SCANNED ON 1/17/2013

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:

DOMINIC ASSABI and FRANCINE ASSABI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

Index No.: 105816/02

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

June 13, 2012

By: Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS LLC
360 Lexington Ave.
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUN 15 2012

~~JUN 0 8 2012~~

{01142880.DOC }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 2 0 2012
NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

NORA PEARSALL, as the Administratrix for the Estate of FRANK C. PEARSALL and NORA PEARSALL as spouse,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

Index No.: 109789/02

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules [illegible] dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, [illegible] being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

June 13, 2012

By: Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS LLC
360 Lexington Ave.
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUN 15 2012

{01142882.DOC }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: AGATHA P. BIGGS, Individually and Administratrix for the Estate of GEORGE T. BIGGS, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 117704/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Biggs, George T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7739

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS E. SCUNZIANO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of HARRY P. ROBER, and HELEN M. ROBER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 101653/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6/12/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rober, Harry P.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7932

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD J. KRENTZ AND IRENE KRENTZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 106693/02 115012/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/11, 2012

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fanelli
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald J. Krentz and Irene Krentz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3711

{N0080939-1}

JUN 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PASQUALE A. FORGIONE AND ANN FORGIONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190216/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6/11, 2012

Laura Beth Hollman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Atwood & Morrill Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Pasquale A. Forgione and Ann Forgione
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-1127

JUN 15 2012

{N0148259-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER and LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
16/11, 2012

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kenneth Eggenberger And Lila Eggenberger
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

505-2569

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOYCE SCHASSLER, Individually and Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT C. SCHASSLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118346/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schassler, Robert C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7716

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES SANTACROCE, SR. and BEVERLY SANTACROCE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 117445/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Santacroce, James Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012 324-7614

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES SALERNO and SUSAN SALERNO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 116466/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Salerno, Charles
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7768

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HARRY DONALD SADLER and CAROLYN MARTHA SADLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111938/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sadler, Harry Donald
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7543

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN S. ROBINSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114680/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
06/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robinson, John S.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7719

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS FAUGHEY and SUSAN FAUGHEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113504/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Faughey, Thomas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7604

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHELLE MCGRANN, as Administratix for the Estate of JOSEPH G. DUFOUR, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115705/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dufour, Joseph G.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7735

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROB MCMURRAY, as Personal Representative for the Estates of CHARLES W. DIETRICH and ADELE M. DIETRICH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115214/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dietrich, Charles W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7758

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN G. CUNDY and ROBERTA CUNDY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115209/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cundy, John G.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
JUN 15 2012

324-7810

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DIANE CRISPELL, as Executrix for the Estate of ALLAN CRISPELL and DIANE CRISPELL, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 120722/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crispell, Allan
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

JUN 15 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PEGGY S. MARSH as Administratrix for the Estate of MILDRED BUTTERFIELD, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107242/05, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Butterfield, Mildred
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8556

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CARMELLA M. SCHUMACHER, as Administratix for the Estate of GEORGE SCHUMACHER and CARMELLA M. SCHUMACHER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111912/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schumacher, George
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

JUN 15 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7585

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LILLIAN REGAN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM REGAN and LILLIAN REGAN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Regan, William
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8307G

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN FISHMAN, as Executrix for the Estate of MARTIN RAPPAPORT, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103081/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rappaport, Martin
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7971

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LINDA BIANCAROSA, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN F. PELLEGRINO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103793/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pellegrino, John F.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7898

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY ELIZABETH YODIS, as Administratrix for the Estate of LUCA A. PASCALE, and SUSAN BARBONE, as Executrix for the Estate of NELLIE E. PASCALE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113234/04, 111795/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pascale, Luca A.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

JUN 15 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8307F

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BETTY NYER, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH NYER and BETTY NYER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Nyer, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8307D

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPHINE E. GANISIN, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH GANISIN and JOSEPHINE E. GANISIN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103796/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ganisin, Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7881

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT D. FREEMAN and JUDITH A. FREEMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100867/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Freeman, Robert D.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7973

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARIA MESITI, as Administratix for the Estate of NICHOLAS V. MESITI, and MARIA MESITI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 120719/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mesiti, Nicholas V.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8020

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JUSTINA MASTERS, as Pesonal Representative for the Estate of STANLEY J. MASTERS and JUSTINA MASTERS, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 104610/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Masters, Stanley J.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7892

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARIA L. EAGEN and DEBORAH A. MORGANTI as Co-Administratrices for the Estate of ALEXANDER LUBECKI and MARIA L. EAGEN and DEBORAH A. MORGANTI as Co-Executrices for the Estate of ROSITA M. LUBECKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121121/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lubecki, Alexander
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

JUN 15 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8029

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD NAYLOR and ESTER NAYLOR, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111794/04, 113233/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Naylor, Donald
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8248H

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL F. MURPHY and FRANCES B. MURPHY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 120580/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Murphy, Michael F.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7996

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH MILAZZO, JR. AND ROSALIE M. MIILAZZO, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190311/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Milazzo, Joseph Jr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

2383-29690

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VINCENT SCOTTO, as Administrator for the Estate of DOMINIC SCOTTO, and JENNIE SCOTTO, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118094/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Scotto, Dominic
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7784

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA A. LOWDEN, as Executrix for the Estate of PARICK W. LODEN, JR. and PATARICIA A. LOWDEN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 101357/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lowden, Patrick W. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7937

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: TIMOTHY LEEDHAM, as Executor for the Estate of ROBERT LEEDHAM, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113705/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leedham, Robert
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8173

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANGEL LAMBERTY and CARMEN LAMBERTY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100988/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lamberty, Angel
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7935

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: IRVING H. KLINE and MARY KLINE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103473/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kline, Irving H.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7861

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CATHLEEN ESPOSITO, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of JOHN E. KERN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 117868/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kern, John E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7690

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANTHONY SIRICO and ELIZABETH SIRICO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 119780/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sirico, Anthony
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7675

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH SHIRLEY and CAROL SHIRLEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110528/04,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shirley, Kenneth
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8037

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHARON UMNIK, as Executrix for the Estate of HENRY A. UMNIK, and SHARON UMNIK, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111589/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Umnik, Henry A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7579V

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, as Executrix for the Estate of JERRY O. THOMPSON, and BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110245/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thompson, Jerry O.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8047

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANN M. SORRENTINO, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH M. SORRENTINO and ANN M. SORRENTINO, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 113686/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sorrentino, Joseph M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8169

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PAUL A. SMOLLEY, SR. and ROSEMARIE SMOLLEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121252/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smolley, Paul A. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANCIS J. SMITH and BARBARA SMITH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118280/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smith, Francis J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7783

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEAN HASTINGS SMAWLEY, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of EARL C. SMAWLEY, III, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 101359/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smawley, Earl C. III
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7938

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GENE KEDING and THELMA KEDING, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111794/04, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Keding, Gene
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8248F

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6-1, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daan Lerthere (?)
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mori, Tyrrell T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

2571-1367

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6-1, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dara M. Mertcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mori, Tyrrell T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

2383-26942C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6-1, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mori, Tyrrell T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

1235-23641

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL A. LUCADAMO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100234/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6-1, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael A. Lucadamo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26199AD

{N0042173-1}

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-1, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Litherett, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mori, Tyrrell T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

1122-76C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERTRUDE ALFREN, Individually and Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM ALFREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110257/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Alfren, William
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8054

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES W. SHANER AND JEAN SHANER, Plaintiff(s), -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190102/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/11 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Kentile Floors, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles W. Shaner and Jean Shaner
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-13203

JUN 15 2012

{N0148264-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARTIN S. ARONSON AND SARA ARONSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126686/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

84618

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/11, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Aronson, Martin S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

1122-7984

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONNAMAE BARTON, Individually and Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM N. BARTON, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 102900/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
06/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Barton, William N.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7967

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE M. BREETVELD AND THERESA BREETVELD, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 111588/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/1, 2012

Jason S. Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Eugene Breetveld
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1847

JUN 15 2012

{N0138092-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARTIN S. ARONSON AND SARA ARONSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126686/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

84618

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Aronson, Martin S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25982W

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

84618

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARTIN S. ARONSON AND SARA ARONSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126686/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Aronson, Martin S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

1235-248A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELOISE V. BROADWAY, as Personal Representative for the Estate of MARION BROADWAY and ELOISE V. BROADWAY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110258/04,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Broadway, Marion
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-8046

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GUY MARKS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106581/2002
113932/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pelissier - Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **EUGENE RISO, JR.**	Index Nos.: 105151-03 100731-03
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES E. KEAHON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106508/2002
110788/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Renissier - Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RALPH BLANK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 125260/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN P. BYRNES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119504/2002
113278/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANCES MALEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190209/2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 06/05, 2012
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GILBERT EUGENE CARMAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126045/2002
113566/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

HORACE LEO CAYEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123161/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PETER E. FLEMING,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 113637/2002
107222/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **ARLEN HERRICK**	Index No(s).: 190145-12
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31/12

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **JOHN PALASZYNSKI**	Index No(s).: 102468-00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **THEODORE PISKADLO**	Index No(s).: 110182-00 117891-00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 08 2012
Hon. Sheryl Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **ANTHONY SHOOT**	Index No(s).: 190067-10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Charles Ferguson
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry Klein~~ Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

NYCAL

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

ROGER BALDUCCI

Index No(s).: 119783-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 5/31/12

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL A. MARAGLINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104022/2003
126686/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES E. MAYBEE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126935/2002
104166/2003

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN H. MCGINNIS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126685/2002
103333/2003

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK MONASTERO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127406/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RAYMOND M. NODELL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104026/2003
126686/2002

FILED

JUN 2 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 0 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

HENRY J. HAYWARD, JR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126037/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROLLAND E. HICKEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 125965/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES W. HOLECEK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104623/2003
126687/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GERALD F. KELTY, JR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126934/2002
104397/2003

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

GILBERTO ORTIZ ARCE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127677/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM T. GOOLEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107102/2002
119088/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT GUIDAL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107102/2002
119104/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS HYNES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124339/2002
101994/2003

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 113279/2002
120902/2002

FILED

JUN 28 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EUGENE D. LALUMIA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107361/2002
100846/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RALPH F. LUISI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103178/2003
124445/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

NICHOLAS C. LATZER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 125211/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

HAROLD L.GREEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120391/2001
104298/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Cassandra Pellissier - Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **WILLIAM FRANKLIN**	Index No.: 100592-00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAR 1, 2012

Matthew MacIntrye, Esq
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **EUGENE DEGANNES**	Index No.: 103007-01 100755-01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 1, 2012

Matthew MacIntrye, Esq
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DAVID WEISSMAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126937/2002
105582/2003

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALFRED O'CONNOR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126935/2002
104146/2003

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS O'CONNOR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103511/2003
126681/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

SAMUEL OSBORNE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103509/2003
126681/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FREDERICK A. PORTA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104029/2003
126686/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CHARLES ARTHUR ROSS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104154/2003
126935/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LUISA ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103872/2003
126682/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

BILLIE W. SELF,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127400/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK J. STILA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103496/2003
126681/2002
103869/2006

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all clas and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ANDREW KING,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126937/2002
105608/2003

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DENNIS M. LADUE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126291/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

VINCENT LEONE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104018/2003
126686/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL PATRICK GILL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104174/2003
126935/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CHARLES J. MAHFOUD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120904/2002
113279/2002

FILED

JUN 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LAWRENCE G. MANNING,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107103/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL W. RICKARD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED

JUN 2 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 101768/2003
124489/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 0 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOHN R. SALTZER, SR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107100/2002
124268/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH SYRACUSE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 124444/2002
127679/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LOWELL H. THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 107004/2002
115179/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CONRAD F. WAHL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 112127/2002
107169/2002

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALEXANDER ZABLOZKI (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119673/2000

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARTIN S. ARONSON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126686/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT JOSEPH BLASO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126937/2002
105626/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRITZ BUHRER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104189/2003
126935/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104184/2003
126935/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALFRED DIFRANCO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103527/2003
126681/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT FLAHERTY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104011/2003
126686/2002

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 06.08.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BLASO, ROBERG JOSEPH

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105626/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
June 1, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BUHRER, FRITZ

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104189/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
June, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HICKEY, ROLLAND E.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
125965/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
June, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105602-04

GERALD H. CAUGHELL JR., as Executor of the Estate of GERALD H. CAUGHELL SR. and MARGARET JEAN CAUGHELL, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 1, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

JUN 08 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

STILA, FRANK J.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103496/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
June 1, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 08 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
MARK D. ASHTON

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 103375/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mark D. Ashton
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ED K. BO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 114898/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31/2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ed K. Bo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD L. BOSSEY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 104521/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard L. Bossey
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3524

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
RALPH A. CONTE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 116074/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ralph A. Conte
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3526

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 26 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
CARL CUCCO, JR.

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112736/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carl Cucco, Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2884

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
DENIS A. DONAHUE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 102421/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Denis A. Donahue
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ERIC E. DREIMILLER

Index No.: 118980/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eric E. Dreimiller
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3509

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GARY L. FARNHAM

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 117982/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gary L. Farnham
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
HARVEY FIALA

Index No.: 115354/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harvey Fiala
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3020

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
MICHAEL O. FLYNN

Index No.: 104304/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael O. Flynn
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3085

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
FRANK T. WEBER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 103447/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank T. Weber
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Shelley K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 104298/02

This Document Relates to:
Harold L. Green and Evelyn B. Green,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/8, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harold L. Green and Evelyn B. Green
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
~~Our File~~ No.: 10924-739

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Leslie Charles Payne (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 106181/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/8, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Leslie Charles Payne (Deceased)
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-739

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NYCAINEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 123161/02

This Document Relates to:
Mildred S. Cayen, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Horace Leo Cayen,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/8, 2012

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mildred S. Cayen, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Horace Leo Cayen
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3553

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
CHARLES JOHNSON,

INDEX NO 121477/02
105917/04

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/8, 2012

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles Johnson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:6754-~~3256~~ 8814

So Ordered:
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
LOUIS PAVON

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 100302/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Louis Pavon
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Shirley K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
FRANCIS J. ROKITKA

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112711/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby moves for summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Francis J. Rokitka
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
STANLEY RYNDAK

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112561/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stanley Ryndak
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT W. SCHAETZER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 115024/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert W. Schaetzer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Shelby K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ERICH SZILLUS

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 105562/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Erich Szillus
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3078

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
DONALD M. TAYLOR

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190336/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald M. Taylor
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2885

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
SALVATORE TINE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110775/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, moves for summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvatore Tine
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
THOMAS H. VALONE

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110524/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas H. Valone
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN 08 2012

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE A. WALKER, SR.

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 109483/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George A. Walker, Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROLAND E. LA DIEU, SR.

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 110790/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Roland E. La Dieu, Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT J. LYDECKER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 115157/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert J. Lydecker
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3079

SO ORDERED:

JUN 08 2012

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
GUY MARKS

Index No.: 113932/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Guy Marks
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3521

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
SAM R. MOGAVERO

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 114876/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Sam R. Mogavero
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
PATRICK MYERS

Index No.: 103452/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

ABB LUMMUS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Patrick Myers
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN J. O'BRIEN

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 102837/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John J. O'Brien
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Shelby R. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES A. FRETTO

Index No.: 117983/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31/2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3517

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN A. GENTILE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 118977/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John A. Gentile
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2862

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
HAROLD L. GREEN

Index No.: 104298/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harold L. Green
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2851

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOHN A. HYNES

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 104165/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John A. Hynes
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2997

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
FREDERICK W. JACOB

Index No.: 110714/02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frederick W. Jacob
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES E. KEAHON

Index No.: 110788/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James E. Keahon
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN J. KEOUGH

Index No.: 115009/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John J. Keough
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN S. KOSTOROSKI

Index No.: 112087/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John S. Kostoroski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3510

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and
THERESA BREETVELD,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111588/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-1, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Dean Monacon
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

ME1 13244093v.1

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH V. SIGNORELLI (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/127007
94/100118
92/29525

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/11, 2012

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JO-ANN MCLEAN, as Executrix for the Estate of NICHOLAS SANTORA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/102768
98/111042
97/121749

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 12-190094

----------,

TIMOTHY MEYER and KAREN MEYER,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

5-15, 2012

BY: _____
Benjamin Darche
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

BY: _____
William E. Quackenboss
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOROTHY DEDON, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of ELMO E. DEDON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/105416

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/23, 2012

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RAYMOND HODGE, SR.,

Index No.: 03/102372
02/127888

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/8, 2012

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DIANE SINRAM, as Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE SINRAM, and DIANE SINRAM, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/104852

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

~~Samuel M. Weitz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARLENE RUSH, as the Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM J. SWANSON, and ARLENE RUSH, as the Executrix for the Estate of GRACE L. SWANSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/125907
02/108357

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/29, 2012

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WILLIAM T. GOOLEY and KATHLEEN GOOLEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 119088/02 107102/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-1-2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Dana Northcraft

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190215-11

ANDREW J. SADOWSKI, as Executor for the Estate of EDWARD SADOWSKI, and ALBERTA SADOWSKI, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 6, 2012
New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella
Attorneys for Rheem Manufacturing Co.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE LEONG and FLORENCE LEONG

Index No. 190108/12

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/23 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE LEONG and FLORENCE LEONG

Index No. 190108/12

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/23 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE LEONG and FLORENCE LEONG

Index No. 190108/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC,INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC,INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC,INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/23 ,2012

~~Attorneys for Plaintiff~~
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC,INC.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 108781/03

FRANK LATTANZIO, as Executor for the Estate of JOSEPH LATTANZIO, and EVELINA LATTANZIO, Individually

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/18 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK LATTANZIO, as Executor for the Estate of JOSEPH LATTANZIO, and EVELINA LATTANZIO, Individually

Index No. 108781/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/4 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEVEN J. LANCELOT and KATHLEEN LANCELOT

Index No. 190193/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: MAY 10 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Cherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WALTER DePAOLA,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190464/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 10, 2012

JUN 08 2012

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Walter DePaola
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Jeffrey C. Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:10924-6591

SKH Rcvd & Dtd:

So Ordered

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. KINGSLEY,

Index No. 190081/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, By Its Successor-In-Interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, By Its Successor-In-Interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc., Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, By Its Successor-In-Interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/11/12 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation, By Its Successor-In-Interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc.,
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS KINSELLA and ETHEL KINSELLA

Index No. 108806/03

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
CHUN HING LEE,
Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS, INC., as Successor by Merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190082/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Howden North America, Inc., formerly known and referred hereinafter as Howden Buffalo, Inc. ("Howden"), and as misidentified in Plaintiffs' Complaint as Howden Buffalo, Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to FB Sturtevant, the Howden Buffalo Group, and Buffalo Fan, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Howden, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Howden, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 21, 2012

JUN 08 2012

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys For Plaintiff
Chun Hing Lee
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Jeffrey Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Howden North America, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11231-147

SKH Rcvd & Dtd:

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT CASTORINA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/123077
01/111230

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
June 5th, 2012

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ROBERT G. MORI, as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 111795/04 113231/04 100844/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 1 - 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana Northcraft, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SHIRLEY D. MILLER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of GLENN BUDD MILLER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 111586/03 100476/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-1-2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Dara M Norton
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANA MATURA Individually and SRECKO MATURA as the Executor for the Estate of MAKSO MATURA

Index No. 111232/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED MACK and EDITH MACK

Index No. 111594/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/31 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS GEORGE MISKILL and HELENA MISKILL

Index No. 109496/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5\16 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services Corp.
f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS GEORGE MISKILL and HELENA MISKILL

Index No. 109496/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANA MATURA Individually and SRECKO MATURA as the Executor for the Estate of MAKSO MATURA

Index No. 111232/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALICE BUTERA, as Executrix for the Estate of VERNON DEPRIEST,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index Nos.: 127886/02; 102479/03

I.A.S. Part 39
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/22, 2012
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Andre E. Harlfinger, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUN 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 07 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALFRED DIFRANCO,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index Nos.: 126681/02; 103527/03

I.A.S. Part 39
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/22, 2012
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Andre E. Harlfinger, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: JUN 07 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOHN FRANKENBERG, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et. al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190266/2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, hereinafter ("H.B. FULLER") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant H.B. Fuller with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, H.B. Fuller be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUN 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/15 2012
New York, New York

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lenore E. Benessere, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 07 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

JUN 07 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 119370/02

ANDREAS KALLEBERG and LISA KALLEBERG,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

AMERICAN ART CLAY COMPANY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5/23, 2011
New York, New York

~~Mark Strauss, Esq.~~ Jason Hodnosky, Esq
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Ave., 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Simon Lee, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEONID M. LIBERMAN and DINA LIBERMAN

Index No. 190057/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/24 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VALERIE LONG, as Administratrix for the Estate of MICHAEL P. LONG and VALERIE LONG, Individually,

Index No. 122017/98
116680/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/4 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Norial Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VALERIE LONG, as Administratrix for the Estate of MICHAEL P. LONG and VALERIE LONG, Individually,

Index No. 122017/98
116680/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/4 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL A. LUCADAMO

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 116234/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL A. LUCADAMO

Index No. 116234/03

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED MACK and EDITH MACK

Index No. 111594/03

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/31 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190413-11

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 4, 2012

~~Daniel Blonin~~ Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED AETNA

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190376/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and their agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendants"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/14, 2012

BELLUCK & FOX

By: _____
Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue
4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By: _____
Michelle M. Grimaldi, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

SO ORDERED: _____ Date: _____
Sherry Klein Heitler
Justice of the Supreme Court
of the State of New York

JUN 08 2012

4828-6235-9309.1

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **THADDEUS SARAMA, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 122193/99** **120739/99** **111124/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No: 190319-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NIBCO, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, NIBCO, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, NIBCO, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
NIBCO, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

RAYMOND SABO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190318-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 104298/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HAROLD L. GREEN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4240-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARVEY FIALA

INDEX NO. 107007/02 & 115354/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7541-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107006/02 & 117982/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GARY L. FARNHAM	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7238-02

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANNE M. BARRETTA, Individually and Administratrix for the Estate of ANTHONY BARRETTA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118350/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Barretta, Anthony
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7711

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: BARBARA KASSIN, as Administratrix for the Estate of SHERRY ASSOULIN and BARBARA KASSIN as Administratrix for the Estate of FRED ASSOULIN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103905/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Assoulin, Sherry
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7885

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JUDITH AMBROSIO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK AMBROSIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 104852/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/12, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ambrosio, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

324-7906

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/18/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 111594/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRED MACK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 6, 2012

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5493-03

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/22/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANGELA D'AMBROSIO

INDEX NO.
118438/03
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5615-03

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106695/02 & 118980/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ERIC E. DREIMILLER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6973-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 102421/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DENIS A. DONAHUE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

Our File No
S-4995-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL CUCCO JR.

INDEX NO. 106578/02 & 112736/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6320-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SAM R. MOGAVERO

INDEX NO.
106706/02 & 114876/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6144-02

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LUIS ACEVEDO and SUSAN ACEVEDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC.
f/k/a GREEN REFRACTORIES COMPANY, et. al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
Index No.: 116194/2002

NO OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

WHEREFORE, defendant **J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 1, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Daniel Blouin, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5778
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

BENNETT, GIULIANO, MCDONNELL & PERRONE, LLP

By: _____
William R. Bennett, Esq.
Erika M. Achtziger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc.
494 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10001
Telephone: (646) 328-0120
Facsimile: (646) 328-0121

SO ORDERED,

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 110714/02 & 107003/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FREDERICK W. JACOB	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6381-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN A. HYNES

INDEX NO.
104165/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5052-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106578/02 & 112711/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANCIS J. ROKITKA	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6346-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ED K. BO

INDEX NO.
106706/02 & 114898/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6114-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 120430/01 & 100302/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: LOUIS PAVON	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7483-01

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 102837/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN J. O'BRIEN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4926-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 110775/02 & 106508/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: SALVATORE TINE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5923-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/22/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 121518/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MONROE EVANS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4006-04

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 103447/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANK T. WEBER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5634-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 110524/02 & 106808/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: THOMAS H. VALONE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED JUN 26 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

FILED JUN 25 2012 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

Our File No
S-7070-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 112561/02 & 105713/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: STANLEY RYNDAK	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

Our File No
S-5995-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/2/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 126935/02 AND 104155/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARTIN ROTHSTEIN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4220-03

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/22/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 190462/11 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FERNANDO HERNANDEZ	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4039-11

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/24/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 111230/01 & 123077/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROBERT CASTORINA	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6309-01

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpl)
6/6/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 119370/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ANDREAS KALLEBERG	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Mark Bibro , Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-8894-02

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
11/17/10

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 120430/01 and 100100/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RICHARD BARTOLOMUCCI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-7447-01

JUN 08 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 103375/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARK D. ASHTON	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 8, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4359-02

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL W. RICKARD AND MARY RICKARD, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 124489/02, 101768/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6\5, 2012

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rickard, Michael W.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

2571-0318

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph De Marco	Index No: 109339/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6 1 4 , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

CLB56468/legal/nosjm/October 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190080/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin Darche,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

5/26/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

CLB56320/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RAYMOND HODGE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 127888/02 &
102372/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff 5/26/12
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

CLB56543/legal/nosjm/OCTOBER 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT DOMBROWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190114/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

5/26/12

Patti Burshtyn , Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd. _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

April 2012 *In Extremis* Group

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK,

Index No. 190319-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants,

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: White Plains, New York
June 7, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By _____ Dated 4-26-12
John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: JUN 06 2012

CLB55565/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JEFFREY A. ZAYAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 119115/02 &
107102/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew Macintyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 6/4/12
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

CLB55180/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROLAND LA DIEU,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106508/02 &
110790/02
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew MacIntyre,Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

6/4/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
New York, New York 10036
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

CLB56519/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THOMAS V. CARROLL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100232/03 &
106364/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

6/4/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X:/cases/FBW46653/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT ROCCABRUNA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100610-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/1/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

CLB55243/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

PAUL M. MARQUARDT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 126731/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

5/25/12

Joe Vasquez,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

X:/cases/FBW46473/legal/NOSJM

JUN 26 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 126037-02

HENRY HAYWARD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

X:/cases/FBW46515/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL MARAGLINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104022-03 & 126686-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 6/4/12
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

X:/cases/FBW46515/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RAYMOND NODELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104026/03 & 126686/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 2 0 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

CLB55355/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SERAY ITEZ, as Executrix for the Estate of
SETENAY ITEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190139/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Ambre Brandis,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

6/6/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitner

FILED

JUN 2 0 2012

JUN 0 8 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

X:/cases/FBW47103/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES W. CALLINAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 108898-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/6/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X:/FBW47357/legal/MAY 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

PHILIP BENEDETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100864-03 & 111911-03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

6/4/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUN 08 2012

X:/FBW46555/legal/March 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM F. RYAN , JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105928-03 & 128019-02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

6/4/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUN 08 2012

X:/cases/FBW46555/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM HENRY RICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 105931-03 & 128019-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

CLB55205/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES J. MAHFOUD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 120904/02 &
113279/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Joe Vasquez,Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

6/1/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
New York, New York 10036
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46390/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

KENNETH NIXON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 124487-02 & 100455-05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/1/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X:/cases/FBW49087/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ALBERT DUELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 111642-03, 110260-04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/1/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46838/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN CARBONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100741-03 & 102899-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/1/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46512/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRITZ BUHRER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104189-03 & 126935-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane 6/4/12
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46519/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES FUNICELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 103523-03 & 126681-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane 6/9/12
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW45593/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MILTON JACOBS

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 107005-02, 116225-02, 127310-02, 113567-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X:/cases/FBW46518/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD POULSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104382-03 & 126934-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW46512/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ALFRED O'CONNOR,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 126935- 02
& 104146-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X.:/cases/FBW45797/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RALPH RUGGERIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 107403-02, 114095-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUN 08 2012

X:/cases/FBW46519/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ALFRED DIFRANCO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 103527-03 & 126681-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

6/4/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HERMAN SKOLNICK

Index No. 190122/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - RCVD MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 20 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the ESTATE OF ROGER J. BALDUCCI and CAROLE BALDUCCI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 119783-2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the ESTATE OF ROGER J. BALDUCCI and CAROLE BALDUCCI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 119783-2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

FLORENCE DE MARCO, Individually and as Executrix for the ESTATE OF JOSEPH DE MARCO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

Index No. 109339-2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

RICHARD VOSSELER and LORETTA VOSSELER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

Index No. 128024-2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 25, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110798/03

Franklin Mason

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.09589

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5049911v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Tyrrell T. Mori

Index No: 100866-03
113231-04
111795-04

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-1-12

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Sara M. Nurtcoth
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31337

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5048170v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111622-03
113492-03

Edward George Barbera

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.11176

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5049788v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127704-02
120709-02

Roy F. Boggs

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.17804

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5049789v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Elias Gaffen

Index No: 111592/03

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.11220

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5049898v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190173/12

Isauro Pantoja and Sabitri Tulsi

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** incorrectly s/h/a "**CONWED CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Wood Conversion Company**" (hereinafter "**CONWED CORPORATION**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/1/12

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 07415.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5064815v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190248/11

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John Dellarata

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/1/12

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 07415.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4866091v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Leonard Darmstedter

Index No: 109641/02

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/17/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 26 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4706378v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110717/02

Joseph S. Collesano

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 06 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4706351v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100731-03
105149-03

Champ Roberts

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 5th, 2012

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29873

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5048304v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105151-03
100731-03

Eugene Riso, Jr.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (hereinafter "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 5th, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00885

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024673v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Frank Incantalupo

Index No: 111622-03
113562-03

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.11177

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5049908v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190164/11

Edward Harris and Lydia Harris

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5-11-12

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, N.Y., 10003

Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07188.00092

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

5029399v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190001/11

Richard K. Wiley and Paula Wiley

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5-11-12

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, N.Y., 10003

Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07188.00084

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4336268v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190166/11

Raymond Warters, as Executor for the Estate of Lanetta L. Warters, and Raymond Warters, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5-11-12

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, N.Y., 10003

Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07188.00090

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5029393v.1

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190354/10

Jacqueline F. Zollman, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Louis Zollman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KELLY-MOORE PAINT COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5-11-12

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, N.Y., 10003

Erik C. DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
KELLY-MOORE PAINT CO., INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07188.00076

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

5029425v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Raymond J. Moore

Index No: 109851/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.09583

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5049926v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Edward McGrath

Index No: 110568/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.09593

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5049921v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 108898-03

James W. Callinan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/22/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024700v.1

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Ronald J.G. Bochniasz

Index No: 100918-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/22/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024693v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113144/02
116958/05
107221/02

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Merle G. Burgin

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4706306v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Robert A. Lowe

Index No: 100862-03
110194-04

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/16/12

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31257

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5048158v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118977/02
106695/02

John A. Gentile

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/16/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25279

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4823000v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642-03
114319-03

Clifford W. Hyde Sr.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (hereinafter "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/15/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.01021

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 18 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5049717v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Eugene M. Breetveld

Index No: 111588/03

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (hereinafter "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00990

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5049709v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Robert H. Killian

Index No: 100862-03
105606-04

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

~~Frank N. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Pavel, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31115

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5048131v.1

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101635/03

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John J. Cregan Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/15/12

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Mathew Parr, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29817

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5048428v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116191-03
100855-03

Angelo Ciaio

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024713v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 100854-03

John J. Cerroni

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/22/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024704v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120081/02

James J. Sebastiani

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4707418v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127345/02
113565/02

Donald W. Riddell

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4707359v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108877/02
107514/02

Donald McEvoy

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/18/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: JUN 08 2012

4707249v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115342/02
107007/02

Harvey Kessler

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4706539v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Donald F. Hogan

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 108870/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/17/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4706484v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105654-03
100904-03

Andrew Doychak

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/24/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024731v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Jennie Dalkas

Index No: 100855-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024718v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100862-03
111780-04

Angelo Costanzo

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024717v.1

FILED
JUN 2 0 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 18179-87
MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
5/24/12

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~DANIEL BLOUIN, ESQ.~~ Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Marion Barthold, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of William C.
Barthold
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUN 2 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 0 8 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

BY-DRESS-11

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100866-03
108780-03

Albert J. Mirra

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/25/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

5024862v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
JUN 28 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100864-03
108781-03

Joseph Lattanzio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/25/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

5024834v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 100861-03
110255-04

David Curtiss Kogel

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/25/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024826v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107007-02
115353-02

Louis Kinsella

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/24/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dkt'd JUN 08 2012

5024814v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100904-03
115946-03

Cheryle L. Heller

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/24/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024746v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126765/02
110269/02

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Willis R. Gavigan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4706479v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Sabino Morano

Index No: 100855-03
109264-04

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/28/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

5024867v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- X

This Document Relates To:

Raymond F. Weber

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 112954/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/21/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ~~JUN 08 2012~~ JUN 08 2012

4707480v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125907/02

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

William J. Swanson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/21/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4707465v.1

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 4996-89
MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
3/24/12

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~DANIEL BLOUIN, ESQ.~~ Dana M. Northrop, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Marion Barthold, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of William C.
Barthold
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012 8 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

BY-DRESS-10

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

NERYA BEGIM,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CERTAINTEED CORPORATION., et al.,

Defendant(s).
----------x

Index No.: 190125-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FRANK DELISE and VIRGINIA DELISE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190156-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

ANTHONY FERRARA and EMILY FERRARA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190308-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

~~Mark Strauss, Esq.~~ Derell Wilson, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100286-03

CANDACE ZAK, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 22, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100731-03
105151-03

EUGENE RISO, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5\16\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126937/02

ANDREW KING,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5\16\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100286-03

CANDACE ZAK, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 16, 2012
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **COBURN MILLER and DIOMARIS MILLER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **-against-** **AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**Index No.: 190148-12** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 24, 2012
New York, New York

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Hoffman/New Yorker
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN SULLIVAN and FRANCES SULLIVAN

Index No. 110130/98
111065/98
117709/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc. improperly pled as United Centrifugal Pump, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc. improperly pled as United Centrifugal Pump, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc. improperly pled as United Centrifugal Pump, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc. improperly pled as United Centrifugal Pump
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AURELIO TORRES

Index No. 115014/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/3, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

POPI PAPOULIAS as Administratrix for the Estate of EMMANUEL PAPOULIAS and POPI PAPOULIAS, Individually,

Index No. 190316/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANNIE PICISTRELLI, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of GINO PICISTRELLI and ANNE PICISTRELLI, Individually

Index No. 100718/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANNIE PICISTRELLI, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of GINO PICISTRELLI and ANNE PICISTRELLI, Individually

Index No. 100718/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE RISO JR.

Index No. 105151/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 18th ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE RISO JR.

Index No. 105151/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 18th ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA and MARIE TRANFAGLIA,

Index No. 190270/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/24, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.,
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AURELIO TORRES

Index No. 190497/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/3, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AURELIO TORRES

Index No. 115014/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/3, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK MONROE, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of ALBERT V. MONROE II

Index No. 100727/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM MORITZ

Index No. 190294/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, AERCO International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, AERCO International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, AERCO International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/8, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Arthur D. Bromberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
AERCO International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD L. NOCELLA,

Index No. 190121/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD L. NOCELLA,

Index No. 190121/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK MONROE, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of ALBERT V. MONROE II

Index No. 100727/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. McDONALD, JR.

Index No. 127887/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. McDONALD, JR.

Index No. 127887/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH MILAZZO, JR. and ROSALIE M. MILAZZO

Index No. 190311/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH MILAZZO, JR. and ROSALIE M. MILAZZO

Index No. 190311/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of WALKER ALEXANDER JR. and DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, Individually,

Index No. 111589/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of WALKER ALEXANDER JR. and DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, Individually,

Index No. 111589/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of WALKER ALEXANDER JR. and DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, Individually,

Index No. 111589/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of WALKER ALEXANDER JR. and DWENDOLYN ALEXANDER, Individually,

Index No. 111589/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
LYNN F. PARISI as Executrix for the Estate of JOHANNES DEVALK, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 124444/02**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

Entered: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOSEPH LAUER, SR., Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 113567/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

Signed & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **SOL SHARGEL,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 124444/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

Skm Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **LOUIS SILBERT and ELAINE SILBERT,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 124441/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ANNE WALSH, as Administratrix for the Estate of TERRENCE WALSH, and ANNE WALSH Individually, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 124441/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: WILLIAM T. GOOLEY, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 107102/02 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5\16\12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **FRED G. CORDES,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 119826/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: HOWARD ELLEFSEN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 110289/98 111058/98 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN KNOX,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 111071/98
113280/97
115429/05
103975/97
109675/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JAMES D. LANIGAN, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107817/99** **102114/07** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL**
	I.A.S. Part 30
	(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)
ROSARIO MORREALE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 124525/00**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROGER PRINCE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 102939/99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

5/23/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jorge R. DeArmas, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 28 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMENICK CALLO and BONITA CALLO

Index No. 105546/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

CKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMENICK CALLO and BONITA CALLO

Index No. 105546/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE

Index No. 100741/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE

Index No. 100741/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA K. CAPECE, as Administratrix for the Estate of ANTHONY J. CAPECE and PATRICIA K. CAPECE, as spouse

Index No. 108849/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/25, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL CARLIN

Index No. 100731/03
105173/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEANN BLANDO, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE F. BLANDO and BENEDETTA BLANDO, Individually,

Index No. 100725/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERNON A. BONOMI and PATRICIA BONOMI

Index No. 190109/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 18 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. AMTHOR and LOIS AMTHOR

Index No. 100738/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. AMTHOR and LOIS AMTHOR

Index No. 100738/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIE BARBUTO, as Executrix for the Estate of BARTOLOMEO BARBUTO, and MARIE BARBUTO, Individually

Index No. Index# 115837/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIE BARBUTO, as Executrix for the Estate of BARTOLOMEO BARBUTO, and MARIE BARBUTO, Individually

Index No. Index# 115837/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSEANN BLANDO, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE F. BLANDO and BENEDETTA BLANDO, Individually,

Index No. 100725/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY R. CROW, as Executrix for the Estate of MILTON F. CROW, and MARY R. CROW, Individually

Index No. 111883/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/8 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN CATALFAMO,

Index No. 190313/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOROTHY CULLENS, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CULLENS, and DOROTHY CULLENS, Individually

Index No. 113473/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/30 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - JUN 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOROTHY CULLENS, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CULLENS, and DOROTHY CULLENS, Individually

Index No. 113473/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/30 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED D'AMBROSIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO,

Index No. 118438/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 25 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED D'AMBROSIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO,

Index No. 118438/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 25 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT CASTORINA

Index No. 123077/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 14th ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ——

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT CASTORINA

Index No. 123077/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 22 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN CATALFAMO,

Index No. 190313/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT CASTORINA

Index No. 123077/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 22 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 20 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL CARLIN

Index No. 100731/03
105173/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

~~Hon.~~ Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 28 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS V. CARROLL

Index No. 100232/03
106364/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS V. CARROLL

Index No. 100232/03
106364/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: JUN 08 2012

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT CASTORINA

Index No. 123077/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 14th ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS BRUGER

Index No. 116822/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/29 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:
JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HAROLD J. BRENNAN and DOMENICA BRENNAN

Index No. 190256/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/7, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WOSSNER JR., AUGUST F.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No
126292/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DEFILIPPIS, FRANCIS R.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126687/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NEAL P. IZZO

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 120431/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NEAL P. IZZO

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 120431/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PASQUALE A. FORGIONE and ANN FORGIONE

Index No. 190216/12

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest Borg Warner Morse TEC, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest Borg Warner Morse TEC, Inc.,, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest Borg Warner Morse TEC, Inc.,, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/18 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest Borg Warner Morse TEC, Inc.,
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

JUN 08 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD GRANT

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100396/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD GRANT

Index No. 100396/03

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH L. HAMMOND

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100741/03
102892/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH L. HAMMOND

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100741/03
102892/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LOUIS PAVON and MARGARET PAVON, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 120430/01 100302/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
FRANCES RYNDAK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of STANLEY RYNDAK, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 112561/02 105713/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SALVATORE TINE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 110775/02 106508/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
GEORGE A. WALKER SR. and PHYLLIS C. WALKER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106457/02 109483/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
FERNANDO HERNANDEZ and CARIDADH HERNANDEZ,	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No.: 190462-11
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/12/12
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
FRANK T. WEBER and CATHERINE COLE-WEBER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 103447/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

JUN 15 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. KILLIAN and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, Individually, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 04-105606 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
JUNE 12, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW PARK, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Dorothy M. Killian, as Executrix for the Estate of Robert H. Killian and Dorothy M. Killian, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

BZ-DIP-9

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JOHN ALIPRANTIS AND BARBARA ALIPRANTIS against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 190151/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
June 12, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

ADAM COOPER, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
John Aliprantis and Barbara Aliprantis
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED: JUN 15 2012

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUN 08 2012

BZ-DIP-10

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

x

Index No. 105626-2003

Robert J. Blaso,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S., INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation"' hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 13, 2012

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Corinne Cerrati, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 18 2012

~~JUN 08 2012~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

WARREN MCNALLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108714-01
120250-99

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 1, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: **GARY PAUL VAN NOTE and JANE M. VAN NOTE,** Plaintiff(s), v. **ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.,** Defendant(s).	**Index No.: 12-190011** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant, TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely for Murray Turbine ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant TUTHILL CORPORATION solely for Murray Turbine with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 30, 2012
New York, New York

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Tuthill Corporation solely for Murray Turbine
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190187-12

STANLEY FRIEDMAN and PHYLLIS FRIEDMAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 30, 2012
New York, New York

Bridget Truxillo

Bridget Truxillo, Esq.
Lanier Law Firm
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
126 E 56th St # 6
New York, NY 10022-3087

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 28 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **JOHN D. WALKER and CATHERINE MCLEAN WALKER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **-against-** **AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**Index No.: 190163-12** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 1, 2012
New York, New York

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Hoffman/New Yorker
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHANDLER, LAMAR

----------X

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103530/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Re: FEBRUARY 2012 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
May 25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

THOMAS HOUSTON & ELLEN HOUSTON, *Plaintiffs,* vs. Ferro Engineering Division of ON Marine Services Company, et al., *Defendants.*	Index No. 190119/2012 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Ferro Engineering Division of ON Marine Services Company, incorrectly sued herein as Ferro Engineering, individually and as successor-in-interest to Oglebay Norton and also improperly sued/named herein as ON Maine Services Company, a Delaware Corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Oglebay Norton Company, an Ohio Corporation,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against **Ferro Engineering Division of ON Marine Services Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Ferro Engineering Division of ON Marine Services Company** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 23, 2012

The Lanier Law Firm, PLLC

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Dated: Buffalo, New York
May 29, 2012

DAMON MOREY LLP

Danielle M. Cardamone, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Ferro Engineering Division of ON Marine Services Company
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
200 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113279-02
120902-02

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6\4\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

VERNON A. BONOMI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190109-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 7, 2012
New York, New York

Daniel Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates to:

CHESTER W. ZYCH,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

ALSTOM POWER, INC. (Individually and as Successor to AIR PREHEATER CORPORATION d/b/a LJUNGSTROM AIR PREHEATER), et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 12-190162

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, DRESSER-RAND COMPANY (Individually and as Successor to TERRY STEAM TURBINE COMPANY AND MURRAY TURBINES), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, DRESSER-RAND COMPANY (Individually and as Successor to TERRY STEAM TURBINE COMPANY AND MURRAY TURBINES), with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DRESSER-RAND COMPANY (Individually and as Successor to TERRY STEAM TURBINE COMPANY AND MURRAY TURBINES), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 6, 2012
New York, New York

Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
DRESSER-RAND COMPANY
(Individually and as Successor to TERRY STEAM TURBINE COMPANY AND MURRAY TURBINES)
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

JUN 08 2012

SO ORDERED,
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 12-190011

GARY PAUL VAN NOTE and JANE M. VAN NOTE,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 4, 2012
New York, New York

Mark Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 108781-03
100864-03

FRANK LATTANZIO, as Executor for the Estate of JOSEPH LATTANZIO, and EVELINA LATTANZIO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 4, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Robert Engle,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190172/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
May 31, 2012

Daniel Blain
~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe,~~ Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert Engle
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11719

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

MICHAEL A. MARAGLINO and ELAINE MARAGLINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 03/104022
02/126686

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/12, 2012

John J. Fanning, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MARK D. ASHTON, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 120396/01 103375/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

~~JUN 08 2012~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
FRANCES KEOUGH, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN J. KEOUGH, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106693/02 115009/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
FREDERICK W. JACOB and DOROTHY JACOB, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107003/02 110714/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
HAROLD L. GREEN and EVELYN B. GREEN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 120391/01 104298/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RALPH A. CONTE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106708/02 116074/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ISAURO PANTOJA and SABITRI TULSI

Index No. 190173/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/11 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Arthur D. Bromberg
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 15 2012

~~JUN 08 2012~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SAM R. MOGAVERO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 114876/02 106706/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/13/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____ JUN 15 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-105877
MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
5/24/12

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~DANIEL BLOUIN, ESQ.~~ Dana M Walker Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Marion Barthold, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of William C.
Barthold
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

BY-DRESS-9

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 36 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190081/11
WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
5/23/12

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Frick Company
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BONNIE STEINWOLF, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
William Joseph Gorham and Francis Mary Gorham
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

BY-QUART-6

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	INDEX NO.: 190081/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
5/23/12

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BONNIE STEINWOLF, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
William Joseph Gorham and Francis Mary Gorham
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

BY-QUART-7

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100855/03

John H. Wingen Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/31/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5025036v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Joseph Warszniter

Index No: 108209/03

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/30/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5025032v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Elmont E. Wardell

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/30/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5025027v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104543-03
100855-03

Frank T. Snyder

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/29/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5025025v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Arnold D. Skoler

Index No: 108111/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/29/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024905v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114007-03
100904-03

Carlos Garcia

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/24/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5024736v.1

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: JUN 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190267/2010

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CORDELL J. BELLACH,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **H.S. FARRELL, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **H.S. FARRELL, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **H.S. FARRELL, INC.** be, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 31, 2012
New York, New York

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
212-986-2233

Andre E. Harlfinger, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
H.S. FARRELL, INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

2465996

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

TIMOTHY MEYER and KAREN MEYER,

,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190094/12
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
5-4, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

----------X

PAUL J. DELUCA and VIOLA DELUCA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODCUTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190491/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor to YORK SHIPLEY, INC. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
May 31, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Dan Blouin, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually and as successor to York-Shipley
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, as Executrix of the Estate of JERRY O. THOMPSON, and BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 110245/04
111642/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

June 5th, 2012

Lisa Busch, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108205/03

John L. Shaw

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/29/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024899v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100864-03
108768-03

Michael J. Russo

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/29/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024895v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116190-03
100855-03

Salvatore Ragone

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/29/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024878v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105027-03
100855-03

Albino Pizzolorusso

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/29/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024873v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100904/03

Leo G. Palmer

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

5/28/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012

5024869v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------X

IAS Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Salvatore J. Vasta

Index No. 113938-00

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Crane Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant The Crane Co. hereby with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Crane Co. hereby be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 30, 2012

Kirsten A. Kneis, Esq.
K&L Gates LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL
JAMES D. LANIGAN and RHONA LANIGAN,	I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 107817-99
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 4, 2012
New York, New York

Joe Vasquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 110269-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6-1-2012
New York, New York

Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
FRANK JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of KATHLEEN JANITS, and KATHLEEN JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of FRANK JANITS,	Index No.: 190084-12
Plaintiff(s),	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	
ALLIED BUILDING PRODUCTS CORP., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/4/12
New York, New York

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIE F. CICHY, as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC J. ELARIO,

Index No. 111237/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/4 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE G. CALLAHAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC D'ANGELO and CATHERINE G. CALLAHAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of CONNIE D'ANGELO,

Index No. 100402/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID DAY, as Executor for the Estate of RUSSELL DAY

Index No. 112220/03
111594/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID DAY, as Executor for the Estate of RUSSELL DAY

Index No. 112220/03
111594/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/1 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118136/98

LORETTA DEVITA, as Administratrix for the Estate of EDWARD DEVITA, and LORETTA DEVITA, Individually

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 128019/02
15959/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
15959/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED M. EARL

Index No. 128019/02
105958/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE G. CALLAHAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC D'ANGELO and CATHERINE G. CALLAHAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of CONNIE D'ANGELO,

Index No. 100402/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

PAUL VICKERS,

Plaintiff,

-vs.-

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No. 11-190041

WHEREFORE, defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 7, 2012

Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Michael J. Masino, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc.
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York Y 14534

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUN 08 2012

HARRIS BEACH PLLC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT M. HILL and ALLA HILL

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100229/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT M. HILL and ALLA HILL

Index No. 100229/03

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD HOEFT

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100234/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD HOEFT

Index No. 100234/03

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIE F. CICHY, as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC J. ELARIO,

Index No. 111237/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/4 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUSAN J. NORRIS as Administratrix for the Estate of MONROE EVANS and SUSAN J. NORRIS as Executrix for the Estate of BETTY EVANS,

Index No. 121518/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/8 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff – Michael Fanelli
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUSAN J. NORRIS as Administratrix for the Estate of MONROE EVANS and SUSAN J. NORRIS as Executrix for the Estate of BETTY EVANS,

Index No. 121518/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/8 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff – Michael Farrell
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED M. EARL

Index No. 128019/02
105958/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUN 26 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR A. EATON AND GYNNA A. EATON

Index No. 108964/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 5/25, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 08 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WARREN WHITE

Index No.: 115510/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
JUN 26 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/31, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Warren White
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
~~Our~~ File No.: 11084-3516

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 08 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: