

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
KALLIOPI DEBONERAS, as Administratrix for the estate  
of SPYRANGELOS DEBONERAS, and KALLIOPI  
DEBONERAS, Individually,


Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC.,  
ALCOA STEAMSHIP COMPANY,  
ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA),  
AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,  
n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,  
n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC.,  
AMERICAN OVERSEAS MARINE CORP,  
BORG-WARNER CORPORATION,  
by its successor-in-interest,  
BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.,  
BW/IP, INC. AND ITS WHOLLY OWNED  
SUBSIDIARIES,  
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC.,  
successor by merger to  
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a  
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,  
CEMEX, INC.,  
CENTRAL GULF LINES, INC.,  
CERTAINTEED CORPORATION,  
CHIQUITA BRANDS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
Individually, and as successor to UNITED BRANDS  
COMPANY, as successor to UNITED FRUIT COMPANY,  
EMPIRE-ACE INSULATION MFG. CORP.,  
FARRELL LINES, INC.,  
f/k/a AMERICAN EXPORT CO.,  
FLOWSERVE US, INC.  
Solely as Successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,  
Edward Valve, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt  
Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company,  
FMC CORPORATION,  
on behalf of its former CHICAGO PUMP  
& NORTHERN PUMP BUSINESSES,  
FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,  
GARDNER DENVER, INC.,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Index No.: 190077/2015

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

GOULDS PUMPS, INC.,  
HAPAG-LLOYD (AMERICA), INC.,  
IMO INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
INDEPENDENCE MARITIME AGENCY, INC.,  
ITT INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
Individually, and as successor to  
BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY and  
as successor to KENNEDY VALVE MANUFACTURING  
Co., Inc.,  
MAERSK B.V., FORMERLY NEDLLOYD LINES B.V.,  
and/or P&O NEDLLOYD B.V.,  
MARINE TRANSPORT LINES,  
MORMAC MARINE TRANSPORT, INC  
Individually, and as Successor to MOORE-McCORMACK  
BULK TRANSPORT and MOORE-McCORMACK  
LINES,  
NATIONAL BULK CARRIERS,  
O.M.S. OVERSEAS MARINE SERVICES LLC,  
OVERSEAS MARINE CORPORATION,  
OVERSEAS MARINE MANAGEMENT LTD.,  
OVERSEAS MARINE SERVICES, INC.,  
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.,  
P & O NEDLLOYD LINES, B.V.,  
Individually and as successor in interest  
to FARRELL LINES, Inc.,  
PFIZER, INC. (PFIZER),  
TROJAN MARITIME, INC,  
U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL),  
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION,  
UNIVERSE TANKSHIPS,  
WARREN PUMPS, LLC,  
WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION  
MARINE TRANSPORT SERVICES, INC.  
Individually and as successor to OVERSEAS MARINE  
SERVICES, INC.,

Defendants.


-----X

**WHEREAS**, defendant Overseas Marine Services, Inc. (which the New York State, Department of State, Division of Corporations' Corporation and Business Entity Database identifies as having DOS ID # 3114591 and as having an Initial DOS Filing Date of October 18,

2004) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Overseas Marine Services, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Overseas Marine Services, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 23, 2015


  
Christopher A. Romanelli  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Tel. 212-557-5500

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

  
Alan Van Praag  
Edward W. Floyd  
**EATON & VAN WINKLE LLP**  
3 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
Tel. 212-779-9910

*Attorneys for Defendant Overseas Maritime Services, Inc.*

SO ORDERED,

  
~~HON. MOULTON~~  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
JUN 26 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 50  
(Moulton, J.)

James Deabold,

INDEX NO. 190310-2014

Plaintiff(s),

(Weitz & Luxenberg PC/April 2015  
In Extremis Trial Cluster)

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
FOR STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS,  
INC.**


Defendants.  
----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

~~February 2, 2015~~ June 22, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212-558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
James Deabold

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
Troutman Sanders LLP  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212-704-6000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HERMAN ANDERSON AND DONNA ANDERSON,  
Individually and as Husband and Wife  
*Plaintiffs*

New York Asbestos  
Litigation (NYCAL)

Index No. 190085/2015

- against -

ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC., et. al.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT AND ORDER**


*Defendants*

WHEREFORE, defendant, Navistar, Inc. i/s/h/a "Navistar, Inc. and International Truck and Engine Corporation" ("Navistar"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Navistar, along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice, there being no opposition hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: 5/5/15

Cellino & Barnes, P.C.

By: 


Joe A. Vasquez, Esquire  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
420 Lexington Avenue,  
New York, NY 10170

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC


By: 

Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Navistar, Inc., (i/s/h/a Navistar, Inc.  
and International Truck and Engine  
Corporation  
50 S. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Two Liberty Place  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

Date: 7/6/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT V. KESAR AND MARILYN KESAR,

*Plaintiffs*

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

*Defendants*

:  
:  
: New York Asbestos  
: Litigation (NYCAL)  
:  
: Index No. 190020-15  
:  
: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION**  
:  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, Navistar, Inc., ("Navistar") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, Navistar, along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice, there being no opposition hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Navistar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 6/11/15

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
LLC

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott,

By: *Patti Burshtyn*  
Patti Burshtyn, Esquire  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

By: *Elizabeth A. Weill*  
Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Navistar, Inc.  
50 S. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Two Liberty Place  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]* 7/6/15  
J.S.C.  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190117/2014

ANNE NOLAN,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
May 30, 2015

  
Joanna L. Drozd  
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 897-9655

  
Joseph B. Williams  
The Williams Law Firm P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Anne Nolan  
245 Park Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 668-1122

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,  7/6/15

HON. PETER H. MOULTON  
J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 3050

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190054/2015

WALLACE S. HANKIN AND HELEN HANKIN,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,  
including OAKFABCO, INC.


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

May 2, 2015 6/1/15


  
Matthew M. Quirin  
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 897-9655

  
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Wallace S. Hankin and Helen Hankin  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
HON. PETER H. MOULTON  
J.S.C.

7/6/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 50

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190136/2014

WALTER CUNNINGHAM AND EVA SUE  
CUNNINGHAM,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al., including  
OAKFABCO, INC.


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


May 26, 2015

  
Joanna L. Drozd  
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 897-9655

  
Joseph P. Williams  
The Williams Law Firm, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Walter Cunningham and Eva Sue Cunningham  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
(212) 668-1122

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
7/6/15  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

CHARLES WATROS and SARAH WATROS,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
-against-

Index No.: 190293/2013  
  
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,  
including GATES CORPORATION,  
Defendants.


-----X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant THE GATES CORPORATION (sued herein as "GATES CORPORATION") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant THE GATES CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant THE GATES CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/15/15  
  
-----  
David A. Chandler, Esq. *BENJAMIN WEITZ*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. *DAVID CHANDLER*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
-----  
Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for The Gates Corporation  
1085 Raymond Blvd.  
One Newark Center – 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton *7/6/15*

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates To: Index No.: 190125/2013

DONNA MCCOLGAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JAMES T. MCCOLGAN, and DONNA MCCOLGAN,  
Individually

October 2014 In Extremis Cluster  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. and  
RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC., et al.,


Defendants.


\_\_\_\_\_  
x


WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.**, n/k/a AWT Air Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/22/15

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darce, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William T. Miedel, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for Research-Cottrell, Inc.  
1085 Raymond Boulevard  
One Newark Center, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

MARY KELLY, as Administratrix for the Estate of RALPH  
KELLY, and MARY KELLY,

Index No.: 190130/2013

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA),  
et al., including GATES CORPORATION,  
Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION** (sued herein as "GATES CORPORATION") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JUNE 16, 2015

Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for The Gates Corporation  
1085 Raymond Blvd.  
One Newark Center – 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
RECEIVED  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, ~~2~~)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT T. GLASER,

Index No.: 14/190060  
92/14537  
91/16743

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID and LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/16, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
44 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Patti L. Burshtyn  
Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 3050  
(Judge Moulton)

This Document Relates to:  
JESS G. NEGRETE,

Index No.: 190074/2014

Plaintiff,

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


GOULDS PUMPS, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
6/22, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jess G. Negrete  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kristen L. Morrissy, Esq.  
CULLEN and DYKMAN LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
File No.: 6754-12674

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

7/6/15

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LEO REGINALD AKERS,

*Plaintiff*

- against -

AFTON PUMPS, INC., ET AL.

*Defendants*

:  
:  
: New York Asbestos  
: Litigation (NYCAL)  
:  
: Index No. 190150/10  
:  
:  
: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**  
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.) ("Navistar") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, Navistar, along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice, there being no opposition hereto, it is hereby


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 6/15/15


Locks Law Firm, PLLC

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC

By:   
Janet C. Walsh, Esquire  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

By:   
Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
International Truck and Engine  
Corporation, (now by operation of  
change only known as Navistar Inc.)  
50 S. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Two Liberty Place  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

SO ORDERED:

  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON** J.S.C. 7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUN 25 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
BERNARD TANNENBAUM,

Index No.: 190370/14

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to TAPPAN and  
COPESES-VULCAN, et al.  
Defendants.


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
5/21, 2015

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan  
and Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: 

HON. PETER H. ... J.S.C.  
JULTON  
J.S.C.

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JANE DISTEFANO and PHILLIP DISTEFANO,

Index No.: 190364/14

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to TAPPAN and  
COPEES-VULCAN, et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
2/5, 2015



\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan  
and Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: 

**HON. PETER H. MOULTON  
J.S.C.**

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====  
=====X Index No. 190192/14

DIANE MCLAUGHLIN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
KENNETH MCLAUGHLIN, JR. and DIANE  
MCLAUGHLIN, individually,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and  
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,


Defendants.

=====  
=====X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,  
**Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter**  
**"ELECTROLUX")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York  
June 10, 2015



Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan  
and Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C. 7/6/15

**HON. PETER W. MEDULFO**  
**J.S.C.**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X  
WILLIAM D. EBANKS,

Index No. 190040/15

Plaintiff,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor  
to Sarco Company, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SPIRAX SARCO")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
6/24, 2015

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: J.S.C.

**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X

JAMES WIDERMAN and MARY WIDERMAN,  
His wife,

Index No. 190392-14

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as  
Successor to Sarco Company, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as  
**Successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SPIRAX SARCO")** hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice  
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,  
**SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York  
7/15, 2015



DAVID CHANDLER, ESQ.  
Karst & von Oiste, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
576 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 401  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 764-3900



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh & Messemer, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as  
Successor to Sarco Company  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C. 7/6/15

**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUN 26 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 50  
~~(Heitler, S.)~~

Index No. 01-113335

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
JOSEPH C. McKENDRY


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY


6/15, 2015  
By: 

ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 190263/14

**JEREMIAH P. SULLIVAN**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

6/15, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation,  
as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer,  
Inc.  
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor  
88 Pine Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 483-9490

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 190405/13


**EUGENE SOWA**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/22, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation, as  
successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190237/11

**WILLIAM T. CRUGER and ALEXIS  
CRUGER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

6/23, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.  
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-  
interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

VINCENT S. ROSSI and LINDA ROSSI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ABB, INC.

as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC,  
et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 SD  
~~(Heitler, J)~~

Index No. 190411/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


  
Carmen St. George  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Vincent and Linda Rossi

6/19/2015

  
Nicole A. Spence  
**RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP**  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer Inc.

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X  
WILLIAM D. WHITSON and ROSE WHITSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 *SD*  
~~(Heitler, J.)~~

Index No. 190265/2013

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*afh* June 12, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ambre Brandis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 558-5580  
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
William and Rose Whitson

*M*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicole A. Spence  
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP  
81 Main Street, Suite 508  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Telephone: (914) 285-0700  
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pfizer, Inc.

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* *7/6/15*  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

**R** E C E I V E D  
JUN 17 2015 **D**

---

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

INDEX NO.: 190377/2014

This Document Relates To:

SUSAN K. HAZARD, Individually and as Executrix  
of the Estate of WALTER ROBINSON HAZARD,  
deceased,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SELBY, BATTERSBY & CO., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, SB DECKING, INC. f/k/a Selby, Battersby & Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, SB DECKING, INC. f/k/a Selby, Battersby & Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, SB DECKING, INC. f/k/a Selby, Battersby & Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/12/15, 2015  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Buffalo, New York  
6/28/15, 2015  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rachel A. Emminger, Esq.  
FELDMAN KIEFFER, LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant SB Decking, Inc.*  
*f/k/a Selby, Battersby & Co.*  
110 Pearl Street, 4th Floor  
Buffalo, New York 14202  
(716) 852-5875

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: Asbestos Litigation  
-----x

This Document Applies To:

Index No.:  
190209-14

JAY A. GAYOSO and SHARON GAYOSO

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-


AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC. (AHM),  
et.al.,

**STIPULATION OF  
DISCONTINUANCE  
AS AGAINST  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY  
ONLY**

Defendants.  
-----x

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between the undersigned, that whereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is discontinued, as against **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**, with prejudice and without costs to either party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 08, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Nancy Pennie, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
600 Third Avenue, 5th Floor  
New York , NY 10005

  
\_\_\_\_\_


James Thompson Esquire  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

JUL 07 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT BRUCE BURAWA and DEBORAH ANN  
BURAWA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ACKER DRILL COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 190272/2012

**STIPULATION  
DISCONTINUING ACTION  
WITH PREJUDICE**

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for the plaintiff and defendant named below in the above entitled action, that whereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is discontinued, in accordance with the terms of the accompanying release, with prejudice as to **FORD MOTOR COMPANY** without costs to any party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 4, 2015

**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

**AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP**


Nancy L. Pennie, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10016

**FILED**

JUL 07 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C.

7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RENA N. BAILEN, Individually and as Executrix to  
the Estate of EDDIE HOWARD BAILEN, deceased,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.,  
et al.

Defendants.


Index No. 190318/2012

**STIPULATION  
DISCONTINUING ACTION  
WITH PREJUDICE**

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for the plaintiff and defendant named below in the above entitled action, that whereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is discontinued, in accordance with the terms of the accompanying release, with prejudice as to **FORD MOTOR COMPANY** without costs to any party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.


Dated: New York, New York  
June 3, 2015

**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**




Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

**AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP**



Nancy L. Pennie, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10016

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So ORDERED:   
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**  
J.S.C. 7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, 1.)

RECEIVED  
JUL 06 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

\_\_\_\_\_  
GLADYS RICHMOND, as Executrix for the Estate of  
MURRAY L. WHITE, and GLADYS RICHMOND, as  
Executrix for the Estate of EMMA C. WHITE,

**Index No. 100309/07**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,  
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 22, 2015




\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Gladys Richmond, as Executrix for the Estate of*  
*Murray L. White, and Gladys Richmond, as*  
*Executrix for the Estate of Emma C. White*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, ~~2~~)

RECEIVED  
JUL 06 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

\_\_\_\_\_  
GERARD E. SCHIAVO,

Index No. 112522/06

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,  
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
JUNE 22, 2015



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
Gerard E. Schiavo  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
Morse Diesel, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
JUL 06 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
THOMAS H. DAVIS,

Index No: 190525/2012

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


3M CO. (f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co.) et al.,  
including ABB, INC.,

Defendants.


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant ABB, Inc. (sued as "ABB, Inc., (as successor to ITE Imperial Co.,  
f/k/a ITE Circuit Breaker Co.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ABB, Inc.  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
ABB, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 29 2015

  
Joseph N. Cotilletta, Esq.  
**LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC**  
126 East 56<sup>th</sup> St., 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Thomas H. Davis*  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew E. Endlich, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*ABB, Inc.*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

DATED: 7/6/15

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
JUL 6 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190047/15

STUART L. MCMAHON and CAMILLE MCMAHON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/1, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
\_\_\_\_\_

NYCAL

STUART L. MCMAHON and CAMILLE MCMAHON,

Index No. 190047/2015

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-


A.O. SMTH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including,  
CROWN BOILER CO.

Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC.  
("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Crown  
Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/1, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burhtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Stuart McMahon, et al.*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Eva S. Wayne, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Crown Boiler Co.*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/6/15

**RECEIVED**  
JUL 6 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
JUL 6 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
STUART L. MCMAHON and CAMILLE MCMAHON,

Index No. 190047/2015

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including,  
SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC. ("Superior") hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Superior with prejudice, and there being no opposition  
thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
Superior be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York  
7/1, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*Stuart McMahon, et al.*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Eva S. Wayne, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Superior Boiler Works, Inc.*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

Dated: 7/6/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
NANCY DURGIN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD DURGIN and NANCY DURGIN, Individually,

Index No.: 190464/12

Plaintiffs,

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK, INC.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to TAPPAN and  
COPESES-VULCAN, et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
6/17, 2015

  
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.  
Individually and as successor to Tappan  
and Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: 

J.S.C. 7/6/15

**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

**FILED**  
JUL 07 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUL 06 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X  
DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN,

Index No. 190046/2015

Plaintiffs,

- against -

ABB, INC.,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as successor  
to Sarco Company, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

Defendants.

=====X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as  
**successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SPIRAX SARCO")** hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice  
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,  
**SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2015



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

**FILED**

JUL 07 2015

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_ J.S.C.

**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

7/6/15

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
JUL 06 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X  
WILLIAM D. EBANKS,

Index No. 190040/15

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor  
to Sarco Company, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as  
**successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SPIRAX SARCO")** hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice  
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,  
**SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

J.S.C. 7/6/15

**FILED**

JUL 07 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
JUL 06 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL