SCANNED ON 1/17/2013

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: COSMO CASAREGOLA, Plaintiffs, -against- 3M COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190421/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Karnak Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

LORI A. BENAVIDES, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CASAREGOLA, COSMO
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

781-71

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ABRAHAM FICHTENBAUM, Plaintiffs, -against- GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115662/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, American Hardware & Paint Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, American Hardware & Paint Co., Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, American Hardware & Paint Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/25/ 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
American Hardware & Paint Co., Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Abraham Fichtenbaum
110 William Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 267-3091

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ABRAHAM FICHTENBAUM, Plaintiffs, -against- GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115662/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robertson Ceco Corporation as the successor to H.H. Robertson Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robertson Ceco Corporation as the successor to H.H. Robertson Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Robertson Ceco Corporation as the successor to H.H. Robertson Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/25/, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Robertson Ceco Corporation as the successor to H.H. Robertson Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Abraham Fichtenbaum
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SIGNED & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1505-0002

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of KATHLEEN JANITS and KATHLEEN JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of FRANK JANITS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190084/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bradco Supply Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bradco Supply Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Bradco Supply Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 15 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Bradco Supply Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

A. Brounds, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Janits and Kathleen Janits
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hovo & Dnd.

6-0182

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CARMEN WILSON AND RUBY WILSON, Plaintiffs, -against- 3M COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190143/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Patrick J. Timmins

PATRICK J. TIMMINS ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
WILSON, CARMEN
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

1003-495

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM E.WUBBE and GLORIA WUBBE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 116162/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation, successor to DURCO, formerly known as Duriron, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, successor to DURCO, formerly known as Duriron, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, successor to DURCO, formerly known as Duriron, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30/2012

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporaiton
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Erica V Cesaro

Erica V Cesaro
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William E. Wubbe and Gloria Wubbe
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Ord:

951-0581

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK DELISE and VIRGINIA DELISE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190156/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, COLUMBIA BOILER COMPANY OF POTTSTOWN, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, COLUMBIA BOILER COMPANY OF POTTSTOWN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, COLUMBIA BOILER COMPANY OF POTTSTOWN, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Columbia Boiler Company Of Pottstown
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Patti Brown
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank Delise and Virginia Delise
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2572-0100

41558-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK DELISE and VIRGINIA DELISE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190156/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012

Jason S. Riemer, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank Delise and Virginia Delise
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1887

{0141558-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK DELISE and VIRGINIA DELISE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190156/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, FAIRBANKS COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, FAIRBANKS COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, FAIRBANKS COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura Beth Hollman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Fairbanks Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Patti Brenton
Patti Brenton
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank Delise and Virginia Delise
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

0504-936

{N0141558-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ADELE ANZALONE, INDIVIDUALLY AND MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF PHILIP R. ANZALONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 127106/02, 107100/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Philip R. Anzalone
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ MAY 3 0 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd. 383-25661C

{N0137754-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ADELE ANZALONE, INDIVIDUALLY AND MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF PHILIP R. ANZALONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 127106/02, 107100/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Philip R. Anzalone
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-5050

{N0137753-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ADELE ANZALONE, INDIVIDUALLY AND MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF PHILIP R. ANZALONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 127106/02, 107100/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Philip R. Anzalone
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1122-5527

{N0137752-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARETH SMID, as personal representative for the Estate of JAN SMID, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCT CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107567/03, 109866/96 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smid, Jan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1235-11034

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WALTER D. GUTHRIE AND LONA GUTHRIE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190114/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walter D. Guthrie and Lona Guthrie
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-0489
MAY 30 2012

{N0084445-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH P. MCCORT AND MARTHA MCCORT, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190286/11, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation, an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, improperly named as Robertson-CECO Corporation, f/k/a H.H. Robertson Company, hereafter referred to as RCH Newco II, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/23, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
RCH Newco II, LLC
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

By: Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McCort, Joseph P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1505-657

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190008/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-446

{N0084445-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT CASTORINA AND ANNETTE CASTORINA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111230/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 30th, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Castorina and Annette Castrina
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-5925

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0140948-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD CAYEN, as Proposed Representative for the Estate of HORACE L. CAYEN and MILDRED CAYEN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 123161/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cayen, Horace L.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1122-3986

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEANNE LOWE, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT A. LOWE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 110194/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/,2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert Lowe
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1364

{N0135709-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEANNE LOWE, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT A. LOWE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 110194/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/,2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert Lowe
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26896

MAY 30 2012

{N0135750-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEANNE LOWE, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT A. LOWE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 110194/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/,2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert Lowe
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18575

MAY 30 2012

{N0135749-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM J. LINDSAY AND PATRICIA LINDSAY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190074/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

RECEIVED MAY 15 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/15, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William J. Lindsay and Patricia Lindsay
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-4061

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES J. SEBASTIANI and JUDY SEBASTIANI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113280/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sebastiani, James J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-6765

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RAYMOND SABO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Raymond Sabo
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
963-848

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0141394-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEANNE LOWE, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT A. LOWE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 110194/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/,2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert Lowe
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

1235-18031

MAY 30 2012

{N0135748-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT BRUNCK, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al. Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190026/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler of Pottstown with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Columbia Boiler of Pottstown
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Brunck
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

2572-0091

MAY 30 2012

{N0134323-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT CASTORINA AND ANNETTE CASTORINA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111230/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
April 30th, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Castorina and Annette Castrina
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5984H

MAY 30 2012

{N0140945-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT BRUNCK, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al. Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190026/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 10, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Brunck
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

{N0134329-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GLORIA SCHIAVI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GIAN SCHIAVI, AND GLORIA SCHIAVI, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100032/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Gian Schiavi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1235-3247

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GLORIA SCHIAVI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GIAN SCHIAVI, AND GLORIA SCHIAVI, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100032/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Gian Schiavi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-3721

{N0129031-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GLORIA SCHIAVI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GIAN SCHIAVI, AND GLORIA SCHIAVI, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100032/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

RECEIVED MAY 15 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Gian Schiavi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7275

{N0129031-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANNIE PICISTRELLI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GINO PICISTRELLI AND ANNIE PICISTRELLI, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100718/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

RECEIVED MAY 15 2012

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Gino Picistrelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-1416

{N0128123-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GLORIA SCHIAVI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GIAN SCHIAVI, AND GLORIA SCHIAVI, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100032/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Gian Schiavi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2383-26102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0042173-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GLORIA SCHIAVI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF GIAN SCHIAVI, AND GLORIA SCHIAVI, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100032/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Gian Schiavi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-11334

{N0129031-1}

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

COSMO CASAREGOLA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

Index No. 11/190421

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., through the respective undersigned attorneys, that the above-captioned action be discontinued without prejudice as to Plaintiffs against the inadvertently named Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., with all parties to bear their own costs.

Date: 12/12, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

~~AUDREY P. RAPHAEL~~ LORI A BERMUDES, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff

HENSON & EFRON, P.A.
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1800
Minneapolis, MN 55402

JENNIE A. CLARKE, NY #2282572
SCOTT A. NEILSON
Attorneys for Pentair Pump Group, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

437634 DOC

MAY 3 0 2012

SCI - Rcvd & Std:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT BRUNCK, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al. Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190026/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10 ,2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Brunck
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-29699

MAY 30 2012

{N0134332-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRED D'AMBROSIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118438/03, 111642/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/21, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
D'Ambrosio, Angela
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

2571-1851

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRED D'AMBROSIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118438/03, 111642/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/24, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
D'Ambrosio, Angela
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

2082-11877

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

COSMO CASAREGOLA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY,
f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing *Co.;*
A.W. CHESTERTON *CO.*, INC.;
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as Successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.;
AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC.;
AMERICAN EXPORT LINES,
Farrell Lines Incorporated
f/k/a & s/h/a American Export Lines;
ARGO PACKING *CO.*, INC.;
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Armstrong
Machine Works
ATWOOD & MORRILL CO., INC.,
d/b/a Weir Valves & Controls USA, Inc.;
AURORA PUMP COMPANY;
BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY,
a subsidiary of ITT Industries;
BIRD INCORPORATED;
BLACKMER PUMP;
BUFFALO PUMPS, INC.,
Individually and as a Subsidiary of
Ampco-Pittsburgh Corp.;
BUFFALO PUMPS,
Division of Air & Liquid Systems Corporation by
merger;
CARRIER CORPORATION,
a/k/a BRYANT MANUFACTURING
CORPORATION;
CBS CORPORATION,
f/k/a Viacom, Inc., successor by merger to CBS
Corporation,
f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation;
CEMEX, INC.,
individually and as successor to Southdown, Inc.
and Moore McCormack Resources, Inc. and
Moore-McCormack Lines;
CRANE CO.;
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS INC.;
DEMING PUMPS;

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index 11/190421

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.120430/01; 100170/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Amato, Michael J. ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

So ordered

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
MAY 30 2012
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.120432/01; 101110/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Fleming, James R. (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

MAY 30 2012

So Ordered

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

VIRGIL LAFFEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190334/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 23, 2012

Douglas von Oiste, Esq.
KARST & VON OISTE, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
19500 State Highway 249, suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988

Cynthia Weiss Antonucci, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
Armstrong International, Inc.
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dld. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.105625/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Peluso Jr., Rocco L. (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.122434/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Zurek, Matthew Daniel (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

MAY 3 0 2012

SO Ordered

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

Sign - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100782/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

JACK POLLOCK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106965/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

GEORGE HENRY BISHOP and JOAN BISHOP

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - NYCAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110269/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

MICHAEL REGAN and MARY REGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.120395/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

HENRY T. McLOUGHLIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103375/02; 120396/01

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Ashton, Mark D.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106508/02; 110788/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Keahon, James E.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Nova & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.113567/02; 127317/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

JOSEPH LAUER, JR. as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH = Hovo & Dio: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.122186/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

VICTOR MENNILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 2, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **JOSEPH NAIMOLI**	Index No(s).: 190405-11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 22, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Rcvd & Dld:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ZAYAS, JEFFREY A.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119115/2002
107102/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
05/07/2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand Jr. Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:
WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115520/2005

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 05.11.12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue – Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GORDON CONKLIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190423/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:
Harrison, New York 04.23.12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

KARST & VON OISTE, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
19500 State Hwy 249
Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

TIMOTHY MEYER and KAREN MEYER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190094/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Harrison, New York 04.20.12

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Timothy Meyer and Karen Meyer
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 344-5461

Cassandra H. Pelissier-Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
Keeler-Dorr-Oliver Boiler Company
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Sign - Hovo & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

CARLISLE, GLENN R.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 114132/2002
107403/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Harrison, New York
05/07/2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand Jr. Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dist.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.125871/99

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Papantonio, Alfred (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

So Ordered

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAY 3 0 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.106223/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Terwilliger, Gary R. (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

So ordered

MAY 30 2012

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.101017/94; 109704/08

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

Rubino, Gerardo (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

So Ordered

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.106266/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Spitz, Irving (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

MAY 30 2012

So ordered

SHERRY KLEINHEITLER

SKH - Hon. & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)ASBESTOS

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
LITIGATION
Index No.103612/97; 113279/97; 111061/98; 116634/99; 102423/02

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

Clemons, Morris (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

MAY 3 0 2012

So Ordered

JUDGE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.110297/02

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Jakway, John H. (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201

MAY 30 2012

So ordered

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

In Re:NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.120652/99

----------x

This Document Applies to:

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Lattuca, Dominic Mickey M. (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant EASTERN REFRACTORIES CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201



SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 190496/2011
HARRY W. GUY and EVA NIECZAJ-GUY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No.** 12/190216
PASQUALE A. FORGIONE and ANN FORGIONE	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Pasquale A. Forgione, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 117055/04**
HAROLD L. ANTHONY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 118040/04
JERRY M. BALLANCE SR.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
J/2, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 113471/04**
GUSTAVE A. BENSON	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 110050/04**
JOHN DRITSAS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 100485/05**
DAVID F. DUNNING	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 114468/04**
HILDA EVERHART	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 108523/04**
SADIE FAST	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 106014/04**
FRANK JOSEPH FRITZ	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 116967/04**
THOMAS GLOVER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE W. HEINTZ

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 03-115312

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/22, 2012

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: B-D
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARION MOULTRIE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 04-113756

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/22, 2012

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: B-D
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
This Document Relates To:	:	
JOHN F. RODERER,	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
Plaintiff(s),	:	
-against-	:	Index No.: 118326/02
A.W. CHESTERTON,	:	
Defendant(s).	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 23, 2012

By: Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS LLC
360 Lexington Ave.
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

FILED
JUN - 8 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAY 30 2012

Dtd: _____

{01130348.DOCX }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH P. MCCORT AND MARTHA MCCORT, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190286/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
McCort, Joseph P.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012 1003-3556

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 107326/04**
ANTHONY J. GUIDA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 105612/04
LOUIS BIERONSKI JR.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 117056/04**
CARLOS BRADOR	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 105602/04**
GERALD H. CAUGHELL SR.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 107035/04
MADELINE COTTER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 116294/04**
DONALD P. DAILEY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 108921/04**
JOSEPH A. STORTINI	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 111244/02**
DOMENICK IULA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 108521/04
CONSTANTINE S. KOUTSAKIS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 112943/04**
ROBERT W. LEAHY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 60[illegible]
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 109164/04
KENNETH J. LEAVY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 60
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 116165/04**
GIOVANNA LUCENTE	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
DONALD M. TAYLOR, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190336/11**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ERICH SZILLUS, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 105562/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
STANLEY RYNDAK, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 112561/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
SALVATORE TINE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 110775/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
WARREN WHITE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 115510/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
FRANK T. WEBER, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 103447/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 111257/04**
THEODORE J. BIELEFELD	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 109921/04**
MICHAEL DeSANTIS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/24, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 112944/04

NEIL A. DIANGELO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

CLB55629/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH J. LUNGA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123165/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56365/legal/nosjm/January 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN P. BYRNES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113278/02 &
119504/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne Ratcliffe,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56222/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100286/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55846/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD WEIRBACK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190425/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Doug Von Oiste,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Karst & Von Oiste
19500 State Highway 249, Ste. 420
Houston, TX 77070

5/23/12

Shawnette Flutt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56382legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 124444/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

----------X

STANLEY FRIEDMAN & PHYLLIS FRIEDMAN,

Plaintiffs,

- against –

A.O. SMITH CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190187/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant BALDOR ELECTRIC COMPANY ("BALDOR"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant BALDOR without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant BALDOR, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 15, 2012

Bridget Truxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
Tower 56
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Tel: (212) 421-2800
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Michael B. Sena, Esq.
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (212) 471-8500
Attorneys for Defendant
Baldor Electric Company

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAY 30 2012

X:AA-55707.Aegal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113287/98, 111047/98 & 190391/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER*** , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne Ratcliffe,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

X:/cases/AA-54831/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL J. SUSINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., ET AL

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 110180/00 & 117863/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A UTICA BOILERS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A UTICA BOILERS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A UTICA BOILERS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for: **ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A UTICA BOILERS**
Barry, McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56409/legal/nosjm/February 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANDREA E. HAYWARD, as Administratrix for the Estate of HENRY J. HAYWARD, JR., and ANDREA E. HAYWARD, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 126037/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew Park,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55203/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANDREW KING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113565/02 &
127345/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dana Northcraft,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56320/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DAVID DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 127888/02 &
102362/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55549/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RALPH RUGGERIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC, et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 107403/02 &
114095/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew Park,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOAN M. COSBEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101129/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

AGNES CESTARE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 116052/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH E. CARR,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 114016/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SIDNEY L. BROWN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113731/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Flutt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE E. ALLEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122052/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

CLB56382legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 124444/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56426/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THEODORE W. HEINTZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100861/03 &
115312/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitner

MAY 30 2012

CLB56606/legal/nosjm/

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRANK DELISE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190156/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Patti Burshtyn,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB56486/legal/nosjm/MAY 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL RUSSO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100864-03 &
108768-03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Joe Vasquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/13/12

Matthew Charles, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55620/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD SAMSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106510/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 5\8\12

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 5/23/12
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Muitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55584legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 127317/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WILLIAM HENRY RICH, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 128019/02 105931/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Goriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LOUIS KINSELLA, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 108806/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/24/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 29 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 12-190156

----------X

FRANK DELISE and VIRGINIA DELISE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 21, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BY: _____
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

MAY 29 2012

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

GLORIA BERTON, Individually and GLORIA JEAN O'BRIEN as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM J. BERTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 119810/02
113277/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119504/02

This Document Relates to:
John T. Byrnes, as Executor for the Estate of John P. Byrnes,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/29, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John T. Byrnes, as Executor for the Estate of John P. Byrnes
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Rafer, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924.0278

MAY 30 2012

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH NAIMOLI and CARMELA NAIMOLI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190405/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190391/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. KILLIAN, and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, Individually

Index No.: 105606/04; 100862/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld.

1770443

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126685-02; 103333-03

JOHN H. MCGINNIS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119504/02

This Document Relates to:
John T. Byrnes, as Executor for the Estate of John P. Byrnes,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/29, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John T. Byrnes, as Executor for the Estate of John P. Byrnes
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tace, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3245

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND HODGE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/102372
02/127888

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/29, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John J. Bambridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Samuel M. Melrowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 107328/04**
RICHARD ARTHUR WILSON	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	Index No. 101186/05
RICHARD J. ZABINSKI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:FBW47105.legal/NOSJM/MAY 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH LATTANZIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100864/03 & 108781/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ***FULTON BOILER WORKS*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***FULTON BOILER WORKS*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***FULTON BOILER WORKS,*** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Joseph Vasquez,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Thomas Marafioti, Esq.
Attorneys for ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

X:/FBW45797/legal/March 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100286-03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JACK NIELSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101958/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JULIAN L. ROBERTS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101965/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SOL SHARGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 103185/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

TERRENCE WALSH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 103227/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55582/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DOMINIC ELIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100331/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55582/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DOMINIC ELIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100331/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55584legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 127317/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55629/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH J. LUNGA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123165/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:DUN55708/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113287/98, 111047/98 & 190391/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION***, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORPORATION***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46427/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SOL SHARGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 103185/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/23/12
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW46229/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ELIZABETH TRIFARI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 120622/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/23/12
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Flo
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW46118/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES J. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 118277/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/23/12
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46429/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

TERRENCE WALSH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 103227/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW45919/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH J. LAUER, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 127317/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46300/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH J. LUNGA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 123165/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46430/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 101955/03

ANTHONY J. MIGNONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW45898/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN J. MONAGHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 114568/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW51145/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

KARL E. NEUBERT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 111823/02 & 100679/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46636/legal/NOSJM/mARCH2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 128024/02

RICHARD VOSSLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5/9/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/FBW46555/legal/march2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROGER RUMSEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 128019/02 & 105929/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5/9/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46427/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 124444/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46354/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE E. ALLEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 122052/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW45919/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SIDNEY L. BROWN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 113731/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46054/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

AGNES CESTARE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 116052/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 1000
(212) 313-360

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126935-02; 104166-03

JAMES E. MAYBEE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104184-03; 126935-02

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104645-03; 126687-02

DAN A. DE VICO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104011-03; 126686-02

ROBERT FLAHERTY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126037-02

HENRY J. HAYWARD JR.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 104623-03; 126687-02
JAMES W. HOLECEK	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1757389

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Vosseler	Index No: 128024/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 14th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5699

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH-

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 124999/00; 119386/00
HENRY J. ALLEN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1736736

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 107086/01
STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 1000

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1736736

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 127677-02

GILBERTO ORTIZ ARCE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Signed & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126686-02

MARTIN S. ARONSON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 101375/05**
TOMAS MALDONADO JR.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 109544/04**
DOMENIC N. MATTIELLI	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
J/21, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 108924/04**
JOHN J. SLEVIN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46430/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

Index No.: 101965/03

JULIAN L. ROBERTS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/23/12
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190044/08

Isabelle S. Sherb, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Benjamin David Sherb a/k/a Ben Sherb, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32903

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
MAY 30 2012
...RK'S OFFICE
...YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4060993.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110901/04

Victor Silverman and Elizabeth Silverman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31282

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061003.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103615/05

Bernice Samelson, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Nathan Slaff

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31655

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Dtd: _____

4061005.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103903/08

Audrey Ruda, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Jack Ruda, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/14/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32777

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4060959.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

LORETTA A. SCHEPIS, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT CERVELLERA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 103904-03
126682-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Loretta A. Schepis

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

5/23/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of WALKER ALEXANDER JR., and DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111589-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Dwendolyn Alexander

5/23/12

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Otd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

EDWARD T. BRADY and PHYLLIS BRADY, His Wife,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ABB LUMMUS CREST, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 123696/2000
~~123656/2000~~

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Darron E. Berquist
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3927
Telephone: (212) 267-3091
Facsimile: (212) 267-3828
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edward T. Brady and Phyllis Brady

5/23/12

John A. Tartaglia, III
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
Pfizer, Inc.

MAY 3 0 2012
SKY - Nova & Utd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

CONNIE MUCCIOLO, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOHN DOMENIC MUCCIOLO,	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiffs,	Index Nos.: 104025-03 126686-02
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Lisa Nathansen Busch~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Connie Mucciolo

5/23/12

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Entd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

CONSTANCE J. BLANEY, as Executrix for the Estate of CAHILL BLANEY, and CONSTANCE J. BLANEY, Individually,	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiffs,	Index No.: 126681-02
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	
Defendants.	

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC 5/23/12
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Constance J. Blaney

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ANNE MARIE WILLIAMS, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of THOMAS EDWARD WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106981-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 5 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC 5/23/12
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Anne Marie Williams

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Skm - nova & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Fernando Hernandez and Caridadh Hernandez

Index No: 190462/11
113546/97
25664/91
6671/88

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors," (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/12

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00115

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5033878v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112085/05

Ada Iris Ovalle

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3188

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059475.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

ROSEANN BLANDO, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE F. BLANDO, and BENEDETTA BLANDO, Individually,	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiffs,	Index No.: 100725-03
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	
Defendants.	

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5/23/12

Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Roseann Blando and Benedetta Blando

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

KL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARIE PELLIGRINO, as Administratrix for the Estate of CARMINE PELLEGRINO, and MARIE PELLEGRINO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

KCG, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO RUCO DRYWALL PRODUCTS AND RUCO, INC., et al.

Defendants

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No: 190089-09

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, the defendant, KCG, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO RUCO DRYWALL PRODUCTS AND RUCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KCG, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant KCG, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Garden City, New York
April 26, 2012

~~David A. Chandler, Esq.~~ Patti Brunetto, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Kenneth R. Lange, Esq.
Goldberg Segalla LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KGC, Inc.
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 225
Garden City, New York 11530

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

GOLDBERG SEGALLA LLP
100 Garden City Plaza, Ste. 225
Garden City, New York 11530

Pelligrino v. KCG, Inc., et al.
Index No.: 190089-09
GS File No.: 8703.0002

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

THERESA CAPRISE, being duly sworn deposes and says, that deponent is not a party to this action, is over 18 years of age and resides in New Hyde Park, New York. That on the 16th day of May, 2012, deponent served a copy of the within **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** upon:

TO: **SEE ATTACHED RIDER**

by depositing the enclosed in a stamped envelope in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

THERESA CAPRISE

Sworn to before me this
16th day of May, 2012.

NOTARY PUBLIC

MICHELLE L. COSTANTINI
Notary Public, State of New York
Reg #01CO6212129
Qualified in Nassau County
My Commission Expires Ocotber 13, 2013

103352

GOLDBERG SEGALLA LLP
100 Garden City Plaza /Ste 225
Garden City, NY 11530

RIDER

David A. Chandler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, LLC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Talene Megerian, Esq.
Theodore Eder, Esq.
Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd.
850 Third Avenue
Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

Anna DiLonardo, Esq.
Weiner Lesniak LLP
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Suite 540
Hauppauge, NY 11788

James Keale, Esq.
Michael Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102-4072

Joseph Carlisle, Esq.
William Bradley, Esq.
Malaby & Bradley, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, NY 10038

Judith Yavitz, Esq.
Reed Smith, LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Kirsten Kneis, Esq.
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis, LLP
One Newark Center
10th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Steve Kevelson, Esq.
One Cozine Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11207

Kenneth Roberts, Esq.
Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP
250 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10177

Gregg Borri, Esq.
Law Offices of Gregg J. Borri
61 Broadway
Suite 2820
New York, NY 10006

Patrick Dwyer, Esq.
Smith, Stratton, Wise, Heher & Brennan
2 Research Way
Princeton, NJ 08540

Scott Emery, Esq.
Lynch Daskal & Emery, LLP
264 West 40th Street
New York, NY 10018

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman Bleakley Platt, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201

Donald Pugliese, Esq.
McDermott, Will & Emery
340 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10173

Lisa Pascarella, Esq.
Pehlivanian, Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Paynter's Ridge Office Park
2430 Route 34 – P.O. Box 648
Manasquan, NJ 08736

Yvette Harmon, Esq.
McGuire Woods, LLP
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105

Jennifer Darger, Esq.
Darger Errante Yavitz & Blau LLP
116 East 27th Street at Park Avenue
12th Floor
New York, NY 10016

103352

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	INDEX NO. 115520-2005 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joe Vazquez
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company
Jill Berry
Schiff Hardin LLP
666 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10103
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: _____

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ORIGINAL

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

Index No. 105151-03

This Document Relates To:

EUGENE RISO JR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 16th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Edwin Marsh,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190083/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edwin Marsh
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-12232

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ERNESTO RIVERA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100731/03 &
105150/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89999

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE RAGONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116190/03 &
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ANDREW SCHAEFER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100731/03 & 105146/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Ent.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT G. JEWELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100861/03 &
115562/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90019

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/23/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107100/02 and 127106/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PHILIP ANZALONE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 18, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

~~Hon. Sherry~~ Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

Our File No
S-7328-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DAVID CURTISS KOGEL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100861/03 & 110255/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90023

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Mail

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN KNESICH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100920/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 1052
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90107

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

IRVING KLINGSBERG

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100861/03 & 116829/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90022

MAY 3 0 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102239/08

Sonia Rudge, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of James Rudge, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3274

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4060966.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109983/08

Joseph Saeva and Norma Saeva

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4060970.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 103523-03; 126686-02
CHARLES FUNICELLO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Fanell Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CLIFFORD COHEN and ALICE COHEN, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendants	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J) Index No. 190014-12 **NO OPPOSTIION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 10, 2012

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hovg & Dis.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LADUE, DENNIS M. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126291/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MAYBEE, JAMES E.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126935/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190047/2011
JOSEPH CLARKE	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant BURNHAM. LLC, requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Burnham LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/21, 2012

Lori Benavides, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN & DYKMAN LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Burnham

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

00203041.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190083/12

This Document Relates to:
Edwin Marsh,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edwin Marsh
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3283

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Claudia Sanchez,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190312/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Charles Fey
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Claudia Sanchez
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3003

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 121910/02

This Document Relates to:
Luana Mary Kornacki, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Andrew Kornacki,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ
~~Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Luana Mary Kornacki, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Andrew Kornacki
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11[illegible]-2606

MAY 30 2012

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - RGVG & DM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ALFRED L. MARIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90124

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH LATTANZIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100864/03 &
108781/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90024

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 2 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/21/12

RECEIVED

MAY - 4 2012

CON EDISON LAW DEPT

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107352/05 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DIANA VERDE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 2, 2012

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4218-05

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA, Plaintiffs, -vs- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., Defendants.	New York City Asbestos Litigation (NYCAL) Index No.: 190376/2011 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** **RE: APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross-claims against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22, 2012

MAY 30 2012

Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
~~Christopher Pallmeyer And Company~~
~~Tr~~ Marchesona

By: _____
Bryan Belasky, Esq.

GIBBONS PC.
One Pennsylvania Plaza, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10119-3701
Attorneys for Defendant
The Sherwin-Williams Company

By: _____
Robert D. Brown Jr., Esq.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FRANCESCO SCARFO Plaintiffs, -vs- BENJAMIN MOORE & COMPANY., et al., Defendants.	New York City Asbestos Litigation (NYCAL) Index No.: 190435/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** **RE: APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to and Doing Business As Thompson Minwax (improperly pleaded as MINWAX COMPANY and SHERWIN WILLIAMS COMPANY, *Individually and as Successor to Baltimore Paint and Chemical Corporation and The Minwax Company*) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to and Doing Business As Thompson Minwax, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 22, 2012

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

GIBBONS PC.
One Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5310
Attorneys for Defendant
The Sherwin-Williams Company

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: _____
Mark G. Strauss, Esq.

By: _____
Robert D. Brown, Jr., Esq.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HELGA MARQUSEE, Individually and as Executrix to the Estate of CHARLES B. MARQUSEE, deceased Plaintiffs, -vs- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	New York City Asbestos Litigation (NYCAL) Index No.: 10-190286 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER RE: OCTOBER 2011 IN EXTREMIS**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross-claims against Defendant THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22, 2012

Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Brian Belasky, Esq.

GIBBONS PC.
One Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5310
Attorneys for Defendant
The Sherwin-Williams Company

By: _____
Robert D. Brown, Jr., Esq.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190193/12

Steven J. Lancelot and Kathleen Lancelot

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **UNION PUMP COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **UNION PUMP COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **UNION PUMP COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MAY 10, 2012

Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
UNION PUMP COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 07723.00003

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

5021606v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190187/12

Stanley Friedman and Phyllis Friedman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 17 May 2012

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00166

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
Signature Date: _____

503546Bv 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190187/12

Stanley Friedman and Phyllis Friedman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, incorrectly s/h/a "RSCC WIRE & CABLE F/K/A ROCKBESTOS-SUPRENANT CABLE CORP." (hereinafter "RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 17 May 2012

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07696.04987

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

5047402v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190025/12

Michele Alfano, as Executrix of the Estate of Antoinette A. Kiszkiel a/k/a Ann Kiszkiel, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05335.00001

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4976452v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190067/12

Martin Schmidt and Hildegard Schmidt

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Dtd: _____

4976459v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Fernando Hernandez and Caridadh Hernandez

Index No: 190462/11
113546/97
25664/91
6671/88

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors," (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/10/12

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00115

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

5033878v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107352/05

Joanne Bischofsberger, Carolanne Chamberlain and Suzanne V. Paddock, as Co-Executrixes of the Estate of Diana Mary Verde and Anthony Verde

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.01805

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 30 2012 JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4061085.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111230/01

Robert Castorina, Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 15th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05335.00001

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld.

5036274v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190092/08

Lamberto Trotta

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32998

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061055.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112391/07

Anthony J. Turco and Ann Turco

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05[illegible].32634

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061062.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116445/04

Mae Maureen Van Buren and John Van Buren

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31491

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 31 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4061073.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115707/07

Charles Vargo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.1268

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061076.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114403/07

Moises Velasquez Manoff, as Executor of the Estate of Carmen Velasquez, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 03353249

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

4061081.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114402/07

Orval G. Snodgrass and Charlene K. Snodgrass

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.02648

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4061009.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106559/07

Lloyd J. Stoik, Individually and as Executor of the Estate of Lloyd P. Stoik, and Mary Stoik, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053[illegible]32592[illegible]

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061019.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106467/04

Michael Suhl, as Administrator of the Estate of Sidney Suhl

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31[illegible]

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

4061025.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109984/08

Kenneth H. Todd, as Executor of the Estate of Victor Alfred Todd, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3[illegible]680

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

Signed & Dtd: _____

4061044.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115742/03

Sylvester Tredway

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30490

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061052.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113056/06

Phyllis N. Segal, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Eli J. Segal

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 15331.32357

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4060983.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102999/08

Joel Neil Seitz and Adrienne Rosalind Seitz

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32768

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4060991.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112084/05

Roger Santa Maria and Rose Santa Maria

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31884

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - 1000 2 Dtd: _____

4060978.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115038/07

Dorothy C. Peterson, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Clifford T. Peterson, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32678

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelley K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 30 2012 JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4060769.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114369/04

Marilyn Ploeckelmann, Individually and as Administratix of the Estate of Sidney William Ploeckelmann

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05[illegible]

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4060780.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104841/05

Russell Rood Jr., as Personal Representative of the Estate of Romeo Henry Poirier

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/14/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.1749

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4060786.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107969/04

Robert C. Richards

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/14/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053[illegible]31198

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SAM - Rcvd & Dlv.

4060943.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103964/08

Grady Rogers

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/14/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3[illegible]769

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4060942.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100406/08

Nancy Rourke, as Executrix of the Estate of Hugh McMunn and Dorothy McMunn, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/14/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.5273

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4060952.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190344/09

Betty G. Painter, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of George A. Painter, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34494

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059021.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116194/02

Luis Acevedo and Susan Acevedo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendants **CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.** and **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, incorrectly s/h/a "CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC., Individually and as successor to and/or a/k/a THE ROCKBESTOS COMPANY, ROCKBESTOS WIRE AND CABLE CO., and ROCKBESTOS-SURPRENANT CABLE CORPORATION" hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.** and **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.** and **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5-22-12

~~Daniel Blouin, Esq.~~ Ben Dale
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC and
CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO. INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 07696.04988

FILED
JUN 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Motion Dis.

5051305v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE, and DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICIA CALABRESE, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 100864-03
113232-04
111795-04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Deborah Magnier

5/23/12

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Revd & Sent

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

KATHRYN D'AGOSTINO, as Administratrix for the Estate of MICHAEL J. D'AGOSTINO, and KATHRYN D'AGOSTINO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 105170-03
100731-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Kathryn D'Agostino

5/23/12

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 1 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

EILEEN DOHERTY, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOHN JOSEPH DOHERTY, and EILEEN DOHERTY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116450-04
123065-01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Erik Jacobs, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eileen Doherty

5/23/12

Scott C. Allan
Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry~~ Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

ARTHUR J. MEDIATE and HARRIET MEDIATE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	NYCAL I..A.S. Part 39 (Heitler, J.) Index No.: 190358-10 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Michael Roberts~~ BEN DARCOR 5/23/12
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Arthur J. Mediate and Harriet Mediate

Scott C. Allan
Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
Entered:

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/10/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 113756/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARION MOULTRIE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 14, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

Our File No
S-4363-04

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
STEVEN J. LANCELOT AND KATHLEEN LANCELOT, Plaintiff(s) against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No: 190193/2012 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 10, 2012

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revised Ord.

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/23/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 128024/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RICHARD VOSSELER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld:

Our File No
S-4317-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/23/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 128019/02 and 105929/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROGER RUMSEY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-5598

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 2 0 2012

Our File No
S-4535-03

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/9/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 105715/02 AND 110297/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN H. JAKWAY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 16, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Our File No
S-6714-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/23/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 100396/03 AND 114010/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: EDWARD GRANT	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-1598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld.

Our File No
S-4704-03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ARTHUR HERLIHY and GAIL HERLIHY, his wife,	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (NYCAL)
Plaintiffs,	ASBESTOS MATTER INDEX NO.: 190149/2011
-against-	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.F. SUPPLY CORP., *et al.*,	
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMEC Construction Management, Inc. ("ACMI")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, ACMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendants ACMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 21, 2012

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, LLP

By: Darren Berquist
Darron E. Berquist
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 267-3091
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

GANGEMI LAW FIRM, P.C.

By: Salvat G. G
Salvatore G. Gangemi
700 White Plains Road, Suite 338
Scarsdale, New York 10583
(914) 725-5800
Attorneys for Defendant AMEC Construction Management, Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

0622-115-21951

SCANNED ON 1/17/2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190376/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant, International Business Machines Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, International Business Machines Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, International Business Machines Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 30, 2012

By: Bryan Belasky
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ROBERT MARCHESONA and
RITA MARCHESONA
546 Fifth Avenue – 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Elizabeth M. Young
By: Elizabeth M. Young
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION
Office & P.O. Address
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 593-6700

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

{01058619.DOC }
731655v

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X
This Document Relates To:

EUGENE BROWN AND EDNA BROWN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, CATERPILLAR, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190458/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant,Volvo Cars of North America, LLC, and its affiliates, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors in interest, agents, heirs and assigns, hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs Eugene Brown And Edna Brown's complaint against Defendant, Volvo Cars of North America, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 7, 2012
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Eugene Brown And Edna Brown
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

HARDIN, KUNDLA, McKEON & POLETTO, P.A.

Cynthia Lee, Esq.
Attorneys for Volvo Cars of North America, LLC
673 Morris Avenue
Springfield, NJ 07081

FILED
MAY 30 2012 - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO-ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - Hcvo & ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANALIESE, SPRENG,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.,

Defendants.

See Attached Rider – FULL CAPTION

----------x

Index No. 11/190297

RECEIVED
APR 02 2012
PART 30

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant North American Hoganas, Inc. (sued individually and as successor to SCM Metal Products, Inc. and National Radiator) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to N.Y. Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant North American Hoganas, Inc., with prejudice and without costs, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant North American Hoganas, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
March 2, 2012

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Yonaton Aronoff, Esq.
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 682-7474
Attorneys for Defendant North American Hoganas, Inc.

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
William Papain, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

4843-2650-4206.1

6-1-12
Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

In re NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Index No.: 11-190353

----------X

This document relates to:

RUSSELL J. FOREMAN AND DOROTHY H. FOREMAN

Plaintiff(s),

v.

SHELL OIL COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN - 8 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Shell Oil Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Shell Oil Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Shell Oil Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22, 2012

EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.

By: _____
Jason Hodrinsky

360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 986-2233
Facsimile: (212) 986-2255

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

NIXON PEABODY LLP

By: Kristin Jamberdino
Kristin Jamberdino

437 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 940-3000
Facsimile: (212) 940-3111

Attorneys for Defendant Shell Oil Company

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Entered & Dtd: _____

X:/EVA54326./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DONALD CONROY and BARBARA CONROY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190138/10

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants EVAPCO, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants EVAPCO, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants EVAPCO, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Mark Bibro,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Easrly & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for EVAPCO, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

Index No. 111778/04

This Document Relates To:

ELIZABETH S. MCDONALD, as Executrix for The Estate of ROBERT MCDONALD, and ELIZABETH S. MCDONALD, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/16, 2012

John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CANALE, FRANK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100741/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BARBUTO, BARTOLOMEO J. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115837/03
100234/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HAMMOND, JOSEPH L. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
102892/03
100741/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-[illegible]

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shorty Klein Heitler

SKH - Rev[illegible]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HOEFT, RONALD E. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100234/03
106359/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

McSPEDON, SUSAN (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100234/03
116288/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
MAY 16, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Entered on Docket: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RICH, WILLIAM HENRY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105931/03
128019/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 LU. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

REMINGTON, JAMES E. (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105932/03
128019/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MISKILL, THOMAS GEORGE (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
109496/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Entered on Docket: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RUMSEY, ROGER C.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
128019/02
105929/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rev'd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------X

FRANK JANITS and KATHLEEN JANITS, Plaintiff(s), -against- ALLIED BUILDING PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 190084/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 24, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Ambre Brandis, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH NAIMOLI and CARMELA NAIMOLI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190405/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RYAN JR., WILLIAM F.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105928/03
128019/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
PASQUALE A. FORGIONE and ANN FORGIONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al., Defendants.	Index No(s).: 190216-12 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/22/12
New York, New York

Adam S. Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
This Document Relates To:	:	
	:	Index No. 190162-12
CHESTER W. ZYCH,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
ALSTROM POWER, INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler IAS Part 39
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc. ("Crown") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Crown with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Crown be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/2012

EARLY & STRAUSS L.L.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Mark Strauss, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc.

By: Cathi Baglin
Cathi Baglin, Esq.
One Liberty Plaza
New York, New York 10006-1404
(212) 412-9500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

835764/21108

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
CHAMP ROBERTS and DORIS T. ROBERTS,	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 105149-03
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14th, 2012
New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
EUGENE RISO, JR.,	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 105151-03
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14th, 2012
New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
	NYCAL
CANDACE ZAK, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH POSSTER,	I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
	Index No: 100286-03
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/22/12
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Orig - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
PASQUALE A. FORGIONE and ANN FORGIONE,	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 190216-12
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant WEINMAN, an unincorporated trade name of Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc. (sued herein as Weinman Pump & Supply Co.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant WEINMAN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WEINMAN be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/22/12
New York, New York

Adam S. Dreksler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
WEINMAN, an unincorporated trade name of Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

Orig - Hon. & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190361/2010
WILLIAM C. HOTZELT	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant Flowserve Corporation, requests summary judgment only for its successor liability for DURCO, formerly known as Duriron ("DURCO") in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint only against DURCO, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims only against DURCO, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/30, 2012

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street, Suite 2300
New York, NY 11501
Counsel for Durco

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012

00203041.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO. : 190059/2012
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **CHARLES J. LYNCH**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 14, 2012

Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert Gunn

Robert K. Gunn, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Skn - Rcvd & Dtd:

1722860_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER, against A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,	INDEX NO.: 04-114368 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/22/12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BONNIE STEINWOLF, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BY-BLAKE-17

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of CARL W. SCHNEIDER, and CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, Individually

Index No.: 107146-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/23, 2012

David Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen
Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 104381-03; 126934-02
WALTER RABINOWITZ	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 19th, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER, against A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,	INDEX NO.: 04-114368 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/24/12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BONNIE STEINWOLF, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

BY-BLAKE-18

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN F. WHITE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107014/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: NOVEMBER 2006 IN-EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 28 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PETER P. HONAN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107970/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: OCTOBER 2011 IN EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 28, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Hovd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

O'CONNOR, THOMAS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103511/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Entered & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

NODELL, RAYMOND M.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104026/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MORGANO, JOSEPH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FUNICELLO, CHARLES

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103523/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 11, 2012

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FESHOH, THOMAS

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104638/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 11, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 LIU-Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

RECEIVED MAY 1 4 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

POULSEN, EDWARD C.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104382/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
MAY 11, 2012

Michael Favell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

MAY 3 0 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROTOLO, LUISA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103872/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

BRM = Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

OSBORNE, SAMUEL

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103509/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SELF, BILLIE W.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127400/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

McLEOD, JOHN (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126039/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
MAY 11, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DAVID J. CARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GRANT, EDWARD J.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
100396/03
114010/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dist.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DEPRIEST, VERNON (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127886/02
102479/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: MARCH 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 16, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190139/09

James J. Young, Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34370

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059106.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111904/07

George Zajack, as Executor of the Estate of Andrew Frank Zajack and Patricia Zajack, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32632

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061300.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115962/04

Constance Witting, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Glenn Douglas Witting, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31489

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

4061286.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106696/04

John Jay Wolter and Joan M. Wolter

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31154

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061294.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103961/04

Paul K. Wright and Priscilla L. Wright

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31094

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061297.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104099/04

Francis Ernest Wruck and Monica Wruck

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31095

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061298.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107544/02

Thomas Walsh

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.22387

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061248.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190053/08

Carol A. Walton, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of John Walton, a/k/a Jack Walton

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32907

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SAM - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061273.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107926/04

Ronald R. Werts, Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Entered & Dtd: _____

4061279.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110902/04

Leonard Wilson and Edith Wilson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31283

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061285.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103960/04

Richard J. Wall, as Administrator of the Estate of John E. Wall

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31096

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061239.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103904/08

Maria C. Villegas, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Angel Villegas, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32767

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4061246.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FAC MAY 21 2012

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MCGINNIS, JOHN H.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126685/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
MAY 19, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RABINOWITZ, WALTER (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104381/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 14th, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHIRLES, RENATO JOSEPH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104184/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ARCE, GILBERTO ORTIZ (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127677/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - HGVG & DIG.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FLAHERTY, ROBERT

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104011/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DIFRANCO, ALFRED

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103527/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DE VICO, DAN A.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104645/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Mod & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KING, ANDREW

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105608/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HAYWARD JR., HENRY J (DEC.)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126037/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LEONE, VINCENT

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104018/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 9, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
CAHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dlv.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190047/2011
JOSEPH CLARKE	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant HB SMITH COMPANY, INC. ("HB Smith"), requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against HB Smith, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against HB Smith, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 8, 2012

Lori Benavides, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

HB SMITH COMPANY, INC.
47 Westfield Industrial Park
Westfield, MA 01085
Counsel for HB Smith

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 30 2012

00203041.WPD

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 127400-02
BILLIE W. SELF	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Ent.

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190408/11

KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly sued as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to The Vickers Pump Company and Cutler Hammer, Inc.) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

William N. Papain, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Lindsey P. Bobinger, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1733800

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190221/11

GERALD BEERS AND JANE BEERS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to The Vickers Pump Company and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10 2012

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Lindsey P. Bobinger, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Did:

1682068

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO. : 190080/12
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4-23, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

1740533_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 127406-02

FRANK MONASTERO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 126934-02; 104386-03
JOSEPH MORGANO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 104026-03; 106686-02
RAYMOND M. NODELL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 126935-02; 104146-03
ALFRED O'CONNOR	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Entered:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103511-03; 126681-02

THOMAS O'CONNOR

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALD F. KELTY JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 126934-02; 104937-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104018-03; 126686-02

VINCENT LEONE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104022-03; 126686-02

MICHAEL A. MARAGLINO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/10, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Richard Vosseler and Loretta Vosseler,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 128024/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 10th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Richard Vosseler and Loretta Vosseler
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-7823

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/23/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 113473/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOSEPH CULLENS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 18, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

Our File No
S-4338-04

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/23/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 111778/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROBERT MCDONALD	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 18, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SAM - Have a Dia: _____

Our File No
S-4317-04

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and
FRANCIS MARY GORHAM

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190081/11

DEFENDANT THE WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY'S NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

May 17, 2011

BY: _____
Bonnie Steinwolf Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

BY: _____
Matthew H. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Hdvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X

NYCAL

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN

v.

S.B. DECKING, INC. f/k/a SELBY BATTERSBY & CO., et al.

X

No Opposition
Summary Judgment
Motion and Order

Index No.: 11/190140

WHEREFORE, Defendant, S.B. DECKING, INC. f/k/a SELBY BATTERSBY & CO., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, S.B. DECKING, INC. f/k/a SELBY BATTERSBY & CO., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, S.B. DECKING, INC. f/k/a SELBY BATTERSBY & CO., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 7, 2012

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212-605-6200

Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.
Feldman Kieffer, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant S.B. Decking f/k/a Selby Battersby Co.
The Dun Building
110 Pearl Street, 4th Floor
Buffalo, New York 14202
716-852-5875

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

3M Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190297/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

Jessica Russell, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs *Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng* 546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036	Justin M. Tafe, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant *Howden Buffalo Inc.* 177 Montague Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 855-9000 Our File No.: 11231-132

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190297/11

This Document Relates to:
Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

3M Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Spence Engineering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Spence Engineering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Spence Engineering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

Jessica Russell, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs *Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng* 546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036	Justin M. Tafe, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant *Spence Engineering Co. Inc.* 177 Montague Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 855-9000 Our File No.: 11040-149

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 25 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROSS, CHARLES ARTHUR

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104154/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 14th, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LA DIEU SR., RONALD E.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106508/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
5/12, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SRM - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BOSSEY, RICHARD L.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104521/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
5\16, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Entered & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
MARK D. ASHTON, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 103375/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ED D. BO, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 114898/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **RICHARD L. BOSSEY, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 104521/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

HOWARD O'DELL, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK, and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I..A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index Nos.: 105654-03
100904-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Lisa Nathanson Busch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5500
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Howard O'Dell and Ollie Doychak

Scott C. Allan
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
American Optical Corporation

5/23/12

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - HOVO & DISM.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT PONTILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 190417-11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant WEINMAN, an unincorporated trade name of Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc. (sued herein as Weinman Pump & Supply Co.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant WEINMAN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WEINMAN be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/21/12
New York, New York

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
WEINMAN, an unincorporated trade name of Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT PONTILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 190417-11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., with respect to named defendants Crane Co. and Crane Co., improperly sued as "PACIFIC VALVES, Individually and as a subsidiary of Crane Co.," (hereinafter "CRANE CO.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/21/12
New York, New York

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
Entered:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Thaddeus M. Kozlowski,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 120902/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thaddeus M. Kozlowski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10824-0414

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 109339/02

This Document Relates to:
Florence De Marco, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Joseph De Marco,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 8 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/9, 2012

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Florence De Marco, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Joseph De Marco
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4477

MAY 30 2012

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Hovo & Dis.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 119783/02

This Document Relates to:
Carole Balducci, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Roger J. Balducci,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/9, 2012

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carole Balducci, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Roger J. Balducci
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4555

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 124445/02

This Document Relates to:
Christine Whitehead, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Robert Anderson,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/9, 2012

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Christine Whitehead, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Robert Anderson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tace, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6117

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Sent - Rec'd & Did:

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

This Document Relates to:

April 2012 *In Extremis* Group

JOHN CATALFAMO,

Index No. 190313-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants,
----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/18, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By _____
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By _____ Dated 12-14-11
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: _____

Duplicate Original 5-21-12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 126045/02

This Document Relates to:
Mary Ellen Carman, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Gilbert E. Carman,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/21, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mary Ellen Carman, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Gilbert E. Carman
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 100014394

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE L. SANTIAGO and RUTH SANTIAGO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants,
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

April 2012 *In Extremis* Group

Index No. 190445-2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 30 2012
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/26, 2012

Rec'd & Dtd:

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By _____
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By _____ Dated 1-10-12
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: _____

5-21-12
Duplicate Original

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH MUNNELLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants,
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

April 2012 *In Extremis* Group

Index No. 190415-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: White Plains, New York
1/18, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By_____
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By_____ Dated 12-14-11
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: _____

Duplicate Original 5 21.12

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------X
This Document Relates to:

JANET SASONI, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ISRAEL SASONI, and JANET SASONI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION., et al.,

Defendants,
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

October 2011 *In Extremis* Group

Index No. 190327/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

MAY 30 2012 FILED
Rcvd & Dtd: JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dated: White Plains, New York
~~December~~ 1/18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By _____
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Patti Bushnyn, Esq
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By _____ Dated: 2
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:
_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: _____

5-21-12 -

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104638-03; 126687-02; 110744-06

THOMAS FESHOH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/15, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Fanelli Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1757389

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

GEORGIANA TANSOSCH, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of CHRISTOPHER T. TANSOSCH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATRER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

New York Asbestos Litigation (NYAL)

Index No.: 190382-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendant DOMTAR INDUSTRIES INC., sued herein as Domtar Industries, Inc. (now known as Domtar Industries LLC), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DOMTAR INDUSTRIES INC., sued herein as Domtar Industries, Inc. (now known as Domtar Industries LLC) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DOMTAR INDUSTRIES INC., sued herein as Domtar Industries, Inc. (now known as Domtar Industries LLC), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 26, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John S. McGowan, Esq.
Attorneys for Domtar Industries Inc.
McGowan Law Offices LLC
54 Main Street
Chatham, New Jersey 07928

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

643947-1

536.20403/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: STEPHEN M. DUNPHY and DIANE M. DUNPHY, Plaintiffs, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 190097/12 108174/06 (October 2012 *In Extremis* Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/10/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BENJAMIN DARCHE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
FREDERICK W. JACOB, **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**INDEX NO.: 110714/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
GARY L. FARNHAM, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 117982/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
HARVEY FIALA, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 115354/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **MICHAEL O. FLYNN,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 104304/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JAMES A. FRETTO, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 117983/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HAROLD L. GREEN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 104298/02 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JOHN A. HYNES, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 104165/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
CARL CUCCO, JR., Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 112736/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ERIC E. DREIMILLER, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 118980/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 127310/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED: MAY 30 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JAMES E. KEAHON, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 110788/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED: _____ MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JOHN S. KOSTOROSKI, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 112087/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOHN J. KEOUGH, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 115009/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ROLAND E. LA DIEU, SR., Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 110790/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ROBERT J. LYDECKER, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 115157/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

TYRELL T. MORI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100866/03 &
113231/04 &
111795/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18/2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90034

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - HCVD & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JAMES MONROE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100865/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90030

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RALPH R. LEBERER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100864/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18/2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90027

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKM - Revd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE BREETVELD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111588/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4-25, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Caterpillar, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.93441

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILBUR W. SMITH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108586/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90129

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelley Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:
SKH

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	x : :	(Heitler, J.) NYCAL Index No.: 190074-12
This Document Relates to: WILLIAM LINDSAY	x : :	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that William Lindsay was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to the Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 9, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CARLOS GARCIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100904/03 &
114007/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90103

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CARLOS GARCIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100904/03 &
114007/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/15 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90103

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM F. ENGLE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121248/03 & 100862/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/08, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90017

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC J. ELARIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111237/03 & 100862/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90016

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CHERYLE L. HELLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100904/03 &
115946/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473[illegible]014

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 3[illegible] 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

THEODORE W. HEINTZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115312/03 & 100861/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/08/2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90018

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKN - Motion & Dis: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT H. KILLIAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100862/03 &
100862/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90021

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WALTER T. KANE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100864/03 & 102379/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90020

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

KENNETH H. JACOBS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100922/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/08, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90105

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANK JACKSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109354/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90132

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, as Administratrix for the Estate CARL W. SCHNEIDER, and CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, Individually,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 107146/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/16, 2012

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Karen M. Lager, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Caterpillar, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.92609

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Hevd & Dist.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

PAUL F. STACK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100340/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/4/8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89930

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH CIAMBRONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114518/05 &
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90009

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Mod. & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ANGELO CIAIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116191/03 &
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI Inc**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90008

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN CERRONI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100854/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90007

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100864/03 &
111795/04 &
113232/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90006

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ALBERT J. MIRRA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108780/03 & 100866/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90029

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

HAROLD CRAWFORD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111779/04 & 100862/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90013

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

LOUIS J. CZACHOR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108026/06 &
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, I**
530 Saw Mill River Ro
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90014

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Sent - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. CREGAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101635/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90121

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOHN E. CISLER and CAROL CISLER Plaintiffs, vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	J. Sherry Klein Heitler Index No.: 190044-12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all parties, all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims against defendant **LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC.**, are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Tel. No.: (212) 558-5500

Jason P. Sultzer, Esq.
Littleton Joyce Ughetta Park & Kelly LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC.
Four Manhattanville Road, Suite 202
Purchase, New York 10577
Tel. No.: (914) 417-3400
Fax No.: (914) 417-3401

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: May 1, 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
GEORGE A. WALKER, SR., Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 109483/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **NORMAN JAMES REINARD, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 114650/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
LEONARD POMPOSELLO, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 118718/01**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
PHILIP R. COX, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 114082/05**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
PHILIP R. COX, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 108196/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ANGELO COSTANZO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111780/04 & 100866/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90010

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

SABINO MORANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100855/03 &
109264/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473-90033

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2014
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105027/03 &
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90035

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

LEO G. PALMER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100904/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90109

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

EDWARD O'ROURKE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100908/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90108

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

SAM MOSTOW

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103643/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473-90123

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH RUSSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102105/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90122

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Revd & Ent.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ARNOLD SKOLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108111/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90126

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE SINRAM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104852/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90125

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN L. SHAW

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108205/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 1052
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90127

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CNT - Revd & Dtd. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROGER J. BALDUCCI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119783/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **Caterpillar, Inc.,** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Caterpillar, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Caterpillar, Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5\16, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **CATERPILLAR, INC.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.92789

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH TUCCI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100909/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90118

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CARL T. TRUBIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100741/03 &
102871/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90003

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RONALD S. SZOBODY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100855/03 & 103500/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90039

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Entered & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANK T. SNYDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104543/03 &
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90038

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WALTER J. URBANIK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100915/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90119

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT VASSALO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 127888/02 &
102395/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89876

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

RICHARD L. STIEGLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100089/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89893

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No.** 100731/03
CHAMP ROBERTS AND DORIS T. ROBERTS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 15th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Champ Roberts, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

JOHN E. CISLER and CAROL CISLER,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including, ABB, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190044/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant ABB, Inc., (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ABB, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ABB, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 23 ,2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
John E. Cisler, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
ABB, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

MINAS GEORGOPOULOS

Index No.: 190104/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 17 2012

Erica Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff Minas Georgopoulos
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL W. SCHNEIDER

Index No. 107146/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carl W. Schneider, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No. 190142/12, 121853/99 105614/00**
ANTHONY DiRUSSO and CANDIDA DiRUSSO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Anthony DiRusso and Candida DiRusso
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES J. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 118277/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW49087/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN A. GENTILE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 118977-02, 106695-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

4/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW46516/legal/NOSJM February 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANDREW KING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 126937/02 & 105608/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 110177/04**
WILLARY THOMAS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **DENIS A. DONAHUE, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102421/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
RALPH A. CONTE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 116074/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JENNIE M. DALKAS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/18, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90015

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **GUY MARKS,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 113932/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
SAM R. MOGAVERO, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 114876/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
JOHN J. O'BRIEN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 102837/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **LOUIS PAVON,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 100302/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
FRANCIS J. ROKITKA, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 112711/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **NANCY WYANT as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD WYANT SR. and NANCY WYANT, Individually, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107774/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

249995

7/11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **EDWARD SAMSON, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 106510/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

May 18, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 105607/04**
CAROLINE H. PIONTKOWSKI	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 114485/04**
JOSEPH RAFFERTY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 106524/04**
ERNEST F. RISTO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, PLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 100958/05**
JOHN W. SIMON	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW47094/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL RUSSO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100864/03
& 108768/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X.:/cases/FBW48376/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THEODORE HEINTZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100861-03 & 115312-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW45921/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN P. BYRNES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 119504/02
& 113278-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/23/12
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN J. MONAGHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 114568/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/September 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANTHONY J. MIGNONE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101955/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/23/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER for the Estate of CARL W. SCHNEIDER, and CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107146/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5-16 , 2012

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant(s)
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

ME1 13401200v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Martin Maningo and Florence Maningo,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

3M Company, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 11-190326

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 16th, 2012

Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Ave, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212.605.~~6267~~ 6204

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

ME1 12895859v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSE PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190156-2011

NOTICE OF NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT FISHER SCIENTIFIC COMPANY LLC.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Defendant Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 27, 2012

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

Patrick Timmins

Patrick Timmins
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Richard P. O'Leary

Richard P. O'Leary
Attorneys for Defendant,
Fisher Scientific Company, L.L.C.
245 Park Avenue 27th Floor
New York, NY 10167

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

ME1 12878998v.1

X.:/cases/FBW46427/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOAN M. COSBEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 101129/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 1000
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW43939/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ELIA DOMINIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100331/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/FBW45712/legal/JANUARY 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM GOOLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 107102/02 & 119088/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5\9\12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

X:FBW33290./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH T. SANDER and JOAN SANDER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113287/98, 111047/98 & 190391/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ***FULTON BOILER WORKS*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***FULTON BOILER WORKS*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs 5/23/12
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ***FULTON BOILER WORKS***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3000

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW46556/legal/NOSJM/MARCH2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD GRANT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100693/03 & 114010/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5/8/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW46512/legal/NOSJM/FEBRUARY2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL GILL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104174/03
& 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

5/9/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW49561/legal/NOSJM/june2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARION MOULTRIE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 111626-03 & 113756-04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

5/23/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

X:/cases/FBW47382/legal/NOSJM/june2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EMMANUEL DE-BISCEGLIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 111593/03 & 111966/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 5/23/12
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 116609/04**
JOHN R. MITRANO SR.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
1/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 111571/04**
EDWARD J. MURRAY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: MAY 30 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 109923/04**
EDWARD M. NAGLE	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 6[illegible]0
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 112942/04**
CASIMER J. NIEMIEC	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 115617/04**
LILY PETRELIS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109413/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

CORNELIUS F. MCDADE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: **109431/00**

JOHN MATHIS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107401/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

STEPHEN MANDELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121514/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN MICHAEL MALLON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

Index No. 123934/00

JAMES QUIGLEY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Weil-McLain, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5-22-2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Weil-McLain
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110130/98

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN SULLIVAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: **111889/99**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

STEPHEN STEWART,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/23, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Henry R Kirsch Jr
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **190062/10**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

CARLOS SIMMONS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/23, 2012
New York, New York

~~Joseph Belluck, Esq.~~
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Bryan Belasky

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190232/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALDO SCHINELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/23, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120685/97

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS ROKSVOLD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/23, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110194/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT A. LOWE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105789/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN McDONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Fran Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: **190288/09**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

ANNA TRIVILINO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/30, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108806/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOUIS KINSELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/29, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190118/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS BRUGAR,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/29, 2012
New York, New York

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109554/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSE TRINIDAD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119377/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH J. TURNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SNM = Hcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 109064/00

JAMES NICOLAIDIS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Entered & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 100679/07
111823/02
105714/02

KARL NEUBERT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

CHARLES J. LYNCH and HELEN LYNCH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et. al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190059/12
NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor to YORK SHIPLEY, INC. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE CORPORATION"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 14, 2012

Michael Roberts, Esq..
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 111778/04

----------x

ROBERT C. MC DONALD and
ELISABETH MC DONALD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5/16, 2012

~~Lisa Busch, Esq.~~ John Richmond
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 11
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 108174/06

----------x

STEPEHN M. DUNPHY and DIANE M. DUNPHY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5-17, 2012

~~Lisa Busch, Esq.~~ Ben D'Ancita
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: **100782/03**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

VITO PIETANZA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 117398/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

EUGENE O'BRIEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Peter Tarabini, Esq.
~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

~~Hon.~~ Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: **100781/03**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

MARK O'BRIEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **125791/99**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRANK NUCHERENO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of CARL W. SCHNEIDER, and CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al., including PERKINS ENGINES, INC.,

Defendants.

Index No. 107146-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS AGAINST DEFENDANT PERKINS ENGINES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant **PERKINS ENGINES, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **PERKINS ENGINES, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **PERKINS ENGINES, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 22, 2012

David J. Barry, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Perkins Engines, Inc.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

LEONID M. LIBERMAN and DINA LIBERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. including, FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190057-2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 22, 2012

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick, LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
...S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 ^ 2012

NJ/493917v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

LEONID M. LIBERMAN and DINA LIBERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. including, GATES CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190057-2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION**, sued herein as GATES CORPORATION hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GATES with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 22, 2012

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick, LLP
Attorneys for The Gates Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - NOS

NJ/493916v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: JEANNE LOWE, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. LOWE, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 110194/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/22/2012

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.9179

David J. Barry, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Robert A. Lowe
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4828-3185-2815.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Norman James Reinard and Eva Reinard, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106694/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Norman James Reinard and Eva Reinard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

27382

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Charles Sneckenburg and Kathy Sneckenburg, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 112709/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charles and Kathy Sneckenburg
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dlv.

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

27330

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 114296-02 116798-07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4849-8720-1551.1

2406r

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: O'MEARY, EILEEN, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM P. O'MEARA and EILEEN M. O'MEARA, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 107098-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Eileen O'Meara, as Executrix for the Estate of
William P. O'Meara & Eileen M. O'Meara,
Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

4835-7745-5375.1

27944

AMERICAN MEDICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CYNTHIA SCHULTHEIS and LYNDA O'BRIEN, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of MATTHEW J. DEGAETANO and CYNTHIA SCHULTHEIS and LYNDA O'BRIEN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 107183-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Cynthia Schultheis and Lynda O'Brien, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of Matthew J. DeGaetano and Cynthia Schultheis and Lynda O'Brien, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4852-4180-7887.1

13449

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOAN M. FAGAN, as the Executrix of the Estate of JOHN J. FAGAN and JOAN M. FAGAN, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 114119-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joan M. Fagan, As the Executrix for the Estate of John J. Fagan and Joan M. Fagan, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

4824-6832-5391.1

25091

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD K. GEARY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 112800-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Richard K. Geary
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Hovo & Dia.

4826-2449-6655.1

2348

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALTON A. HAKES, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 114072-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Alton A. Hakes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4827-0307-5343.1

2778

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RANDALL A. KACER and JOYCE C. KACER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 119102-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Randall A. Kacer and Joyce C. Kacer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012
SKH - nevd & Dtd: _____

4843-4986-2671.1

22070

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DEAN P. KOPCHO, as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM M. KOPCHO, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 108105-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Dean P. Kopcho, as Executor for the Estate of
William M. Kopcho
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Hold a Bid: _____

4814-3285-6847.1

11695

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HAROLD J. DEAN, As Executor for the Estate of ROSE PRISCILLA DEAN, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 107761-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Harold J. Dean, As Executrix for the Estate of
Rose Priscilla Dean
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

4830-9176-9359.1

11770

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY LOU LA GOE, , Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBER H. LA GOE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 117995-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Mary Lou La Goe, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Robert H. La Goe
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4848-7912-9359.1

22860

AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD J. DEON and LINDA M. DEON, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 111994-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 23, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ronald J. Deon and Linda M. Deon
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4832-9256-9103.1

25477

MAILROOM CLERICAL OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHIRLEY CIRBUS, As Administratrix For the ESTATE OF PATRICK J. CIRBUS and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 111316-2002 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Shirley Cirbus, As Administratrix
for the Estate of Patrick J. Cirbus and
Shirley Cirbus, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4847-2459-5215.1
27070

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: David Spitz, as Administrator for the Estate of Irving Spitz, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106266/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew Macintyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
David Spitz as Adm. For the Estate of Irving Spitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005
11783

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: CARMINE CARRIERO and CONCETTA CARRIERO, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 110270/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.8377

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Carmine Carriero
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4850-3596-0335.1

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: STEPHEN A. MANDELL, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 113483-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Stephen A. Mandell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - HO...

4844-4666-0623.1

24047

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN P. MATICE, As Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM A. MATICE and SUSAN P. MATICE, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 113480-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Susan P. Matice, As Administratrix for the Estate of William A. Matice and Susan P. Matice, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4847-3993-3455.1

24052

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELIZABETH MEIROSE, Individually and as Executrix for the ESTATE OF GEORGE MEIROSE, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 106914-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Elizabeth Meirose, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of George Meirose
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4846-7033-1407.1

11423

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOAN M. BISHOP as Administrator for the Estate of GEORGE HENRY BISHOP and JOAN BISHOP, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 111308/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
JOAN M. BISHOP, as Administrator for the Estate of GEORGE HENRY BISHOP and JOAN BISHOP, Individually,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - F...

4822-7623-6559.1

19525

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document relates to:

STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN LANCELOT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190193/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 10, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Steven J. Lancelot
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

4834-6643-7903.1

SKH - Hovo & Dis. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document relates to:

FRANK J. COSTELLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 105874/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

CAN CLERICAL SERVICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.24603

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Frank J. Costello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4812-9483-7775.1

24603

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MILTON EARL CHERRY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 113141-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Milton Earl Cherry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

SKH - Motion & Dis.: _____

4830-9491-4063.1

24176

AMERICAN OFFICIAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PAULINE BURTON, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD BURTON, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 117571-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pauline Burton, as Executrix for the Estate of
Richard Burton
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4845-8880-6927.1

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MERLE G. BURGIN, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 113141-02 116958-05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Merle G. Burgin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4839-3665-8447.1

11187

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document relates to:

FRANCES CRIPPS, as Executrix for the Estate of DONALD A. CRIPPS JR. and FRANCES CRIPPS, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S, INC., et al.

Defendants.

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 125782/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. individually and incorrectly sued herein as Peerless Heater Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.8804

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Donald A. Cripps, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hon.

4835-9574-1199.1

8104

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document relates to:

BEATRICE PAPANTONIO, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ALFRED L. PAPANTONIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S INC., et al.

Defendants.

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 125871/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. individually and incorrectly sued herein as Peerless Heater Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.1079

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Alfred L. Papantonio
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dkd.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4831-4223-2079.1

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROL RUFUS, As Administratrix for the Estate of ARNOLD BENEDETTO JR. and EDNA MAE BENEDETTO, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 115368-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
CAROL RUFUS, As Administratrix for the
Estate of Arnold Benedetto Jr. and
Edna Mae Benedetto, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

4829-1396-6863.1

27926

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

JOSEPH LAVIERO and NANCY LAVIERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 105870/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.14377

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joseph Laviero
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CC ERK'S OFFICE
YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4832-5796-8655.1

14377

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 106574/02

DEAN HARP, Proposed Executor for the Estate of RALPH J. DE GEORGIA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.11411

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ralph J. DeGeorgia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012
SKM - Nova & Dia.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: WARREN H. MCNALLY and CLAIRE MCNALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 120250/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. individually and incorrectly sued herein as Peerless Heater Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.27278

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Warren H. McNally
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

4832-0953-7551.1

27278

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Ruth S. Sciortino, as Executrix for the Estate of Aaron O'Brien,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A C & S, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 113939/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Ruth S. Sciortino, et al.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

27329

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE
This Document relates to: Edward M. McInerney, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106706/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Edward M. McInerney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 3 0 2012

Sign - Novo & Did: _____

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

27383

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: DOLORES REINA and JOHN REINA, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 105559/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.7171

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John Reina
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

4813-2721-2559.1

7171

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM F. LAW and PATRICIA Y. LAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 117996-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William F. Law and Patricia Y. Law
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld:

4850-7023-4383.1

22961

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL, JR., as Administrator for the ESTATE OF LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL and CATHERINE LUTTRELL, Individually Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 106915-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lawrence W. Luttrell, Jr., as Administrator for the Estate of Lawrence W. Luttrell & Catherine Luttrell, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Hovo & Ord.

4815-1680-4623.1

11424

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

GERALD H. CAUGHELL, JR., Executor for the Estate of GERALD H. CAUGHELL, SR. and MARGARET JEAN CAUGHELL, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 105602-04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/12

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.7960

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Gerald H. Caughell, Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 3 0 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SMH - Mov & Did: _____

4829-9621-6847.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document relates to:

DOROTHY CULLENS, Individualy and Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH L. CULLENS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 113473-04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/9/12

Anthony Ametrano, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.7835

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joseph L. Cullens
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: WALKER ALEXANDER, JR. and DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 111589-03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.5353

Adam Raffo, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walker Alexander, Jr. and Dwendolyn Alexander
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5599

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
Entered & Dtd: _____

4840-9054-4143.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

THOMAS J. WALSH and MARY WALSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 113156/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 10958

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Thomas J. Walsh
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4849-6419-8415.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LAWRENCE STERN, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 119111-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lawrence Stern
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4829-0826-7535.1

22115

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE Index No. 106706/02
This Document relates to: Donald L. Sterner and Margaret Sterner, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S, INC., et al. Defendants.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

May 29, 2012
Dated: New York, New York

Peter Venetis, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Jr., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Donald and Margaret Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 29 2012

SKH - Hon. & Dis. _____

4844-0721-4862.1 4852-5083-7005

18527381

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, As Executrix for the Estate of LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY, As PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S, INC., ET. AL Defendants.	Index No.: 118004-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 29, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, as Executrix for the Estate of Lawrence D. Rookey, as Patricia A. Rookey, Individually,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUN - 6 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 30 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4833-2638-4911.1
22874