SCANNED ON 6/13/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| MARILYN G. TUOHY, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES TUOHY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 110185/00<br>003271/92<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Peter Tambini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~110185/00~~
003271/92

James Tuohy

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/04/11

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.17490

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4351352.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. 100021/07 111035/00 ~~113280/97~~ 101498/97 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~
Phan Alvarado

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Goliriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARTIN A. MICHALSKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100021/2007
111035/1998
~~113280/1997~~
101498/1997

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, NY 5/10/11

~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier-Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED***

MAY 16 2011

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2571-0657A

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-2033A

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4387

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116682/06<br>103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-11018

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116682/06<br>~~103682/97~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-0949

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~116682/06~~ 103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-22741

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116682/06<br>103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8752

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~116682/06~~ 103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-27529

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARTIN A. MICHALSKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100021/2007
~~111035/1998~~
113280/1997
~~101498/1997~~

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, NY 5/10/11

~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant***
***KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED***

MAY 16 2011

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., | Index No. 100021/07 ~~111035/00~~ 113280/97 ~~101498/97~~ |
| Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~
Phan Alvarado
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106336/98

Edward Schubert

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4150275.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

HOWARD ELLEFSEN and SYLVIA ELLEFSEN,,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. C. and S., Inc, et. al.

Defendants.

----------x

**Index No.:** 110289/98

**NO OPPOSITION**
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
**MOTION AND ORDER**

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., improperly named as ("American Biltrite Company") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17, 2011

Michael Fanelli Esq.
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant, American Biltrite Inc.,
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to: HOWARD ELLEFSEN AND SYLVIA ELLEFSEN against A.C. & S., INC., ET AL | INDEX NO.: 98-110289 |

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Howard Ellefsen and Sylvia Ellefsen
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ
SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SO ORDERED:**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARTIN A. MICHALSKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: ~~100021/2007~~
111035/1998
~~113280/1997~~
101498/1997

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, NY 5/10/11

~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED:***

MAY 16 2011

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. ~~101535/05~~ 111040/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Peter Tambini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
10/23/09

27530.
10/09 IE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | ~~INDEX NO.~~ 112929/98 and 190151/09 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MICHAEL GILLIGAN | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 9, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-5439-98

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113177/98

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM A. WAGNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


MAY 16 2011

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~117768/98~~ 125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0947

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117768/98<br>125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0281E

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117768/98<br>125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 2 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-4585

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE TATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117768/98<br>125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1522

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117768/98<br>125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0286E

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~125131/00~~ 118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0286K, 2207

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~125131/00~~ 118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-4999

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~125131/00~~ 118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-22509K

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125~~131/00~~<br>118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1600

{N0043781-1}

JUN 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~125131/00~~ 118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0281K

{N0043781-1}

MAY 10 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

722-1706

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

454-1838

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

324-3009, 7750

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/25, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-23910

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>~~116323/03~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1597

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates To: | |
| MICHAEL LAING and MARGARET LAING, | |
| Plaintiff(s), | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| -against- | |
| A.C. and S., INC., et al., | Index No(s).: 121905-98 |
| Defendants. | **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/11
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Than Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>Index #: 190092/98 |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>LAMBERTO TROTTA | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.
**BELLUCK & FOX LLP**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105734076.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>Index #: 190092/98 |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>LAMBERTO TROTTA | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants and consent of plaintiff, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.
**BELLUCK & FOX LLP**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105734022.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101158/99

Danko Orbanic

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with~~prejudice~~ out, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, N.Y., 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. Chesterton Company
150 East 42nd Street
New York, N.Y. 10017
Our File No.: 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4351555.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**DANKO ORBANIC** | Index No. 108713/10<br>101158/99<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578570.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**DANKO ORBANIC** | Index No. ~~108713/10~~<br>101158/99<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578610.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101196/99

Michael Palumbo

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 1001
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570807.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>CHARLES W. CLOBRIDGE AND BETTY CLOBRIDGE,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102317/99<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5\9, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles W. Clobridge and Betty Clobridge
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

1122-1747

{N0047122-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>CHARLES W. CLOBRIDGE AND BETTY CLOBRIDGE,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102317/99<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5\9, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles W. Clobridge and Betty Clobridge
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1260

{N0047121-1}

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 103939/99 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>SAMUEL ROSENBLATT | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5130-99

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
12/14/10

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 104052/99 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>RICHARD ROBBINS | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 5/10/2011

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5394-99

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104052/99

**Richard Robbins and Marie Robbins**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 9, 2011

PETER MABIN

~~Frank Oritz~~, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.05350

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4138906.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ANTHONY SZWED and BETTY SZWED,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105414/1999

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 5/9/2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, New York

WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

***SO ORDERED:***

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| ANTHONY M. SLOAN, as Administrator for the Estate of PAUL J. SLOAN,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 105650/99<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

5/11/2011

By: _____
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Mark Tevis, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 16 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| MYRA W. STRAUSS, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN F. STRAUSS, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants. | Index No. 105670-99<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. (together, "Goodyear") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Goodyear with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goodyear be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Danny R. Kraft, Jr.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: _____
Jason Kaufman

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This Document relates to:<br><br>Harold Ames,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>- against -<br><br>A.C. & S. Inc., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 106316/1999<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., incorrectly sued as PEERLESS HEATER CO., INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 24, 2011

Steve Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Harold Ames
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN 08 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2011

4813-7079-1945.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE L. CHAMPAGNE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 106337/99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/04, 2011

PETER TAMBONI, ~~Frank Ortiz,~~ Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*George L. Champagne*
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Burnham LLC*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109675/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN KNOX,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: May 3, 2011
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PATRICIA CLIFF AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE H. CLIFF AND PATRICIA CLIFF, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 109676/99<br>104858/05<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of George H. Cliff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0757

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/24/10

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 113986/99 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: WILLIAM T. STADLER | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 5/10/2011

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-6516-99

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **113986/99**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

WILLIAM STADLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/9, 2011
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Telene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2571-0657A

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-2033A

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4387

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

X:/FBW39132/45463legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LOUIS A. LIPA, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 109809/02, 107886/00, & 121982/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 122190/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JESSE MCSHERRY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 109491/00;
122190/99

----------x

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

GEORGE P. McGOFF and MARGARET McGOFF,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/18/2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 122191/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES M. RUMFOLA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | : | NYCAL |
| WILLIAM V. STEVENSON (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al. Defendants. | : | Index Nos. 122196/99 114167/00<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacLatzen
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to: | INDEX NO.: 99-125074 |
| JUDITH HASELEY BROOKS, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD W. BROOKS<br><br>against<br><br>ACandS, INC., et al., | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ
5/13/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JUDITH HASELEY BROOKS, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD W. BROOKS
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

X:/FBW39203/49155legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HENRY M. NOWAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 100781/03, 111494/04, 125766/99 & 107169/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| PATRICIA MEHLROSE, as Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD H. MEHLROSE, and PATRICIA MEHLROSE, Individually,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 100582/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Danny Kraft, Jr.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 1 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

X:/<<<fbw39107legal/MARCH2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RICHARD H. MEHLROSE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 100582/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD H. MEHLROSE (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100582/2000

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Harrison, New York 05.16.11

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED***:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM T. FRANKLIN and ANNE L. FRANKLIN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 100592-00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/2, 2011

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

BY: _____

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank M. Ortiz

BY: _____

Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Heitler

MAY 16 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to: THOMAS J. CRUGER and BARBARA CRUGER, against ACandS, INC., et al., | INDEX NO.: 00-102783 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ
5/13/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Thomas J. Cruger and Barbara Cruger
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAY 18 2011

BU-RACE-16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*, including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 102918/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
*Attorneys for Defendant*
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**VINCENT CANNIZZARO**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. ~~00-103570~~
01-123909

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/10, 2011

By: _____

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler**

MAY 16 2011

13409973.1

X:/FBW39203/49155legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HENRY M. NOWAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 100781/03, 111494/04, 125766/99 & 107169/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107270/00

Matthew Z. Kalinowski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4570982.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107534/00

Bernice C. Lomanto

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571039.1

X:/FBW39132/45463legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LOUIS A. LIPA, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 109809/02, 107886/00, & 121982/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108351/00
111109/00
114496/05

Robert G. Lorentz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 1001
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571042.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108353/00
112444/00

Frank Fraccalvieri

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4570940.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108353/00
112462/00

Paul J. Drank

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 1 8 2011

4570925.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108353/00
112438/00
100769/03

Joseph D. Galante

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4570948.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108354/00
110077/00

Frank S. Costanzo

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4570911.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108355/00
110548/00

Peter Cefaly

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570908.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108357/00
111674/00

Edward Guntripp

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571092.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| MARY ANN PICINIC and SANDY PICINIC as Co-Executrices for the Estate of RAIMONDO PICINIC,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index Nos. 108388/00<br>~~113778/00~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~, Esq.
PETER TAMBINI

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 108551/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MILTON STROBER | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-1593

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7370-00

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 108553/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: WILLIAM WEIR | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3518

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7368-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108905/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 109052/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>ANTHONY NASCA | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-6675-00

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 109064/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>JAMES NICOLAIDIS | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

MAY 18 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6692-00

MAY 10 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 109266/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: LOUIS PAONESSA | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5434-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109318/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

DONALD MONACO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109408/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES H. MCELROY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 109413/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>CORNELIUS MCDADE | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4218-00

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 109465/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>KARL MULLER | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3596

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5876-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 109491/00;
122190/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

This Document Applies to:

GEORGE P. McGOFF and MARGARET McGOFF,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/18/2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~108354/00~~
110077/00

Frank S. Costanzo

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4570911.1

MAY 18 2011

X:/FBW40474/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE TROESTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 110180/00, 117866/00 & 124340/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110181/00
117036/00

Salvatore J. Liberato

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571033.1

X:/FBW40470/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES GARDNER BERRY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 110183/00 & 117421/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110185/00
003271/92

James Tuohy

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/04/11

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.17490

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4351352.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| MARILYN G. TUOHY, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES TUOHY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 110185/00<br>003271/92<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Peter Tambini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 26 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~108355/00~~
110548/00

Peter Cefaly

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570908.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 110564/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: WILLIAM ALLOCA | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7841-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 110587/00
100750/03

John F. Banik

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570903.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110960/00

Ronald E. King

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571031.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 110960/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>RONALD KING | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3528

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7615-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. ~~100021/07~~ 111035/00 113280/97 101498/97 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~
Phan Alvarado

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~108351/00~~
111109/00
114496/05

Robert G. Lorentz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 1001
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571042.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~108357/00~~
111674/00

Edward Guntripp

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571092.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111674/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

EDWARD GUNTRIPP,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/29, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | INDEX NO. 112123/00 and 102903/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES MACK, JR. | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 18 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-8036-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~108353/00~~
112438/00
100769/03

Joseph D. Galante

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4570948.1

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | ~~INDEX~~ NO. 112444/00 and 105233/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANK FRACCALVIERI | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-7488-00

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108353/00
112444/00

Frank Fraccalvieri

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4570940.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~108353/00~~
112462/00

Paul J. Drank

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 1 8 2011

4570925.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| JAMES BALDWIN and HELEN BALDWIN,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br>-against-<br>A. C. & S. INC., et al.<br>Defendants. | Index No. 112517/00<br>100750/03<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 113196/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>PATRICK VANCHIERI | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4113-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113384/00

Paul E. Faylor

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570932.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| MARY ANN PICINIC and SANDY PICINIC as Co-Executrices for the Estate of RAIMONDO PICINIC,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index Nos. ~~108388/00~~ 113778/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~, Esq.
PETER TAMBINI

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 113778/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RAIMONDO PICINIC | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3591

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7940-00

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114024/00

Eber V. Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4570978.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | : | NYCAL |
| WILLIAM V. STEVENSON (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al. Defendants. | : | Index Nos. 122196/99, 114167/00<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 114167/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>WILLIAM STEVENSON | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BARNECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5649-00

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 114778/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES SPENCE | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-0598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7719-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114979/00

Ed Curran

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 1001
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570919.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115221/00

David R. Hairston

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570971.1

X:/FBW40900/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CAROLYN WHYNO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 116263/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116672/00

Gerald J. D'Amore

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578724.1

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 116847/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>LELAND MILLINGTON | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/10/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-8492-00

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| WILLIAM J. DONNELLY,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 116927/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence Lee
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 116927-00

WILLIAM J. DONNELLY and MARIE DONNELLY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

5\2, 2011

BY: _____
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank M. Ortiz

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>WILLIAM J. DONNELLY AND MARIE DONNELLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116927/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William J. Donnelly and Marie Donnelly
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0981P

N0040474-1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~110181/00~~
117036/00

Salvatore J. Liberato

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571033.1

*X:/FBW40470/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES GARDNER BERRY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 110183/00 & 117421/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117700/00

Ed Goichman

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578764.1

*X:/FBW40474/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE TROESTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 110180/00, 117866/00 & 124340/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118202/00

Conrad Lachance

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578800.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118679/00

Michael DuBritz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578729.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARTIN PARDES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.:119373/2000

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, New York 05.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED***:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 16 2011

X:/<<<FBW54846/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILE COPY

----------X

MARTIN PARDES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119373/00 & 106190/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/18/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/<<<FBW54846/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARTIN PARDES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119373/00 & 106190/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW42209/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

NATHAN JACK FILBERT WOODWORTH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119375/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


5/13/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600


FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/FBW42219/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VIRGIL C. MILLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 102702/01 & 119380/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

X:/FBW42208/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THOMAS E. MCDERMOTT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 103459/01 & 119381/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119382/00
104033/01

Lawrence A. Ligammare

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578827.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 102174-01
119383-00
100759-03

LAWRENCE A. GIERMEK, as Executor for the Estate of FRANCIS A. LESNIAK,
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: April 13, 2011
New York, New York

5/11/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119383/00
102269/01
107623/06

Giovanni Lattanzio

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578821.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119383/00
102314/01
100787/03

Carl Kroger

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100[illegible]
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011.

4578798.1

X:/FBW42210/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES PLUMMER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 106460/01 & 119385/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119386/00
124999/00

Henry Allen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578699.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119388/00

David J. Blenkensopp

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578719.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ESTATE OF ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR.,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.,*<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 119390/00, 125268/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/7, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert D. Bishop, Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0780AS

N0040474-1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119390/00
125268/00

Robert D. Bishop

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578709.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BETTY BISHOP, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR.<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 119390/00, 125268/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION**<br>**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**<br>**MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4\29, 2011

Michele J. Miftleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert D. Bishop, Sr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-21154

MAY 16 2011

DXA0001-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~100783/01~~
119391/00

Earl Hanmer

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00[illegible]

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578792.1

*X:/FBW42223/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES HAZARD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119391/00 & 100794/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/cases/FBW42207/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE GONYO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 119392/00 & 101721/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119393/00

John Fowler

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578747.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119393/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN T. FOWLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW42201/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VINCENT T. FERRONE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119394/00 & 100616/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119394/00
100756/03

Harvey L. Fleitman

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578745.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119394/00
100621/01
100787/03

Jose F. Fernandez

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578738.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOSE F. FERNANDEZ AND ARLENE FERNANDEZ,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 119394/00, 100621/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/29, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jose F. Fernandez and Arlene Fernandez
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0598V

MAY 16 2011

N0040474-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| JAMES ECKERT, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants. | Index No. 119396/00 100354/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Daniel Blouin

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 16 2011

X:/FBW41189/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WARREN J. LEONARD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119828/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


5/13/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600


FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW41293/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT F. BOWLEY, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 120780/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| ROSE VER HAUGE, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of DONALD VER HAUGE,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 120329/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/12/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Michael Farelli

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121107/00

Barbara J. Ladwig, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Donald Ladwig, and Barbara J. Ladwig, as Spouse

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.,** incorrectly s/h/a "R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC., Individually and as Successor to GOUVERNEUR TALC COMPANY, INC." hereinafter ("R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early Lucarelli Sweeney & Strauss LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 09030.000

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4591397.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121197/00

Richard Bennett

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578708.1

*X:/FBW41560/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN CAPASSO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 122143/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW41563/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JORGE F. GUERRA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 122850/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123088/00

Robert C. Loughlin

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578830.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PETER AVARAS AND DOLORES AVARAS,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 123870/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Avaras
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-786

N0040474-1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123870/00

Peter Avaras

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

4578703.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THEODORE FRASIER, EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CLYDE FRASIER,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 124331/00, 100757/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clyde Frasier
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2183

MAY 16 2011

N0040478-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THEODORE FRASIER, EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CLYDE FRASIER,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 124331/00, 100757/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clyde Frasier
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
~~(212) 558-5500~~

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1

N0040474-1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124331/00
100757/03

Clyde Frasier

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578759.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124332/00

Gerald J. Michel

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578841.1

*X:/FBW40474/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE TROESTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

~~Index No.:~~ 110180/00, 117866/00 & 124340/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~119386/00~~
124999/00

Henry Allen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578699.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~117768/98~~ 125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0947

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117768/98<br>125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 2 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-4585

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~117768/98~~ 125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0281E

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125131/00<br>118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0286K, 2207

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125131/00<br>118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-4999

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125131/00<br>118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-22509K

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125131/00<br>~~118174/98~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1600

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ED CODAIR AND KATHLEEN CODAIR,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125131/00<br>118174/98<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed Codair and Kathleen Codair
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0281K

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE TATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~117768/98~~ 125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1522

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117~~768/98~~<br>125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0286E

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FRANK G. CALLAHAN AND LENA M. CALLAHAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 125131/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank G. Callahan and Lena M. Callahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-22509E

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ESTATE OF ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR.,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 119390/00, 125268/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Robert D. Bishop, Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0780AS

N0040474-1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BETTY BISHOP, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR.<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 119390/00, 125268/00<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4\29, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert D. Bishop, Sr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-21154

MAY 16 2011

DXA0001-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~119390/00~~
125268/00

Robert D. Bishop

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578709.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| JAMES ECKERT, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants. | Index No. ~~119396/00~~ 100354/01<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Daniel Blouin

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~119394/00~~
~~100621/01~~
~~100787/03~~

Jose F. Fernandez

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578738.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOSE F. FERNANDEZ AND ARLENE FERNANDEZ,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 119394/00, 100621/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/29, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jose F. Fernandez and Arlene Fernandez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2571-0598V

N0040474-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100783/01
~~119391/00~~

Earl Hanmer

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578792.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Fred Hanmer, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of Earl R. Hanmer,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 100783/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/11, 2011

5/11/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*Fred Hanmer, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of Earl R. Hanmer*
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5723

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

*X:/FBW42223/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES HAZARD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119391/00 & 100794/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101205/01

James Ashcraft

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578701.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>FLORE ASHCRAFT, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES ASHCRAFT,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 101205/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James Ashcraft
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1

N0040474-1

MAY 16 2011

X:/cases/FBW42207/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE GONYO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

~~Index No.:~~ 119392/00 & 101721/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Fhan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GEORGE GONYO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.:101721/2001

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 05.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

***SO ORDERED***:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

REUBEN W. GOLDMAN (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.:101721/2001

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, New York 05.16.11

Than Ahavado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED:***

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| GEORGE GONYO and MARIE GONYO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 101721/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Dan Kraft

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**GEORGE GONYO**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-101721

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/11, 2011

By: _____
Thun Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler**

13419916.1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102125/01

Joseph Matte

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578837.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 102174-01
119383-00
100759-03

LAWRENCE A. GIERMEK, as Executor for the Estate of FRANCIS A. LESNIAK,
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: April 13, 2011
New York, New York

5/11/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/28/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 102174/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>FRANCIS LESNIAK | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/11/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 100[illegible]-3698

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4815-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119383/00
102269/01
107623/06

Giovanni Lattanzio

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578821.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Carl Kroger

Index No: 119383/00
102314/01
100787/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011.

4578798.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 102693/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>JOHN MEEHAN | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-0698

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4199-01

X:/FBW42219/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VIRGIL C. MILLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 102702/01 & 119380/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sahia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW42767/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DONALD E. HITZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 102902/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>EUGENE DEGANNES AND JACQUELINE DEGANNES,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 103007/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4\29, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Eugene Degannes and Jacqueline Degannes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7499

MAY 16 2011

N0040474-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103007/01
~~100755~~/03

Eugene Degannes

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/12/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578727.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 103007-01

EUGENE DEGANNES and JACQUELINE DEGANNES,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

5\2, 2011

BY: _____
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank M. Ortiz

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| **IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**<br><br>**FRANCIS A. LESNIAK VS. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** | **NYCAL**<br>**I.A.S. Part 30**<br>**(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**<br><br>**INDEX NO.: 102174/01**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

5/11/2011

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>EUGENE DEGANNES AND JACQUELINE DEGANNES,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 103007/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Eugene Degannes and Jacqueline Degannes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-784

MAY 16 2011

N0040474-1

*X:/FBW42670/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ALICIA J. KUCHARCZAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 103270/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103611/01

William C. Martin

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578831.1

X:/FBW42208/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THOMAS E. MCDERMOTT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 103459/01 & 119381/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103870/01

William V. Hakes III

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578782.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~119382/00~~
104033/01

Lawrence A. Ligammare

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578827.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 104033/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LAWRENCE LIGAMMARE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/19, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/<<<FBW42656/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

REUBEN W. GOLDMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 104560/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| REUBEN GOLDMAN,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 104560/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dan Kraft

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

X:/FBW42654/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM J. ROBINSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 104695/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW42714/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HARVEY MCCAIN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 104904/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104982/01

Robert B. Gagliardo

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578761.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104563/01

Rufus Hall

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578787.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
John J. Rosati, as Executor for the Estate of James V. Rosati,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 104696/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/11, 2011

5/11/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*John J. Rosati, as Executor for the Estate of James V. Rosati*
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-13

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104997/01

Francis J. Langan

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/12/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00[illegible]

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578812.1

536.06730/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>FRANK C. GULLO, JR.,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 104999/01<br><br>(March 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 5/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED**,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105230/01

John Paul Keith

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578793.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105230/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

JOHN KEITH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4\29, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105252/01

Charles E. Meyers

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/12/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578838.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Albert Thomas and Linda K. Thomas,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 105352/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/11, 2011

5/11/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
*Albert Thomas and Linda K. Thomas*
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6369

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105477/01

Ethel N. Korosecz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578795.1

536.10398/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI, Deceased,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105605/01<br><br>(March 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: May 3, 2011
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED,**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| STELLA MIGLIOZZI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S. INC.., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 105605/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/22/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Goliriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 16 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| **IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**<br><br>**MARGARET D. SCHREIBER, as Personal Representative for the Estate of MYRON D. SCHREIBER, Plaintiff(s),**<br>**vs.**<br><br>**A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.** | **NYCAL**<br>**I.A.S. Part 30**<br>**(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**<br><br>**INDEX NO.: 105745/01**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/9/2011

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Peter Thomas, Esq.
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates To: | |
| MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES, | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| -against- | Index No.: 106190-01 |
| A.C. & S., INC., et al., | **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |
| Defendants. | |

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/11
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

*X:/FBW42201/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VINCENT T. FERRONE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119394/00 & 100616/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/<<<FBW54846/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARTIN PARDES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119373/00 & 106190/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/<<<FBW54846/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILE COPY

----------X

MARTIN PARDES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 119373/00 & 106190/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/18/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106643/01

Lawrence K. Kelly

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578794.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106643/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LAWRENCE KELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/19, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW43390/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RICHARD T. GROGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 106644/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW42210/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES PLUMMER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 106460/01 & 119385/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107095/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

EDWARD SKELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

X:/FBW43372/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

PHILIP F. ANUNDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 108012/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

536.07011/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>GERALD MARINGIONE,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 109016/01<br><br>(March 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 5/2/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. BEN OARCHE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED,**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Salvatore Fragapane,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 109204/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: ~~Brooklyn~~ New York, New York
5/9/2011, 2011

Peter Thorby Esq

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*Salvatore Fragapane*
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 110165/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ANTHONY ROMANO | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5668-01

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110438/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

HAROLD MCGINN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

536.07156/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>DANIEL MAYNARD PRATT, Deceased,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 110814/01<br><br>(March 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 5/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED**,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDY BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111231/01<br>120471/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudy Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0417E

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NATALE V. GRILLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. ~~111224/2001~~
~~121118/2001~~

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 05.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED:***

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN CULLEN AND JOAN CULLEN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111225/01<br>~~118068/01~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Samuel Meisaw 4/26/2011
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Cullen and Joan Cullen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0893K

MAY 16 2011

{N0042173-1}

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 111226/01 and 122547/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES J. FAULKNER | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2011

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5863-01

X:/cases/FBW43882/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES FAULKNER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 111226/01 & 122547/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ALBERT CONTENTO AND ANNE-MARIE CONTENTO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111228/01<br>121539/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Albert Contento and Anne-Marie Contento
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23532H

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDOLPH BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111231/01<br>120471/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northrop, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudolph Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23616E

MAY 16 2011

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDY BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.,*<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111231/01<br>120471/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011


Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudy Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0199E

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PETER CONNOLLY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111233/2001
118687/2001

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 03.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

***SO ORDERED***:

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PETER CONNOLLY AND MARGARET CONNOLLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111233/01<br>~~118687/01~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Connolly and Margaret Connolly
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-8383

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PETER CONNOLLY AND MARGARET CONNOLLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111233/01<br>~~118687/01~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Connolly and Margaret Connolly
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-23534T

{N0042173-1}

X:/FBW43551/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANTHONY BOIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 111223/01 & 120946/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ALBERT CONTENTO AND ANNE-MARIE CONTENTO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111228/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/16, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Albert Contento and Anne-Marie Contento
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2571-0796H

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ALBERT CONTENTO AND ANNE-MARIE CONTENTO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111228/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Albert Contento and Anne-Marie Contento
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-6658

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ALBERT CONTENTO AND ANNE-MARIE CONTENTO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111228/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Albert Contento and Anne-Marie Contento
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED
MAY 13 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

1235-6163

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDY BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111231/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northrop
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudy Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-1464E

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDY BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111231/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudy Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0833E

MAY 16 2011

{N0042173-1}

*X:/FBW43549/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CARLTON C. BUTLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 111236/01 & 121862/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PETER CONNOLLY AND MARGARET CONNOLLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111233/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Connolly and Margaret Connolly
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7886

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| EVA M. MASI,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br>-v-<br><br>A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et. al.<br><br>Defendants. | NYCAL<br><br>Index No. 115469/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION FOR DEFENDANT ROSS-SMALL HOLDING CORP.** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Ross-Small Holding Corp. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Ross-Small Holding Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Ross-Small Holding Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5 \ 2, 2011

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: _____
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 16 2011
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 11 2011
TRIAL SUPPORT OFFICE
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

X:/cases/FBW43882/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES FAULKNER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

~~Index No.:~~ 111226/01 & 122547/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 111226/01 and 122547/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES J. FAULKNER | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2011

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5863-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**JAMES JOSEPH FAULKNER**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-122547

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/10, 2011

By: _____
Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler**

MAY 16 2011

13409973.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | : | NYCAL |
| JOE JENKINS, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | : | Index No. 115739/01<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naim
Golriz Shoai-Naim, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to: | INDEX NO.: 01-116146 |
| EDNA MCCUE, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. MCCUE, against ACandS, INC., et al., | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ
5/13/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Edna McCue, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of John J. McCue
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

MAY 18 2011

BU-RACE-19

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN CULLEN AND JOAN CULLEN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~111225/01~~<br>118068/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Samuel Meisowitz 4/26/2011
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Cullen and Joan Cullen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0893K

JUN 16 2011

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PETER CONNOLLY AND MARGARET CONNOLLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~111233/01~~ 118687/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Connolly and Margaret Connolly
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-23534T

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PETER CONNOLLY AND MARGARET CONNOLLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111233/01<br>118687/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Connolly and Margaret Connolly
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-8383

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PETER CONNOLLY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: ~~111233/2001~~
118687/2001

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 05.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

***SO ORDERED***:

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>CARMELO A. FICALORA AND VERONICA FICALORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120388/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carmelo A. Ficalora and Veronica Ficalora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17838

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates To: | |
| STEPHEN BOBROWICH,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)<br><br>Index No. 120388-01<br><br>**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/28/11
New York, New York

Suzanne Porchiffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

KAKneis KAKneis

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO AND BEATRICE D'AGOSTINO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120388/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Angelo T. D'Agostino and Beatrice D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-24604K

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01
106310/02

Angelo T. D'Agostino

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4367690.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 120388/01
106304/02

Charles H. Gee

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

5/11/2011
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meistowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4367715.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO AND BEATRICE D'AGOSTINO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120388/01<br>~~106310/02~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Angelo T. D'Agostino and Beatrice D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17832

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO AND BEATRICE D'AGOSTINO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120388/01<br>106310/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Angelo T. D'Agostino and Beatrice D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17278

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

X:/<<<fbw39091legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VINCENT CANNIZZARO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 120390/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Brian Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-24585K

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-4172

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/18/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 120390/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: VINCENT CANNIZZARO | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3593

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7514-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates To: | |
| VITO CANNIZZARO, as Administrator for the Estate of VINCENT CANNIZZARO, | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| Plaintiff(s), | Index No. 120390-01 |
| -against- | |
| A.C. & S., INC., et al., | **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |
| Defendants. | |

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/11
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

RECEIVED
MAY 16 2011
K&L GATES

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

PHAN ALVARADO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3258K

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011


Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Fran Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Anthony C. Bagnett and Mary Jane Bagnett
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

324-6334K

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

PHAN ALVARADO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3700

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 120390/01<br>123909/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dan Kraft

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JAMES J. COUGHLIN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120390/01<br>123906/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James J. Coughlin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3673

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BERNADETTE BRENNAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES BRENNAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120394/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James Brennan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-7216

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

536.10338/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>JAMES F. SMITH,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120395/01<br>~~109561/02~~<br><br>(January 2011 FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 5/20/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED,**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| JOSE TRINIDAD,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 120395/01<br>109554/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br>GARY STEVEN HITZIG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of SOL L. HITZIG and FLORENCE HITZIG, Individually,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120397/01<br>~~105078/02~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 2011

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Gary Steven Hitzig et al.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BERNADETTE BRENNAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES BRENNAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120394/01<br>105777/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James Brennan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0913G

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120395/01~~ 109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3580D

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120395/01<br>109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

William MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0896D

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120395/01<br>109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. McIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17463

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BERNADETTE BRENNAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES BRENNAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120394/01<br>105777/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James Brennan
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3581G

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120430/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

MICHAEL AMATO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/10, 2010
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL J. AMATO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120430/2001
100170/2002

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 5/10/11

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED:***

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120395/01<br>~~109549/02~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16908

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>LINDA A. FLEMING, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES R. FLEMING AND LINDA A. FLEMING, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120432/01<br>101110/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James R. Fleming
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-8942

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

1-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>LINDA A. FLEMING, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES R. FLEMING AND LINDA A. FLEMING, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120432/01<br>101110/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 5 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011


Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daryn Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James R. Fleming
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0600

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 120433/01 and 124181/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>EROTIDO LARRINAGA | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3198

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7575-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDY BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111~~231/01~~<br>120471/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011


Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudy Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0417E

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDY BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~111231/01~~ 120471/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011


Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudy Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0199E

{N0042173-1}


MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RUDOLPH BIBOW AND JOAN BIBOW,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~111231/01~~ 120471/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcutt, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rudolph Bibow
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23616E

MAY 16 2011

{N0042173-1}

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 120583/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>MICHAEL RUZZI | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-6545-01

X:/FBW43551/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANTHONY BOIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 111223/01 & 120946/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

Index No. 01-121099

This Document Relates To:

JOHN J. OWENS and KATHLEEN M. OWENS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ACandS, INC., *et al.*,
Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/22, 2011

SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
*Attorneys for Defendant*
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

X:/cases/FBW43886/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

NATALE V. GRILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 121118/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Than Alvarado Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NATALE V. GRILLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.:~~111224/2001~~
121118/2001

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 05.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 511
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED:***

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ALBERT CONTENTO AND ANNE-MARIE CONTENTO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 111228/01<br>121539/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Albert Contento and Anne-Marie Contento
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23532H

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| FRANK BARBERA, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. AND S., INC., et al., Defendants. | Index No. 121734/01<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dan Kraft

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

X.:/cases/FBW44550/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 121734/01

FRANK BARBERA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/13/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

*X:/FBW43549/legal/March 2011*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CARLTON C. BUTLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 111236/01 & 121862/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| CHARLES F. RAMA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC.., et al. Defendants. | Index No. 122138/01 ~~102737/02~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
John Richmond, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JAMES J. COUGHLIN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120390/01~~<br>123906/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James J. Coughlin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3673

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**VINCENT CANNIZZARO**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-~~103570~~
01-123909

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/10, 2011

By: _____

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler**

MAY 16 2011

13409973.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-4172

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

PHAN ALVARADO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3258K

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br><br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Fran Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Anthony C. Bagnett and Mary Jane Bagnett
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

324-6334K

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION**<br>**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**<br>**MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-24585K

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.C. & S., INC., et al.,<br>Defendant(s). | Index No.: 123909/01, 120390/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION**<br>**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**<br>**MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

PHAN ALVARADO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Cannizzaro
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3700

MAY 16 2011

N0035508-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| VINCENT CANNIZZARO,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. ~~120390/01~~ 123909/01<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dan Kraft

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 120433/01 and 124181/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>EROTIDO LARRINAGA | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-7575-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL J. AMATO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120430/2001
100170/2002

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York 5/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED:***

MAY 16 2011

1-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>LINDA A. FLEMING, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES R. FLEMING AND LINDA A. FLEMING, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120432/01<br>101110/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011


Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daryn Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James R. Fleming
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0600

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>LINDA A. FLEMING, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES R. FLEMING AND LINDA A. FLEMING, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120432/01~~ 101110/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James R. Fleming
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-8942

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

1122-22012

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0945

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4412

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

324-6585

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25188

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-21526

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~102387/02~~<br>102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Amy Scheuerman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2082-8956

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THOMAS JOSEPH CZORA AND DOLORES CZORA,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102387/02<br>102387/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Amy Scheuerman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Czora and Dolores Czora
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2082-8956

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102423/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25224

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102423/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19802

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 102423/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>MORRIS CLEMONS | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-[illegible]

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4990-02

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 102423/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-20320

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116634/99<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-2033A

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~116634/99~~ 102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4387

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102423/02

Morris Clemons

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4546676.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>DOLORES CLEMONS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS CLEMONS, AND DOLORES CLEMONS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~116634/99~~<br>102423/02, 103612/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Morris Clemons
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0657A

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

7104-193 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L. STEINHAUER,<br>***Plaintiff***<br><br>-against-<br><br>AC and S, INC., et al.,<br><br>***Defendants*** | **NYCAL**<br>**INDEX NO: 102733/02**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Motion Control Industries, Inc. (sued herein as Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor in interest to Carlisle Corporation) ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is herby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are herby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
130 Main Street
Hackensack, NJ 07601
201-487-1080
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2011

**SO ORDERED:** _____
**HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.**

1770618-1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| CHARLES F. RAMA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC.., et al. Defendants. | Index No. ~~122138/01~~ 102737/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
John Richmond, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

X:/FBW45632/legal/JUNE2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RICHARD HESLIN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 102915/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**RICHARD HESLIN**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102915

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, NY
5/10, 2011

By: _____
Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler**

MAY 16 2011

13419916.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/7/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 102915/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>RICHARD HESLIN | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-5598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4980-02

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

----------x

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

----------x

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 104919/02**

**CAROL E. ANGELONE, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of RUSSELL ANGELONE,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**-against-**

**A.C. AND S,, INC, et. al.,**

**Defendants.**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: May 16, 2011
New York, New York

John Paul Guinan, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br>GARY STEVEN HITZIG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of SOL L. HITZIG and FLORENCE HITZIG, Individually,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120397/01<br>105078/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 2011

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Gary Steven Hitzig et al.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 105777/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>JAMES BRENNAN | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4758-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BERNADETTE BRENNAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES BRENNAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120394/01<br>105777/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James Brennan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0913G

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>BERNADETTE BRENNAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES BRENNAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120394/01~~ 105777/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James Brennan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3581G

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 105877/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-[illegible]59

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-5154-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>MARION BARTHOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105877/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William C. Barthold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-19973

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>MARION BARTHOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105877/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William C. Barthold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0948

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>MARION BARTHOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105877/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William C. Barthold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19448

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>MARION BARTHOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105877/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/09, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William C. Barthold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25401

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>MARION BARTHOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105877/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/09, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William C. Barthold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6481

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>MARION BARTHOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 105877/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William C. Barthold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-5271

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI AND ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants. | Index No. 106242/02<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 4/25/2011
~~Adam Dreksler~~
Samuel Meirowitz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~120388/01~~
106304/02

Charles H. Gee

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/11

**FILED**

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

5/11/2011
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meitowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4367715.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~120388/01~~
106310/02

Angelo T. D'Agostino

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4367690.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO AND BEATRICE D'AGOSTINO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120388/01<br>106310/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Angelo T. D'Agostino and Beatrice D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17832

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO AND BEATRICE D'AGOSTINO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120388/01~~ 106310/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Angelo T. D'Agostino and Beatrice D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17278

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 106310/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>ANGELO D'AGOSTINO | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4281-02

MAY 18 2011

X.:/cases/FBW44595/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 106310/02

ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

PHAN ALVARADO Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/13/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 106314/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>I.D. CAPLES | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4277-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/29/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | ~~INDEX NO.~~ 106806/02 and 110478/02 ~~ASSIGNED~~ TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>RICHARD DAY | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/11/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-0198

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6851-02

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/28/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | INDEX NO. 106999/02 and 111254/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RONALD PEPPERDAY | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/11/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-5714-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>DONALD F. HOGAN PLAINTIFF(S),<br>VS.<br>A.C. and S., ET AL. | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)<br><br>INDEX NO.: 108870/02<br><br>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/26/2011

Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 109549/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANK CATALANO | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4607-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120395/01<br>109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. McIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17463

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120395/01~~ 109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

William MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0896D

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120~~395/01~~<br>109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3580D

{N0042173-1}

JUN 6 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>HELEN CATALANO, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK CATALANO,<br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 120395/01<br>109549/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

MAY 1 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew T. MacLaughlin
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Frank Catalano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-16908

{N0042173-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| JOSE TRINIDAD,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. ~~120395/01~~ 109554/02<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

536.10338/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>JAMES F. SMITH,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~120395/01~~ 109561/02<br><br>(January 2011 FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 5/20/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED**,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler MAY 18 2011

X:/FBW39132/45463legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LOUIS A. LIPA, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 109809/02, 107886/00, & 121982/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/29/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 106806/02 and 110478/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RICHARD DAY | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/11/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-5598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6851-02

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114273/02

Sandra M. Miller as Preliminary Petitioner for the Estate of David R. Miller and Sandra M. Miller

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC., Individually and as Successor to GOUVERNEUR TALC COMPANY, INC." hereinafter ("R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/12/11

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early Lucarelli Sweeney & Strauss LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 09030.00005

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4591392.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 120337/02

MONIQUE PELLETIER, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of GIRARD PELLETIER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: May 16, 2011
New York, New York

John Paul Guinan, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124397/02

Emilio Paolini

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/26/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4546727.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| IN RE | NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 |

---x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to: | Index No. 126612/02 |
| ARTHUR NORLANDER | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

---x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
*Attorneys for Defendant*
(212) 791-0285


SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30 |

---x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to: | Index No. 126687/02<br>104638/03<br>110744/06 |
| THOMAS FESHOH | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

---x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Powell,
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
*Attorneys for Defendant*
(212) 791-0285


SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110587/00
100750/03

John F. Banik

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4570903.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| JAMES BALDWIN and HELEN BALDWIN,<br><br>Plaintiff(s),<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S. INC., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. ~~112517/00~~ 100750/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~103007/01~~
100755/03

Eugene Degannes

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/12/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578727.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No. ~~119394/00~~ 100756/03

Harvey L. Fleitman

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578745.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THEODORE FRASIER, EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CLYDE FRASIER,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 124331/00, 100757/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company,. Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clyde Frasier
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2183

MAY 16 2011

N0040478-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>THEODORE FRASIER, EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CLYDE FRASIER,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 124331/00, 100757/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Clyde Frasier
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
~~(212) 558-5500~~

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1

N0040474-1

JUN 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~124331/00~~
100757/03

Clyde Frasier

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578759.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 102174-01
119383-00
100759-03

LAWRENCE A. GIERMEK, as Executor for the Estate of FRANCIS A. LESNIAK,
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: April 13, 2011
New York, New York

5/11/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108353/00
~~112438/00~~
100769/03

Joseph D. Galante

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100[illegible]
Our File No. 05335.0000[illegible]

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4570948.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 100770/03

EDWARD E. WYANT, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

X:/FBW39203/49155legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HENRY M. NOWAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No. 100781/03, 111494/04, 125766/99 & 107169/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13

Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

536.17539/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>VELPO JOHNSON, JR.,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~100786/03~~ 115839/03<br><br>(March 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: May 3, 2011
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Radcliffe

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED**,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| VELPO JOHNSON JR. and MINNIE JOHNSON,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. ~~115839/03~~ 100786/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 16 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Jose F. Fernandez

Index No: 119394/00
100621/01
100787/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/6/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4578738.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119383/00
~~102314/01~~
100787/03

Carl Kroger

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011.

4578798.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| ELIZABETH E. ANDERSON, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of CHARLES ANDERSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. ~~105608/04~~ 100866/03<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS FESHOH

Index No. ~~126687/02~~
104638/03
~~110744/06~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Powell.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
*Attorneys for Defendant*
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**BERNARD LEVINSON** | Index No: ~~2010/190418~~<br>2003/111594<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578206.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

**PRISCILLA CLEMENT, as Executrix of the Estate of GORDON CLEMENT and PRISCILLA CLEMENT, Individually**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112936/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., (hereinafter "BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 4/14/11 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esquire
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| VELPO JOHNSON JR. and MINNIE JOHNSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. 115839/03 100786/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 16 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

536.17539/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>IAS PART 30<br>(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>VELPO JOHNSON, JR.,<br><br>Plaintiff,<br><br>vs.<br><br>UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~100786/03~~ 115839/03<br><br>(March 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: May 3, 2011
East Hanover, New Jersey

Suzanne Rockliffe

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**SO ORDERED,**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116088/03

Emkea R. Taylor, as Executor for the Estate of Walter Taylor, and Emkea R. Taylor as Spouse

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC., Individually and as Successor to GOUVERNEUR TALC COMPANY, INC." hereinafter ("R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early Lucarelli Sweeney & Strauss LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 09039.00007

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4591404.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

**ELLA RUTH MONTONEY AND DONNA JEAN WEESE, as Co-Executrix of the Estate of OSCAR DOANE MONTONEY**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116300/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., (hereinafter "BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14/11, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esquire
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~118505/98~~ 116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1597

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~118505/98~~ 116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
4/25, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

2383-23910

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

324-3009, 7750

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~118505/98~~<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

454-1838

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>JOHN J. DOUGLAS, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHARLES W. DOUGLAS, AND ANNA L. DOUGLAS, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 118505/98<br>116323/03<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2011

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Charles W. Douglas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

722-1706

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 117873/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD W. MITCHELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 118351/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS O'MALLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joseph Williams, Esq. Michael Farrell
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

**LAURA LANDINO, as Executrix of the Estate of FRANK LANDINO a/k/a FRANKLIN DELANO LANDINO a/k/a FRANK DELANO LANDINO, Deceased**

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119461/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., (hereinafter "BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14/11, 2011
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esquire
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120250/03

Angiolilo Julius Greico

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**McCORD CORPORATION**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.010

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4546915.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120250/03

Angiolilo Julius Greico

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendants **CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC., incorrectly s/h/a CONTROL COMPONENTS INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest to BAILEY VALVE CO. (herein after "CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.")** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

~~Julie Evans, Esq.~~
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONTROL COMPONENTS, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 07640.00028

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4546905.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 112123/00 and 102903/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES MACK, JR. | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-8036-00

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 112444/00 and 105233/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANK FRACCALVIERI | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7488-00

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| ELIZABETH E. ANDERSON, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of CHARLES ANDERSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. 105608/04 100866/03<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

X:/FBW48977/legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

PAUL JENNINGS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 106751/04

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13/11

Samia Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107393/04

Priscilla E. Dubois, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Alexander J. Dubois, and Priscilla E. Dubois, as Spouse

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC., Individually and as Successor to GOUVERNEUR TALC COMPANY, INC." hereinafter ("R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/12/11

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early Lucarelli Sweeney & Strauss LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 09030.00006

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4591400.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/28/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 106999/02 and 111254/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>RONALD PEPPERDAY | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/11/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2011

Our File No
S-5714-02

X:/FBW39203/49155legal/March 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HENRY M. NOWAK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 100781/03, 111494/04, 125766/99 & 107169/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/13

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to: GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and LILLIAN CARPENTER, against ACandS, INC., et al., | INDEX NO.: 04-114255 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ
5/13/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gerald Clayton Carpenter and Lillian Carpenter
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

Honorable Cherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

BU-RACE-15

MAY 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>NEIL J. DOLAN AND MARIE DOLAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117419/04<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Neil J. Dolan and Marie Dolan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19842

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>NEIL J. DOLAN AND MARIE DOLAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117419/04<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Neil J. Dolan and Marie Dolan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8348

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>NEIL J. DOLAN AND MARIE DOLAN,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 117419/04<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Neil J. Dolan and Marie Dolan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0614

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 121390/03

LORRAINE PIETROWSKI, Individually and as the Executrix of the Estate of CHESTER PIETROWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: May 16, 2011
New York, New York

John Paul Guinan, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.<br><br>Defendants. | Index No. 101535/05<br>~~111040/98~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br><br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Peter Tambini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PATRICIA CLIFF AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE H. CLIFF AND PATRICIA CLIFF, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~109676/99~~ 104858/05<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of George H. Cliff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0757

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PATRICIA CLIFF AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE H. CLIFF AND PATRICIA CLIFF, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 104858/05<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of George H. Cliff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-10498

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PATRICIA CLIFF AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE H. CLIFF AND PATRICIA CLIFF, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 104858/05<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of George H. Cliff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8399

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>PATRICIA CLIFF AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GEORGE H. CLIFF AND PATRICIA CLIFF, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 104858/05<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of George H. Cliff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-27195

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108351/00
111109/00
114496/05

Robert G. Lorentz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 1001
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4571042.1

2-111

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115563/05

Joseph Owens

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25-11

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Dana M. Northcraft
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4546721.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104128/06

Simone Backstedt, as Executrix for the Estate of Roseanne Backstedt

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC., Individually and as Successor to GOUVERNEUR TALC COMPANY, INC." hereinafter ("R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **R.T. VANDERBILT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/13/11

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early Lucarelli Sweeney & Strauss LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Julie R. Evans
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**R.T. Vanderbilt Company, Inc.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 09030.00017

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4591125.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/4/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 107014/06 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARTIN F. WHITE | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 18 2011

Our File No
S-4074-06

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107014/06

Martin F. White

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/25

Suzanne Radcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 100
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4546747.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119383/00
~~102269/01~~
107623/06

Giovanni Lattanzio

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4578821.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x
THOMAS SIGNORINO and MAE SIGNORINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,
Defendant.
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

**Index No.: 110058/06**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, a subsidiary of ITT Industries," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: April 19, 2011
Signed by Plaintiff: April 27, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Robert Komitor, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Fl.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS FESHOH

Index No. 126687/02
104638/03
110744/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fowell.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
*Attorneys for Defendant*
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112048/06

Joseph C. Lang

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4546703.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No. 116682/06<br>103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-0949

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116682/06<br>~~103682/97~~<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-22741

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116682/06<br>103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-11018

MAY 16 2011

{N0043781-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 116682/06<br>103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-27529

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RICHARD ANGRISANO, THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: ~~116682/06~~<br>103682/97<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/5, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Sam Angrisano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8752

{N0043781-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARTIN A. MICHALSKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100021/2007
111035/1998
113280/1997
101498/1997

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Harrison, NY 5/10/11

~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier-Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED.***

MAY 16 2011

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI SR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. 100021/07 111035/00 113280/97 101498/97 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: ~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~
Phan Alvarado
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
1/18/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 100021/07 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:<br><br>MARTIN MICHALSKI | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-1598

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4173-97

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.:**100021/07**

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARTIN MICHALSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/10, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank~~ Ortiz, Esq. Phan Alvarado
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
MAY 18 2011

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS, as Executor for the Estate of ANN TRESS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102706/07

WHEREFORE, defendant FARRELL LINES INCORPORATED (hereinafter referred to as defendant Farrell Lines) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against it with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Farrell Lines be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Daniel Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

202968.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS, as Executor for the Estate of ANN TRESS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102706/07

WHEREFORE, defendant MAERSK B.V., formerly Nedlloyd Lines B.V. and/or P&O Nedlloyd B.V. (hereinafter referred to as defendant Maersk B.V.) hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against them with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Maersk B.V. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Daniel Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

202969.1

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS, as Executor for the Estate of ANN TRESS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102706/07

WHEREFORE, defendants ALCOA STEAMSHIP COMPANY, Inc. and ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (hereinafter referred to as defendants ASC and ALCOA) hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against them with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants ASC and ALCOA be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Daniel Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 18 2011

202967.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**FRIEDRICH SCHEUERMANN** | Index No: 2008/117872<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**RICHARD R. PAUL** | Index No: 2008/190020<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105581777.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**GLENN RITZEL** | Index No: 2008/190269<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 1 8 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**MARVIN RICH** | Index No: 2008/190271<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105590114.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ISRAEL SASONI** | Index No: 2008/190327<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105590305.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
LEONARD GOLDBERG,

Index No.: 190046/09

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
JOHN J. VAUGHAN and MARY VAUGHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190051/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE,** Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION, as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, as successor to REZNOR MANUFACTURING" and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
GRAEME DALZELL and ELIZA DALZELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190056/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT CORPORATION, as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, as successor to REZNOR MANUFACTURING," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
GRAEME DALZELL and ELIZA DALZELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190056/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT CORPORATION, as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, as successor to REZNOR MANUFACTURING," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

This Document Relates To:
LEONARD FILIANO and ANNA FILIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -x

**Index No.: 190058/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
CYRIL WOOD and CHRISTINE WOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190065/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

MAY 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
HERMAN BRITT,

Index No.: 190067/09

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc." and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x
This Document Relates To:
JOSEPH PODHAYSKI and JOYCE PODHAYSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.
----------x

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

**Index No.: 190083/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
JOHN W. STOLL and MARIA STOLL,

Index No.: 190090/09

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

NYCAL
IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BETTY J. CHRISTIE, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of DONALD R. CHRISTIE , Deceased.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendant(s)
----------X

INDEX NO: 190113/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**WHEREFORE**, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc**. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
29 day of April, 2011

Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue – 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 681-1575

THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO
La Sorsa & Beneventano
Attorney for Defendant
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
RAYMOND J. HEUSCHNEIDER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

Index No.: 190132/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
10/23/09

27530
10/09 IE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION, | INDEX NO. 112929/98 and 190151/09 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MICHAEL GILLIGAN | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 9, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-5439-98

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
ALDO SCHINELLA and GRACE SCHINELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

**Index No.: 190232/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc." and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
JOSEPH GIANCOLA,

Index No.: 190236/09

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100


SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
JAMES VUCETICH and JOAN VUCETICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIRCO, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

Index No.: 190261/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE,** Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
JOHN J. FISHER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190279/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
WILLIAM SMITH and MARY YOBB,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190283/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc." and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
ELIZABETH MONTOYA,

**Index No.: 190297/09**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc." and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

This Document Relates To:
NUNZY J. MASSA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -x

**Index No.: 190299/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
BRUCE J. BOYLE,

Index No.: 190335/09

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE,** Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

This Document Relates To:
LOREN H. PUBLICOVER and JACQUELINE PUBLICOVER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

**Index No.: 190336/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

----------x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:
JOSEPH KOEHLER and CAROL ANN KOEHLER,

**Index No.: 190348/09**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT CORPORATION," and "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
FORREST HUDSON and JOANNE HUDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

Index No.: 190352/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE,** Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED

MAY 31 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
VINCENT CARROLL and MARY JOYCE CARROLL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190357/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190358/09

Eugene F. Walsh

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/12/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

4150309.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
CLIFTON BOUCHEE and MARGARET AMANDA JEFFERSON BOUCHEE,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190361/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," "ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually, and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc., and as successor to GRINNELL VALVE Co., Inc.," and "KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING Co., Inc.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
ROBERT MCCALLY and ANGELA MCCALLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendant.

Index No.: 190372/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x
This Document Relates To:
ORISON CASS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.
----------x

**NYCAL**
**I.A.S. Part 30**
**(Heitler, J.)**

**Index No.: 190375/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100



FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
ROSA TORRES and JOSE TORRES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ALLIANCE LAUNDRY SYSTEMS LLC, et al.,
Defendant.

**Index No.: 190379/09**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE,** Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: May 4, 2010
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.)<br>Index No. 108713/10<br>~~101158/99~~ |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**DANKO ORBANIC** | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578610.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.)<br>Index No. 108713/10<br>101158/99 |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**DANKO ORBANIC** | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578570.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

| | |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates To: | |
| JOHN K. RUGGLES, and KATHLEEN M. OREFICE, as Co-Executors for the Estate of WILLIAM A. RUGGLES, and PATRICIA RUGGLES, Individually, | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) |
| Plaintiff(s), | Index No(s).: 190024-10 |
| -against- | **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |
| A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., | |
| Defendants. | |

----------x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/26/11
New York, New York

David A. Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

JOHN RUGGLES and KATHLEEN M. OREFICE, as Co-Executors for the Estate of WILLIAM A. RUGGLES, and PATRICIA RUGGLES, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190024-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 5/11/11
New York, New York

David Chandler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heller

MAY 16 2011

PB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

SALVATORE FERRARI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190057/2010

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY**

***WHEREFORE***, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

***ORDERED***, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated:
Harrison, New York 05.16.11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
***Attorneys for Plaintiff***
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Diane H. Miller, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
***Attorneys for Defendant***
***KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY***
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

***SO ORDERED***:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 16 2011

JW

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| **IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**<br><br>**ROLAND BARBIER,**<br>**Plaintiff(s),**<br>**vs.**<br>**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**<br>**Defendants.** | **NYCAL**<br>**I.A.S. Part 30**<br>**(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**<br><br>**INDEX NO.: 190070/10**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/16/11

Erik Jacobsy Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 16 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ROBERT HOMBERGER** | Index No: 2010/190162<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105574524.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190166/10

Louis DiGilio

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. Chesterton Company
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 05335.00001

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4351574.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

---x

RICHARD W. DUQUETTE AND DORIS H. DUQUETTE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al., including ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190182/2010

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC. (incorrectly sued herein as "ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, LLC") ("ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 16, 2011

Brian Early, Esq.
**EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC**
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
*Darrell R. Dalton and Joanne J. Dalton*
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**
Attorneys for Defendant
*Advance Auto Parts, Inc.*
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 18 2011
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Anita Scardino and Donald Scardino, as Co-Executors of the Estate of Xavier Scardino,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190184/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 5/3/11
New York, New York

Erica V. Cesaro, Esquire
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corp., as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190184/10

**Xavier Scardino and Anita Scardino**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/11

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

4137199.1

622961 10/10

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| **IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**<br><br>**VINCENT DRAGONE, Plaintiff(s),**<br>**vs.**<br>**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP. AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO, ET AL. Defendants.** | **NYCAL**<br>**I.A.S. Part 30**<br>**(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**<br><br>**INDEX NO.: 190192/10**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/16/11

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Erik Jacobs~~, Esq. David A. Chenkin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:<br><br>RONALD DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT,<br><br>Plaintiffs,<br><br>-against-<br><br>A. W. CHESTERTON CO.,<br><br>Defendants. | Index No.: 190196/10<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/13, 2011

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Seth A Dymond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald Dummitt and Doris Kay Dummitt
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

875-66

{N0050296-1}

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**WILHELM PRANGE** | Index No: 2010/190226<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105590097.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ALPHONSE. MAGLIO** | Index No: 2010/190243<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578283.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 190248/10

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Lowell Cooper v. Air and Liquid
Systems Corporation et al.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. "BUFFALO PUMPS" by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5·6·11
New York, New York

John Howarth, Esquire
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 1005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air and Liquid Systems Corp., as successor
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc
212-943-9240

Patty Burshtyn, Esquire
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Glenn Ritzel v. Air and Liquid Systems Corporation, et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190269/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/14, 2011
New York, New York

Joseph Williams, Esquire
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Mannington Mills, Inc.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Glenn Ritzel v. Air and Liquid
Systems Corporation, et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190269/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., (HEREINAFTER "BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 4/14, 2011
New York, New York

Joseph Williams, Esquire
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corporation (as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.)

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 190270/10

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Joseph Mullen v. Air and Liquid
Systems Corporation et al.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. "BUFFALO PUMPS" by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/16/11
New York, New York

John Howarth, Esquire
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 1005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air and Liquid Systems Corp., as successor
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc

Joseph Williams, Esquire
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____

MAY 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| **IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**<br><br>**JOSEPH MULLEN,**<br>**Plaintiff(s),**<br>**vs.**<br>**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.**<br>**Defendants.** | **NYCAL**<br>**I.A.S. Part 30**<br>**(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**<br><br>**INDEX NO.: 190270/10**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, improperly impleaded as DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Stoker Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/16/11

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Stoker Corporation
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ROBERT THATER,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

INDEX NO. ~~1903297/10~~ 190297/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
MAY 10, 2011

Michael Roberts, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*ROBERT THATER*
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Joseph Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Goulds Pumps Inc.*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-1111

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**HENRY HERBIN** | Index No: 2010/190313<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105574512.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ANGELINA VINTICINQUO** | Index No: 2010/190314<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105590429.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**RICHARD FOLEY** | Index No: 2010/190315<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**EMMANUEL PAPOULIAS** | Index No: 2010/190316<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

MAY 18 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 190317/10

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Robert Cristiano v. Air and Liquid
Systems Corporation et al.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. "BUFFALO PUMPS" by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/16/11
New York, New York

John Howarth, Esquire
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 1005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air and Liquid Systems Corp., as successor
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc

Joseph Williams, Esquire
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ROBERT CRISTIANO** | Index No: 2010/190317<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105574443.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

---------- x

ROBERT CRISTIANO and CONCETTA CRISTIANO,

Index No. 190317-2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Joseph Williams, Esq.
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
**Sedgwick LLP**
*Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC*
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY**

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:
**MARY J. KEENAN AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MORRIS J. KEENAN AND MARY J. KEENAN AS SPOUSE**

**-against-**

**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.; ET AL**

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 10-190322

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
April 25, 2011

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue
20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

**BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
MORRIS J. KEENAN

LA SORSA & BENEVENTANO
3 Barker Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

**THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO ESQ.**
Attorney for Defendant
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.

SO ORDERED:

**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler J.S.C.**

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ISRAEL SASONI** | Index No: 2010/190327<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105590316.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ANTHONY CORINO, JR.** | Index No: 2010/190351<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| **This Document Relates To:** | New York City Asbestos Litigation (NYCAL) |
| **ESTATE OF GIULIO LOCCISANO** | ASBESTOS LITIGATION |
| | **Index No.: 190357/10** |
| | **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER RE: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS** |

WHEREFORE, Defendant WIRE BELT COMPANY OF AMERICA hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant WIRE BELT COMPANY OF AMERICA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross-claims against Defendant WIRE BELT COMPANY OF AMERICA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: May 16, 2011

| | |
|---|---|
| **WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**<br>700 Broadway<br>New York, NY 10003<br>Attorneys for Plaintiffs<br><br>By: _____<br>Christopher Romanelli, Esq. | **GIBBONS PC.**<br>One Pennsylvania Plaza, 37th Floor<br>New York, New York 10119-3701<br>Attorneys for Defendant<br>Wire Belt Company of America<br><br>By: _____<br>Robert D. Brown Jr., Esq. |

**SO ORDERED,** _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ARTHUR J. MEDIATE** | Index No: 2010/190358<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578478.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ARTHUR J. MEDIATE** | Index No: 2010/190358<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578505.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY** | Index No: 2010/190365<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

MAY 18 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**ARUNKUMAR PATEL** | Index No: 2010/190370<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/24/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105581579.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

MICHAEL C. SACCENTO and CATHERINE SACCENTO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

INDEX NO. 190376/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 9, 2011

ERIK JACOBS, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*MICHAEL C. SACCENTO*
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Kristen Loughren, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
*Goulds Pumps Inc.*
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11160

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**THOMAS J. COYNE** | Index No: 2010/190399<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105574399.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

---------- x

LOUIS ODDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190414-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/11

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
**Sedgwick LLP**
*Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.*
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ELECTRO-MOTIVE DIESEL, INC.; et al.

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. **190416-10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, ELECTRO-MOTIVE DIESEL, INC., by their attorneys, LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DiSIPIO, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ELECTRO-MOTIVE DIESEL, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ELECTRO-MOTIVE DIESEL, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/, 2011

| LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DISIPIO | WEITZ & LUXENBERG |
|---|---|
| By: _____ | By: _____ |
| Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.<br>420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335<br>New York, NY 10170<br>Attorneys for Defendant<br>Electro-Motive Diesel, Inc. | Joseph Williams, Esq.<br>Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.<br>700 Broadway<br>New York, NY 10003<br>Attorneys for Plaintiffs |

SO ORDERED: _____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION; et al.

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. **190416-10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, by their attorneys, LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DiSIPIO, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DETROIT DIESEL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3, 2011

| LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DISIPIO | WEITZ & LUXENBERG |
|---|---|
| By: _____ | By: _____ |
| Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.<br>420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335<br>New York, NY 10170<br>Attorneys for Defendant<br>Electro-Motive Diesel, Inc. | Joseph Williams, Esq.<br>Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.<br>700 Broadway<br>New York, NY 10003<br>Attorneys for Plaintiffs |

SO ORDERED: _____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 16 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 190416/10

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (FORMERLY KNOWN AS SQUARE D COMPANY) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (FORMERLY KNOWN AS SQUARE D COMPANY) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (FORMERLY KNOWN AS SQUARE D COMPANY), be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 4/15/11
New York, New York

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10022
(212) 558-5500

Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.
(formerly known as Square D Company)
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NY-860410 v1

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**RAYMOND CARELLA** | Index No: 2010/190416<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**BERNARD LEVINSON** | Index No: ~~2010/190418~~<br>2003/111594<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105578206.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**VOLKERT J. PETERS** | Index No: 2010/190423<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105590073.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

---------- x

VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190423-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
**Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP**
*Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC*
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I.A.S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
|---|---|
| This Document Relates to:<br><br>**VOLKERT J. PETERS** | Index No: 2010/190423<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies. LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies. LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies. LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
**REED SMITH LLP**
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

US_ACTIVE-105601089.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOSEPH CURNYN and NORAH CURNYN

Index No.: 190462/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et. al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2011

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 190028/11

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Volkert Peters v. Air and Liquid
Systems Corporation et al.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. "BUFFALO PUMPS" by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 3/29/11
New York, New York

John Howarth, Esquire
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 1005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air and Liquid Systems Corp., as successor
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc

Benjamin Darche, Esquire
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorney for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED: _____

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No. 190028/11

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Volkert Peters v. Mannington Mills Inc. et al.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, MANNINGTON MILLS by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, MANNINGTON MILLS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/29/11
New York, New York

John Howarth, Esquire
Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 1005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Mannington Mills Inc.

Benjamin Darche, Esquire
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorney for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED: _____

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190062/11

WILLIAM ANTON STOCKHAUSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: May 11, 2011
New York, New York


Lisa Busch, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190106/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

QUINTINA CHECO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500
212-651-7499

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x
This Document Relates To:
QUINTINA CHECO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -x

RECEIVED
NYCAL
MAY 20 2011
McGUIREWOODS

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)
Index No. 190000-11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED,** that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Signed by Defendant: April 29, 2011
Signed by Plaintiff: May 13, 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher Browne, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100
212-548-2150

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190106/11

QUINTINA CHECO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.

----------x

**NO OPPOSITION**
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
**MOTION AND ORDER**

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant AMERICAN BILTRITE INC. improperly named as ("American Biltrite Co., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17, 2011

Patti Burshtyn Esq.
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant, American Biltrite Inc.,
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500
651-7452

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

---------- x

QUINTINA CHECO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190106-2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5.11.2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
**Sedgwick LLP**
*Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC*
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
973-242-8099

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190109/11

**QUINTINA CHECO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 29, 2011


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
*Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation*
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285


FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

0286

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

Quintina Checo

**Index No. 190109-11**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 29, 2011

Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 3 1 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 1 8 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| ALBERT MAYCE and MARIANNE MAYCE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants. | Index No. 190117/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 13, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Michael P. Roberts, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Mark Tevis, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL |
| ALBERT MAYCE and MARIANNE MAYCE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. | Index No. 190117/11<br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**<br>Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30 |

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 13, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Michael P. Roberts, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Mark Tevis, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

MAY 16 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**
**COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|---|---|
| **IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**<br><br>**This Document Relates to:**<br><br>**ALBERT MAYCE and MARIANNE MAYCE,**<br><br>**Plaintiff(s),**<br>v.<br><br>**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**<br><br>**Defendant(s).** | **Index No.: 190117-11**<br><br>**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER** |

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: May 13, 2011
New York, New York

Michael Roberts, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190125/11

**GEORGE W. HISCHE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
*Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation*
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I. A. S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>**FRANK S. LA FAUCI** | Index No. 11/190128<br><br>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

**WHEREAS**, Defendant Federal Pump Corporation requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Federal Pump Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Federal Pump Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Kenneth Roberts, Esq.
**COZEN O'CONNOR**
277 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10172

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | : | NYCAL<br>I. A. S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This document relates to: | : | Index No. 11/190128 |
| **FRANK S. LA FAUCI** | : | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

**WHEREAS**, Defendant Federal Pump Corporation requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Federal Pump Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Federal Pump Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Kenneth Roberts, Esq.
**COZEN O'CONNOR**
277 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10172

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2011

00203041.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | |
|---|---|
| In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | NYCAL<br>I. A. S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This document relates to:<br><br>**DONALD CRATER** | Index No. 11/190135<br><br>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

**WHEREAS**, Defendant Federal Pump Corporation requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Federal Pump Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Federal Pump Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4, 2011

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Kenneth Roberts, Esq.
**COZEN O'CONNOR**
277 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10172

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

MAY 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | : | NYCAL<br>I. A. S. Part 30<br>(Heitler, J.) |
| This document relates to: | : | Index No. 11/190135 |
| **DONALD CRATER** | : | NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER |

**WHEREAS**, Defendant Federal Pump Corporation requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Federal Pump Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Federal Pump Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/11, 2011

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Kenneth Roberts, Esq.
**COZEN O'CONNOR**
277 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10172

FILED
MAY 31 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

MAY 18 2011