

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:
JANEED KAHN,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190512-12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Champlain Cable Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Champlain Cable Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Champlain Cable Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 1, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212.681.1575

Richard P. O'Leary

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant(s)
Champlain Cable Corporation
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:
ARMANDO GIACHIN,

Index No.: 12-190506

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

ADSCO MANUFACTURING LLC, et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Sulzer Turbo Services Houston Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sulzer Turbo Services Houston Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sulzer Turbo Services Houston Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2013

JUN 03 2013

Richard P. O'Leary

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Ave 20th floor
New York, New York 10017
212.986.2233

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant(s)
Sulzer Turbo Services Houston Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:
ARMANDO GIACHIN,

Index No.: 12-190506

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

ADSCC MANUFACTURING LLC, et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Sulzer USA Inc. (incorrectly identified as Sulzer USA Inc. dba Sulzer TCS Inc.), hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sulzer USA Inc. (incorrectly identified as Sulzer USA Inc. dba Sulzer TCS Inc.), with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sulzer USA Inc. (incorrectly identified as Sulzer USA Inc. dba Sulzer TCS Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2013

FILED


JUN 03 2013


Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Ave 20th floor
New York, New York 10017
212.986.2233


Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant(s)
Sulzer USA Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

JOHN DAUB and JEANETTE DAUB,

Index No: 190426/2012

Plaintiff(s),

against

A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, individually, and as
successor to EASCO BOILER CORP., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

April 4, 2013

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU
LLP**
Attorneys for Hopeman Brothers, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

DEMETRIOS CHARALAMBOUS and CHRISTINA
CHARALAMBOUS,

Index No: 190024-2013

Plaintiff(s)

against

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,
n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,
n/k/a RAYER CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant Hobart Brothers Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hobart Brothers Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hobart Brothers Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 19, 2013


FILED

JUN 03 2013


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEIT & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Hobart Brothers Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190369/11

This Document Relates To:
SALVATORE LIPARI and
CELESTINE LIPARI,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

CUMMINS INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant CUMMINS INC., (incorrectly named as CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.) (hereinafter "CUMMINS"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CUMMINS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CUMMINS be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

Apr. 17, 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for CUMMINS INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN VENUTO and DANIEL VENUTO as
Co-Executors for the Estate of CANDIDO
VENUTO, and ELVIRA VENUTO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 99/104842

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 1, 2013

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Wykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 732-2000

~~Carmen V. St. George, Esq.~~
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.
RECEIVED

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILLIP TAMBASCO,

Index No.: 02/114014

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 1, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013



John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 732-2000

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



~~Joe Vazquez, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Index No.: 11/190413
03/105028
03/100904

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 732-2000

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

[Signature]
Daniel Blouin, Esq. Michael Formell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Index No.: 11/190413
03/105028
03/100904

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 732-2000

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Michael Fawcett, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

JUN 02 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PETER M. TREMBLAY SR. and HELEN TREMBLAY,

Index No.: 07/101141
01/119782
01/111232

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2013

JUN 03 2013

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Lykens LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 732-2000

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
William Ernest & Gloria Wubbe,

Index No.: 116162/05

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.W Chesterton Co., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
Complaint against defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., be
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013


<p> Nick Novack, Esq. Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff William Ernest & Gloria Wubbe 800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor New York, NY 10022</p>	<p> Jeff Fegan, Esq. Cullen and Dykman Attorneys for Defendant Howden Buffalo Inc. 44 Wall Street New York, NY 10005 Our File No.: 11231-2</p>
---	--

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO and MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Hoke, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Hoke, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Hoke, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013


Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Hoke, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO and MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

FILED


JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, LLC
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO and MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013


FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Anitoshia Johnson, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Gerosa Incorporated
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK A. GREIS SR.

Index No.: 190368/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2013

FILED


JUN 03 2013


John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
GREIS, FREDERICK A. SR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
2383-29739

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190368/09,

FREDERICK A. GREIS SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
GREIS, FREDERICK A. SR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED 1122-23353

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190555/12, 116629/97

EDWARD W. DOLAN AND GERADINE
DOLAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013




Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Attorney for Plaintiffs
DOLAN, EDWARD W.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190555/12, 116629/97

EDWARD W. DOLAN AND GERADINE
DOLAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
DOLAN, EDWARD W.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY DIRUSSO
and
CANDIDA DIRUSSO

Index No.: 190142/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
APRIL 30TH, 2013



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Anthony DiRusso and Candida DiRusso
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504 0953
RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105780/02, 120394/01

ALVIN L. BASCOMB

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24, 2013



John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
BASCOMB, ALVIN L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Keith Heitler

RECEIVED
2383-24542L

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

HARRY HEWSKI, JR.

Index No.: 190035/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2013


FILED

JUN 03 2013



Daniel Wasserberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
HEWSKI, HARRY, JR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

505-3252

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

HARRY HEWSKI, JR.
and
CAROLYN HEWSKI

Index No.: 120806/97,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2013


Daniel J. Wasserberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
HEWSKI, HARRY, JR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Matthew Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

324-3529

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANICE EVANS ZELENKA, as Personal
Representative for the Estate of ALAN
ZELENKA, and JANICE EVANS ZELENKA,
Individually,

Index No.: 09/190428

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
April 1, 2013

JUN 03 2013

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 732-2000

~~Joe Vazquez, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LEWIS WILLIAMS AND MARIA WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 190375/12,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23, 2013


FILED
JUN 03 2013

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
WILLIAMS, LEWIS
JOSEPH P. WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor
New York, New York 10167
(212) 668-1122

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190566/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES R. NILSSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/24, 2013
New York, New York

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Ellen Heitler

FILED
JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

LOUIS FISHBEIN and NATALIE L. FISHBEIN

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190160/12
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

3M COMPANY, et. al.,


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

4/26, 2013

Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212)605-6200

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Scott B. Group, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190187/10

Raymond Finerty and Mary Finerty

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **VALEO MANAGEMENT SERVICES** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **VALEO MANAGEMENT SERVICES** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **VALEO MANAGEMENT SERVICES** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 29, 2013


Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022



George M. Melo, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
**VALEO MANAGEMENT
SERVICES**
3 Gannett Drive
White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 872-7186

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Our File No.: 12316.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190187/10


Raymond Finerty and Mary Finerty

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **VALEO GROUP** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **VALEO GROUP** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **VALEO GROUP** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 29, 2013


Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


George M. Melo, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
VALEO GROUP
3 Gannett Drive
White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 872-7186
Our File No.: 12316.00001

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I.A.S. Part 30
-----X	:	(Heitler, S.)
	:	
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
MORTON FRIEDER	:	Index No.: 190212-12
	:	
	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York 4/29/13 JUN 03 2013


 Lori Benavides, Esq.
 Levy, Phillips and Konigsberg, LLP
 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 800 Third Avenue-13th Floor
 New York, New York 10022
 (212) 605-6200

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEW YORK

 Sara Murphy, Esq.
 Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
 Attorneys for Defendant,
 Pneumo Abex LLC
 90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
 New York, New York 10004
 212 981-4501 ext. 115

SO ORDERED, 
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
 MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

WILLIAM MOORE

Index No.: 190476-11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs


Dated: New York, New York *April 11, 2012*

RECEIVED

APR 11 2012

PART 30


Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Alfred Sargente, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 ext. 15


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUN 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAY 01 2013

PART 30

ANNE RUTH HELTON, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of JERRY HELTON,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

-vs.-

Index No. 190284/2010

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Plastics Engineering Company, by its attorneys,
Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Plastics Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims
against defendant Plastics Engineering Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: APRIL 26, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Michael J. Masimo
Michael J. Masimo, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Plastics Engineering Company
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York 14534
(585) 419-8800

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30 RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAY 01 2013

BETSY ANN ENGLE, as Executrix of the Estate of
ROLLAND M. ENGLE, Deceased,

PART 30

Plaintiff,

PART 30 NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

-vs.-

Index No. 190284/2012

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Plastics Engineering Company, by its attorneys,
Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Plastics Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims
against defendant Plastics Engineering Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: April 26, 2013

JUN 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


Michael J. Masino, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Plastics Engineering Company
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York 14534
(585) 419-8800

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MAY 01 2013

JOSHUA HIRSH, as Executor of the Estate of
ABRAHAM FREUND, Deceased,

PART 30

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

-vs.-

Index No. 190355/2012

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Plastics Engineering Company, by its attorneys,
Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Plastics Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims
against defendant Plastics Engineering Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: April 26, 2013

JUN 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK *Michael J. Masimo*

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Michael J. Masimo, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Plastics Engineering Company
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York 14534
(585) 419-8800

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GLORIA F. CASSANO, Individually and as Executrix of
the Estate of RICHARD M. CASSANO, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-vs.-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

PART 30

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

Index No. 190234/2011

WHEREFORE, defendant Plastics Engineering Company, by its attorneys,
Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Plastics Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims
against defendant Plastics Engineering Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: APRIL 26, 2013

JUN 03 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael J. Masino

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Michael J. Masino, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Plastics Engineering Company
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York 14534
(585) 419-8800

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PEGGY JANE SMITH, Individually and as Executrix of
the Estate of DANA "GENE" SMITH, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-vs.-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

Index No. 190211/2011

WHEREFORE, defendant Plastics Engineering Company, by its attorneys,
Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant
Plastics Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims
against defendant Plastics Engineering Company and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.


Dated: APRIL 26, 2013



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK.

JUN 03 2013



Michael J. Masino, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Plastics Engineering Company
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York 14534
(585) 419-8800

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Stanley C. Larsen (Dec.)
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190087/2012

WHEREFORE, defendant GIAMBOI BROTHERS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GIAMBOI BROTHERS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GIAMBOI BROTHERS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 1, 2013
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Jordan Fox
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212-558-5500

So Ordered: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN 03 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

LANDMAN CORSE, BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Kimberly A. Perez

Kimberly A. Perez
Attorneys for Defendant
GIAMBOI BROTHERS, INC.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	EDWARD T. GOTTLIEB (Dec.),	: Index No. 114637/06
		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:  **FILED**
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190554/12

John Murray and Mary Murray

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** incorrectly s/h/a "UNION PUMP COMPANY" (hereinafter **CLYDE UNION, INC.**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/13


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CLYDE UNION, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 07723.00088

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 03/121390

**Lorraine Pietrowksi, Individually and as the Executrix,
of the Estate of Chester Pietrowski**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** incorrectly s/h/a “**CARRIER CORPORATION a/k/a Bryant Manufacturing Corporation**” (herein “**CARRIER CORPORATION**”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Handwritten signature of Nick Novack

Nick Novack, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Handwritten signature of Julie R. Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.00330

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____

Handwritten signature of Sherry K. Heitler

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190047/11

Joseph Clarke

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** incorrectly s/h/a "**CARRIER CORPORATION, a/k/a BRYANT MANUFACTURING CORPORATION**" (herein after "**CARRIER CORPORATION**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/30/13



Lori A. Benavides, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557 00953

FILED

JUN 03 2013


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheryl R. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190022/13

Selwyn A. Hackshaw and Dorcas Hackshaw

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **RESEARCH CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **RESEARCH CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 4/21/13


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RESEARCH CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 14698.00002

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190554/12


John Murray and Mary Murray

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **RESEARCH CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **RESEARCH CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 4/21/13


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RESEARCH CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 14698.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sheri K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
In re: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: I. A. S. Part 30
	: (Heitler, J.)
-----X	
This document relates to:	: Index No.: 04/107943
	:
CHERYL NERENBERG, as executrix of the	:
Estate of SEYMOUR BROIZMAN and	:
SUSAN BROIZMAN, Individually	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	: JUDGMENT MOTION
	: AND ORDER
-----X	

WHEREAS, Defendant Hoyt Corporation ("Hoyt"), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Hoyt, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Hoyt, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

_____, 2013

JUN 03 2013


 Audrey Raphael, Esq.
 LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
 New York, NY 10022
 Counsel for Plaintiff

NEW YORK
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Keryan Cook, Esq.
 McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
 80 Broad Street, 23rd Floor
 New York, NY 10005
 Counsel for Hoyt

SO ORDERED,

 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
 MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

HARRY HEWSKI, JR.

Index No.: 120806/97,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2013




Daniel Wasserberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
HEWSKI, HARRY, JR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013 722-88

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
SYLVIA MECKEL, as Proposed Executrix for the	: Index No. 190411/09
for the Estate of MELVYN MECKEL, and	:
SYLVIA MECKEL, Individually,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
Plaintiff,	:
	:
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/5/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz
Michael Farrell


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: 
Diane M. Pompei

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
JUN 03 2013
RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JOAN COSENTINO, as Executrix for the Estate of : Index No. 190246/09
FRANCESCO COSENTINO and JOAN :
COSENTINO, Individually, : **NO OPPOSITION**
Plaintiff, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
-against- : **MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants. : IAS Part 30
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

JUN 03 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: Michael Farrell
Ernie Ortiz
Michael Farrell.

By: Diane M. Pompei
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JACK APPELBAUM and VALERIE		: Index No. 190047/13
APPELBAUM,		:
		:
	Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/15/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Erik Jacobs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC


By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	x	NYCAL
	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	(J. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	
-----	x	Index No.: 190369/11
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
SALVATORE LIPARI	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	x	



No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Salvatore Lipari was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
April 17, 2013 **FILED**

McDermott Will & Emery LLP JUN 03 2013 Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: 	NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq. 340 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10173		Daniel Kraft, Esq. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
the Bendix Corporation*

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190367/12

Daryl W. Middleton and Belinda Middleton

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 16, 2013
Michael Roberts

Michael Roberts, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

[Signature]

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.01359

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190008/13

Vincent G. Graff

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 18, 2013



Ambro Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS
PERSONAL INJURY TRUST**,
as successor in interest to the
Vellumoid Division of Federal-
Mogul Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 1117000030

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sheryll K. Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119702/01


George Bertolini

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/15/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.16049

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK A. GREIS SR.

Index No.: 190368/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
GREIS, FREDERICK A. SR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Matthew Sambar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheryn Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

324-9351

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190211/12

SALVATORE CANTOLINO and MARIE
ANTOINETTE CANTOLINO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

_____, 2013



Laura Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Salvatore Cantolino
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30 095-1147

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 124322/01

LUIS R. QUINTERO AND KATHY
QUINTERO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/11, 2013



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Luis R. Quintero and Kathy Quintero
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-6310AG

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO AND MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-9901
(212) 267-3091

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO AND MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3904
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Steven Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO AND MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2013

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-2099

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013
PART 30
IT 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120099/94, 190239/10

ANTHONY J. MAROLDO and MARGARET
MAROLDO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc. d/b/a Weir Valves & Controls USA, Inc., hereinafter referred to as Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
5/17, 2013

JUN 03 2013

Laura Beth Hollman

Ruth M...

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MAROLDO, ANTHONY J.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:


Index No: 190580/12

Peter V. Matthews Jr. and Ann Matthews

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as successor in interest to SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as successor in interest to SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as successor in interest to SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 11, 2013


Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Erik DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
**SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as
successor in interest to SIEMENS
ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 00965.00459

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190169/09


Gary J. Nankervis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/15/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536 17892

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry J. Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK A. GREIS SR.

Index No.: 190368/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2013

FILED
JUN 03 2013


John A. Richardson

Attorney for Plaintiffs
GREIS, FREDERICK A. SR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED 1235-22976
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
WALTER A. JENSEN,		: Index No. 101333/07
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
	-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

6 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
BERNARD J. JOHNSON,		: Index No. 109228/06
		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JUN 03 2013

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JEFFREY MONTANTE, as Executor for the : Index No. 101344/07
Estate of MARY L. MONTANTE and LOUIS :
MONTANTE, :
:

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

Dated: New York, New York

PART 30

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
EDWARD L. PERRY,	:	Index No. 101274/07
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
-against-	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	:	IAS Part 30
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

KATHLEEN A. DENARDO, as Executrix for the : Index No. 101281/07
Estate of DONALD B. PRENTICE, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
-against- : **MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 : IAS Part 30
Defendants. :
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
GERARD SCHIAVO,	:	Index No. 112522/06
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
-against-	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
9/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq. **FILED**

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----	X	
GLADYS RICHMOND, as Executrix for the Estate of	:	Index No. 100309/07
MURRAY L. WHITE, and GLADYS RICHMOND,	:	
as Executrix for the Estate of EMMA C. WHITE,	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s),	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	:	
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	IAS Part 30
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----	X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/13
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
CHARLES R. GRAVES (Dec.),		: Index No. 113490/06
		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILE RECEIVED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 02 2013
JUN 03 2013
PART 30

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

This Document Relates To:

DENIS J. GALLAGHER and ANN
GALLAGHER,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190480/12

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
ET AL,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/1, 2013

By: John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Counsel for: PLAINTIFFS

By: Oded Burger, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190294/10

DANIEL CURCI and ROSE CURCI,
against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
4/23/13


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Daniel Curci and Rose Curci
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

JUN 03 2013


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
Suite 1804
New York, NY



CC-ROGUE-12

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 96-118312

DORIS WELLS (dec.),
against


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ACandS, INC., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
4/23/13



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


DANA NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Doris Wells (dec.)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

SO ORDERED:


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.
190029/10

THOMAS COWHEY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 16, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN R. SALTZER SR.

INDEX NO.

107100/02 and 124268/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 26, 2013




Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PETER E. FLEMING,

INDEX NO.

107222/02 and 113637/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 29, 2013

[Handwritten signatures of Dana M. Northcraft and Timothy M. McCann]

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X
**IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**
-----X

**NYCAL
I.A.S.
(Heitler, J.)**

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 2011-190353

**RUSSELL J. FOREMAN AND DOROTHY H.
FOREMAN,**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Kelsey-Hayes Company, Individually and as Assignee of Hayes Lemmerz International Inc., by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Kelsey-Hayes Company, Individually and as Assignee of Hayes Lemmerz International Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kelsey-Hayes Company, Individually and as Assignee of Hayes Lemmerz International Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4-8-13 New York, New York



Mark Bibro, Esquire
EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY & STRAUSS
360 Lexington Ave., 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)



John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant, Kelsey-Hayes Company and as Assignee of Hayes Lemmerz International Inc.

FILED

JUN 03 2013

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN W. ADLER and ELAINE ADLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 190392-12
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

LUCAS AUTOMOTIVE HOLDING COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants LUCAS AUTOMOTIVE GMBH and LUCASVARITY AUTOMOTIVE HOLDING COMPANY (hereinafter referred to collectively as "LUCAS"), by attorneys WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against LUCAS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants LUCAS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated April 8th, 2013
New York, NY

FILED

JUN 03 2013



Carmen St. George, Esquire
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Ave, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE 

Babula, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendants
LUCAS AUTOMOTIVE GMBH
LUCASVARITY AUTOMOTIVE
HOLDING COMPANY

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL A. RUZZI and JACQUELINE RUZZI,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-111231


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 4/26/13 New Brunswick, NJ


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Michael A. Ruzzi and Jacqueline Ruzzi
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

JUN 03 2013


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30



CC-HARD-16

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY CISEK AND MARY ANN CISEK

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: **190050/2012**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


Defendant MARATHON ELECTRIC MANUFACTURING CORPORATION, NOW KNOWN AS RBC MANUFACTURING CORPORATION (incorrectly sued herein as "Marathon Special Products") (hereafter "Marathon Electric" or "defendant"), by its attorneys WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Marathon Electric with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARATHON ELECTRIC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/22, 2013
New York, New York



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK AND FOX
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



John S. Howarth, Esq.
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant
Marathon Electric Manufacturing Corporation,
now known as RBC Manufacturing Corporation

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 109124-01

DORIS WELLS (dec.),
against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


ACandS, INC., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

4/23/13



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



DANA NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Doris Wells (dec.)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



CC-AGAIN-14

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 121913/97

JOHN CLIFFORD AND JOAN CLIFFORD

against

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 1, 2013
New York, New York

Melissa R Barrella
MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

Adam Raffo
ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JOHN CLIFFORD AND JOAN CLIFFORD
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

SO ORDERED:

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30



CE-APRIL-13

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL A. RUZZI and JACQUELINE RUZZI,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


INDEX NO.: 01-120583

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/2/2013 New Brunswick, NJ


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Michael A. Ruzzi and Jacqueline Ruzzi
100 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

SO ORDERED:


NEW YORK Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY



CC-HARD-17

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
JOHN RUFF,

Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 190516/11

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Clark-Reliance Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant **Clark-Reliance Corporation** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Clark-Reliance Corporation** be dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/11/13
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

DATED: 4/11/13
O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Clark-Reliance Corporation
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

FILED

JUN 03 2013

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
LOUIS DIGILIO,
Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 190166/10

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)**


Defendants.
----- x


WHEREFORE, defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Clark-Reliance Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Clark-Reliance Corporation** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/11/13
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

DATED: 4/11/13
O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC


Chris Romanelli Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Clark-Reliance Corporation
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

FILED

SO ORDERED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
SALVATORE LIPARI and CELESTINE LIPARI,	: Index No. 190369/11
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Apr. 17, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Danny R. Kraft, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

267 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018

JUN 03 2013 2) 302-2400

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

Dated: New York, New York
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN	: Index No. 190193/12
LANCELOT,	:
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	:
	:
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/15/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Michael Roberts, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
EDWARD J. DUL, JR. and GLORIA DUL,	: Index No. 190571/12
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 16, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
By: 
Michael P. Roberts, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: 
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
ALICE C. BOTTOM, as Administratrix for the	: Index No. 101286/07
Estate of DAVID M. BOTTOM, and ALICE C.	: :
BOTTOM, Individually,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Plaintiff,	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	: :
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	: :
	: :
Defendants.	: :
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Frank Cruz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Diane M. Pompei

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X			
JOSEPH SULLIVAN and CONSTANCE	:	Index No. 190044/13	
SULLIVAN, HIS WIFE,	:		
	Plaintiffs,	:	NO OPPOSITION
		:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		:	
CBS CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u>	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,	
	Defendants.	:	IAS Part 30
-----X			


WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


April 26, 2013

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Kush Shukla

110 William Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 267-3091

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

By: 
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JEANNE COYLE, as Executrix for the Estate of : Index No. 104310/07
JOSEPH COYLE, and JEANNE COYLE, :
Individually, : **NO OPPOSITION**
Plaintiff, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30
Defendants. :
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/23/13 ~~4/23/13~~

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Curiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Diane M. Pompei **FILED**

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X			
BARBRA DEL GALLO, as the Personal	:	Index No. 108752/99	
Representative for the Estate of REZZERIO DEL	:		
GALLO and BARBRA DEL GALLO, Individually,	:		
	:	NO OPPOSITION	
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>	
	:		
	:		
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,	
	:	IAS Part 30	
	:		
	:		
-----X			


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Diane M. Pompei

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
NANCY MCDYER, as the Personal Representative	:	Index No. 110053/99
for the Estate of EARL M. GARRETT and	:	121704/02
NANCY MCDYER, Individually,	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	:	
	:	
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	:	IAS Part 30
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Diane M. Pompei

FILED

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X			
DOROTHY MCKINNEY, Individually, and as the	:	Index No. 108752/99	
Personal Representative for the Estate of JAMES	:	106649/02	
MCINNEY,	:		
	Plaintiff,	:	NO OPPOSITION
		:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	-against-	:	
		:	
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,	
	Defendants.	:	IAS Part 30
-----X			


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Diane M. Pompei

FILED

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

LIBBY A. FEUERMAN, as Administratrix for the : Index No. 108170/06
Estate of IRVING FEUERMAN, and LIBBY A. :
FEUERMAN, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

FILED

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
RECEIVED

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 02 2013

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JOAN A. GILROY, as Administratrix for the : Index No. 101591/07
Estate of FRANCIS C. GILROY, and JOAN A. :
GILROY, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

FILED

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 02 2013

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JOHN J. OBREMSKI, Individually and as Executor:		Index No. 108412/07
for the Estate of DENISE M. OBREMSKI,		:
		:
	Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	-against-	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>		: IAS Part 30
		:
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/5/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

FILED

JUN 03 2013

JUN 03 2013

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SALVATORE LIPARI and CELESTINE LIPARI,

Index No. 190369-11

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


April 17, 2013

BY:


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Danny R. Kraft, Esq.

BY:


Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000

Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED

JUN 03 2013


**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X NYCAL
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No. 123481-00

-----X
GEORGE J. SABO, JR., as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE J. SABO, and EMMA SABO, Individually, IAS Justice:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Port Washington, New York

4/23/13

FILED

JUN 03 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Thomas J. Maimone, Esq.
MIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
150 Haven Avenue
Port Washington, NY 11050

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110053/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

EARL M. GARRETT,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/29, 2013
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

JUN 03 2013

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100316/07

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES O'BRIEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/29, 2013
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

Index No.: 108537/02;
121099/01

ERROL SILVERBERG, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of HARRY SILVERBERG, and
ERROL SILVERBERG, as Proposed Personal
Representative for the Estate of ROSLYN SILVERBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MOTION AND ORDER

PACCAR INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

4/30, 2013


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121099/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

HARRY SILVERBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/30, 2013
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 113741-04

-----X
CATHERINE L. BENSON, as Administratrix for the
Estate of GUSTAVE A. BENSON,

IAS Justice:
Hon. Sherry Klein
Heitler

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC., be and the
same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Port Washington, New York

4/23/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Lance Perez, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
150 Haven Avenue
Port Washington, NY 11050

FILED

JUN 03 2013

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109357/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FREDERICK CIAMPI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/29, 2013
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

 **FILED**
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD. JUN 03 2013
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 101286/07

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAVID M. BOTTOM,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/29, 2013
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Klein Heitler, J.)

CHRISTINE CARROLL Individually and as the
Representative of the Estate of JOHN P. CARROLL,
deceased,

Index No.: 190286/12

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2013


Laurence V. Nassif, Esq. ~~DANIEL R. WASS, Esq.~~
SEEGER WEISS, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
77 Water Street - 26th Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 584-0700


FILED

JUN 03 2013


Rory G. Greebel, Esq.
COZEN O'CONNOR
Attorney for Defendant
Hatzel & Buehler, Inc.
45 Broadway, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 509-9400

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of New York)
 ss:
County of New York)


MABEL RUBINSTEIN, being duly sworn says: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside in Staten Island, New York.

On April 12, 2013, I served the within **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** by depositing a true copy thereof enclosed in a post-paid wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service, addressed to the following at their last known addresses set forth below: upon:


TO:

SEEGER WEISS, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
77 Water Street
26th Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 584-0700

SEE CARROLL DEFENDANT SERVICE LIST ATTACHED


MABEL RUBINSTEIN

Sworn to before me this 12th
day of April, 2013.



NOTARY PUBLIC

David A. Shimkin, Esq.
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02SH6135716
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires October 24, 2013

CHRISTINE CARROLL, et al v. A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al
Defendant Service List

Cooley Manion Jones LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
A.W. Chesterton Company
21 Custom House Street
Boston, MA 02110-3536

Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation,
Foster Wheeler LLC, and
General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center – 12th Floor
Neward, NJ 07102

Darger Errante Yavitz & Blau, LLP
Attorneys for Certain Teed Corporation and
Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street – 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016

Barry McTiernan & Moore, LLC
Attorneys for Cleaver Brooks Company
2 Rector Street – 14th Floor
New York, NY 10006

Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker
Attorneys for Control Components, Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
Attorneys for Courter & Company,
King Insulation Company, Inc.,
Weir Valves and Controls USA Inc. and
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MetLife Building
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

K&L Gates, LLP
Attorneys for Crane Company
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Leader & Berkon, LLP
Attorneys for IMO Industries Company, Inc.
630 Third Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10017

Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd.
Attorneys for Curtiss-Wright Corporation
850 Third Avenue – Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Edward Vogt Valve Company,
Flowserve US Inc. and Milton Roy Company
1300 Mount Kemble Avenue
PO Box 2075
Morristown, NJ 07962

General Refractories Company
225 City Avenue, Suite 114
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-0

Lynch Daskal Emery, LLP
Attorneys for Georgia Pacific Co.
264 West 40th Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10018

Cullen & Dykman, LLP
Attorneys for Gould Pumps, Inc. and
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005-2407

Tierney Law Offices
Attorneys for Guyon General Piping, Inc. – Teval
Corp.
1125 Land Title Building
100 South Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19110-1029

Forman, Perry, Watkins, Krutz & Tardy, LLP
Attorneys for Ingersoll Rand Company and
Trane US Inc.
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, NJ 07701

Rockwell Automation, Inc.
c/o CT Corporation System
111 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10011

McGuire Woods, LLP
Attorneys for I.T.T. Industries, Inc.
1345 Avenue of Americas – 7th Floor
New York, NY 10105-0106

Leslie Controls Inc.
8 Spielman Road
Fairfield, NJ 07004

Stephoe & Johnson, LLP
Attorneys for Metropolitan Life Insurance
Company
750 Seventh Avenue – 18th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Lavin, O’Neil, Ricci, Cedrone & DiSipio
Attorneys for Minnesota Mining and
Manufacturing Company
190 North Independence Mall West
Suite 250
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Schiff Hardin, LLP
Attorneys for Owens-Illinois, Inc.
666 Fifth Avenue – 17th Floor
New York, NY 10103

SNR Denton US LLP
Attorneys for Rapid America Corp.
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020

The Lunkenheimer Corporation
c/o Delaware Attorney Services
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19904

Schute and Koerting, Inc.
Schutte & Koerting, Inc. f/k/a Schutte & Koerting
Company
3773 Cherry Creek North Drive, Ste 1075
Denver, Colorado 80209

Landman, Corsi, Ballantine & Ford, P.C.
Attorneys for Sequoia Ventures
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, NY 10271

Malaby & Bradley, LLC
Attorneys for Weil-McLain Company, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, NY 10006

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To:

MICHAEL SOLOMON and GERTRUDE
SOLOMON,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 102916/00

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


May 1, 2013


By: Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Counsel for: PLAINTIFFS


By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

FILED
JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAWRENCE G. MANNING

INDEX NO.

107103/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

April 26, 2013


Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 111043-98

DORIS WELLS (dec.),

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

4/23/13



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



DANA NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Doris Wells (dec.)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROLF HAMMER and MARGARET HAMMER,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190363/2012

vs.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION, et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, National Automotive Parts Association, incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts a/k/a National Automotive Parts Association, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against National Automotive Parts Association, incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts a/k/a National Automotive Parts Association, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, National Automotive Parts Association, incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts a/k/a National Automotive Parts Association, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
April 19, 2013

Dated: Buffalo, New York
April 19, 2013


BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

FILED

DAMON MOREY LLP


Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 681-1575

JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
National Automotive Parts Association
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
Buffalo, New York 14202
Telephone: (716) 856-5500

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heller, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :

MICHAEL SOLOMON and GERTRUDE
SOLOMON, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiff(s), :

Index No.: 102916/00

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL., :

Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 13, 2013


By: Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Counsel for: PLAINTIFFS


FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970


By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016

SO ORDERED:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

Duplicate Original
5-6-13

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

This Document Relates To:

DENIS J. GALLAGHER and ANN
GALLAGHER,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190480/12

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
ET AL,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/12, 2013



By: John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Counsel for: PLAINTIFFS




By: Oded Burger, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

FILED

JUN 03 2013

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

5-6-13
Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT DEAN BENCE and SHARON BENCE,

INDEX NO. 190502/2012

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL CO., ET AL.

Defendant(s)

**DEFENDANT, THE WILLIAM
POWELL COMPANY'S NO
OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED:

JUN 03 2013

By:

4/13/13

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By:



Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

William F. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

RECEIVED

PART 30



ROBERT D. BENCE- SERVICE LIST

COUNSEL:

Nancy McDonald, Esq./Joe LaSala, Esq.

McELROY DEUTSCH

MULVANY & CARPENTER

1300 Mount Kemble Avenue, P.O. Box 2075
Morristown, New Jersey 07962

Edward Wilbraham, Esq.

WILBRAHAM LAWLER & BUBA

140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, New York 10005
&

John Howarth, Esq.

WILBRAHAM LAWLER & BUBA

1818 Market St., Suite 3100
Philadelphia, PA 19103-3631

Richard Mendelsohn, Esq.

LONDON FISCHER

59 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.

FORMAN, PERRY, WATKINS,

KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP

328 Newman Springs Road
Redbank, New Jersey 07701

Thomas Beneventano, Esq.

LaSORSA & BENEVENTANO

3 Barker Avenue, 2nd floor
White Plains, New York 10601

Kerryann Cook, Esq.

McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street, 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004

Paul Van Buskirk, Esq.

McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

23 Vreeland Road, Suite 220
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932

DEFENDANTS:

A.O. Smith Water Products
& Flowserve US

Air & Liquid Systems Corp.

Alfa Laval, Inc.

American Standard
& Bird, Inc.
& Trane US Inc.
& Velan Valve

Armstrong International

Atwood & Morrill
& Fairbanks Company
& Nash Engineering
& Taco, Inc.
& Trane US

Aurora Pump
& Falk Corporation
& Kentile Floors
& Zurn Industries, Inc.

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

Ted Eder, Esq./W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.
**SEGAL, McCAMBRIDGE,
SINGER & MAHONEY**
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

BW/IP International
& Green Tweed & Co.
& The Marley Company
& Palmetto, Inc.

Anna DiLonardo, Esq.
MARSHALL, DENNEHY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN
888 Veterans Memorial Hwy., Suite 540
Hauppauge, New York 11788

Borg-Warner Corporation

Arthur Bromberg, Esq.
MARSHALL, DENNEHY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN
425 Eagle Rock Avenue
Roseland, New Jersey 07068

John Fanning, Esq./Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
CULLEN & DYKMAN
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005

Burnham Corp.
& Goulds Pumps
& Howden Buffalo, Inc.
& Spence Engineering

Michael Tanenbaum, Esq.
**SEDGWICK, DETERT,
MORAN & ARNOLD**
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102

CBS (Viacom, Westinghouse)

Julie Evans, Esq.
**WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ
EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017

Carrier Corporation
& Todd Shipyards

Judith Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU
116 East 27th Street
12th floor
New York, New York 10016

Certain-Teed
& Hopeman Brothers, Inc.
& Union Carbide

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esq.
DICKIE McCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
Public Ledger Building
150 South Independence Mall, Suite 901
West Philadelphia, PA 19106-3409

Clark-Reliance (Jerguson)


AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Stephanie Melo, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That deponent is not a party to the action, is over 18 years of age, resides in Morris County, New Jersey, and is an employee of Clemente Mueller, P.A., the attorneys for defendant, The William Powell Company.

2. That on January 30, 2013, The William Powell Company's No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order was served upon Attorneys for Plaintiff, Belluck & Fox, LLP, 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor, New York, New York 10036, with a copy to the attorneys for defendants as listed on the attached service rider, at the address designated by said attorneys for that purpose, by depositing a true copy of same enclosed in a post office depository, under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are wilfully false, I am subject to punishment.


STEPHANIE MELO

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 30th day of January, 2013.


A NOTARY PUBLIC OF
THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY

LORI ANNE MERZ
NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOV. 4, 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
Kathleen Finn Williams, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of Thomas Williams,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. Chesterton Company; et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant **THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
April 10, 2013


Kush Shukla, Esq
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901

FILED
JUN 03 2013
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Thomas A. Montiglio, Esq
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 535-2440
Our File No.: TBZ 0001T TAM

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherrill Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2013
PART 30

536.25168/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
IAS PART 30
(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:

ARTHUR H. JUNI, JR.,

Index No.: 190315/2012

(April 2013 *In Extremis* Trial Group)

Plaintiff,

vs.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,
et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

4/19/13

East Hanover, New Jersey

CHRISTOPHER ROMANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

JUN 03 2013

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROLF HAMMER and MARGARET HAMMER,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190363/2012

vs.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Genuine Parts Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Genuine Parts Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
April 19, 2013

Dated: Buffalo, New York
April 19, 2013

JUN 03 2013

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

DAMON MOREY LLP

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 681-1575

Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Genuine Parts Company
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
Buffalo, New York 14202
Telephone: (716) 856-5500

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED:

MAY 02 2013

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HELEN NAGY,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190159-2012
(October 2012 In Extremis)

vs.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendants National Automotive Parts Association, incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts a/k/a National Automotive Parts Association and Genuine Parts Company, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendants National Automotive Parts Association, incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts a/k/a National Automotive Parts Association and Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants National Automotive Parts Association, incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts a/k/a National Automotive Parts Association and Genuine Parts Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 14, 2013

FILED

Dated: Buffalo, New York
April 16, 2013


BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

JUN 03 2013

DAMON MOREY LLP

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 681-1575

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Peter S. Marlette, Esq.
*Attorneys for Defendants
Genuine Parts Company and
National Automotive Parts Assoc.*
Buffalo, New York 14202
Telephone: (716) 856-5500

RECEIVED

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler, J.S.C.

MAY 02 2013

PART 30

STATE OF NEW YORK
SUPREME COURT : COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT DEAN BENCE and SHARON BENCE

Plaintiffs,

No Opposition Summary
Judgment Motion and Order

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Index No. 190502/2012

Defendants

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Victor Technologies International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs Robert Dean Bence and Sharon Bence's Complaint against defendant, Victor Technologies International, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto; it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Victor Technologies International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Buffalo, New York
March 29, 2013


FILED

JUN 03 2013


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Belluck & Fox, LLP

Brian P. Fitzgerald, P.C.




Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Dean Bence and Sharon Bence
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel. (212) 681-1575



Brian P. Fitzgerald, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Victor Technologies International, Inc.
509 Liberty Building
424 Main Street
Buffalo, New York 14202
Tel. (716) 852-2000

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JUN 03 2013

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

NO OPP

190502/r

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

PART 30