

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WALTER E. POTOCKI,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No. 190032-12
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
August 2014 Trial Group

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 14, 2015
BY: 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Peter Tambini, Esq.


BY: 
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH
CORPORATION

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL
I. A. S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

-----X
This document relates to: :

Index No.: 107446/07

JAMES WOODS :

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

-----X
WHEREAS, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC. ("KAISER GYPSUM") requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against KAISER GYPSUM, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against KAISER GYPSUM, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 30, 2015




Nicholas Novack, Esq.
LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff



Philip O'Rourke, Esq.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
~~2 Rector St., 14th Floor~~ 77 WATER ST.
New York, NY 10005
Counsel for Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 99-104204

JOHN RICHARD SAWYER AND MARGARET
H. SAWYER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.C.&S., INC.


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 7-20-15

New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


LISA BUSCH, ESQ. *Christina M. DeWitt*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JOHN RICHARD SAWYER and MARGARET
H. SAWYER
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY



FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 104204/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN RICHARD SAWYER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/6, 2015
New York, New York




Lindsay DeCicco, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



NY

RECEIVED
JUN 5 11 11 AM '15
NEW YORK NY

RECEIVED
JUN - 6 2015
Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd
New York NY

TMM:jm
05/06/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, J)
INDEX NOS.:
111222/01 &
115822/01

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


MILES R. PEDERSEN

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
~~May 6, 2015~~ 7/1/15




Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.

4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Peter Moulton

7/03/15
FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 29 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

Our File No.:
S-6029-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 50
(Moulton, J)

INDEX NO.:
115015/98

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


LEONARD BIGAJ

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 25, 2015 7/1/15



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Suzanne Ratacliff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company
Of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

TMM:cg
06/23/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEWELL MAGEE,

INDEX NO.:
107474/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 23, 2015



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003-3598

SO ORDERED


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

JEAN KREIMEIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of
JACK KREIMEIER,

Index No. 106817/99
125895/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL CONSTRUCTION CO.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (named herein as "Morse Diesel Construction Co."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
July 20, 2015



Suzanne Rateliff, Esq. ~~Matthew MacIntyre~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Jean Kreimeier, as Administratrix for the Estate
of Jack Kreimeier
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOANNE DYER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE
OF SAM GULL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMERICAN STANDARD, ET AL.,
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No: 190011/2009

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant ITT Corporation, named in the Complaint as Bell & Gossett Company, a subsidiary of ITT Industries ("ITT Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ITT Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ITT Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

July 16, 2015



John Guinan, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Phone: (212) 605-6212
Fax: (212) 605-6290


Beth L. Hughes, Esq.
Brady S. Edwards, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
Attorneys for ITT Corporation
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID KELLY, AS EXECUTOR OF THE
ESTATE OF DAVID L. KELLY,
Plaintiff,

vs.

AIRCO WELDERS SUPPLY, ET AL.,
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No: 105643/2008


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant ITT Corporation, named in the Complaint as Bell & Gossett Company, a subsidiary of ITT Industries and ITT Industries, Inc., individually and as successor to McDonnell & Miller ("ITT Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ITT Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ITT Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 16, 2015



John Guman, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Phone: (212) 605-6212
Fax: (212) 605-6290


Beth L. Hughes, Esq.
Brady S. Edwards, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
Attorneys for ITT Corporation
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/22/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL

This Document Relates To:

EILEEN VIEWIG, as Executrix for the Estate
of RICHARD VIEWIG, and EILEEN VIEWIG,
Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Index No. 125077/02

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/10, 2015


By: ~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. *Matthew McCIntyre*
Attorneys for Plaintiff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


By: Adam L. Sandler, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:


HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15 *Sl/eff*

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHERYL WOODS, AS EXECUTRIX OF THE
ESTATE OF JAMES J. WOODS,
Plaintiff,

vs.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS
COMPANY, ET AL.,
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No: 107446/2007


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant ITT Corporation, named in the Complaint as Bell & Gossett Company, a subsidiary of ITT Industries, and ITT Corporation, individually and as successor to McDonnell & Miller and individually and as successor to the Grinnell Corporation and individually and as successor to Kennedy Valve Manufacturing Company ("ITT Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ITT Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ITT Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

July 16, 2015



John Guinan, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Phone: (212) 605-6212
Fax: (212) 605-6290


Beth L. Hughes, Esq.
Brady S. Edwards, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
Attorneys for ITT Corporation
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND BAKER & DORIS BAKER

INDEX NO. 111414/98

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Avocet Enterprises Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Avocet Enterprises Inc. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/17/15

DATED: JUL 22 '15


WEITZ & LUXENBERG

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Avocet Enterprises Inc.
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED


HONORABLE PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.C.

7/23/15



RECEIVED
JUL 20 2015
BY _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD VIEWIG
AND
EILEEN VIEWIG

Index No.: 125077/02,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015


Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Viewig and Eileen Viewig
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLÜGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1122-2107
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

0454-874
78-22-22
22-22-10
2577

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD VIEWIG
AND
EILEEN VIEWIG

Index No.: 125077/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Viewig and Eileen Viewig
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1235-2885
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

0-11-11
1235-2000
1122-21107

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD VIEWIG
AND
EILEEN VIEWIG

Index No.: 125077/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Viewig and Eileen Viewig
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Carlin Bell
Carlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
383-25881

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FRED VOLZ

Index No.: 108707/97,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015



Phan Aharado
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fred Volz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

137-257
113-3504
240-600-1-3
03210-600-AR
3100-
2300- 12

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107100/02,

ROBERT J. WAGNER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert J. Wagner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell
Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

Index No.: 107100/02,

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. WAGNER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

1235-5057
0454-6549/R
0198-9790/R
513-2760/R

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert J. Wagner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kennam M. Cook
Kennam M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
FILED
1235-5057
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

1235-5057
022-5534
04154-6549 AR
0929-6821 AQ
1117-7130 AR
2015-03-20 AR

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. WAGNER

Index No.: 107100/02,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert J. Wagner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell
Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, Hon. Peter H. Moulton 7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
T.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190144/10

EVANGELIA VIKELIS, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF JOHN S. VIKELIS AND
EVANGELIA VIKELIS, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUNE 30, 2015




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of John Vikelis
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500





Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
National Boiler Works, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, f.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN A. BARDEN
AND
MARION BARDEN


Index No.: 190481/11,010507/92


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015



Lindsay M. Delicco
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John A. Barden and Marion Barden
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



FILED

122-16025
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN A. BARDEN
AND
MARION BARDEN


Index No.: 190481/11, 10507/92


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation; hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


Christopher M. DeLillo
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John A. Barden and Marion Barden
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
1235-15394

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190335/09

MICHAEL BOYLE, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
BRUCE J. BOYLE


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JUNE 30, 2015




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Bruce Boyle
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
National Boiler Works, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates to:

ARMOND J. CAPOGNA
AND
SANDRA W. CAPOGNA

Index No.: 104329/00, 125799/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015



Phan Alvarado
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Armond J. Capogna and Sandra W. Capogna
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §.)

This Document Relates to:

ARMOND J. CAPOGNA
AND
SANDRA W. CAPOGNA

Index No.: 104329/00, 125799/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015


DHAN ALVARADO
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Armond J. Capogna and Sandra W. Capogna
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

RECEIVED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARMOND J. CAPOGNA
AND
SANDRA W. CAPOGNA

Index No.: 104329/00, 125799/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015



PHIAN ALVARADO
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Armond J. Capogna and Sandra W. Capogna
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1235-9941

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARMOND J. CAPOGNA
AND
SANDRA W. CAPOGNA


Index No.: 104329/00, 125799/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015




Phan Ahearado
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Armond J. Capogna and Sandra W. Capogna
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

This Document Relates to:

GERALD CASTAGLIOLA
AND
OLIVIA CASTAGLIOLA


Index No.: 115785/96,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015


DHAN ALVARADO
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gerald Castagliola and Olivia Castagliola
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
NYS SUPREME COURT
PART 50
1122-10881L
FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I:A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

GERALD CASTAGLIOLA
AND
OLIVIA CASTAGLIOLA

Index No.: 115785/96,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015


Pharis Alvarado
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gerald Castagliola and Olivia Castagliola
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



FILED
1235-10375
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107171/08

CONSTANCE CONVERY, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH CONVERY AND
CONSTANCE CONVERY, INDIVIDUALLY


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JUNE 30, 2015




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph Convery
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
National Boiler Works, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121984/99
107474/00

JEWELL MAGEE AND MARY E. MAGEE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 2, 2015




Phan Alvarado
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Jewell Magee and Mary E. Magee
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



324-5064AE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101498/97,

CLEMENS C. MAZGAJ


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/21, 2015



Matthew T. MacIntyre

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Clemens C. Mazgaj
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Ker Yann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101498/97,


CLEMENS C. MAZGAJ


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/15, 2015



Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Clemens C. Mazgaj
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, **1**.)

This Document Relates to:

DAVID MURPHY
AND
CYNTHIA MURPHY

Index No.: 190126/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 24, 2015


ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
David Murphy and Cynthia Murphy
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Chauvron S. Leotaud, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,  7/23/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY OF NEW YORK OFFICE
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
951-861

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LEONARD J. PERFETTO
AND
BEATRICE PERFETTO

Index No.: 122164/98,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/ 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leonard J. Perfetto and Beatrice Perfetto
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Carlin Bell
Carlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

1122-1677
FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LEONARD J. PERFETTO
AND
BEATRICE PERFETTO

Index No.: 122164/98,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leonard J. Perfetto and Beatrice Perfetto
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook
Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

This Document Relates to:

FRANK PFEIFFER
AND
JEAN PFEIFFER


Index No.: 110053/99, 117163/02,
115062/96


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015


LINDSAY M. DE CICCO
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Pfeiffer and Jean Pfeiffer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
1100-3304
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FRANK PFEIFFER
AND
JEAN PFEIFFER

Index No.: 110053/99, 117163/02,
115062/96


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015


Lindsay M. DeLuzco
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Pfeiffer and Jean Pfeiffer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

This Document Relates to:

JAMES R. REYNOLDS
AND
JEAN REYNOLDS

Index No.: 113781/02, 106690/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 17, 2015




PHAN ALVARADO
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
James R. Reynolds and Jean Reynolds
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH RUGGIERI
AND
BARBARA RUGGIERI


Index No.: 190519/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joseph Ruggieri and Barbara Ruggieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH RUGGIERI
AND
BARBARA RUGGIERI


Index No.: 190519/11,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/21/15, 2015


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joseph Ruggieri and Barbara Ruggieri
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190061/09


WILLIAM D. SAKSEN JR. AND ANNA SAKSEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUNE 30, 2015




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William D. Saksen, Jr. and Anna Saksen
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
National Boiler Works, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
1801-0624
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. SCHMITZ

Index No.: 101617/02, 100515/96

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 2, 2015




Phan Alvarado
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John J. Schmitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101617/02, 100515/96

JOHN J. SCHMITZ

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2 2015



Phan Alvarado

Attorney for Plaintiffs
John J. Schmitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101617/02, 100515/96

JOHN J. SCHMITZ

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2015




Phan Awarad
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John J. Schmitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1725-10465

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190283/09

MARY YOBB, AS ADMINSTRATRIX OF THE
ESTATE OF WILLIAM SMITH AND MARY
YOBB, INDIVIDUALLY


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, National Boiler Works, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

June 30, 2015



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of William Smith
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
National Boiler Works, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15
S/c/f

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015 1801-0017
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT SWANSON
AND
STEPHANIE SWANSON


Index No.: 119377/00,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Swanson and Stephanie Swanson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT SWANSON
AND
STEPHANIE SWANSON


Index No.: 119377/00,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Swanson and Stephanie Swanson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1122-17290

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, 3)

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT SWANSON
AND
STEPHANIE SWANSON

Index No.: 119377/00,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/15, 2015


Matthew T. Macintyre
Matthew T. Macintyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Swanson and Stephanie Swanson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Caitlin Bell

Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____

7/23/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT SWANSON
AND
STEPHANIE SWANSON

Index No.: 119377/00,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/15, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Swanson and Stephanie Swanson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann
Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND TONUCCI
AND
ALBERTA TONUCCI


Index No.: 105449/99,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


LINDSAY M. DE CICCO
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Raymond Tonucci and Alberta Tonucci
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1122-24468
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND TONUCCI
AND
ALBERTA TONUCCI


Index No.: 105449/99,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015


Raymond M. DeLucco
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Raymond Tonucci and Alberta Tonucci
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1235-24445

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD BENDERSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)
Index No: 106277/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew MacIntyre*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


J. Yavitz

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton

Hon. Peter H. Moulton *7/23/15*



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD BENDERSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, J.)


Index No: 106277/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015



Frank Ortiz, Esq. 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**BARBARA RUGGIERI, AS ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH RUGGIERI, AND
BARBARA RUGGIERI, INDIVIDUALLY**


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, ~~3~~)
Index No: 190519/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015


Frank Ortiz, Esq. ~~Matthew~~ MacIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

(Moulton, ~~4~~)
Index No: 123631/02

**JOHN S. BAUMAN, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF FRANKLIN E. BAUMAN SR., AND
JOHN S. BAUMAN, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE
ESTATE OF BERNICE BAUMAN**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew MacIntyre*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton *7/23/15*



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JORDAN JAMES BUTLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, ~~3~~)

Index No:107400/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation ("Dana Companies") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015

Matthew MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew MacIntyre*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


R

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JORDAN JAMES BUTLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, **1**)


Index No: 107400/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew MacIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JORDAN JAMES BUTLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, ~~2~~)

Index No:114079/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC sued herein as Dana Corporation (“Dana Companies”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff’s complaint against defendant Dana Companies with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015




Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew MacIntyre*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton *7/23/15*

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GLENN LIMBURG AND BARBARA GLENN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)
Index No: 127888/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015


Frank Ortiz, Esq. 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WALTER HAZARD and SUSAN HAZARD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

RECEIVED
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)
JUL 2 2014
Index No.: 190377/2014
McGUIRE WOODS
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 1, 2015

Signed by Plaintiff: July 13, 2015

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Michael J. Driscoll, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100
Attorneys for Defendant ITT Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190314/12



EILEEN FITZGERALD-SMITH, as
Administrator for the Estate of THOMAS
FITZGERALD, and EILEEN FITZGERALD,
Individually


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Gould Electronics, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Gould Electronics, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Gould Electronics, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/17, 2015



Frank Ortiz, Esq. 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Gould Electronics, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**STEVEN A. MORENO AND VIRGINIA
MORENO, HIS WIFE**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

Index No: 190454/14

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Mine Safety Appliances Company, LLC (incorrectly sued as Mine Safety Appliances Company) ("MSA LLC") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MSA LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MSA LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2015




David A. Chandler, Esq.
KARST & von OISTE, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
576 5th Avenue, Suite 401
New York, NY 10036
(212) 764-3900




Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Mine Safety Appliances
Company, LLC (incorrectly sued as Mine
Safety Appliances Company)
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
~~(Section 4)~~

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190286/13,

BUDDY O. WERN AND ALICE WERN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 20th, 2015




Ambre Brandis, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Buddy O. Wern and Alice Wern
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter Moulton

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15

FILED
963-1011

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates to:

LUIGI VILLANI
AND
CAROLINA VILLANI


Index No.: 190379/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


Christopher M. Decicco
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Luigi Villani and Carolina Villani
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15
FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LUIGI VILLANI
AND
CAROLINA VILLANI


Index No.: 190379/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, George A. Fuller Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, George A. Fuller Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, George A. Fuller Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/21/, 2015



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Luigi Villani and Carolina Villani
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
George A. Fuller Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1224-435

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190553/12,

JOSEPH J. VARESE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 2, 2015




Phan Ahwarado
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joseph J. Varese
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

963-1391

RECEIVED

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190553/12;

JOSEPH J. VARESE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 2, 2015



Phan Ahuando
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joseph J. Varese
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
504-1086

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

DOUGLAS A. SYMINGTON
AND
VINCENZA SYMINGTON


Index No.: 190282/14


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21, 2015


Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Douglas A. Symington and Vincenza Symington
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2383-30131

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH F. SIMONS
AND
VIRGINA G. SIMONS


Index No.: 190292/14

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/15, 2015



NICHOLAS NOWACK, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph F. Simons and Virginia G. Simons
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
951-1017

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN-RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, 4)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190037/13

ALBERT PRATT

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case; pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/21/15, 2015


Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Albert Pratt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Algoma Hardwoods, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED 1723-13

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| ----- | x | NYCAL |
| | : | |
| IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION | : | (J. Peter H. Moulton) |
| | : | |
| ----- | x | Index No.: 190285/14 |
| This Document Relates to: | : | |
| | : | NO OPPOSITION |
| CHARLES K. MICELI | : | SUMMARY JUDGMENT |
| | : | MOTION AND ORDER |
| ----- | x | |

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Charles K. Miceli was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to the Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").


WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


June 27, 2015

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
the Bendix Corporation*

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.


By: 
Justin J. Weitz, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SO ORDERED


Honorable Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50,
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JAMES T. MCCOLGAN
AND
DONNA MCCOLGAN

Index No.: 190125/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/19, 2015


Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
James T. McColgan and Donna McColgan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2572-160
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton F.)

This Document Relates to:

JAMES T. MCCOLGAN
AND
DONNA MCCOLGAN

Index No.: 190125/13,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/19 2015

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
James T. McColgan and Donna McColgan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
604-1125

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190259/13

DAVID WILLIAM FAHY


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation f/k/a Duriron Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation f/k/a Duriron Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation f/k/a Duriron Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

July 9, 2015


Derrell Wilson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
David William Fahy
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233



Chauvron S. Leotaud, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporation f/k/a Duriron
Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED;


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

{N0431606-1}



FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
951-0996

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, ?)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190152/12


GAYLE C. CALLEGARI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Bradco Supply Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bradco Supply Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Bradco Supply Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/24, 2015


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Gayle C. Callegari
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Bradco Supply Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

6-0183

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
J.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190152/12

GAYLE C. CALLEGARI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, ABC Supply Co., Inc., Individually and Successor in interest to Bradco Supply Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ABC Supply Co., Inc., Individually and Successor in interest to Bradco Supply Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ABC Supply Co., Inc., Individually and Successor in interest to Bradco Supply Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
6/24, 2015


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Gayle C. Callegari
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
ABC Supply Co., Inc., Individually and
Successor in interest to Bradco Supply
Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

This Document Relates to:

WALTER ANDREWS
AND
GERALDINE ANDREWS

Index No.: 190034/15,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DAP, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DAP, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/19, 2015



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walter Andrews and Geraldine Andrews
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
DAP, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
L.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

This Document Relates to:

WALTER ANDREWS
AND:
GERALDINE ANDREWS

Index No.: 190034/15,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/19, 2015

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walter Andrews and Geraldine Andrews
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Alysa Koloms, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, LLC
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

CARLOS MUSZAK
AND
LUISA MUSZAK

Index No.: 190286/14,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/22/ 2015



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Carlos Muszak and Luisa Muszak
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerry M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2383-30137

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT A. SEELEY and BRIGITTE
SEELEY, x

INDEX NO. 190180/2013

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO
PUMPS, INC., et al, x

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Clark-Reliance Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant **Clark-Reliance Corporation** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Clark-Reliance Corporation** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

DATED: JUL 22 '15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG


O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Clark-Reliance Corporation
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED


HONORABLE PETER MOULTON, J.S.C. 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARMINE DILORENZO, AS
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF
FRANCESCO DILORENZO,
Plaintiff,

vs.

3M COMPANY, ET AL.,
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No: 190111/2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant ITT Corporation, named in the Complaint as Bell & Gossett Company, a subsidiary of ITT Industries, and ITT Corporation, as successor to Bell & Gossett ("ITT Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ITT Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ITT Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 16, 2015



John Guinan, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Phone: (212) 605-6212
Fax: (212) 605-6290


Beth L. Hughes, Esq.
Brady S. Edwards, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
Attorneys for ITT Corporation
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000
Fax: (212) 309-6001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALDINE STRASSER, INDIVIDUALLY
AND AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE
ESTATE OF CLARENCE STRASSER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ADEL WIGGINS GROUP, A DIVISION OF
TRANSDIGM, INC., ET AL.,
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No: 190108/2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant ITT Corporation, named in the Complaint as Bell & Gossett Company, a subsidiary of ITT Industries, and ITT Industries, Inc. ("ITT Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ITT Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ITT Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 16, 2015


John Guinan, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Phone: (212) 605-6212
Fax: (212) 605-6290



Beth L. Hughes, Esq.
Brady S. Edwards, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
Attorneys for ITT Corporation
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000
Fax: (212) 309-6001

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK CRONIN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

3M COMPANY, ET AL.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

Index No: 190131/2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant ITT Corporation, named in the Complaint as Bell & Gossett Company, a subsidiary of ITT Industries, and ITT Corporation, as successor to Bell & Gossett ("ITT Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ITT Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ITT Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 16, 2015



John Guinan, Esq.


Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Phone: (212) 605-6212
Fax: (212) 605-6290


Beth L. Hughes, Esq.

Brady S. Edwards, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
Attorneys for ITT Corporation
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000
Fax: (212) 309-6001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To:

FREDDY A. LALAMA, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AFCO INDUSTRIES, INC. ET AL,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Index No. 190131/2015

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

_____, 2015


By: Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 5th Avenue
4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Counsel for PLAINTIFF

By: Adam Sandler, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN
& DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X


MICHAEL KOULERMOS and MARION
KOULERMOS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT Corporation f/k/a ITT Industries, Inc., sued herein as "I.T.T. Industries, Inc., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 19, 2015

Signed by Plaintiff: July 15, 2015

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100
Attorneys for Defendant ITT Corporation

FILED


JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x
JOHN CUZZOLINO and CONCETTA CUZZOLINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL JUL 2 2014

I.A.S. Part 50

(Moulton, 3)

MCGUIRE WOODS

Index No.: 190270/2014

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT Corporation f/k/a ITT Industries, Inc., sued herein as "I.T.T. Industries, Inc., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: May 19, 2015

Signed by Plaintiff: July 15, 2015

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100
Attorneys for Defendant ITT Corporation

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

DOMINICK J. CREA and JANIS CREA,

Index No. 190413/2014

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including,
CROWN BOILER CO.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC.

("Crown Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Crown Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/19, 2015

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Guillermo Darche, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Crown Boiler Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

PATRICK DEMARTINO AND JOY DEMARTINO,
Individually and as Husband and Wife,

Index No. 190128/2014

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,
including THE MONTALVO CORPORATION,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant The Montalvo Corporation (sued herein as "Montalvo Corporation Inc") (hereinafter "Montalvo") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Montalvo with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Montalvo be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 17, 2015


Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140
New York, New York 10170
(212) 804-7400


Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for The Montalvo Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED 
Hon. Peter Moulton, J.S.C.

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

HERMAN ANDERSON AND DONNA ANDERSON,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS HUSBAND AND WIFE,

Index No. 190085/2015

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ADVANCE AUTO PARTS INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC. (incorrectly sued herein as "ADVANCE AUTO SUPPLY") (hereinafter "ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2015

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140
New York, New York 10170
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Herman Anderson, et al.
(212) 804-7400

Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Advance Auto Parts, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

HERMAN ANDERSON AND DONNA ANDERSON,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS HUSBAND AND WIFE,

Index No: 190085/2015

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ADVANCE AUTO PARTS INC., *et al.*, including SEARS,
ROEBUCK AND CO.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. (incorrectly sued herein as Sears, Roebuck and Company) (hereinafter "Sears") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sears with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sears be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 23 2015


Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140
New York, New York 10170
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Herman Anderson, et al.
(212) 804-7400

Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Sears, Roebuck and Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

DATED: 7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

HERMAN ANDERSON AND DONNA ANDERSON,
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS HUSBAND AND WIFE,

Index No. 190085/2015

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ADVANCE AUTO PARTS INC., *et al.*, including
WESTERN AUTO SUPPLY COMPANY,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Western Auto Supply Company ("Western Auto") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Western Auto with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Western Auto be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 17 2015

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140
New York, New York 10170
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Herman Anderson, et al.
(212) 804-7400

Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Western Auto Supply Company
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A. S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

MICHAEL JOHN VALLEY,

Index No. 190129/2015

Plaintiff,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its DEAN PUMP DIVISION, sued herein as "DEAN PUMP DIVISION" (hereinafter "DEAN PUMP DIVISION"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against DEAN PUMP DIVISION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEAN PUMP DIVISION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/22, 2015

Joe A. Vázquez, Esq.
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140
New York, New York 10170

Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES, A
CECO Environmental Company, Successor by
Merger to Met-Pro Corporation on behalf of its
DEAN PUMP DIVISION
150 Broadway - Suite 600
New York, New York 10038

SO ORDERED
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 23 2015
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A. S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

MICHAEL JOHN VALLEY,

Index No. 190129/2015

Plaintiff,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its DEAN PUMP DIVISION, sued herein as "DEAN PUMP DIVISION" (hereinafter "DEAN PUMP DIVISION"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against DEAN PUMP DIVISION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEAN PUMP DIVISION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/22 2015

[Signature]
Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140
New York, New York 10170

[Signature]
Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES, A
CECO Environmental Company, Successor by
Merger to Met-Pro Corporation on behalf of
DEAN PUMP DIVISION
150 Broadway - Suite 600
New York, New York 10038

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

WALTER HAZARD AND SUSAN HAZARD,

Index No. 190377/2014

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,
including SOLA BASIC INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant SOLA BASIC INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SOLA BASIC INDUSTRIES, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SOLA BASIC INDUSTRIES, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 20, 2015

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP


MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC



Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Walter Hazard, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Sola Basic Industries
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

RANDY C. SCHWARTZ

x

Index No. 190199/2015

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., ET AL.,
including, CROWN BOILER CO.

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN BOILER CO., F/K/A CROWN INDUSTRIES, INC.

(ACrown Boiler@) hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Crown Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Crown Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23, 2015

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM, P.C.
245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor
New York, New York 10167
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert Klatch, et al.
(212) 668-1122

Grace De Mario
Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Crown Boiler Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

LEONARD J. CASCONE and DOROTHY
CASCONE,

Index No. 190187/2014

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50

Defendants.
----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/14/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Matthew MacIntyre

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Defendant
Goodyear Canada, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

DONNA MCCOLGAN, Individually and as : Index No. 190125/13
Executrix for the Estate of JAMES T. :
MCCOLGAN, :

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

HON. PETER H. MOULTON
~~Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,~~
IAS Part 30 50

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/17/15
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: [Signature]
Amore J. Brandis, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
7/23/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
DONNA MCCOLGAN, Individually and as : Index No. 190125/13
Executrix for the Estate of JAMES T.
MCCOLGAN, :

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

HON. PETER H. MOULTON
~~Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,~~
IAS, Part 30 50

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/21/15
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: [Signature]
Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
~~Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.~~
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
This Document Relates To:

NYCAL 190386/11

BARBARA CONNOLLY, as Personal Representative of
the Heirs and Estate of ROBERT L. SIMMS, Deceased

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

v.

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION et. al.


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, VIAD Corp, individually and as alleged successor-in-interest to Griscom Russell Co. ("VIAD"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Viad only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claim against defendant Viad only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 29, 2015.



By: David A. Chandler, Esq.
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401
New York, New York 10036
(212) 764-3900


By: Francis A. Montbach, Esq.
MOUND COTTON/WOLLAN & GREENGRASS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Viad
One New York Plaza
New York, New York 10004
(212) 804-4200

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

7/23/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190230/14


Richard G. Smith

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/17/15



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Virginia Squitieri, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
UNION PUMP COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 07723.00139

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 21 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190410-14

Jose Roselli and Leslie Roselli

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/16/15
New York, NY

Patti Burshytn

Patti Burshytn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Virginia Squitieri

Virginia Squitieri, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
File No.: 10557.01700

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* 7/23/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
JUL 21 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190040-15


William D. Ebanks

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/15
New York, NY



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Virginia Squitieri, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 10557.01756

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
JUL 21 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK.

=====X
ELI PETROVITCH and ANTOINETTE
PETROVITCH,

Index No. 190402/14

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
_____ 2015

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.
Individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

Ce
FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 17 2015
PART 50
NY SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X

VERNON BURKEY and CAROLYN BURKEY,
his wife,

Index No. 190387-14

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
Successor to SARCO COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as
Successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "**SPIRAX SARCO**") hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,
SPIRAX SARCO, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
7/16, 2015



~~DOUGLAS D. VON OISTE, ESQ.~~
Karst & von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
576 5th Avenue, Suite 401
New York, New York 10036
(212) 764-3900

*David
Crandall*

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Messemer, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
Successor to Sarco Company
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

FILED
JUL 23 2015

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 17 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X
JOSE RIVERA and CARMEN RIVERA, his wife,

Index No. 190208/12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
COPEX-VULCAN, INC, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPEX-VULCAN, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
7/6, 2015



~~ERIK P. KARST, ESQ.~~ *David S. Chandler*
Karst & von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
576 5th Avenue, Suite 401
New York, New York 10036
(212) 764-3900

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Messemer, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
COPEX-VULCAN, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190097/14

RONALD NAPPE AND MARY JEAN NAPPE,
against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
SUCCESSOR TO MINNESOTA MINING &
MANUFACTURING CO.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **YORK INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to FRICK COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **YORK INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to FRICK COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **YORK INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to FRICK COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7-20-15 New York, New York



MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
YORK INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
Individually and as Successor to FRICK
COMPANY
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


JORDAN FOX, ESQ., ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Ronald Nappe and Mary Jean Nappe
546 Fifth Avenue
4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Peter H. Moulton

SO ORDERED:

RECEIVED

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL



CM-PET-9

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190402/14

ELI PETROVITCH and ANTOINETTE
PETROVITCH

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: ^{7/20/15} New York, New York



CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation, Individually
and as Successor to Frick Company
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005




JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Eli Petrovitch and Antoinette Petrovitch
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SO ORDERED:



Honorable Peter H. Moulton

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY



CM-PET-10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, §)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190142/14

JANE ZAMBRYSKI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF EDMUND P. ZAMBRYSKI,
AND JANE ZAMBRYSKI, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: JUNE 25, 2015
New York, New York



MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


~~FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Charles Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JANE ZAMBRYSKI, as Executrix for the Estate
of EDMUND P. ZAMBRYSKI, and JANE
ZAMBRYSKI, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

JUL 23 2015

SO ORDERED:


HONORABLE PETER H. MOULTON
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

RECEIVED

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GEORGE E. WALSH and EILEEN WALSH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No. 190037-15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
April 2015 In Extremis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 9, 2015

BY: *Patti Burshtyn*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

BY: *Patrick J. Dwyer*
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, FEHR &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X Index No. 190101-2015
ITALO A. POMPONI and EILEEN POMPONI,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 9, 2015

BY: _____

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

SO ORDERED _____

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

BY: _____

Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE,
HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH
CORPORATION

7/23/15

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DONNA MCCOLGAN, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES T.
MCCOLGAN, and DONNA MCCOLGAN, Individually,

Index No. 190125-13
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Plaintiff(s),

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
April 2015 *In Extremis*
Trial Group

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/19, 2015

RECEIVED
JUL 22 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

BY: [Signature]
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Benjamin Darche, Esq.

BY: [Signature]
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED [Signature]
Hon. Peter J. Moulton

7/23/15

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLOTTE LEVEROCK, as Administratrix for the
Estate of JAMES J. LEVEROCK, and CHARLOTTE
LEVEROCK, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No. 190242/2014
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
April 2015 *In Extremis*
Trial Group

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

JUNE 30, 2015

BY: 

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212)558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.

BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer

SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &

BRENNAN, LLP

2 Research Way,

Princeton, NJ 08540

(609)924-6000

Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED


JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

JUL 22 2015

PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SARAH BODDEN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of
TALMAGE BODDEN, and SARAH BODDEN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No. 190412-12
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
August 2015 FIFO Trial Group


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/1, 2015



BY: Suzanne Ratcliffe
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

Patrick J. Dwyer
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED

SO ORDERED Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15

JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.01480/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
(Honorable Peter H. Moulton)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190270/2014

JOHN CUZZOLINO and CONCETTA
CUZZOLINO,

(April 2015 In Extremis Trial
Group)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,
et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/13/15
East Hanover, New Jersey

BRYAN BELASKY, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Peter H. Moulton

7/23/15
FILED

JUL 23 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

© 2015 Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved.

Student Name: _____

RECEIVED

JUL 13 2015

Initial: _____

536.25468/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
IAS PART 50
~~(Honorable Cheryl Robin Weitler)~~

This document relates to:

JAMES McCOLGAN and DONNA
McCOLGAN,

Index No.: 190125/2013

(October 2013 In Extremis Trial Group)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,
et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 07/17/15
East Hanover, New Jersey


BENJAMIN DÄRCHÉ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


HON. PETER H. MOULTON
J.S.C.

FILED
JUL 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD
JUL 20 2015
HON. PETER H. MOULTON
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

7/20/15

02 /

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2015

Initial: _____

ADMINISTRATIVE INDEX
HON. PETER H. MOUTON
JUL 20 2015
MASS BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
RECEIVED

HON. PETER H. MOUTON
JUL 20 2015