

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190406/2014


**MICHAEL KOULERMOS and MARION  
KOULERMOS**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom  
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 SD  
(Moulton, P.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190377/2014

**WALTER HAZARD and SUSAN HAZARD**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_


New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  


Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely  
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,  
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and  
Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 3050  
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190384/2014

**ARDESHIR OMMANI and ELEANOR  
OMMANI,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely :  
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,  
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and  
Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190387/2014

**VERNON BURKEY and CAROLYN BURKEY,  
his wife,**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valve, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/13/15  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David A. Chandler, Esq.  
Karst & von Oiste, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401  
New York, New York 10036-4830

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company, Edward Valve, Inc., Nordstrom  
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190159/14



**ROLAND QUASHIE and SABIE QUASHIE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant BURNHAM LLC, incorrectly s/h/a "BURNHAM LLC, Individually, and as successor to BURNHAM CORPORATION" (hereinafter "BURNHAM LLC") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2015

 Joseph P. Williams, Esq. The Williams Law Firm, P.C. 245 Park Avenue 39 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10167	 Andrew F. Bain, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190234/14

**DENNIS F. MADDALONE and PATRICIA  
MADDALONE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/20, 2015




Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation,  
as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer,  
Inc.  
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor  
88 Pine Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 483-9490

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(P. Moulton)

This Document Relates to:  
MILDRED A. FITZPATRICK, Individually  
and as Personal Representative of the  
Estate of ROBERT G. FITZPATRICK,  
deceased,

Index No.: 190066/14

Plaintiffs,

- against -

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

RECEIVED  
JUL 31 2015  
PART 50  
SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

WHEREFORE, defendant HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant HENNESSY INDUSTRIES, INC., be dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/27, 2015

Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of Robert Fitzpatrick  
350 Fifth Ave, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118  
(212) 267-3700

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Hennessy Industries, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 11573/2

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

8/4/15

FILED  
AUG 05 2015  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 50  
(Moulton, P)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190213/14


WENDY SCHOPPERT, AS EXECUTOR  
FOR THE ESTATE OF CONRAD L.  
FRUEHAN AND MARY ELLEN  
FRUEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/22/15, 2015




ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Estate of Conrad Fruehan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

963-2054

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

February 2015 FIFO CLUSTER

\_\_\_\_\_  
DOMENIC NASSO and ROSE MARIE NASSO

Index No: 190181-2009

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

PERKINS ENGINES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ X


WHEREFORE, defendant Perkins Engines, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Perkins Engines, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Perkins Engines, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 17, 2015




Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Shaun Bean, Esq.  
**Sedgwick LLP**  
*Attorneys for Perkins Engines, Inc.*  
1085 Raymond Boulevard  
One Newark Center – 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



**HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

**RECEIVED**  
JUL 28 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
In re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
Index No. 190214/2013

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO:  
LISETTE DORFMAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LINTON DORFMAN and LISSETE DORFMAN,  
Individually

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., *et al.*,


Defendants.  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant MILTON ROY LLC., incorrectly sued as MILTON ROY COMPANY, by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MILTON ROY LLC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MILTON ROY, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 30/4/30, 2015  
New York, New York

Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Abbie Eliasberg Fuchs, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH PLLC  
Attorneys For Defendant  
Milton Roy LLC.  
100 Wall Street, 24th Floor  
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 8/4/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
:  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
:  
:  
----- X  
This Document Relates To:  
:  
WALTER ANDREWS and GERALDINE ANDREWS  
:  
Plaintiff,  
:  
- against -  
:  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, and  
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. et al.  
:  
Defendants.  
:  
----- X


Index No.: 190034/15


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys Harris Beach, PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/29 2015  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUZENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Syed K. Rizvi, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
100 Wall Street-23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

8/4/15

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 13 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
:  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
:  
:

----- X  
This Document Relates To:  
:

DOMINICK J. CREA and JANIS CREA,  
:  
Plaintiff,  
:

Index No.: 190413/14

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -  
:


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, and  
MILTON ROY, LLC. et al.  
:  
Defendants.  
:  
:


----- X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant MILTON ROY, LLC., by its attorneys Harris Beach, PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant MILTON ROY, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MILTON ROY, LLC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/29 2015  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUZENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Syed K. Rizvi, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MILTON ROY, LLC  
100 Wall Street-23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
AUG 03 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190034/15

WALTER ANDREWS and GERALDINE ANDREWS

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, and  
MILTON ROY, LLC. et al.


Defendants.


----- X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant MILTON ROY, LLC., by its attorneys Harris Beach, PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant MILTON ROY, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MILTON ROY, LLC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/29 2015  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUZENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Syed K. Rizvi, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MILTON ROY, LLC  
100 Wall Street-23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 03 2015  
PART 50  
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 8/4/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30/50  
~~(Heitler, S.)~~

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190125/2013

**JAMES McCOLGAN and DONNA  
McCOLGAN,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2014

  
Ambre Brannis, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*Ben Orache*

  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely  
as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,  
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and  
Edward Vogt Valve Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
HON. PETER S. ...

8/4/15

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2015  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK