-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Lrd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FREDERICO FOCELLA and JOSEPHINE FOCELLA,

x
Index No. 106695-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


~~MAY 2 2012~~


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 14 2012

Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X

This Document Relates To: :

COSMO CASAREGOLA, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & :
Manufacturing Co., and :
SAINT GOBAIN ADVANCED CERAMICS :
CORPORATION, successor of merger with :
Carborundum Specialty Products Inc. f/k/a Norton :
Company, Unifrax Corporation f/k/a Carborundum :
Company and SAINT-GOBAIN CORPORATION et al. :

Defendants. :
----- X

Index No.: 190421/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants SAINT GOBAIN ADVANCED CERAMICS CORPORATION, successor of merger with Carborundum Specialty Products Inc. f/k/a Norton Company, Unifrax Corporation f/k/a Carborundum Company and SAINT-GOBAIN CORPORATION (hereinafter "Saint-Gobain") by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 1, 2012



Lori Benavides, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022



Robert A. Schaefer, Jr., Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendants
SAINT GOBAIN ADVANCED
CERAMICS CORPORATION, successor
of merger with Carborundum Specialty
Products Inc. f/k/a Norton Company,
Unifrax Corporation f/k/a Carborundum
Company and
SAINT-GOBAIN CORPORATION
100 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

New York Asbestos
Litigation (NYCAL)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190156-12

-----X
FRANK DELISE AND VIRGINIA DELISE,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/2, 2012

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE
& DISIPIO

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: [Signature]
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335
New York, New York 10170
(212) 319-6898
*Attorneys for Defendant,
Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.*

By: [Signature]
Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Frank Delise and Virginia Delise*

FILED

SO-ORDERED: _____

[Signature]

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
COSMO CASAREGOLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.

-----x
Defendants.

Index No.: 190421/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 1, 2012
New York, New York


Lori A. Benavides, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 11th floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


David F. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 03 2012
SKH - HCW & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEE ROY GARLICK and NONA DEE GARLICK

Index No. 190046/12


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Norie Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Hevd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL P. GILL

Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 5th, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriei Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Heitler & Bid: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL P. GILL

Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 13 2012
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL P. GILL

Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 5th, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriei Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREA HAYWARD, as Proposed Administratrix for the
Estate of HENRY J. HAYWARD JR., and ANDREA
HAYWARD, Individually

Index No. 126037/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD GRANT


Index No. 100396/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-25, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherrill Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREA HAYWARD, as Proposed Administratrix for the
Estate of HENRY J. HAYWARD JR., and ANDREA
HAYWARD, Individually

Index No. 126037/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

MAY 14 2012

SKH - FCV & DD: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PETER HEINTZ, as Executor for the Estate of
THEODORE W. HEINTZ, and CATHLEEN HEINTZ,
Individually,

Index No. 115312/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - 115312/03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PETER HEINTZ, as Executor for the Estate of
THEODORE W. HEINTZ, and CATHLEEN HEINTZ,
Individually,

Index No. 115312/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Shery Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD KELTY


Index No. 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse
of KATHLEEN JANITS, and KATHLEEN JANITS,
Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of FRANK
JANITS


Index No. 190084/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner
Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed
with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 4th, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROLLAND E. HICKEY and BERNICE A. HICKEY


Index No. 125965/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hempstead, NY 11552

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEMARY WOSSNER, as Executrix for the Estate of
AUGUST WOSSNER JR., and ROSEMARY WOSSNER
Individually

Index No. 126292/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEMARY WOSSNER, as Executrix for the Estate of
AUGUST WOSSNER JR., and ROSEMARY WOSSNER
Individually


Index No. 126292/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 09 2012

EXPIRES 5/14/12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

HERBERT SUSSMAN and LENORE SUSSMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION,
by its Successor-in-interest
BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
Index No.: 190102-12

IAS Justice:
Hon. Sherry Klein
Heitler


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York

4/23/12


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Lance Perez, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, New York 11501

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

DOLORES REINA, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of
JOHN REINA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

NYCAL

Index No. 105559/02

IAS Justice:

Hon. Sherry Klein
Heitler

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York

4/23/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Thomas C. Maimone, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, New York 11501



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 03 2012 NEW YORK

Stamp: *Sherry Klein Heitler*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: : NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
LOUIS KINSELLA and ETHEL KINSELLA, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
: :
Plaintiff(s), : Index No.: 108806-03
: :
-against- : :
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
: :
Defendants. :

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/27/12
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6050
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Printed - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD J. STUFANO


Index No. 107403/02
114090/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 1 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 02 2012

By:  & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD J. STUFANO


Index No. 107403/02
114090/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Norrel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Printed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Emma Rokitka, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of Francis J.
Rokitka,

Index No.: 112711/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering
Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/24, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Emma Rokitka, Individually and
as Executrix for the Estate of
Francis J. Rokitka
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-289

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

& Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BILLIE W. SELF and CYNTHIA L. SELF

Index No. 127400/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anra M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 08 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - HCVG & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BILLIE W. SELF and CYNTHIA L. SELF


Index No. 127400/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/29/12, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLeonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

MAY 09 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Filed & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of
FRANK J. STILA


Index No. 103869/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 09 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of
FRANK J. STILA

Index No. 103869/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 13 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL P. GILL

Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goetz
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 14 2012
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Revd & Ltr.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER C. RUMSEY

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 28, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Ltr.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER

Index No. 113287/98

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Nova & Ditt

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Index No. 190391/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 19, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-
by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 13 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER

Index No. 113287/98

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 19, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wetz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

CMH - Floyd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BENJAMIN SCHAEFFER and CHARLENE
SCHAEFFER


Index No. 190120/12


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/16, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - fcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD GRANT


Index No. 100396/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-25, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES FUNICELLO

Index No. 126681/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veteran Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 03 2012 DRK

MAY 14 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

New York Asbestos
Litigation (NYCAL)

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190156-12

-----X
FRANK DELISE AND VIRGINIA DELISE,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/2/12, 2012

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE
& DISIPIO

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: 
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335
New York, New York 10170
(212) 319-6898
*Attorneys for Defendant,
Nissan North America, Inc.*

By: 
Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
*Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Frank Delise and Virginia Delise*

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO-ORDERED: _____

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW-YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HOVD & BLS.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No.: 115312/2003
100861/2003

PETER HEINTZ, as Executor for the Estate of THEODORE
W. HEINTZ and CATHLEEN HEINTZ, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York *04.26.12*
Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Theodore W. Heintz
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 344-5461

Paul B. Josephs
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
Keeler-Dorr-Oliver Boiler Company
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED *[Signature]*

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL

:
:
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

PATRICK MYERS


:
:
Index No.: 103452-02

:
:
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Alfred Sargente Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 Ext. 15

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I.A.S. Part 30
-----X	:	(Heitler, S.)
	:	
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
JOHN J. O'BRIEN	:	Index No(s): 102837-02
	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Alfred Sargente, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 201

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	:
This document relates to:	:
	:
WILLIAM FRANKLIN	:
	:
	Index No.: 100592-00
	:
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND ORDER
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/12

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy
Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501-Ext. 21

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

WILLIAM BERTON

Index No.: 119810-02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy
Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL

:
:
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

WILLIAM DOWNS

:
:
Index No.: 121569/00


:
:
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/12/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 Ext. 25

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012


SKH - Recd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	:
This document relates to:	:
	:
FRANK WEBER	:
	:
	:
	:
	:
-----X	Index No.: 103447/02
	:
	:
	:
	:
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24/12


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Alfred Sargente, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-1501 Ext. 15
FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
Skn - Hcvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
:
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

MICHAEL O. FLYNN


Index No.: 104304/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Alfred Sargente, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 991 4501 Ext. 15

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

FILED - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
:
:
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

ANGELINA VINTICINQUO

Index No(s): 190314/10


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq. 
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 02 2012

Filed Dtd: _____


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	:
This document relates to:	:
	:
ROLAND LADIEU	:
	Index No(s): 106508-02 110790-02
	:
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	MOTION AND ORDER
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24/12


 Frank Ortiz, Esq.
 Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 700 Broadway
 New York, New York 10003
 (212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012


 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK
 Alfred Sargente, Esq.
 SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
 Attorneys for Defendant,
 Pneumo Abex LLC
 90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
 New York, New York 10004
 212 981-4501 Ext 15

SO ORDERED,


 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

JOSEPH T. SANDER

Index No.: 190391-2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy
Sara Murphy, Esq.

SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 Ext. 25

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 09 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HENRY BLACK CALEB,

x

Index No. 105871-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SKH - RCW & LW

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FRANK CALDERONE,

x
Index No. 120392-2001

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

DAVID A. LITTERELLO, as Executor for the Estate
of ANTHONY A. LITTERELLO, and DAVID
LITTERELLO, as Personal Representative for the
Estate of CLARA LITTERELLO,

x
Index No. 117281-1999

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

-against-


A.C&S INC., et al. including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation")
hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being
no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster
Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Robert Gordon, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500



Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 23 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS
LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

KATHLEEN M. KRISTAN as Personal
Representative for the Estate of EDWARD W.
KRISTAN JR. and KATHLEEN M. KRISTAN,
Individually, et al.,

Index Nos. 106437-2000
121981-1999

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

~~against~~

A.C.&S INC., et al. including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation")
hereby requested summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and
there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster
Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/30/12


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - non...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

ARTHUR LETTOW,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190437-2011

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.G. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al. including,
CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a VIACOM
INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, a
Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPROATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc.,
successor by Merger To CBS Corporation, F/K/A
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rova & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

ARTHUR LETTOW,

X
Index No. 190437-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al. including,
FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

_____ X

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 2, 2012

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for FOSTER WHEELER LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

ARTHUR LETTOW,

X
Index No. 190437-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al. including,
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ X

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 2, 2012

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - 190437-2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JEANNE ENGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM
ENGLE, Individually,

x
Index No. 121248-2003

-against- Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER AS AGAINST DEFENDANT
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,


Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/14/12


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Received

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RONALD P. LENAHAJ

x
Index No. 120388-2001

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rova & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

EDWARD LAZORE,

X
Index No. 106808-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all codefendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DAVE L. JAMES, as Executor for the Estate of DAVE JAMES,
SR.,

x
Index No. 106580-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al., et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor
by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
(hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
Westinghouse without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 2, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware
corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger
to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111588/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

EUGENE BREETVELD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4-25, 2012
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Frank Ortiz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

CHUN HING LEE,

Index No.: 190082/12

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,
et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5/2/12


James C. Long, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - HOWA & LTD: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190357/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

VINCENT CARROLL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/27, 2012
New York, New York



Douglas Von Oiste, Esq. *Charles Ferguson*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Nova & Ltd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 127888/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAVID DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/30, 2012
New York, New York


Robert Weitzberg, Esq. Michael F. Farrell.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190120/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BENJAMIN SCHAEFFER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/24, 2012
New York, New York


Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR
New York, NY 10036
(888) 808-0428


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 128019/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES E. REMINGTON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4-24, 2012
New York, New York


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rovo & D...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126937/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANDREW KING,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1-12, 2012
New York, New York



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ~~Daniel M. Wroblewski, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126934/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GERALD F. KELTY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/26, 2012
New York, New York


Adam Dreksler, Esq. *Frank Ortiz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT,

Index No.: 190286/11

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

Apr 12 2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Tomachukwu N. Acholonu, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE LINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOHN E. CISLER and CAROL CISLER

Index No.: 190044/12

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES

Index No.: 190413/11

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant CompuDyne Corporation, Individually, and as successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

4/30/12

Peter Pandina, Esq. Michael Formis
Weitz & Luxenberg PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111626/03; 113756/03

MARION MOULTRIE

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Harrison, New York

0426, 2012


SUZANNE RATCHLIFFE, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WALTER VARA and MARILYN BEATRICE VARA,

Index No.: 190512-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,
COPEX-VULCAN, INC. et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, COPEX-VULCAN, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  White Plains, New York
7/26, 2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
COPEX-VULCAN, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060
Our File No.: 089-511 GSH

So Ordered:  _____

J.S.C.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
NANCY J. SANTINI, individually and as the Executrix
of the Estate of ANTHONY SANTINI

Index No.: 109973/05

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., et al


Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

4/24, 2012


Carmen St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200


CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: 

J.S.C.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Howe & Lamb

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GEORGE T. HOBBS and MARIE JANE HOBBS,

Index No.: 190030-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

SPIRAX SARCO, INC., (Individually and as Successor
to Sarco Company, Inc.), et al

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, (Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company, Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

4/16, 2012

Mark Bibró, Esq.
Early & Strauss
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Fl
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and
as Successor to Sarco Company, Inc.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____

J.S.C.

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rova

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LAURA BARRY and PAMELA JARDIM, as Co-
Executrices for the Estate of RICHARD F. TOBIN
And MARY TOBIN, Individually,

Index No. 190014/09

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,
SPIRAX SARCO INC., Individually and as Successor
to SARCO COMPANY, et al

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as
Successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SPIRAX SARCO") hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,
SPIRAX SARCO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO** be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York
~~April 23~~, 2012



Chris Muller, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10038
(212) 681-1575



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
Successor to Sarco Company
55 Church Street, Suite 21
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rec'd & Lid: _____

089-701

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

GARY PAUL VAN NOTE and JANE M. VAN NOTE,

Index No.: 190011-12

Plaintiffs,

APR 25 2012

- against -

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION

ALFA LAVAL, INC., ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS,
INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,


Defendants.

X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter "ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

4/20, 2012 

Mark G. Struass, Esq.
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Fl
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: MAY 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105958/03
128019/02

Fred M. Earl

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29417

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 09 2012
SKH - Roys & Ltd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126687/02
104644/03

Francis R. Defilippis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/16/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29165

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105917/04
121477/02

Charles Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/16/12


Matthew McIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HONG & DOD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126292/02

August F. Wossner Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/16/12




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28263

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Nova & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100336/03

David Niemczyk

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/13/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29756

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100083/03

Britt L. Morton

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/13/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29536

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100727/03

Albert V. Monroe II

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/13/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29835

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Howa & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102171/03
127887/02

James W. McDonald Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/16/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29604

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Row & Dtd: →

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 117445/03

JAMES SANTACROCE SR.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 116466/03

CHARLES SALERNO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Reynolds Metals

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 114680/03

JOHN S. ROBINSON

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 121252/03

PAUL A. SMOLLEY SR.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 17 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY**
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 118280/03

FRANCIS J. SMITH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Sktf - Recvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119780/03

ANTHONY SIRICO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190118/11

Joseph Adams and Barbara Adams

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor in Interest to Bryant Heating & Cooling Systems**, (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/18/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION

150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017


Our File Number: 10557.00975

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Howa & Ltd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100287/03

Robert F. Nugent

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/12/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29533

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103872/03
126682/02

Luisa Rotolo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29292

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125969/02

Paul P. Rolince Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28679

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104029/03
126686/02

Frederick A. Porta


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/20/12


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29338

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104610/03
126687/02

James G. Perrini

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/20/12




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29195

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190444/10
120778/01

Thomas Stringer and Marcia Stringer

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor in Interest to Bryant Heating and Cooling Systems**, (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/20/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LL
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00919

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190117/11

Albert Mayce and Maryann Mayce

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **CARRIER CORPORATION**, Individually, and as **Successor in Interest to Bryant Heating & Cooling Systems**, (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/19/12


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00969

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190160/11

Stephen A. Martin and Brigid Martin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor in Interest to Bryant Heating & Cooling Systems, (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/19/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00984

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 13 2012

SKH - Recd & Lid: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190058/11

Firmino Marques

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **CARRIER CORPORATION**, Individually, and as Successor in Interest to Bryant Heating & Cooling Systems, (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/19/12




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017

Our File Number: 10557.00948 MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

5107 - Heitler & Lid.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104155/03
126935/02

Martin Rothstein


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 10, 2012




Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29248

FILED
MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HONG & LEE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 128024/02

Richard Vosseler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 16, 2012




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29546

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Filed & Del.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190123/12

Robert Simmons, as Executor of the Estate of Stephen
Lewis Simmons, Deceased


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a "SIEMENS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Powers" (hereinafter "SIEMENS CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **SIEMENS CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **SIEMENS CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

4/4/12



Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036



Erik DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190265/11


Edward Kuczvara and Muriel Kuczvara


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a
“**CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT
HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS**” (herein after “**CARRIER CORPORATION**”) hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/12


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Carrier Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01039

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114369/04

Marilyn Ploeckelmann, Individually and as Administratrix
to the Estate of Sidney William Ploeckelmann.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

4/3/12



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036




Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00766

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190046/11

Julian Alfonso and Theresa Alfonso

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **CARRIER CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS** (hereinafter "**CARRIER CORPORATION**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/18/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00944

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100234/03
116293/04

Michael A. Lucadamo


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4-29-2012



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Frank M. Ortiz Esq.



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2994



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 09 2012

SKH - Ford & Ltd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106508/02
110788/02

James E. Keahon

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25442

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118977/02
106695/02

John A. Gentile

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25279

FILED
MAY 14 2012



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 128019/02
105929/03

Roger C. Rumsey


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 16, 2012



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29446

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190497/11


Aurelio Torres

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/17/12



David Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 07415:0000

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dia: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110009-03


Neil Walker


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in
interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001
FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKIPPED BY [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190049/12

John E. Andrews, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant AVIALL, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AVIALL, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AVIALL, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/12


Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036


Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AVIALL, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 07040.00029

FILED
MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 09 2012
SKH - Rova & Digi

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----- x
FLORA JOAN KERN, as Executrix to the Estate of
EDWARD F. KERN,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.S.C.)

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190203/10

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


Defendants.
----- x


WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 19 2012


Robert Komitor, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in
interest to Anaconda Wire &
Cable Co.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.17920

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----- x
JAMES L. JASON, Trustee of the Trust of LOUIS
JASON, deceased,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.S.C.)

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190185/10

-against-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing
Co., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Defendants.
----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 19 2012



Robert Komitor, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022



Julie R. Eyans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in
interest to **Anaconda Wire &
Cable Co.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.17919

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
S. Heitler & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114369/04

Marilyn Ploeckelmann, Individually and as Administratrix
to the Estate of Sidney William Ploeckelmann.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 4/3/12



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00062

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Hoya & Dui: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190215/11


Edward Sadowski and Alberta Sadowski

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors"** (herein after **"AMERICAN BILTRITE INC."**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/3/12



Michael Roberts, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00055

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HOWE & HOWE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106696/04


Joan M. Wolter, as Fiduciary for the Estate of John Jay
Wolter, deceased, and Joam M. Wolter, Individually.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/3/12



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00367

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Row & Ltd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190049/12


John E. Andrews, Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

B/23/12


Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Row & Ltd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126937/02
105582/03

David Weissman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104604/03
126687/02

Louis A. Spina

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29201

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127409/02

Billie W. Self

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21997

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Stamp: ...id: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104037/03
126686/02

Marcel H. Scanlon

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/23/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29346

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100396/03
114010/03

Edward J. Grant

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4-25-2012


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *Daran W. Weitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29491

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107103/02

Lawrence G. Manning

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/26/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27915

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Stamp with arrow pointing right

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126713/02
110270/02

Dale L. Lyons

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2751

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 13 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103178/03
124445/02

Ralph F. Luisi

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28535

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190447/11

Wendell Corwin Sr. and Alice Corwin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a **"AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors"** (herein after **"AMERICAN BILTRITE INC."**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4-23-12



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00108

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Novak & Ltd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190447/11

Wendell Corwin Sr. and Alice Corwin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a
"**CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT
HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS**" (herein after "**CARRIER CORPORATION**") hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.


Dated: 4-23-12



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Carrier Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01107

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY**
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113686/04
 111622/03

JOSEPH SORRENTINO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100725/03

Salvatore F. Blando

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/12/12




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0173

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 03 2012
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100738/03

Richard W. Amthor

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29767

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Howa & Uld: _____

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES A. ROBINETT,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 110830/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DONALD W. RIDDELL,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 127345/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEIL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

MAY 14 2012

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HON. & CLERK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 118004/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 06 2012
SKH - Rcvd. Dtd.
FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126681/02, 103530/03

LAMAR CHANDLER AND DOROTHY
CHANDLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 14, 2012



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Weitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chandler, Lamar
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190338/10

Rosalie Gennosa and Joseph Gennosa

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/5/12


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00886

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rova & Liu: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190340/10

Joseph Gennosa and Rosalie Gennosa

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEMS (herein after "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/5/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00887

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rove & Dtd: _____

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190297/10

Robert Thater

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **CARRIER CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS**, (herein after "**CARRIER CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/5/12



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.00866

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKG - Pava & DW: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190114/12

Robert J. Dombrowski and Marjorie Dombrowski

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/6/12

Patti Burshtyn
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
Carrier Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01165

SO ORDERED, Sherry K. Heitler
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Recd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190297/11

Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **INTERNATIONAL COMFORT PRODUCTS LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **INTERNATIONAL COMFORT PRODUCTS LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **INTERNATIONAL COMFORT PRODUCTS LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/4/12


Jessica Russell, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, N.Y., 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL COMFORT
PRODUCTS LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No.: 10557.01060

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
BELLUCK & FOX LLP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190049/12

John E. Andrews, Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **AVCO CORPORATION, A Textron Lycoming Division**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AVCO CORPORATION, A Textron Lycoming Division**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AVCO CORPORATION, A Textron Lycoming Division**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York




Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036



Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AVCO CORPORATION, A Textron
Lycoming Division
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190376/11


Robert Marchesona and Rita Marchesona

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/4/12


Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01090

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190391/11

Joseph T. Sander and Joan Sander

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/6/12


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.01098

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
SKH - RCvd & Jld:
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100286/03

Joseph Posster


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/9/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29531

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sheryl K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100610/03

Robert Roccabruna


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/9/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29735

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**LAWRENCE STERN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 119111/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rova & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100731/03

Michael Carlin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29849

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - RCVA & C.V.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105546/03
100087/03

Domenick Callo

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29635

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI and MARJORIE
DOMBROWSKI


Index No. 190114/12


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY DIRUSSO and CANDIDA DIRUSSO


Index No. 105614/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/17, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY DIRUSSO and CANDIDA DIRUSSO

Index No. 105614/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 17, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hempstead, NY 11558

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Regal & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL DEVICO


Index No. 122687/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127888/02
102362/03

David Davidson


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Michael Finelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29691

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
Sent - How & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GILBERTO ARCE and MARIA HERNANDEZ OLIVO


Index No. 127677/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GILBERTO ARCE and MARIA HERNANDEZ OLIVO


Index No. 127677/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN S. ARONSON and SARA ARONSON


Index No. 126686/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD H. CAUGHELL JR. as Executor for the Estate
of GERALD H. CAUGHELL SR. and MARGARET JEAN
CAUGHELL, Individually


Index No. 105602/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD H. CAUGHELL JR. as Executor for the Estate
of GERALD H. CAUGHELL SR. and MARGARET JEAN
CAUGHELL, Individually

Index No. 105602/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wertz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012
CKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. CASSIDY

Index No. 126934/02
104417/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. CASSIDY

Index No. 126934/02
104417/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS V. CARROLL

Index No. 100232/03
106364/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 03 2012
SKM - Roid & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS V. CARROLL

Index No. 100232/03
106364/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

MA - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES


Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rova & Lig:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAMAR CHANDLER


Index No. 126681/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAMAR CHANDLER

Index No. 126681/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Nonel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

111 - Blvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SILVIO CESTRA

Index No. 126934-02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID DAVIDSON


Index No. 127888/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/10, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 23 2012 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH-n

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID DAVIDSON


Index No. 127888/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/10, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SM - ROW 8

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES

Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 08 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rova & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL DEVICO

Index No. 122687/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALICE BUTERA, as Executrix for the Estate of VERNON
DePRIEST,

Index No. 127886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/17, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff 4/10/2012
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 08 2012
SKH - Rogo & Ltd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALICE BUTERA, as Executrix for the Estate of VERNON
DePRIEST,

Index No. 127886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/17, 2012

 4/10/2012
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Norie Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 03 2012 NEW YORK

SKH - Rova & Ltd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCIS R. DEFILIPPIS


Index No. 126687/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

2011 - ROW 6 0311

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCIS R. DEFILIPPIS


Index No. 126687/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI and MARJORIE
DOMBROWSKI

Index No. 190114/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 118350/03
111831/03

ANTHONY BARRETTA

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 104852/04
111941/03

FRANK AMBROSIO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110257/04
111939/03

WILLIAM ALFREN

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120722/03

ALLAN C. CRISPELL

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
MAY 03 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 107242/05
111940/03

MILDRED BUTTERFIELD

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110258/04
111941/03

MARION BROADWAY


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 117704/03

GEORGE T. BIGGS

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 102900/04

WILLIAM N. BARTON

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

SK: [Signature]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERNON A. BONOMI and PATRICIA BONOMI

Index No. 190109/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/5, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Royd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and THERESA BREETVELD

Index No. 111588/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-24, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

MAY 10 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and THERESA BREETVELD

Index No. 111588/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-24, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriei Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS,


Index No. 190221/11


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788
FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONSTANCE J. BLANEY as Executrix for the Estate of
CAHILL BLANEY and CONSTANCE J. BLANEY
Individually

Index No. 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiDonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Royd & Did: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONSTANCE J. BLANEY as Executrix for the Estate of
CAHILL BLANEY and CONSTANCE J. BLANEY
Individually

Index No. 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLADYS BOCHNIASZ Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of RONALD J. BOCHNIASZ

Index No. 100918/03


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/10, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Bmg & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN S. ARONSON and SARA ARONSON


Index No. 126686/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - RWD & DJG: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. BYRNES, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN
P. BYRNES

Index No. 113278/02
119504/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin

888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120580/03

MICHAEL F. MURPHY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
 MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120719/03

NICHOLAS V. MESITI

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - [Signature]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111778/04; 104610/04
111940/03

ROBERT C. MCDONALD

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 5/24 , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKIP [unclear] DID: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113231/04; 113229/04
111795/04; 111940/03

WILLIAM J. REGAN

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30**

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 103081/04

MARTIN RAPPAPORT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24 , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 103793/04


JOHN F. PELLEGRINO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

Sup. Ct. Clerk's Office

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113234/04; 113231/04
111795/04; 111940/03

PASCALE, LUCA A.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 118346/03
111831/03

ROBERT C. SCHASSLER

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/24, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	x	(Heitler, J.)
	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
-----	:	Index No.: 190120-12
	x	
This Document Relates to:	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
BENJAMIN K. SCHAEFFER	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	x	


No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Benjamin K. Schaeffer was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Apr 25, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173


*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Belluck & Fox L.L.P.

By: 
Bryan Belasky, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 03-101984

DEBORAH DEPIETRO, as Executrix for the Estate
of STEVEN M. DEPIETRO, and DEBORAH
DEPIETRO, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


against


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/30/12 New Brunswick, NJ



WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MICHAEL FARRELL
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Deborah Depietro, as Executrix for the Estate
of Steven M. Depietro, and Deborah Depietro,
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10005

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED:


HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - FCV 03-101984



BY-ELEVEN-10

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 124339-02

DEBORAH DEPIETRO, as Executrix for the Estate
of STEVEN M. DEPIETRO, and DEBORAH
DEPIETRO, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-30-12 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


Michael F. Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Deborah Depietro, as Executrix for the Estate
of Steven M. Depietro, and Deborah Depietro,
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Recv & Dtd:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler



BY-ELEVEN-9

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 190080-12
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant PACIFIC VALVES (an unincorporated product line of MCC Holdings, Inc. identified incorrectly as a subsidiary of Crane Co.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PACIFIC VALVES. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PACIFIC VALVES be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4-23-12
New York, New York


Benjamin Darce, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO. and PACIFIC VALVES
(an unincorporated product line of MCC (212) Holdings, Inc. identified incorrectly as a subsidiary of Crane Co.)
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

MAY 14 2012

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X


This Document Relates To: : NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
WAFIK TAWFIK and MONA TAWFIK, :
: Index No.: 190327-11
Plaintiff(s), :
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
-against- : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :
:
Defendants. :

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4-23-12
New York, New York


Peter Tambini, Esq. *BEN D'ARCA*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 02 2012

SKH - Floyd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ELLEN CRESPO, as Administratrix for the Estate
of ELMER ALBERICO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 114013-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/11/12
New York, New York



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKP [unclear] & [unclear]: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RONALD CAYEN as Proposed Representative for
the Estate of HORACE L. CAYEN and MILDRED
CAYEN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 123161-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/27/12
New York, New York


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN and HELEN ROTHSTEIN


Index No. 126935/02
104155/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler.

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and THERESA BREETVELD

Index No. 111588/03


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/20, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS BRUGER


Index No. 116822/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. Di'Onardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN MCGINNIS, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN H.
MCGINNIS, and JEAN MCGINNIS, Individually

Index No. 103333/03


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.

Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN MCGINNIS, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN H.
MCGINNIS, and JEAN MCGINNIS, Individually

Index No. 103333/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 4/3, 2012

FILED


MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALOISIUS J. OCZEK and CHARLOTTE OCZEK

Index No. 101162/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALOISIUS J. OCZEK and CHARLOTTE OCZEK


Index No. 101162/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14, 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 13 2012
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARION MOULTRIE

Index No. 113756/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARION MOULTRIE

Index No. 113756/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH MORGANO and ROSALIE MORGANO

Index No. 104386/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - NYSD or Dkt

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH MORGANO and ROSALIE MORGANO


Index No. 104386/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 14 2012

State Court & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES B. MIMS AND BARBARA L. MIMS

Index No. 125551/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 3, 2012




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERTA MCLEOD, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of JOHN MCLEOD, and ROBERTA MCLEOD,
Individually

Index No. 126039/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEARCHED: _____
SERIALIZED: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 126039/02


ROBERTA MCLEOD, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of JOHN MCLEOD, and ROBERTA MCLEOD,
Individually


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH: [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. REMINGTON


Index No. 128019/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-26, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PEARL RABINOWITZ, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of WALTER RABINOWITZ and PEARL
RABINOWITZ, Individually


Index No. 104381/03


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 03 2012
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PEARL RABINOWITZ, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of WALTER RABINOWITZ and PEARL
RABINOWITZ, Individually

Index No. 104381/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in
the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and
there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUSAN M. PETELEY as the Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH L. PETELEY and MARY E. PETELEY,
Individually and SUSAN M. PETELEY as the Executrix
for the Estate of MARY E. PETELEY,

Index No. 117266/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/28, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Demehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. REMINGTON


Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-26, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. MAYBEE and MARJORIE MAYBEE


Index No. 126935/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 14 2012

& Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. LINDSAY and PATRICIA LINDSAY

Index No. 190074/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. LINDSAY and PATRICIA LINDSAY

Index No. 190074/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012

FILED


MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Norma Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKIN, ... & Old: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN LANCELOT

Index No. 190193/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/26, 2012

MAY 14 2012


FILED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

ad: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERMANO A. KUHN


Index No. 108950/01


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANNE LOWE, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT
A. LOWE and JEANNE LOWE, Individually

Index No. 110194/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/17, 2012

 4/10/2012
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 1 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANNE LOWE, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT
A. LOWE and JEANNE LOWE, Individually

Index No. 110194/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/17, 2012


4/10/2012
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 14 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. MAYBEE and MARJORIE MAYBEE


Index No. 126935/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 14 2012

SKH - novd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN A. MARTIN and BRIGID MARTIN

Index No. 190160/11


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 14, 2012

MAY 14 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as
successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner
Corporation (hereinafter referred to as
"BorgWarner"),
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Novd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN A. MARTIN and BRIGID MARTIN

Index No. 190160/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/22, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK
MAY 14 2012

Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH A. MANCUSO and PATRICIA MANCUSO

Index No. 107457/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: _____, 2012

 03/19/2012
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Weitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 14 2012
NEW YORK

SKH - Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH A. MANCUSO and PATRICIA MANCUSO

Index No. 107457/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: _____, 2012

FILED


MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

 03/19/2012
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - FROV & WAT.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT C. McDONALD and ELISABETH McDONALD

Index No. 111778/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 02 2012

SKH - Boyd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT C. McDONALD and ELISABETH McDONALD

Index No. 111778/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Filed:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT C. McDONALD and ELISABETH McDONALD


Index No. 111778/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/4, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Hov & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of
ROBERT H. KILLIAN and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN,
Individually


Index No. 105606/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/25, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - 105606/04

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of
ROBERT H. KILLIAN and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN,
Individually


Index No. 105606/04


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/25, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hempstead, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012

SKH - new & did: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERMANO A. KUHN

Index No. 108950/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SRM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK L. KOCKLER, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of GENEVIEVE KOCKLER and FRANK L.
KOCKLER, Individually


Index No. 105592/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/10, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 02 2012
NEW YORK

\$500

Fee: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS KINSELLA and ETHEL KINSELLA

Index No. 108806/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 14 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS KINSELLA and ETHEL KINSELLA

Index No. 108806/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW KING

Index No. 126937/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Norrel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rev'd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW KING


Index No. 126937/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK OF
NEW YORK

SKY-1-11 Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD KELTY


Index No. 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	X	Index No.: 11-190434
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
WILLIAM WEIL	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	X	


No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff William Weil was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
Apr 12 24, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

By: 
Mark Bibro, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue - 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - nova & Lid:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
BENJAMIN K. SCHAEFFER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.
Defendants.
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190120/2012


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC.,
erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to
Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its
predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"),
hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 26, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: 4/3, 2012



Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
CHUN HING LEE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.
Defendants.
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190082/2012


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 7, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 27, 2012



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
DUANE ERICKSON AND LORETTA ERICKSON,
HIS WIFE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS
SUCCESSOR-BY-MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS,
ET AL.

Defendants.
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190333/11

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC.,
erroneously sued herein as "ITT COPORATION, formerly known as ITT Industries Inc.
Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to
KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING CO., INC.," and its past and present parents,
affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents,
heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's
complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 28, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 27, 2012



Douglas von Oiste, Esq.
KARST & VON OISTE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
19500 State Hwy 249
Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988




Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. REMINGTON

Index No. 128019/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-25, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Nonel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rova & D'Al...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. REMINGTON

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4-25, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriei Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 08 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHAMP ROBERTS and DORIS ROBERTS

Index No. 100731/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 16, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriei Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 13 2012
NEW YORK

SKH: [Signature] & Ord: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES ARTHUR ROSS


Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Ltr: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD ROGERS and KYLE ROGERS,


Index No. 190047/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Chris Roman


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Del.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD ROGERS and KYLE ROGERS,

Index No. 190047/12


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 23, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff *Chris Runk*
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKIN - FRODO & LAR

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHAMP ROBERTS and DORIS ROBERTS

Index No. 100731/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 16, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriei Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 9 2012
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES ARTHUR ROSS

Index No. 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. BYRNES, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN
P. BYRNES

Index No. 113278/02
119504/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/23, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rova & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER C. RUMSEY

Index No. 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 28, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Norma Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 03 2012
NEW YORK

SKM - [unclear] [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN and HELEN ROTHSTEIN


Index No. 126935/02
104155/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

FILED
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKh

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES FUNICELLO


Index No. 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLeonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 08 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES FUNICELLO

Index No. 126681/02


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/30, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Samwell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11768

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT FLAHERTY


Index No. 126886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Boyd & Pitt

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT FLAHERTY


Index No. 126886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS S. FESHOH

Index No. 110744/06
126687/02
104638/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS S. FESHOH


Index No. 110744/06
126687/02
104638/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/3, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
105959/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/27, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Cheryl Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - Review

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED PAPANTONIO

INDEX NO.

125871/99

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7272-00

FILED
MAY 14 2012
MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

IRVING SPITZ

INDEX NO.

106266/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLENN R. CARLISLE

INDEX NO.

107403/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew M. Ortiz

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3508

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HORACE LEO CAYEN

INDEX NO.
123161/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES FUNICELLO


Index No. 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/30, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS,

INDEX NO.
128019/02 and 105959/03
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012

Frank Ortiz

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD M. MC INERNEY JR.,

INDEX NO.
106706/02 AND 114873/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 02 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE HEINTZ

INDEX NO.

115312/03

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 19, 2012

Supreme Patchette

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 13 2012

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAHILL J. BLANEY

INDEX NO.

126681/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew M. Ortiz

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 02 2012

JKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD VOSSELER and LORETTA VOSSELER

Index No. 128024/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 5th, 2012



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 09 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos 112127/02
107169/02

CONRAD F. WAHL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 30, 2012


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Conrad F. Wahl
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SMT - HOVQ & Dtd: _____


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----	X
This Document Relates To:	:
HERMAN SKOLNICK,	: Index No. 190122/11
	:
Plaintiff,	: STIPULATION OF DISCONTINUANCE
	: WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO
-against-	: DEFENDANT ALLIANCE LAUNDRY
	: <u>SYSTEMS LLC</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:
	: Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----	X


IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned counsel of record for plaintiffs and defendant Alliance Laundry Systems LLC, Individually and as successor to American Machinery Inc.'s Pressing and Finishing Division (Including Ajax Pressing & Finishing Division) and Huebsch ("Alliance") that, whereas no party hereto is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above-captioned action be, and the same hereby is, discontinued without prejudice as against Alliance without costs to either party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the clerk of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York
April 26, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBURG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Charles Ferguson, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP
Attorneys for Alliance Laundry Systems LLC, Individually
and as successor to American Machinery Inc.'s Pressing and
Finishing Division (Including Ajax Pressing & Finishing
Division) and Huebsch

By: 
Jason T. Cohen, Esq.
One Liberty Plaza, 35th Floor
New York, New York 10006-1404
(212) 412-9500


FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

Ordered



SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 128029/02


CHARLES FUNICELLO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 4/27, 2012



Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Charles Funicello, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SENT - Filed & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN
LANCELOT,

Index No. 190193/12

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,
including, VIKING PUMP, INC.

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Michael Roberts, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Steven J. Lancelot, et al.
(212) 558-5500

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 03 2012

CLERK - HOW & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

FRANCESCO SCARFO,

Index No. 190435/11

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

BENJAMIN MOORE & COMPANY (Individual and as Successor
to JANOVIC/PLAZA INC.), et al.,
including DONALD DURHAM COMPANY,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant DONALD DURHAM COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DONALD DURHAM COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DONALD DURHAM COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/27 2012

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Francesco Scarfo
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th FL
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Donald Durham Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN LANCELOT,

Index No. 190193/12

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including,
SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC.

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant Superior Boiler Works, Inc. (hereinafter "Superior Boiler") hereby
request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Superior Boiler with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
Superior Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____ 2012

Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Steven J. Lancelot, et al.
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Superior Boiler Works, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
MAY 03 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN LANCELOT,

Index No. 190193/12

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including,
CROWN BOILER CO.

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC.

("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Crown Boiler with OUT prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/27, 2012


Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Steven J. Lancelot, et al.
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Crown Boiler Co.
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 
MAY 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	:	
In re: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I. A. S. Part 30
	:	(Heitler, J.)
-----X	:	
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 105643/2008
	:	
DAVID KELLY	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	:	JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
-----X	:	

WHEREAS, Defendant CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY ("Con Ed"), requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Con Ed, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Con Ed, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24, 2012



Carmen V. St. George, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for Con Ed

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
MAY 14 2012

MAY 03 2012

SKH - HCVU & Lid: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK S. BARBERA

INDEX NO.

121734/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERNON DEPRIEST

INDEX NO.
127886/02 and 102479/03
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 13 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILTON FORDHAM

INDEX NO.

127150/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 17, 2012

Ruth Marcus

Timothy M. McCann

Ruth Marcus, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER,
P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3901

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

SKH

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 14 2012
NEW YORK

SKH - Revu & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILTON FORDHAM

INDEX NO.

127150/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 27, 2012
Ruth Marcus

Ruth Marcus, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER,
P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3901

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 12 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NEIL WALKER and IRENE WALKER


Index No. 110009/03


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/10, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11786

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 03 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NEIL WALKER and IRENE WALKER


Index No. 110009/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/10, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HERBERT SUSSMAN and LENORE SUSSMAN,

Index No. 190105/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/16, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HERBERT SUSSMAN and LENORE SUSSMAN,

Index No. 190105/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/14, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AARON O'BRIEN

INDEX NO.
106581/02 and 113939/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3595

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 02 2012
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL
:

----- X
This Document Relates To: :

Index No. 190135/12
:

ROSARIO GIARDINA, :

Plaintiffs, :

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
IAS Part 39
:

Defendants. :
----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc. ("Crown") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Crown with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Crown be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12

JOSEPH P. WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc.

By: _____

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.

245 Park Ave, 39th Floor
New York, NY 10167
(212) 668-1122

By: _____

Lissa C. Gipson, Esq.

One Liberty Plaza
New York, New York 10006-1404
(212) 412-9500

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

834513/21108

MAY 03 2012

& Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----	X
This Document Relates To:	: Index No. 190133/12
JOSEPH SALERNO and CAROLYN SALERNO,	: :
Plaintiffs,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	: :
AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
	: IAS Part 39
Defendants.	: :
-----	X

WHEREFORE, defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc. ("Crown") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Crown with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Crown be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24/12

JOSEPH P. WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
245 Park Ave, 39th Floor
New York, NY 10167
(212) 668-1122

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc.

By: [Signature]
Lissa C. Gipsen, Esq.
One Liberty Plaza
New York, New York 10006
(212) 412-9500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

834514/21108

MAY 03 2012
- Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES P. CAHILL

INDEX NO.
106708/02 and 116079/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 23, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADELE ANZALONE, Individually and MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, as Executor for the Estate of PHILIP R. ANZALONE


Index No. 127106/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 03-100286

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH POSSTER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

4/24, 2012
By: Supreme Ratcliffe
Supreme RATCLIFFE, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

MAY 14 2012
By: B. R. Dwyer
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, SKH
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rogv & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-128019
03-105931

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM HENRY RICH

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/24, 2012

By: *Suzanne Ratchiff*
SUZANNE RATCHIFF, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: *Samuel Goldblatt*
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-119504

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN P. BYRNES

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/24, 2012

By: Suzanne Ratchiff
SUZANNE RATCHIFF, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: Samuel Goldblatt
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012

SKH-111

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN

Index No. 190140/11


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/17, 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


Noriei Sta-Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 14 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 03 2012

SKH - RCvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD VOSSELER and LORETTA VOSSELER

Index No. 128024/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 5th, 2012


FILED

MAY 14 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Index No. 110478/02

-----X
LINDA L. DAY, as Executrix for the Estate
of RICHARD J. DAY SR. and LINDA L. DAY,
Individually,

Plaintiff,

IAS Justice:
Hon. Sherry Klein
Heitler

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., hereby requests summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against
defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York

4/23/12

FILED

MAY 14 2012



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Thomas J. Maimone, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, New York 11501



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and THERESA BREETVELD,

NYCAL
Index No. 111588-03

Plaintiffs,

IAS Justice:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York
4/23/12


David M. Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Lance Perez, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, New York 11501

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 03 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADELE ANZALONE, Individually and MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, as Executor for the Estate of PHILIP R. ANZALONE

Index No. 127106/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2012

FILED

MAY 14 2012


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 08 2012

FILED
New York County

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :

JUDITH AMBROSIO, as Executrix for the Estate :
of FRANK AMBROSIO and JUDITH :
AMBROSIO, Individually, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

Index No.: 104852/04

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendant(s).
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24, 2012


By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Judith Ambrosio, as Executrix
for the Estate of Frank Ambrosio and Judith
Ambrosio, Individually


By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

FILED
MAY 14 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAY 02 2012