SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: CLAIRE GESUALDI, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RALPH GESUALDI,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 217638-92
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/18/12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 100517/96 101745/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FLOYD BIVENS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-5253-01

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111903/96

Edward Bartulovich and Joan Bartulovich

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/26/12

Janet Walsh, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
747 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10017

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5049197v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DAWN L. STUMME, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of GEORGE R. STUMME, Plaintiffs, -against- ABB LUMMUS CREST, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 106012/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Sid Harvey Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
STUMME, GEORGE
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

66-35AI

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106012/98

Dawn L. Stumme, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of George R. Stumme

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, incorrectly s/h/a "ROCKBESTOS WIRE AND CABLE CO., individually and as successor to and/or also known as The Rockbestos Company, Cerro Wire & Cable Co., Inc. and Rockbestos-Surprenant Cable Corp." and "RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC, individually, as successor to and doing business as Rockbestos Suprenant Cable Corporation, Rockbestos Wire and Cable Co., The Rockbestos Company and Cerro Wire & Cable Co., Inc.", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

July 5, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Patt Marco

~~Kevin M. Berry,~~ Esq. Patt Marco, CSY
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, PA
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
212-267-3091

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 07696.03645

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

4317781v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106012/98

Dawn L. Stumme, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of George R. Stumme

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "Cerro Wire and Cable Co., Inc., individually and as successor to and/or also known as The Rockbestos Company, Rockbestos Wire and Cable Co., and Rockbestos Surprenant Cable Corporation", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
July 5, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
212-267-3091

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CERRO WIRE & CABLE CO., INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 07696.03645

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

4317779v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JOHN SULLIVAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No: 110130-98
111065-98
117709-99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: June 18, 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Suzanne Barclay

Keith M. O'Connor

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110130-98
111065-98
117709-99

JOHN SULLIVAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: June 18, 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Suzanne Parkhoffer

Keith M. O'Connor

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 116919/98

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

SALVATORE F. BLANDO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

536.07462/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EDWARD DEVITA, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 118136/98 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

_____ x

JOHN LAFFERTY and BARBARA LAFFERTY, **Index No. 121924-1998**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. and S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION ,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. (sued herein as "FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 23 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: VALERIE LONG, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL P. LONG AND VALERIE LONG, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 122017-98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ., ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Valerie Long, as Administratrix for the Estate of Michael P. Long and Valerie Long, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
	:	NYCAL
JAMES D. LANIGAN and RHONA LANIGAN,	:	I.A.S. Part 30
	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	Index No: 107817-99
-against-	:	
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
	:	**JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

NY-953573 v2

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/24/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107970/99 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PETER HONAN	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 9, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-6114-99

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110130-98
111065-98
117709-99

JOHN SULLIVAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: June 18, 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Suzanne Rankoff

Keith M. O'Connor

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

DEBRA RONCO and MARIE RONCO, as Co-Executrices for the Estate of VICTOR MENNILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 107463-00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108355/00
110330/00

Joseph E. Bruns

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/29/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.13340

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088079v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108355/00
110330/00

Joseph E. Bruns

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/29/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.13340

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088079v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119278/00
119374/00

John Thomas O'Donnell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
06/26/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.14731

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

4776882v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

John Thomas O'Donnell

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119278/00
119374/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/26/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.14731

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

4776882v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JOHN THOMAS O'DONNELL and LILLIAN O'DONNELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 119374-00

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
	NYCAL
JAMES E. WILSON and PATRICIA WILSON,	I.A.S. Part 30
	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
	Index No: 119376-00
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 120667-00
VALERIE LONG, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL P. LONG AND VALERIE LONG, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ., ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Valerie Long, as Administratrix for the Estate of Michael P. Long and Valerie Long, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **EARL R. HAMNER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 100783/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

July 10, 2012

6/27/2012

Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry ~~Klein~~ Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KENNETH ZEBRACKI, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN S. ZEBRACKI, and KENNETH ZEBRACKI, As Executor for the Estate of BERNICE ZEBRACKI,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 106645-01
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 6/28/12
New York, New York JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JAMES E. WILSON and PATRICIA WILSON,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 107190-01
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
GERMANO A. KUHN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 108950/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 6/27/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERMANO A. KUHN, Plaintiff(s) -against- AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 108950/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, GEROSA, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, GEROSA, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, GEROSA, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

6/27/2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Gerosa, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Germano A. Kuhn
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

346-178

{N0134170-1}

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ARTHUR W. MEDNICK and PHYLLIS MEDNICK,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 111228-01
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~121562/01~~
111228/01
107622/06

John Mulcahy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32180

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088220v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111235/01
118298/01

Henry Bergquist

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/29/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.19977

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5088043v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111235/01
118298/01

Henry Bergquist

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/29/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.19977

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088043v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ARTHUR W. MEDNICK and PHYLLIS MEDNICK,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 121423-01
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 121562/01
111228/01
107622/06

John Mulcahy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32180

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088220v.1

536.15423/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DAVID DAVIDSON, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 127888/01 102362/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES CARUSO and LUCILLE CARUSO

Index No. 100461/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/8, 2012

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/18/12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 100517/96, 101745/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FLOYD BIVENS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No.
S-5253-01

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. O'BRIEN

Index No.: 102837-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS F. WEBER, as Administrator for the Estate of FRANK T. WEBER and CATHERINE COLE-WEBER, Individually

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103447-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK MYERS and MARYANN MYERS

Index No.: 103452-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL O. FLYNN and MARIE FLYNN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 104304-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105625/02

Rocco L. Peluso Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6/26/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No.: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

4705493v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

KEITH R. JAKWAY, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN H. JAKWAY, and JUNE JAKWAY, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 105715-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JAMES O. HUGHES and EVANGELINE HUGHES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 105715-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

FRANCIS H. DAILEY and BONNIE J. DAILEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 105716-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212) 536-3900~~

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH LAVIERO and NANCY LAVIERO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 105870-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To:	
ROSIE CHRISTIAN,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 105871-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
GERALD G. JARVIS and JOAN P. RINN JARVIS,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106457-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
CHARLES SNECKENBURG and KATHY SNECKENBURG,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 106578-02
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12 FILED
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK and CAROLINE K. FREDERICK,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 106693-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/25/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106694/02 and 114650/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: NORMAN JAMES REINARD	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

Our File No
S-6580-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: FREDERICK W. JACOB and DOROTHY JACOB, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-107003 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-19-12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Frederick W. Jacob and Dorothy Jacob
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

SO ORDERED: JUL 23 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN 29 2012

BZ-PAIL-5

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT J. LYDECKER and MARGRIT LYDECKER, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-107004 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-19-12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Robert J. Lydecker and Margrit Lydecker
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN 29 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

BZ-PAIL-2

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CONRAD FROMM and MARY FROMM, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-107007 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/5/12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Conrad Fromm and Mary Fromm
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

BZ-WOMAN-26

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, as Executor for the Estate of PHILIP R. ANZALONE, and ADELE ANZALONE, Individually, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-107100 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~FRANK~~ ORTIZ, ESQ. John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Michael Philip Anzalone, as Executor for the Estate of Philip R. Anzalone, and Adele Anzalone, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
BONNIE M. SALTZER, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN R. SALTZER SR., Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107100/02 124268/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

JUL 13 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN HAWKINS III, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of JOHN S. HAWKINS JR.,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 107514-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To:	
ROSIE CHRISTIAN,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 109010-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/20/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
GERALD G. JARVIS and JOAN P. RINN JARVIS,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 109462-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
KEITH R. JAKWAY, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN H. JAKWAY, and JUNE JAKWAY, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 110297-02
-against-	
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: FREDERICK W. JACOB and DOROTHY JACOB, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-110714 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-19-12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Frederick W. Jacob and Dorothy Jacob
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Shelry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

BZ-PAIL-4

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ROLAND E. LA DIEU SR. and JEANNE LA DIEU

Index No.: 110790-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/28, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LEONARD POMPOSELLO,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No: 110859-02
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12 FILED
~~New York,~~ New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212) 536-~~3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

NY-926329 v2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANCIS H. DAILEY and BONNIE J. DAILEY,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 111688-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

GARY E. LAUTENSCHUETZ and LEE ANNE LAUTENSCHUETZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 113280-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: ROSALIE MARTINEZ, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ANGELO MARTINEZ,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 113566-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

BERNICE BAUMAN, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of FRANKLIN E. BAUMAN, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 113566-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: KAREN DE JOSEPH, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of BERNARD DE JOSEPH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 114019-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/25/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106694/02 and 114650/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: NORMAN JAMES REINARD	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

Our File No
S-6580-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: CANDY L. HULL, as Administratrix for the Estate of FRANKLIN D. HULL, and CANDY CAREY HULL, Individually,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 114961-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN R. FREDERICK and CAROLINE K. FREDERICK,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 114999-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT J. LYDECKER and MARGRIT LYDECKER, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-115157 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-19-12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Robert J. Lydecker and Margrit Lydecker
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

SO ORDERED:

JUL 23 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN 29 2012

BZ-PAIL-1

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CONRAD FROMM and MARY FROMM, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-115353 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/19/12 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Conrad Fromm and Mary Fromm
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
GARY E. LAUTENSCHUETZ and LEE ANNE LAUTENSCHUETZ,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 120088-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/28/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
VITO A. ALONGI and HILKAA ALONGI,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 120615-02
A.C and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL
ELIZABETH TRIFARI,	I.A.S. Part 30
	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
	Index No: 120622-02
-against-	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120902/02

Thaddeus M. Kozlowski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (hereinafter "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00964

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5090363v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ROSE ANN DELL'ARCIPRETE, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of ALBERT DELL'ARCIPRETE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 121607/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

JUL 13 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To: EMILY F. MCGRAW as Personal Representative for the Estate of ROBERT J. MCGRAW, and EMILY Y. MCGRAW, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 122682-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: ALBERT BLACHOWICZ as Administrator for the Estate of ALBERT RICHARD BLACHOWICZ,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 122683-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/28/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To:	
EUGENE J. GELSO and FILOMENA GELSO,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 123324-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

LOUISE SIEVERS, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT C. SIEVERS, and LOUISE SIEVERS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 123325-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 29 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
JOHN S. BAUMAN, as Executor for the Estate of FRANKLIN E. BAUMAN, SR., and JOHN S. BAUMAN, as executor for the Estate of BERNICE BAUMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 123631-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: PEGGY PEREZ, as Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM G. PEREZ and MARY SHERRY, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 123670-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

ROSALIE ANN MARTINEZ, Individually and JOSEPH ANGELO MARTINEZ as Personal Representative for the Estates ANGELO JOHN MARTINEZ and ROSALIE ANN MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 123672-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
BONNIE M. SALTZER, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN R. SALTZER SR., Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107100/02 124268/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

JUL 13 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
DOMINICK NOONE,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 124338-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

DONALD J. RYDER and SANDRA J. RYDER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 124339-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROBERT V. GRAY and AGNES GRAY,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 124441-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

HAROLD S. WALLACE SR. and JEANNE WALLACE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 124441-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
TERRENCE WALSH and ANNE WALSH,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 124441-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN A. KELLY and EILEEN KELLY,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 124441-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-124441
ANNE WALSH, as Administratrix for the Estate of TERRENCE WALSH, and ANNE WALSH, Individually, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Anne Walsh, as Administratrix for the Estate of Terrence Walsh, and Anne Walsh, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOAN KAHN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MELVIN A. KAHN,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 124444-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
NORAINE LOWE, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate WALTER LOWE,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 124444-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
NICHOLAS ESPOSITO as the Executor for the Estate of MARIO ESPOSITO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 124444-02
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
LAURA A. DELISI as Administratrix for the Estate of FLORENCE V. COPPOLA,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 124444-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CHRISTINE WHITEHEAD, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT G. ANDERSEN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 124445/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 125211/02

This Document Relates to:
Thelma Latzer, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Nicholas C. Latzer,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/28, 2012

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thelma Latzer, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Nicholas C. Latzer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005-2407
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-1622

JUL 11 2012

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RALPH BLANK (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 125260/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Rolland E. Hickey	Index No: 125965/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 2 5 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Rolland E. Hickey	Index No: 125965/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN McLEOD

Index No. 126039/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6\26, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John McLeod
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 126291/02

This Document Relates to:
Patsy Ladue, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Dennis Ladue,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/28, 2012

6/28/2012

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Patsy Ladue, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Dennis Ladue
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-3613

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: August F. Wossner, Jr.	Index No: 126292/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 126292/02
This Document Relates to: August F. Wossner, Jr.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AUGUST F. WOSSNER JR.

Index No. 126292/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
August F. Wossner Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: JUL 11 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Alfred DiFranco	Index No: 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas O'Connor	Index No: 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank J. Stila	Index No: 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank J. Stila	Index No: 126681/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John H. McGinnis	Index No: 126685/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John H. McGinnis	Index No: 126685/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

WILLARD E. HARDY and MARGORITE HARDY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 126685-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert Flaherty	Index No: 126686/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Vincent Leone	Index No: 126686/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael A. Maraglino	Index No: 126686/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Raymond M. Nodell	Index No: 126686/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 126686/02
This Document Relates to: Michael A. Maraglino	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

0 5 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Martin Aronson	Index No: 126686/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

JUL 05 2012

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert Flaherty	Index No: 126686/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 126687/02
This Document Relates to: Dan A. DeVico	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

0 5 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Francis R. DeFillipis	Index No: 126687/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Dan A. DeVico	Index No: 126687/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James W. Holecek	Index No: 126687/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126687/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAN A. DE VICO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 126687/02
This Document Relates to: James W. Holecek	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 126687/02; 110744/06

THOMAS FESHOH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCIS R. DEFILIPPIS

Index No. 104644/03, 126687/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Francis R. DeFilippis
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 110744/06, 104638/03
126687/02

THOMAS FESHOH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Feshoh
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD C. POULSEN

Index No. 104382/03 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward C. Poulsen
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104385/03
126934/02

Donald K. Murphy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29391

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088226v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104417-03, 126934-02

JOHN T. CASSIDY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/22, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

1787014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SILVIO CESTRA

Index No. 104416/03, 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/26, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Silvio Cestra
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. CASSIDY

Index No. 104417/03, 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John T. Cassidy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER RABINOWITZ

Index No. 104381/03, 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Walter Rabinowitz
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph Morgano	Index No: 126934/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph Morgano	Index No: 126934/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SILVIO CESTRA and ELISABETH CESTRA,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No.: 126934-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/20/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael P. Gill	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARTIN ROTHSTEIN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 126935/02 104155/03 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED

July 10, 2012 JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN

Index No. 104155/03, 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Martin Rothstein
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 126935/02
This Document Relates to: James E. Maybee	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jerrold A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James E. Maybee	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Renato J. Chirles	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Renato J. Chirles	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.
JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael P. Gill	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Alfred O'Connor	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Alfred O'Connor	Index No: 126935/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 126935/02
This Document Relates to: Charles A. Ross	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles A. Ross	Index No: 126935/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126935/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES ARTHUR ROSS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Andrew King	Index No: 126937/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Andrew King	Index No: 126937/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, as Executor for the Estate of PHILIP R. ANZALONE, and ADELE ANZALONE, Individually, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-127106 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
June 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Michael Philip Anzalone, as Executor for the Estate of Philip R. Anzalone, and Adele Anzalone, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
BERNARD M. CHAMBERLAND,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 127176-02
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM C. BOYLE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 127186-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 127400/02
This Document Relates to: Billie W. Self	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Billie W. Self	Index No: 127400/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank Monastero	Index No: 127406/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York JUL 23 2012
7/3, 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank Monastero	Index No: 127406/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Gilberto Ortiz Arce	Index No: 127677/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Gilberto Ortiz Arce	Index No: 127677/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15426/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: WILLIAM F. HASSLER, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102478/03 127886/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
JUN 29 2012

536.15426/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: VERNON DEPRISET, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 127886/02 102479/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.15423/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: RAYMOND HODGE, SR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102372/03 127888/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WALTER LOWE and NORAINE LOWE,	
Plaintiff(s),	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 127960-02
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

GERALD ARZIE and JUDITH ANN ARZIE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Index No.: 128019-2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Weil-McLain hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/2 2012

Matthew Park, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/15/12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 128019/02 & 105918/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GERALD ARZIE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 6, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Pann, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-4497-03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

GERALD ARZIE and JUDITH ANN ARZIE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Index No.: 128019-2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant HB Fuller Company hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant HB Fuller Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, HB Fuller Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
6/29, 2012

FILED

Matthew Park, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
HB Fuller Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 128019/02 105959/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: FRED M. EARL, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105958/03 128019/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 128019/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRED M. EARL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/16, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 128019/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/3, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 25 2012

536.15422/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DOMENICK CALLO, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105546/03 100087/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100087/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

DOMENIC CALLO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

536.15471/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ROBERT M. HILL, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100229/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

THOMAS CARROLL and ROSETTA CARROLL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 106364-2003
100232-2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JUL 13 2012

536.15425/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: THOMAS V. CARROLL, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106364/03 100232/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
[illegible] Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15683/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: BARTOLOMEO J. BARBUTO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~115837/03~~ 100234/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15683/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: RONALD E. HOEFT, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100234/03 106359/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler JUL 13 2012

536.15428/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EDWARD J. GRANT, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100396/03 114010/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
JUN 29 2012

536.15455/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DOMINIC A. D'ANGELO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100402/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100402/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

DOMINIC A. D'ANGELO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

536.15699/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: SALVATORE F. BLANDO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100725/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 04 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100731/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

DOMINICK SODANO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: EUGENE RISO, JR., against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 03-100731 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
6/18/12

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Eugene Riso, Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

536.15684/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: MICHAEL CARLIN, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~105173/03~~ 100731/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
CARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15557/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: RICHARD W. AMTHOR, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100738/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15686/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: FRANK CANALE, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN P. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15686/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN CARBONE, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03 102899/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15686/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH L. HAMMOND, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102893/03 100741/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100855/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ELMONT E. WARDELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105606-04
100862-03

DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. KILLIAN, and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6\11\12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

MARIE F. CICHY as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC J. ELARIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 111237-2003
100866-2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 7/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

MARIE F. CICHY as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC J. ELARIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHELLER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 111237-2003
100866-2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

7/10/12

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100904-03
105654-03

ANDREW DOYCHAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100908/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

EDWARD J. O'ROURKE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
RONALD J. BOCHNIASZ, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 100918/03**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

July 10, 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

DONALD J. RYDER and SANDRA J. RYDER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 101968-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

536.15423/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DAVID DAVIDSON, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 127888/01 102362/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

536.15423/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: RAYMOND HODGE, SR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102372/03 127888/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

536.15426/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: WILLIAM F. HASSLER, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~102478/03~~ 127886/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

536.15426/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: VERNON DEPRISET, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 127886/02 102479/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 23 2012

536.15686/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH L. HAMMOND, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102893/03 100741/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

536.15686/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOHN CARBONE, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03 102899/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
NICHOLAS ESPOSITO as the Executor for the Estate of MARIO ESPOSITO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 103182-03
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JOAN KAHN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of MELVIN A. KAHN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 103184-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

EDNA SHARGEL, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of SOL SHARGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 103185-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
AGNES N. GRAY, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT V. GRAY, and AGNES N. GRAY, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
103205-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JOHN A. KELLY and EILEEN KELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 103207-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JEANNE (MELBA) WALLACE, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD STANLEY WALLACE SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 103226-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 103227-03
ANNE Walsh, as Administratrix for the Estate of TERRENCE WALSH, and ANNE WALSH, Individually, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Anne Walsh, as Administratrix for the Estate of Terrence Walsh, and Anne Walsh, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

BZ-WED-23

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John H. McGinnis	Index No: 103333/03
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

This Document Relates To: MARGUERITE HARDY, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of WILLARD HARDY,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 103359-03
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 103643/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

SAM MOSTOW,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6\23, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARTIN ROTHSTEIN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER) INDEX NO.: 126935/02 104155/03 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED

July 10, 2012 JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN

Index No. 104155/03, 126935/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Martin Rothstein
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD C. POULSEN

Index No. 104382/03, 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Sarell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward C. Poulsen
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104385/03
126934/02

Donald K. Murphy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.29391

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088226v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph Morgano	Index No: 104386/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 104386/03
This Document Relates to: Joseph Morgano	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SILVIO CESTRA

Index No. 104416/03, 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/26, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Silvio Cestra
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104417-03; 126934-02

JOHN T. CASSIDY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/22, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

1787014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. CASSIDY

Index No. 104417/03, 126934/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John T. Cassidy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 110744/06, 104638/03
126687/02

THOMAS FESHOH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Feshoh
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCIS R. DEFILIPPIS

Index No. 104644/03, 126687/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/29, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Francis R. DeFilippis
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DIANE SINRAM, as Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE SINRAM, and DIANE SINRAM, Individually,

Index No.: 104852-03

Plaintiff,

NOSJM

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant AGCO CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AGCO CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AGCO CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/1/2012

By: ~~Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dated: 6/14/12

By: Brian S Schlosser, Esq.
RIVKIN RADLER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
~~ACF INDUSTRIES, LLC~~ AGCO CORPORATION
926 RXR Plaza
Uniondale, New York 11556
(516) 357-3000

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100904-03
105654-03

ANDREW DOYCHAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/15/12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 128019/02 & 105918/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GERALD ARZIE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 6, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Pavn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

JUL 13 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No
S-4497-03

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: FRED M. EARL, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105958/03 128019/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
JUN 29 2012

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~128019/02~~ ~~105959/03~~ (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

536.15683/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: RONALD E. HOEFT, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100234/03 106359/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

THOMAS CARROLL and ROSETTA CARROLL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 106364-2003
100232-2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JUL 13 2012

536.15425/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: THOMAS V. CARROLL, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 106364/03 100232/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107146/03

Carl W. Schneider

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (hereinafter "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/23/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
McCORD CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 06507.00914

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5090185v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107146-03

CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, as Administratrix for the Estate of CARL W. SCHNEIDER, and CATHLEEN SCHNEIDER, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEN PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6/11/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108586/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILBUR W. SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelry Klein Heitler

JUL 1 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108780/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALBERT J. MIRRA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED FILED

Dated: 6\23, 2012 JUL 23 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108806-03

LOUIS KINSELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: NEIL WALKER AND IRENE WALKER against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 03-110009 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, NY
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Neil Walker and Irene Walker
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

SO ORDERED:

JUL 23 2012

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110509/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

STEVE D. WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110798/03

Franklin Mason

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

7/4/12

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30144

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088171v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111041/03

Raymond E. Evrard Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/3/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30236

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088116v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 111232-03

MAKSO MATURA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 6\14\12
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

MARIE F. CICHY as Administratrix for the Estate of DOMINIC J. ELARIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 111237-2003
100866-2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 111588/03

----------x

EUGENE BREETVELD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

6-26, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Bidner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 25 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111588/03

Eugene M. Breetveld

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

6/29/12

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30220

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
EUGENE M. BREETVELD,	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION ON BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
Plaintiff(s),	:	
-against-	:	Index No.: 111588/03
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	
Defendant(s).	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-25-12, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By: Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Counsel for: Eugene M. Breetveld

By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112089/03
111589/03

Andrew J. Duggan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30311

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088102v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

George Gdowski

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111962/03
111590/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/6/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30291

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088121v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111959/03
111590/03

Russell Dunn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30288

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088108v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~112033/03~~
111590/03

Robert M. Kenney

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/9/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30298

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088137v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111590/03

Henry W. Bernoth

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30286

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088057v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111591/03

Phyllis Albert

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/28/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30461

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2012

5088029v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111592/03

Elias Gaffen

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/6/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30462

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5088118v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111593/03
111966/03

Emanuel De-Bisceglia

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/5/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.19141

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088099v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111594/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRED MACK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

David Berry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: DAVID DAY, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF RUSSELL DAY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 03-111594 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5/12 New York, New York

MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
David Day, as Executor for the Estate of
Russell Day
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

JUL 05 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: FRED MACK and EDITH MACK, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 03-111594 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-19-12 New Brunswick

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~SAMUEL MEIROWITZ, ESQ.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Fred Mack and Edith Mack
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111622/03
113492/03

Edward George Barbera

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/28/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30366

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088037v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111622/03
113562/03

Frank Incantalupo

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/6/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30351

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088133v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111623/03
118437/03

Neil Buglione

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27920

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088082v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111624/03
111955/03

John Marquart

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/3/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30280

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088161v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111626/03
113709/04

Thomas F. Cramer

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
6/29/12

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31382

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088089v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 111626/03
121518/03

MONROE H. EVANS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Monroe H. Evans
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 111642-03
118438-03

FRED D'AMBROSIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 12, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
110260/04

Albert Duell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31256

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5 2012

5088100v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
118438/03

Angela D'Ambrosio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30628

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088094v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
116099/03

Eugene E. Boles

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30550

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088067v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
118723/03

Louis Endick

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30619

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088110v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
117869/03

Raymond E. Kellogg

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/9/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30591

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088134v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
108919/04

Margaret Antonelli

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/28/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31216

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088032v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111644/03

Victor Bergman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/28/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30282

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088040v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT C. SCHASSLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 111831/03 & 118346/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91972

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE R. SCHUMACHER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111912/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91973

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

HARRY SADLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111938/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91970

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 23 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM ALFREN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 111939/03 & 110257/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91862

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC SCOTTO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118094/03 & 111939/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91974

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MILDRED BUTTERFIELD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 111940/03 &
107242/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91885

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH NYER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 111940/03 &
113230/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91963

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DONALD E. NAYLOR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 111940/03 &
113233/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91962

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. REGAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 111940/03 & 111795/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91967

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANK AMBROSIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 111941/03 & 104852/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91863

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MARION BROADWAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 111941/03 & 110258/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91874

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111624/03
111955/03

John Marquart

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30280

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088161v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111959/03
111590/03

Russell Dunn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30288

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088108v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111962/03
111590/03

George Gdowski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/6/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30291

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088121v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111593/03
111966/03

Emanuel De-Bisceglia

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/5/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.19141

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088099v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112033/03
111590/03

Robert M. Kenney

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/9/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30298

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088137v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112089/03
111589/03

Andrew J. Duggan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30311

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088102v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111622/03
113492/03

Edward George Barbera

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/28/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30366

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088037v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

THOMAS FAUGHEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113504/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91890

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Frank Incantalupo

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111622/03
113562/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/6/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30351

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088133v.1

536.15428/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: EDWARD J. GRANT, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100396/03 114010/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN S. ROBINSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114680/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91969

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN G. CUNDY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 115209/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91887

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES W. DIETRICH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 115214/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91888

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH G. DUFOUR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115705/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91889

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

536.15683/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: BARTOLOMEO J. BARBUTO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 115837/03 100234/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
116099/03

Eugene E. Boles

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30550

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088067v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES SALERNO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116466/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91971

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 116829/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

IRVING KLINGSBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6\23, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE T. B-IGGS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117704/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91867

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
117869/03

Raymond E. Kellogg

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/9/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30591

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 13 2012

5088134v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC SCOTTO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 118094/03 &
111939/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91974

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT C. SCHASSLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111831/03 & 118346/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91972

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

- X

This Document Relates To:

Neil Buglione

- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111623/03
118437/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27920

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088082v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
118438/03

Angela D'Ambrosio

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/2/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30628

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088094v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 111642-03
118438-03

FRED D'AMBROSIO, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 12, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~111642/03~~
118723/03

Louis Endick

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30619

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088110v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL F. MURPHY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120580/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91961

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

NICHOLAS V. MESITI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120719/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91903

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

ALLAN CRISPELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120722/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91886

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JEANNE ENGLE, Executrix for the Estate of
WILLIAM ENGLE, deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121248/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7-3 , 2012

~~Phan Alvarado, Esq.~~ Michael Fine II
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.(s)
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

ME1 13648781v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MONROE H. EVANS

Index No. 111626/03
121518/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Monroe H. Evans
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

HARRY P. ROBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101653/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91968

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 102379/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WALTER T. KANE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MARTIN RAPPAPORT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103081/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91966

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOHN F. PELLEGRINO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103793/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91965

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH GANISON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103796/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91892

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

SHERRY ASSOULIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103905/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91864

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

RICHARD J. WALL as Administrator of the Estate of JOHN E. WALL, **Index No. 103960-2004**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

RICHARD J. WALL as Administrator of the Estate of JOHN E. WALL, **Index No. 103960-2004**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103961/04

Paul K. Wright and Priscilla L. Wright

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00371

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5060539v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104098/04

Victor M. Yannotti and Rita K. Yannotti

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00338

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5053532v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANK AMBROSIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111941/03 & 104852/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91863

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105606-04
100862-03

DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. KILLIAN, and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6\11\12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 0 5 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

PAMELA KAHN, as Administratrix of the Estate of WALTER A. KAHN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106546/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/18/12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107326/04 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ANTHONY GUIDA	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 3, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz,~~ Esq. Michael F. Fuell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4169-04

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN L. GUIDA, INDIVIDUALLY AND PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. GUIDA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 107326/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Favell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Anthony J. Guida
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26831

{N0152131-1}

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN L. GUIDA, INDIVIDUALLY AND PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. GUIDA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 107326/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG,
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Anthony J. Guida
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

1235-23688

JUL 13 2012

{N0152129-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN L. GUIDA, INDIVIDUALLY AND PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. GUIDA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 107326/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Anthony J. Guida
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-24027

JUL 13 2012

{N0152130-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN L. GUIDA, INDIVIDUALLY AND PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. GUIDA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 107326/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Anthony J. Guida
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7945

JUL 13 2012

{N0152133-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUSAN L. GUIDA, as the Administratrix for the Estate of ANTHONY J. GUIDA and SUSAN L. GUIDA, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107326/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Guida, Anthony J.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

2571-1984

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
SUSAN L. GUIDA, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ANTHONY J. GUIDA and SUSAN GUIDA, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No(s).: 107326-04
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/9/12
New York, New York

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107927/04

Larry Lee Lohr and Ruth Ann Lohr

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00362

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5060319v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
108919/04

Margaret Antonelli

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/28/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31216

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088032v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110255/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAVID CURTISS KOGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM ALFREN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111939/03 & 110257/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91862

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MARION BROADWAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111941/03 & 110258/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91874

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111642/03
110260/04

Albert Duell

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5/12

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31256

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5 2012

5088100v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

VICTOR SILVERMAN and ELIZABETH SILVERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 110901/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 111711/04

MARY M. KING, as Fiduciary of the Estate of JOHN J. KING, and MARY M. KING, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

AMERICAN STANDARD, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____ JUL 05 2012
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111795/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. REGAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111940/03 & 111795/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91967

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JAMES G. KUTKUHN and BARBARA L. KUTKUHN, **Index No. 111957-2004**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.W. CHESTERTON et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION ,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cos

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

7 8 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JAMES G. KUTKUHN and BARBARA L. KUTKUHN, **Index No. 111957-2004**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

HUBERT LEE KING, JR., as Personal Representative of the Estate of HUBERT LEE KING, SR., and MIRIAM KING, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 112168/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH NYER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111940/03 & 113230/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91963

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DONALD E. NAYLOR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111940/03 & 113233/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91962

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~111626/03~~
113709/04

Thomas F. Cramer

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
6/29/12

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31382

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088089v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON et al., including
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 114368-2004

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse")
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____ JUL 13 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER, **Index No. 114368-2004**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MARILYN PLOECKELMANN, individually and as administratrix to the estate of SIDNEY WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN, Plaintiff(s) against A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., Defendants.	Index No: 114369/2004 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Hopeman Brothers, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **SIDNEY WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 114369/04** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

July 10, 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

James M. Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 12 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

MAE MAUREEN VAN BUREN and JOHN VAN BUREN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x

Index No. 116445-2004

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

JUL 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FRANCIS H. DAILEY and BONNIE J. DAILEY,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 101828-05
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

----------x

ALFRED L. HAYGOOD AND TAMIKA L. SANFORD,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 103614-2005

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,including, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** , hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NJ/477816v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

----------x

ALFRED L. HAYGOOD AND TAMIKA L. SANFORD,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 103614-2005

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,including, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** , hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

NJ/477816v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

RUSSELL L. ROOD, JR. as Personal Representative of the Estate of ROMEO HENRY POIRIER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 104841/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MILDRED BUTTERFIELD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111940/03 & 107242/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 5, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.91885

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
DIANA VERDE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 107352/05**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

July 10, 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111779/05

Estelle Antoin

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/26/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00470

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5060874v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

----------x

ADA IRIS OVALLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No.: 112085-2005

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY ,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

NJ/477815v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

----------x

ADA IRIS OVALLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No.: 112085-2005

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** , hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 13 2012

NJ/398472v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 05-115520
WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
JUNE 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Wladimir Kiselovski
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITER

JUN 29 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

BZ-WOMAN-25

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ABRAHAM FICHTENBAUM, Plaintiffs, -against- GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115662/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, George A. Fuller Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, George A. Fuller Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, George A. Fuller Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
George A. Fuller Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Abraham Fichtenbaum
110 William Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1224-513

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ABRAHAM FICHTENBAUM, Plaintiffs, -against- GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115662/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Sid Harvey Industries, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Abraham Fichtenbaum
110 William Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

0066-226

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM ERNEST WUBBE AND GLORIA WUBBE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 116162/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/11/, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William Ernest Wubbe and Gloria Wubbe
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-0015

{N0049315-1}

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

LLOYD D. CHRISTIAN, as Executor for the Estate of ROSIE CHRISTIAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 100135-06

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101027/06

GINGER M. WOOD, as Personal Representative of the Estate of HENRY L. WOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101028/06

LAWRENCE M. DALLAND, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101028/06

LAWRENCE M. DALLAND, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Lisa M. Pacarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101028/06

LAWRENCE M. DALLAND, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101665/06

PETER PACIFICO,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GAIL BUCKHEIT, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PETER PACIFICO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 06-101665 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
6/25/12

Kristi Jahnke

KRISTI JAHNKE, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gail Buckheit, as Executrix for the Estate of Peter Pacifico
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JUN 29 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

BZ-DINE-22

TMc:CC(jpk)
6/14/12

SUPREME COURT: ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 102562/06 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: NELSON NEWCOMB	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4049-06

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank J. Stila	Index No: 103869/06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JUL 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 106597/06

ROBERT FERST and MARILYN FERST

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121562/01
111228/01
107622/06

John Mulcahy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32180

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5088220v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 108174/06**
STEPHEN M. DUNPHY and DIANE M. DUNPHY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6-5, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____ 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

STEPHEN M. DUNPHY and DIANE M. DUNPHY,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, including MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants.

Index No. 108174/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6-5, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/24/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 108680/06 & 190125/11 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GEORGE HISCHE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 3, 2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4106-06

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN R. FREDERICK and CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) Index No(s).: 109589-06 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 110465/06

DUANE E. RICHARDSON, as Executor of the Estate of EUGENE R. RICHARDSON.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 110465/06

DUANE E. RICHARDSON, as Executor of the Estate of EUGENE R. RICHARDSON.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 8, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 110465/06

DUANE E. RICHARDSON, as Executor of the Estate of EUGENE R. RICHARDSON.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

DIANE DEFRANCISI, as Executrix of the Estate of LEONARD DEFRANCISI, and DIANE DEFRANCISI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 110682/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: June 18, 2012 JUL 23 2012
New York, New York

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 25 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 110744/06, 104638/03
126687/02

THOMAS FESHOH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/5, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Feshoh
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 126687/02; 110744/06

THOMAS FESHOH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 7/10, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113056/06

Phyllis N. Segal, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Eli J. Segal

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00306

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5053472v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 113056/06

PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ELI J. SEGAL,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 2, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 113056/06

PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ELI J. SEGAL,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 113056/06

PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ELI J. SEGAL,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 116577/06

LORRAINE ROBERTS, as Executrix of the Estate of GEORGE ROBERTS and DOLORES ROBERTS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: VALERIE LONG, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL P. LONG AND VALERIE LONG, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 116680-06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
June 28, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ., ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Valerie Long, as Administratrix for the Estate of Michael P. Long and Valerie Long, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

JUL 29 2012

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

BZ-WED-22

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 116867/06

MARY WOJCIESHOWSKI, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of John L. Wojcieshowski,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MARY WOJCIECHOWSKI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN L. WOJCIECHOWSKI against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 116867-06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corp.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corp.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corp.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York City
6/19/12

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corp.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Mary Wojciechowski, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of John L. Wojciechowski
546 Fifth Avenue
Fourth Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUN 29 2012

BZ-POOL-4

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: JOAN JARVIS, as Administratrix for the Estate of GERALD G. JARVIS, and JOAN JARVIS, Individually,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	Index No(s).: 101140-07
-against-	
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 101607/07

DEBRA M. REIS, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of GEORGE R. REIS, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MURIEL ROLLOCK AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF DONALD ROLLOCK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

In Re: NYCAL
Index No.: 105851/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendants FOREST ELECTRIC CORP. ("FOREST") and WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP. ("WELSBACH") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against the Defendants FOREST and WELSBACH with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendants FOREST and WELSBACH, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 28, 2012

LONDON FISCHER LLP

By: _____
John E. Sparling, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FOREST ELECTRIC CORP.
and WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP.
59 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 972-1000

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

By: _____
Lori Benavides, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED: _____
J.S.C.

JUN 29 2012

{N0309621 1 }

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 106559/07

LLOYD J. STOIK, Individually and as Executor for the Estate of LLOYD P. STOIK and MARY STOIK, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 106562/07

VIRGINIA S. FLOOD, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Richard C. Flood, Deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

JUL 05 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

VIRGINIA S. FLOOD, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Richard C. Flood, Deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 106562/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 109912/07

ANN SHARI BEENICK, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Thomas Michael Beenick, Deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 109913/07

PHILOMAE S. DONOVAN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John J. Donovan,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

5 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 109913/07

PHILOMAE S. DONOVAN, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John J. Donovan,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCCI & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 114402/07

ORVAL G. SNODGRASS and CHARLENE K. SNODGRASS,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 115431/07

PAUL COSTELLO and ADELE COSTELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC.,
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

RICHARD HENN and VIRGINIA HENN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS;
A.W. CHESTERTON; ALFA LAVAL, INC.; et al.

----------x

Index No. 104802/08

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Alfa Laval be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
295 Madison Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Tel No.: (212) 681-1575

LONDON FISCHER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc.

Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq.
59 Maiden Lane, 41st Floor
New York, New York 10038
Tel No.: (212) 972-1000

So Ordered: _____
J.S.C.

JUL 13 2012

{N0169137.1 }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

LAMBERTO TROTTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190092/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LA DONNA BAIN AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW T. BAIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190060/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Kentile Floors, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Andrew T. Bain
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2082-5606

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

{N0152140-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LA DONNA BAIN AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW T. BAIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190060/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Andrew T. Bain
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-22784

JUL 13 2012

{N0152137-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LA DONNA BAIN AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW T. BAIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190060/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Andrew T. Bain
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-23166

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LA DONNA BAIN AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW T. BAIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190060/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. SHEEY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Andrew T. Bain
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-9234

JUL 13 2012

{N0152135-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LA DONNA BAIN AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW T. BAIN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190060/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/, 2012

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Andrew T. Bain
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1915

FILED
JUL 23 2012
JUL 13 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

{N0156019-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH MIRABILE AND ANGELINA MIRABILE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190176/09, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/27/12, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mirabile, Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

2571-1623

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

DONALD CONROY AND BARBARA CONROY, Plaintiffs, -against- **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et. al.** Defendants.	:	ASBESTOS MATTER INDEX NO.: 190138-10 **NO OPPOSTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION D/B/A WILSON SNYDER PUMPS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION D/B/A WILSON SNYDER PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION D/B/A WILSON SNYDER PUMPS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Mark Bibro, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Robert M. Polloway, Esq.
SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
Attorneys for Flowserve Corporation d/b/a
Wilson Snyder Pumps
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 13 2012

NJ/473723v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CORDELL BELLACH**	Index No: 2010/190267 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/25, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brian F. Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 190314/10
PHYLLIS DANTONA, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANGELINA VINTICINQUO AND VINCENT VINTICINQUO, INDIVIDUALLY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: POPI PAPOULIAS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF EMMANUEL PAPOULIAS, AND POPI PAPOULIAS, INDIVIDUALLY	Index No: 190316/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/25/2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: DOUGLAS J. WOOLSEY, as Administrator for the Estate of RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY, and RAYMOND A. WOOLSEY, and DOUGLAS J. WOOLSEY, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of EDNA C. WOOLSEY	Index No: 190365/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JOHN CARELLA, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF RAYMOND CARELLA, AND EDNA CARELLA, INDIVIDUALLY	Index No: 190416/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 190463/10
This Document Relates to: JOSE MURGA, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT FOGLIETTA,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
This Document Relates To:	:	Index No. 190006/11
JOSEPH SAULS, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY., et al., Defendants.	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler IAS Part 39

WHEREFORE, defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc. ("Crown") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Crown with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Crown be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/2012

EARLY & STRAUSS L.L.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana Hoberman ~~Mark Strauss,~~ Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc.

By: _____
Grant A. Shehiglan, Esq.
One Liberty Plaza
New York, New York 10006-1404
(212) 412-9500

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

JUL 13 2012

699811/21108

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH SAULS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190006/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT Corporation (Individually and as successor to ITT Fluid Products Corp., ITT Hoffman, ITT Bell & Gossett Company, ITT Grinnell Corp. and Kennedy Valve" and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: January 13, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: July 2, 2012

~~Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.~~ David Wilson, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Fl.
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Christopher P. Browne, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: LEIGHTON LEWIS	Index No: 190069/11
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

---------- x

WILLIAM PISANO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Abex Corporation et al. including,
Hamon Research-Cottrell, Inc.

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190077-2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. (sued herein as "Hamon Research-Cottrell, Inc.")** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** are and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

Carmen St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konisberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Robert M. Gilmartin, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

Index No.: 190081-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 18, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
PAUL CRANE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190082/11**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

July 10, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190082/11
PAUL CRANE against CRANE CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
6/19/12

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Johnston Boiler
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JORDAN FOX, ESQ., ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

SO ORDERED:

JUL 23 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BZ-WICK-30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 2011/190085
MILA MACEK	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

Brian F. Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JUN 29 2012
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

---x

LUIGI GARRITANO and CESIRA GARRITANO,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including, SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC.

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190089/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Superior Boiler Works, Inc. (hereinafter "Superior Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Superior Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Superior Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 19, 2012

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Luigi Garritano, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Superior Boiler Works, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____ 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190113/11

Frank Barbieri

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/26/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00987

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5060993v.1

TMc:CC(jpk)
5/24/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 108680/06 & 190125/11 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GEORGE HISCHE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 3, 2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4106-06

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **BERNARD ANTHONY BROWNE**	Index No: 2011/190131 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

6/25, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Brian F. Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MARILYN MALLOY, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF EDWARD J. MALLOY, AND MARILYN MALLOY, INDIVIDUALLY	Index No: 190145/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 25, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

Index No. 190151-11

JOHN ALIPRANTIS and BARBARA ALIPRANTIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Weyerhaeuser Company, sued herein as "Weyerhaeuser Co.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: June 20, 2012

Signed by Plaintiffs: June 20, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 100
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of Americas, 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

\39325006.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
STEPHEN A. MARTIN and BRIGID MARTIN,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No: 190160-11
-against-	
AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Peter Tambini, Esq. Adam Cooper
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

EDWARD SADOWSKI and ALBERTA SADOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190215-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Aquino
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD SADOWSKI AND ALBERTA SADOWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190215/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/2/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sadowski, Edward
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

1235-23662

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD SADOWSKI and ALBERTA SADOWSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190215/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/2, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Gerosa Incorporated
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiff
Sadowski, Edward
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

346-0509

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

EDWARD SADOWSKI and ALBERTA SADOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190215-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

ROBERT N. WEXLER and BETSY WEXLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190223-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

ROBERT N. WEXLER and BETSY WEXLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190223-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

ROBERT N. WEXLER and BETSY WEXLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190223-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190241/11
HERBEN HELFRICH and SUZANNE HELFRICH	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/6, 2012

Patti Burshtyn, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

1782122

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

JOHN DELLARATA and MADELENA DELLARATA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.B. DICK COMPANY, et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Index No.: 190248-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. charles ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NJ/675550v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190266/11
JOHN RAYMOND FRANKENBERG	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/29, 2012

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

1781918

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES L. CHIDESTER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190293/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/29, 2012

Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Chris Kannath
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chidester, Charles L.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1466

{N0126071-1}

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES L. CHIDESTER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190293/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ATWOOD & MORRILL COMPANY, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/28, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~Laura B. Hollman,~~ Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles L. Chidester
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-842

{N0141390-1}

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES L. CHIDESTER

Index No.: 190293/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/15, 2012

Christopher A. Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: JUN 29 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190299/11

GEORGE W. SMITH and EDNA SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

6/28/12

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

David Chandler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

RAYMOND SABO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190318-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.,

Defendants.

_____ x

Index No. 190319-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ORIGINAL

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ALAN NUDELMAN

Plaintiff,

- against -

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION by its Successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.,
CLEARWAY AUTO PARTS
CLEARWAY PARTS AUTHORITY
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC. / BENDIX
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY,
PARTS AUTHORITY
PRO PARTS,

Defendants

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(~~Feinman, J.~~) K Heitler

Index No. 2011-190320

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Parts Authority, Inc. incorrectly sued herein as Parts Authority hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Parts Authority, Inc. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Parts Authority, Inc. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

IVO J. PERAICA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190339-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 1 3 2012

FILED

JUL 2 3 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MURRAY BLONDER AND BENITA BLONDER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Index No.: 190370-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/18/12

~~Frank Ortiz,~~ Esq. Charles Finger
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NJ/675548v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MURRAY BLONDER AND BENITA BLONDER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Index No.: 190370-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 1 3 2012 JUL 2 3 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NJ/675549v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190376/11

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
6/28/12

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190376/11
ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/3, 2012

Brian Belasky, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

1784984

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT MARCHESONA AND RITA MARCHESONA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 190376/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly sued as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to The Vickers Pump Company and Cutler Hammer, Inc.) ("Eaton), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/18, 2012

Brian Belasky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Lindsey P. Bobinger, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1733816

11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

ANDRE KREKORA

Index No.: 190395/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 24 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff Andre Krekora
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Kevin S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: JUL 05 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x Index No. 100407-11

F. WALTER WIEBE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ADIENCE, INC., f/k/a BMI, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Weyerhaeuser Company, sued herein as "Weyerhaeuser Co.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: June 20, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: June 20, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of Americas, 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

\39325566.1

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: KENNETH EGGENBERGER and LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 190408/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 17 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
6-28-2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

John Ryan, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Kenneth and Lila Eggenberger
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

JUL 27 2012

4833-7260-8270.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **This Document Applies To:** **MILTON FORDHAM and EDNA FORDHAM,** **-Plaintiffs,** **-against-** **ASBEKA INDUSTRIES OF NEW YORK, et. al.,** **-Defendants.**	**Index No: 190414-11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NIBCO, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, NIBCO, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, NIBCO, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 9, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
212-267-3091

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, L.L.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
NIBCO, INC.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18, P.O. Box 648
Manasquan, NJ 08736
732-528-8888

JUL 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190420/2011
COSMO CASAREGOLA	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant TRANE US, INC., f/k/a American Standard Inc. ("Trane"), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Trane, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Trane, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 9, 2012

Audrey Raphael, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, NJ 08736
Counsel for Trane

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: COSMO CASAREGOLA, Plaintiffs, -against- 3M COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190421/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/10, 2012

Laura Beth Hollman

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

LORI A. BENAVIDES, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CASAREGOLA, COSMO
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-871

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

JOSE PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., *et al.*, Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190422/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

F

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 6, 2012

Patrick J Timmins (PC)

Patrick Timmons, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heller

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AETNA

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190427/11

----------X

ANTHONY VIVONA and CATHERINE VIVONA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and their agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendants"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 21, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Ambre Brandis, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558- 5500

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By: _____
Michelle M. Grimaldi, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

SO ORDERED: _____ Date: 13 2012
Sherry Klein Heitler
Justice of the Supreme Court
of the State of New York

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4810-8534-9903.1

RECEIVED BY
LEWIS BRISBOIS
BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
JUN 25 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

SCOTT DEWITT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 190429-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190438/11

This Document Relates to:
Myriam Galeano, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Manuel Galeano,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/27, 2012

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Myriam Galeano, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Manuel Galeano
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3165

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190438/11

This Document Relates to:
Myriam Galeano, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Manuel Galeano,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Howden Buffalo Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/27, 2012

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Myriam Galeano, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Manuel Galeano
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Howden Buffalo Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11231-137

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190438/11

This Document Relates to:
Myriam Galeano, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Manuel Galeano,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/27, 2012

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Myriam Galeano, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Manuel Galeano
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-12023

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

GEORGE L. SANTIAGO and RUTH SANTIAGO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190445-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, L.L.C. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

7/10/12

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Charles Ferguson
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, L.L.C.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 15 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

WENDELL CORWIN SR. and ALICE CORWIN,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including, CROWN BOILER CO.

Defendant(s).

Index No. 11-190447

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC. ("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Crown Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6-7, 2012

Benjamin Barche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Wendell Corwin, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Crown Boiler Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---x

WENDELL CORWIN SR. and ALICE CORWIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190447/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6/7, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

~~Michael Roberts, Esq.~~ Ben DARCITE
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
John C. Frisone, et al.
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Matthew R. Straus, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190448/11

Edward Ragusin and Lucille Ragusin

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/12

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01108

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5064634v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190497/11

AURELIO TORRES

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
6/21/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

David Chandler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190048-12

GEORGE CARLEY and HARRIET CARLEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated:
New York, New York

Ruth D Masucci

~~Kevin M. Berry, Esq.~~
~~Weitz & Luxenberg~~
~~Attorneys for Plaintiff~~(s)
90 ~~Woodbridge Center~~ Drive
Suite ~~90 Box 10~~
Wood~~bridge, NJ 07~~095-0958
(732) ~~855-6~~117

Ruth D Masucci Esq
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
110 William St
NY, NY 10038
26th Fl

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Lionel J. Osse

Index No: 190052/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/25/2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01149

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5079337v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190052-12

LIONEL J. OSSE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6/26/12
New York, New York

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 190080/2012**
MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6-5, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190082/12

Chun Hing Lee

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/26/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

James Long, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01156

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5039253v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190084/12

FRANK JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of KATHLEEN JANITS, and FRANK JANITS, Individually and Derivatively as Spouse of KATHLEEN JANITS,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 12, 2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Grace L. De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: JUN 29 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

MICHAEL G. YASSO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).
----------x

Index No.: 190088-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 25, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190088/12
MICHAEL G. YASSO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/19/12 New York , New York

ASHWATH TRASI, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

PETER TAMBINI, ESQ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
MICHAEL G. YASSO,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 11 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30**

---x

This Document Relates to:	**Index No. 190094/2012**
TIMOTHY MEYER and KAREN MEYER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6-5, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: JUN 29 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARIAN WIACEK and MALGORZATA WIACEK, Plaintiffs, -against- 3M COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190096/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
7/31, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marian Wiacek and Malgorzata Wiacek
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

2383-29708

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
STEPHEN M. DUNPHY, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190097/12**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

July 10, 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190102-12

CHARLES W. SHANER and JEAN SHANER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: June 25, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella
Attorneys for Rheem Manufacturing Co.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

05 2012

E270

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES W. SHANER and JEAN SHANER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
Index No.: 190102/2012

NO OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

WHEREFORE, Defendant J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("JMM") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant JMM, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant JMM be, and the same, are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENERG, P.C.

By: _____
Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5778
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

BENNETT, GIULIANO, MCDONNELL & PERRONE, LLP

By: _____
William R. Bennett, Esq.
Erika M. Achtziger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc.
494 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10001
Telephone: (646) 328-0120
Facsimile: (646) 328-0121

SO ORDERED:

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

GEORGE LEONG and FLORENCE LEONG,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,

Defendant(s).
----------x

Index No.: 190108-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/28/12
~~New York,~~ New York

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

David Chandler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

5 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190108/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE LEONG,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: 6/21, 2012
New York, New York

Dave Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYC

---x

ROBERT BUTERBAUGH,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, ET AL., including, CROWN BOILER CO.

Defendant(s).

---x

Index No. 190111/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC. ("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Crown Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6-14, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert Buterbaugh, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Crown Boiler Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI and MARJORIE DOMBROWSKI, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190114/12** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

July 10, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190119/12

Thomas Houston & Ellen Houston

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, incorrectly s/h/a "RSCC WIRE & CABLE (f/k/a ROCKBESTOS SURPRENANT CABLE CORPORATION)", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/25/12

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM
Attorney for Plaintiff
126 E. 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-421-2800

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 07696.04986

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 05 2012

5084586v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

THOMAS HOUSTON & ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190119/2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, FMC Corporation on behalf of its former Peerless Pump and Chicago Pump businesses, improperly sued as FMC Corporation, (on behalf of its former Peerless Pump Division and Chicago Pump Division) (hereinafter "FMC"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant FMC without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant FMC be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 25, 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Bridget B. Pruxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Attorneys for *Plaintiffs*

Maria C. Carlucci, Esq.
KELLEY JASONS MCGOWAN
SPINELLI & HANNA, LLP
129 Wall Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10005
Attorneys for Defendant *FMC Corporation*

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — **NYCAL**

---x

THOMAS HOUSTON and ELLEN HOUSTON, — **Index No.: 190119/2012**

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., including J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/11 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Houston, et al.
(212) 421-2800

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY& BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
J.H. France Refractories Company
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ DATED: JUL 11 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

---x

THOMAS HOUSTON and ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including, SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190119/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC., improperly sued as Superior Boiler Works (f/k/a Holman Boilers, f/k/a Industrial Combustion f/k/a Peerless Corporation, f/k/a Ray Burner Company, f/k/a Trane) (hereinafter "Superior Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Superior Boiler without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Superior Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/11, 2012

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Houston, et al.
(212) 421-2800

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Superior Boiler Works, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 1 2 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

THOMAS HOUSTON & ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*, including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No.: 190119/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
126 East 56th St., 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Thomas Houston, et al.
(212) 421-2800

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

THOMAS HOUSTON & ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*, including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No.: 190119/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/27, 2012

LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
126 East 56th St., 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Thomas Houston, et al.
(212) 421-2800

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---x

THOMAS HOUSTON & ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*, including KINNEY VACUUM COMPANY,

Defendants.

---x

Index No.: 190119/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuum Company (sued herein as "SPX CORPORATION (successor-in-interest to Kinney Pumps") (hereinafter "Kinney"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Kinney without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kinney be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
6/27, 2012

JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
126 East 56th St., 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Thomas Houston, et al.
(212) 421-2800

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant Kinney Vacuum Company,
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

THOMAS HOUSTON & ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*, including KINNEY VACUUM COMPANY,

Defendants.

---x

Index No.: 190119/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

JUL 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuum Company (sued herein as "SPX CORPORATION (successor-in-interest to Kinney Pumps") (hereinafter "Kinney"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Kinney without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kinney be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/25, 2012

LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC



Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
126 East 56th St., 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Thomas Houston, et al.
(212) 421-2800

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC



Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant Kinney Vacuum Company,
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190119/12

THOMAS AND ELLEN HOUSTON

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Rockwell"), hereby requests summary judgment without prejudice in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Rockwell without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
7/12, 2012

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
The Lanier Law Firm, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
126 East 56th Street
6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

NOSJM (NYCAL Lanier) Houston_AllenBradley.DOC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
THOMAS HOUSTON and ELLEN HOUSTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190119-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION, herein as "ITT Corporation Inc. (f/k/a ITT Industries, Inc., individually and as successor-in-interest to Bell & Gossett)," its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: June 20, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: June 20, 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.
Lanier Law Firm, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
126 E. 56th St., 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Christopher P. Browne, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10105

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

ARLEN D. HERRICK,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, ET AL., including, CROWN BOILER CO.

Defendant(s).

---x

Index No. 190145/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC. ("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Crown Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
6-3, 2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Arlen Herrick, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Crown Boiler Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **COBURN MILLER and DIOMARIS MILLER**	INDEX NO.: 190148/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Rockwell"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Rockwell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2012

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 23 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	INDEX NO.: 190148/2012
COBURN MILLER and DIOMARIS MILLER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Rockwell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/2, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edwar Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	INDEX NO.: 190148/2012
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	
COBURN MILLER and DIOMARIS MILLER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Robertshaw Controls Company, Individually and as alleged successor to Fulton Sylphon Company (incorrectly plead as "Robertshaw Controls Company, Individually and as successor to Fulton Sylphon Company"), ("Robertshaw"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Rockwell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2012

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edwar Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 11 2012

NOSJM - Coburn Miller_Robertshaw (WL Oct 2012)

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS L. FISHBEIN, et al.,

Index No.: 190160/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,** and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.,** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,** and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,** and **RANSOM AND RANDOLPH, CO.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 18, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By: _____
Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
LOUIS L.FISHBEIN AND NATALIE L. FISHBEIN
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

By: _____
Arthur G. Cohen
GORDON & SILBER, P.C.
Attorney for Defendants
DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND RANSOM AND RANDOLPH CO.
355 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10017-6603
(212) 834-0600

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{G0103436}SPT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **JOHN D. WALKER and CATHERINE MCLEAN WALKER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **-against-** **AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**Index No.: 190163-12** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, HOFFMAN/NEW YORKER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated:
New York, New York

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Hoffman/New Yorker
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____ 05 2012
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
- x
This Document Relates To:
JOHN D. WALKER and CATHERINE MCLEAN WALKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,

Defendant.
- -x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190163-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION, also erroneously sued herein as "Bell & Gossett Company," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 31, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: May 31, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 29 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
REGGIE FRAZIER, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190181/12**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

ERIK JACOBS ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

July 10, 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x
This Document Relates To:
DIMAS FIGUEROA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,
Defendant.
----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190182-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION, and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: ~~June~~ July 07, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: June 22, 2012

David Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher Browne, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CESAR O. SERNA, Plaintiff, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190183/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/10/12

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Joseph Vazquez

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Scott R. Emery

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JUL 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

STANLEY FRIEDMAN and PHYLLIS FRIEDMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*, Including ARVINMERITOR, INC.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190187/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2012

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Bridget Trujillo, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 421-2800

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

JUL 11 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2M 412

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: SALVATORE CANTOLINO and MARIE CANTOLINO, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190211/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
June 5, 2012

JUL 03 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 1863.28466

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Salvatore Cantolino
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUN 28 2012

4852-2787-3039.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Salvatore Cantolino and Marie Antionette Cantolino,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

A.O. Smith Water Products, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 12-190211

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-3 , 2012

FILED

JUL 23 2012

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212.681.1575

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 13 2012

ME1 13573261v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Peter C. Liman and Regina Feinstein	Index No: 190217/2012 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 27, 2012

FILED
JUL 23 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Danny Kraft, Jr. Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jeffrey A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUN 29 2012